#### **Supporting Information for**

## Co-Catalysis of Rhodium–Phosphoramidite Catalyst and ZSM-35(10)

#### for Tandem Hydroformylation-Acetalization of Olefins

Zhe Shu,† Xiu-Xiu Zhao,† Zhaohui Zheng,† Xueqing Zhang,† Yushuang Zhang,†

Shuhui Sun,† Jianbin Chen,‡ Congxia Xie,† Bing Yuan\*,† and Xiaofei Jia\*,†

<sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory of Optic-electric Sensing and Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, MOE, College of Chemistry and Mo-lecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, P. R. China.

Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, P. R. China.

1. General methods	2
2. Screening the conditions for catalytic hydroformylation-acetalization of 1-hexene	2
3. N <sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm and pore size distribution of zeolites	3
4. General procedure for tandem hydroformylation–acetalization of olefins	9
5. Comparison of catalytic performance of catalysts reported in literature and in this stud	dy.9
6. Preparation and characterization of Rh-H complexes	10
7. Characterization data for the products	11
8. Recycling tests of the ZSM-35(10) in tandem hydroformylation-acetalization of 1-her	xene
with EtOH	14
9. NMR spectra of major products	16
10. GC data for Table 1	24
11. Standard orientation, imaginary frequencies of all stationary points	32
References:	37

#### 1. General methods

Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations involving air or moisture-sensitive compounds were performed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox or using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried according to standard procedures. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on 400 MHz or 600 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on 101 MHz by using a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ values) were reported in ppm with internal TMS (<sup>1</sup>H NMR), CDCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>13</sup>C NMR), or external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (<sup>31</sup>P NMR) as the standard, respectively. The IR spectra were measured on a Thermo (SCIENTIFC) NICOLET iS10 spectrometer. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm was obtained on a Micromeritics ASAP 2460. HRMS (ESI) were determined on Agilent 1290-6545XT. GC analyses were measured on an Agilent 7820A system using an FID detector.

## 2. Screening the conditions for catalytic

#### hydroformylation-acetalization of 1-hexene.

					ĢEt						
n-C₄⊦	l₀∕~ +	H <sub>2</sub> /CO+ EtOH R	h/ <b>L1</b> 1, ZSM-	<u>35(10)</u> n-C₄		t + <i>n</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>		+ <i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> '	r	<i>n</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	
	1				้1ล		1b	1	с	1d	
Entry	Rh/L	ZSM-35(10).	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	Temp.	Solv.	Conv.	$l/b^b$	1a <sup>c</sup>	$\mathbf{1b}^d$	1c <sup>e</sup>	1ď
		(mg)	(bar)	(°C)	(mL)	(%)		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	1:1	40	20/20	120	5.0	99.21	19.83	94.76	2.99	1.18	0.29
2	1:3	40	20/20	120	5.0	99.65	22.23	85.72	12.49	1.05	0.38
3	1:5	40	20/20	120	5.0	99.65	77.10	97.35	1.56	0.43	0.31
4	1:7	40	20/20	120	5.0	99.11	78.20.	91.11	6.33	1.51	0.15
5	1:5	20	20/20	120	5.0	98.48	12.85	77.89	17.12	2.09	1.38
6	1:5	60	20/20	120	5.0	99.55	43.63	96.36	2.10	0.42	0.67
7	1:5	40	20/20	110	5.0	99.51	32.12	95.77	2.90	0.39	0.44
8	1:5	40	20/20	100	5.0	99.63	29.51	93.43	4.43	0.87	0.91
9	1:5	40	20/20	90	5.0	99.20	35.14	86.68	5.56	3.76	0.74
10	1:5	40	10/10	120	5.0	98.74	26.43	77.30	13.49	1.39	6.55
11	1:5	40	25/25	120	5.0	99.64	63.10	90.64	7.25	1.08	0.68
12	1:5	40	20/20	120	2.5	99.60	50.45	70.80	27.16	0.91	0.73
13	1:5	40	20/20	120	7.5	99.45	75.50	95.10	2.54	0.95	0.86

Table S1. Selected results of screening the conditions for catalytic hydroformylation-acetalization of 1-hexene<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>1-Hexene (3.8 mmol), Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> ( $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol), S/C<sub>Rh</sub> (ratio of substrate to catalyst rhodium) = 10000, EtOH (5.0 mL), 24 h, decane as the internal standard. <sup>*b*</sup>Linear/branched acetal ratio, determined by GC analysis. <sup>*c*</sup>Yield of all acetals. <sup>*d*</sup>Yield of all aldehydes.

eYield of hydrogenation product. Yield of isomerization product.

Table S2. Selected results of screening the optimal conditions for catalytic hydroformylation-acetalization of 1-

hexene<sup>a</sup>

OEt $n_{\rm C} \mapsto h_{\rm C} = h_{\rm C} + h_{\rm C} +$									
<i>n</i> -0 <sub>4</sub> n	1 1		11-041	1a 02	1b		1c	1d	
Entry	Ligand	zeolites	conv.	$1a^b$	l/b <sup>c</sup>	1b <sup>d</sup>	1c	1d	TON <sup>e</sup>
			(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)	(%)	
1	L1	ZSM-35(10)	98.4	81.2	2.7	15.4	0.7	1.0	9660
2	L2	ZSM-35(10)	98.7	77.3	0.9	18.9	-	2.4	9620
3	L3	ZSM-35(10)	98.3	80.0	2.7	17.3	-	1.0	9730
4	L4	ZSM-35(10)	98.4	69.9	2.5	25.4	1.90	1.2	9530
5	L5	ZSM-35(10)	75.8	60.5	4.2	9.6	2.57	3.1	7010
6	L6	ZSM-35(10)	97.2	61.3	2.3	12.8	5.08	18.1	7410
7	L7	ZSM-35(10)	98.4	82.5	1.2	15.9	-	-	9840
8	L8	ZSM-35(10)	99.0	89.6	66.5	7.3	-	4.1	9690
9	L9	ZSM-35(10)	99.0	76.1	2.5	22.9	-	-	9900
10	L10	ZSM-35(10)	99.1	91.6	25.2	5.5	0	1.7	9710
11	L11	ZSM-35(10)	99.9	97.7	77.1	2.3	-	-	10000
12	L11	MCM-41	99.5	78.9	1.2	19.3	0.24	1.1	9820
13	L11	ZSM-5	99.3	85.9	8.0	12.3	0.29	0.8	9820
14	L11	β-Zeolite	98.9	85.4	23.8	9.1	1.0	3.4	9450
15	L11	ZSM-35(100)	99.4	82.1	20.2	16.2	0	1.1	9830
		W <sup>P</sup> <sub>n</sub> W <sup>P</sup>	PR <sub>2</sub>	$PR_2$			$ \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $		$ \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $
L1: R = H; L2: R = o-CH <sub>3</sub> ; L3: R = p-OCH <sub>3</sub> ;	L4: n L5: n	= 1; <b>L6</b> : BINAP = 3;	L7: R = <i>t</i> -Bu L8 (Xantpho	ı; os): R = Ph;	L9: Biphephos		<b>L10:</b> BPa	L1	1: BINAPa

<sup>*a*</sup>1-Hexene (3.8 mmol), Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> ( $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol), ligand ( $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol), S/C<sub>Rh</sub> (ratio of substrate to catalyst rhodium) = 10000, zeolites (40 mg), H<sub>2</sub>/CO (20/20 bar), EtOH (5.0 mL), 120 °C, 24 h, decane as the internal standard, yields were determined by GC analysis. <sup>*b*</sup>Yield of all acetals. <sup>*c*</sup>Linear/branched acetal ratio. <sup>*d*</sup>Yield of all aldehydes. <sup>*e*</sup>Turnover Number of the Rh with respect to the yield of oxo products.

## 3. $N_{2}$ sorption isotherm and pore size distribution of zeolites.

Table S3. Textural parameters of zeolites<sup>a</sup>

Entry	zeolites	surface area	pore volume	pore size		
		(m <sup>2</sup> /g)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	(nm)		
1	MCM-41	1,062.94	0.94	1.19-1.88; 2.98-4.05		
2	β-Zeolite	454.85	0.34	0.53-0.84		
3	ZSM-5	262.78	0.15	0.65-0.79; 1.09-2.51		
4	ZSM-35(10)	277.98	0.16	0.65-0.73		
5	ZSM-35(100)	329.59	0.19	0.65-0.85		
<sup>a</sup> Analysed by BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) method.						



Figure S1. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm of MCM-41



Figure S2. pore size distribution MCM-41



Figure S3.  $N_2$  sorption isotherm of  $\beta$ -Zeolite



Figure S4. pore size distribution of β-Zeolite



Figure S5. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm of ZSM-5



Figure S6. pore size distribution of ZSM-5



Figure S7. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm of ZSM-35(10)



Figure S8. pore size distribution of ZSM-35(10)



Figure S9. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm of ZSM-35(100)



Figure S10. pore size distribution of ZSM-35(100)

## 4. General procedure for tandem hydroformylation-acetalization of olefins.

In a glove box, an autoclave with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with EtOH (5.0 mL), ZSM-35(10) (40 mg), Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> (0.1 mg,  $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol), BINAPa (1.16 mg,  $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol), 1-hexene (0.47 mL, 3.8 mmol) and decane (16 µL) as the internal standard. The mixture was purged with hydrogen for three times and subsequently charged with CO (20 bar) and H<sub>2</sub> (20 bar). The autoclave was then heated to 120 °C (oil bath) and was kept at this temperature for 24 h. The autoclave was cooled in ice water, and the gas was carefully released in a well-ventilated hood. The mixture subsequently was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC).

GC analysis condition: SE-54, 30 m×0.32 mm×0.33 mm, flow rate 2.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, method: 50°C was maintained for 5 min, and then ramped from 50 °C to 120 °C at a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, 120 °C was maintained for 2 min; then ramped from 120 °C to 250 °C at a rate of 20 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, 250 °C was maintained for 10 min.

# 5. Comparison of catalytic performance of catalysts reported in literature and in this study

catalyst	yield	l/b	TON <sub>oxo</sub> <sup>a</sup>	
Rh/BINAPa, ZSM-35(10)	50.6-98.4	≥30.5	43000	
$Rh(acac)(CO)_2/L12^{12b}$	84.3-92.1	1.1-1.9	1900	
Rh(acac)(CO) <sub>2</sub> /L13 <sup>12a</sup>	39.2-94.1	0.4-2.1	980	
Rh/P complex <sup>7c</sup>	81.0-99.0	0.9-1.6	2475	
$RhCl_3 \bullet 3H_2O/PPh_3^{11a}$	96.9	3.0	980	
[Rh(cod) <sub>2</sub> ]BF <sub>4</sub> /Xantphos <sup>11b</sup>	5.8-86.2	9.1-89.2	184	
	Ph PPh2 N - +P Me <sup>-</sup>   Ph Ph	Cl Ph <sub>2</sub> P Rh	O Bh PPh <sub>2</sub> Cl	
L12	L13	Rh/P comple	x	

Table S4. Comparison of catalytic performance of catalysts reported in literature and in this study

#### 6. Preparation and characterization of Rh-H complexes

In a glovebox, the mixture of Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> (4.1 mg, 0.016 mmol), BINAPa (9.8 mg, 0.016 mmol), ZSM-35(10) (10 mg), in toluene-d<sub>8</sub> (2.0 mL) was stirred for 0.5 hour at room temperature in a 10 mL glass vial, which was then transferred into a stainless-steel autoclave and sealed. The autoclave was purged with H<sub>2</sub> three times and subsequently charged with CO (10 bar) and H<sub>2</sub> (10 bar). The autoclave was then heated to 40 °C (oil bath) and stirred at the temperature for 10 h. After cooling the autoclave to 0 °C, the syngas was carefully released, and the solution was submitted to NMR analysis.



Figure S11. (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, (b) <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of [HRh(CO)(BINAPa)] in the presence of

ZSM-35(10).

#### 7. Characterization data for the products

yield. <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.42 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.62-3.54 (m, 2H), 3.47-3.40 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.25 (m, 6H), 1.30-1.15 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.83-0.82 (m, 3H) ppm.

**1,1-Diethoxyheptane** (1a)<sup>[2]</sup>: colorless oil, 652.87 mg, 91.3% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.41 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.61-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.46-3.39 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.22 (m, 8H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.82-0.80 (m, 3H) ppm.

**1,1-Diethoxyoctane (3a)**<sup>[1]</sup>: pale yellow oil, 674.59 mg, 87.8% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 4.43 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64-3.55 (m, 2H), 3.48-3.40 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.23 (m, 10H), 1.15 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.83 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**1,1-Diethoxynonane (4a):** pale yellow oil, 715.61 mg, 87.1% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 4.45 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.58 (m, 2H), 3.50-3.43 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.32-1.25 (m, 12H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.86 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 103.0, 60.8, 33.6, 31.9, 29.5, 29.5, 29.2, 24.8, 22.6, 15.3, 14.1 ppm; FT-IR υ max/cm<sup>-1</sup>2926.06, 2856.61, 1461.89, 1376.18, 1119.20, 1059.82, 905.84, 731.13, 648.80; HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calcd. For C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: 239.1982, Found: 239.1986 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>).

**1,1-Diethoxydecane (5a)** <sup>[3]</sup>: pale yellow oil, 755.86 mg, 86.4% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 4.41 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.46-3.38 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.20 (m, 14H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**1,1-Diethoxydecane** (6a) <sup>[2]</sup>: pale yellow oil, 748.99 mg, 80.7% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.31 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.50-3.43 (m, 2H), 3.35-3.28 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.19-1.12 (m, 16H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.73 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm.

O O (Diethoxymethyl)cyclohexane (7a)<sup>[3]</sup>: pale yellow oil, 543.29 mg,
76.8% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 4.08 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.57 (m,
2H), 3.49-3.41 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.68 (m, 4H), 1.63-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.23-1.11 (m, 9H), 0.990.91 (m, 2H) ppm.

**3-(Diethoxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran(9a)**<sup>[4]</sup>: pale yellow oil, 210.42 mg, 31.8% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85-3.80 (m, 2H), 3.73-3.67 (m, 2H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.55-3.45 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.51 (m, 1H), 2.01-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.22-1.16 (m, 6H) ppm.

**1,1-Dipropoxyheptane:** (11a): pale yellow oil, 713.15 mg, 86.8% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.36 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.46-3.40 (m, 2H), 3.29-3.24 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.44 (m, 6H), 1.21-1.16 (m, 8H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 6H), 0.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  103.1, 67.0, 33.4, 31.7, 29.1, 24.7, 23.0, 22.5, 13.9, 10.6 ppm; FT-IR  $\upsilon$  max/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2927.80, 2857.39, 1463.68, 1378.68, 1115.17, 1024.34, 907.23, 730.44, 647.34. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calcd. For C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: 239.1982, Found: 239.1986 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>).



**1,1-Dibutoxyheptane (12a):** pale yellow oil, 799.10 mg, 86.1% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.35 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.49-3.44 (m, 2H), 3.33-3.27 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.41 (m, 6H), 1.34-1.18 (m, 12H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 0.78 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  103.1, 65.0, 33.4, 32.0, 31.7, 29.1, 24.7, 22.5, 19.4, 13.9, 13.8; **FT-IR**  $\upsilon$  max/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2931.16, 2860.39, 1464.97, 1379.58, 1112.75, 1036.15, 906.34, 729.09, 647.63. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calcd. For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: 267.2295, Found: 267.2302 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>).



**1,1-Diisobutoxyheptane (14a):** pale yellow oil, 797.25 mg, 85.9% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.39 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30-3.27 (m, 2H), 3.12-3.09 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.23 (m, 8H), 0.86-0.83 (m, 15H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  103.4, 72.2, 33.5, 31.9, 29.3, 28.8, 24.9, 22.7, 19.6, 14.1 ppm; FT-IR  $\upsilon$  max/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2930.5, 2872.5, 1461.7, 1376.3, 1111.9, 1033.9, 902.1, 726.1, 646.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calcd. For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: 267.2295, Found: 267.2303 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>).



**1,1-Bis(pentyloxy)heptane** (15a): pale yellow oil, 847.36 mg, 81.9%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.45 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.57-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.42-3.37 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.55 (m, 6H), 1.33-1.25 (m, 16H), 0.90-0.86 (m, 9H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  103.2, 65.4, 33.5, 31.8, 29.6, 29.1, 28.5, 24.8, 22.6, 22.5, 14.1, 14.04, 14.01 ppm; FT-IR  $\upsilon$  max/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2928.5, 2873.6, 1458.7, 1372.3, 1114.9, 1030.8, 901.1, 724.2, 649.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calcd. For C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: 295.2608, Found: 295.2612 (M+Na<sup>+</sup>).

#### 8. Recycling tests of the ZSM-35(10) in tandem hydroformylation-

#### acetalization of 1-hexene with EtOH

The recycling tests are followed the general procedure for tandem hydroformylation– acetalization of olefins. The ZSM-35(10) was separated from the mixture by using centrifuge, washed by EtOH, and heated at 120 °C for 8 h under vacuum condition. The reactivated ZSM-35(10) was used to test next recycling reaction with the same condition and procedure.



Figure S12. Recycling tests of ZSM-35(10)



Figure S13. N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm of recovered ZSM-35(10)

The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area and pore volume of recovered ZSM-35(10) are 264.2 m<sup>2</sup>/g and 0.15 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, respectively.



Figure S14. pore size distribution of recovered ZSM-35(10)

## 9. NMR spectra of major products













10.5 -1.0

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

-7.260

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

-103.06

23, 41 23, 55 24, 57 25, 50 13, 92 25, 50 10, 62 10, 62

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

### 10. GC data for Table 1

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Entry 6:

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Entry 5:

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Entry 12:

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Entry 16:

## 11. Standard orientation, imaginary frequencies of all stationary

### points

The DFT method with M062X(D3) functional at the basis set level of 6-311G(d, p) were performed

using Gaussian 16 package.<sup>[5]</sup>

Center	Atomic	Atomic	Coo	rdinates (Angs	stroms)
Number	Number	Type	Х	Y	Ζ
1	6	0	-3.267393	0.038352	-0.000638
2	6	0	-2.015912	-0.410318	0.000464
3	1	0	-4.113366	-0.639643	-0.000511
4	1	0	-3.495473	1.100733	-0.001715
5	1	0	-1.849350	-1.486648	0.001486
6	6	0	-0.773702	0.455124	0.000473
7	1	0	-0.790353	1.116769	-0.874410
8	1	0	-0.790046	1.116289	0.875733
9	6	0	0.532499	-0.348320	0.000047
10	1	0	0.550532	-1.008056	0.877004
11	1	0	0.550249	-1.007603	-0.877256
12	6	0	1.788759	0.528265	0.000074
13	1	0	1.768013	1.187485	0.876457
14	1	0	1.767742	1.187931	-0.875966
15	6	0	3.089854	-0.279231	-0.000336
16	1	0	3.155561	-0.922431	-0.883436
17	1	0	3.966023	0.374821	-0.000309
18	1	0	3.155841	-0.922880	0.882415

#### Structure 1

0 imaginary frequencies

#### Structure 1b

Center	Atomic	Atomic	Coo	ordinates (Ang	stroms)	
Number	Number	Туре	Х	Y	Ζ	
1	6	0	-3.038360	0.509055	0.000043	
2	1	0	-3.028164	1.168659	-0.876121	
3	1	0	-3.028249	1.168415	0.876392	
4	6	0	-1.767365	-0.348015	-0.000015	
5	1	0	-1.777398	-1.008398	0.876835	
6	1	0	-1.777317	-1.008160	-0.877047	

7	6	0	-4.325995	-0.319617	-0.000136
8	1	0	-4.381534	-0.964165	0.882708
9	1	0	-5.212613	0.320137	-0.000083
10	1	0	-4.381453	-0.963909	-0.883172
11	6	0	-0.473861	0.473900	0.000155
12	1	0	-0.463404	1.134039	0.876883
13	1	0	-0.463325	1.134273	-0.876396
14	6	0	0.795381	-0.384413	0.000099
15	1	0	0.786347	-1.044308	-0.876546
16	1	0	0.786275	-1.044528	0.876578
17	6	0	2.084202	0.440551	0.000258
18	1	0	2.130692	1.101986	0.874046
19	1	0	2.130856	1.102242	-0.873311
20	6	0	3.352640	-0.393625	0.000319
21	8	0	4.465014	0.066887	-0.000809
22	1	0	3.199335	-1.498399	0.001363

0 imaginary frequencies

#### Structure 1a

Center	Atomic	Atomic	Coor	rdinates (Angs	stroms)	
Number	Number	Туре	Х	Y	Z	
1	6	0	4.954435	-0.615657	-0.278717	
2	1	0	5.031863	-1.633951	0.121344	
3	1	0	4.933309	-0.719760	-1.370282	
4	6	0	6.190716	0.188948	0.132787	
5	1	0	7.111696	-0.284513	-0.218368	
6	1	0	6.159130	1.201594	-0.281517	
7	1	0	6.258736	0.280287	1.221451	
8	6	0	3.637864	0.012157	0.192539	
9	1	0	3.560615	1.031475	-0.207852	
10	1	0	3.659660	0.116921	1.285295	
11	6	0	2.394531	-0.785731	-0.215070	
12	1	0	2.468596	-1.803293	0.189867	
13	1	0	2.375758	-0.894384	-1.306922	
14	6	0	1.079743	-0.148355	0.248293	

15	1	0	1.001093	0.863019	-0.164402	
16	1	0	1.099112	-0.039951	1.340988	
17	6	0	-0.154449	-0.951516	-0.167589	
18	1	0	-0.115479	-1.961535	0.252624	
19	1	0	-0.190935	-1.056841	-1.256611	
20	6	0	-1.471420	-0.319423	0.261688	
21	1	0	-1.503849	-0.168514	1.355032	
22	8	0	-2.488837	-1.207961	-0.120890	
23	8	0	-1.631516	0.941296	-0.375376	
24	6	0	-3.806202	-0.830522	0.266954	
25	1	0	-4.084283	0.109226	-0.223791	
26	1	0	-3.840198	-0.668366	1.355705	
27	6	0	-4.753902	-1.944981	-0.138072	
28	1	0	-5.781865	-1.692175	0.135601	
29	1	0	-4.711589	-2.104231	-1.217772	
30	1	0	-4.481789	-2.880263	0.356316	
31	6	0	-1.882384	2.059023	0.474952	
32	1	0	-2.754130	1.865506	1.115084	
33	1	0	-1.020929	2.235319	1.133812	
34	6	0	-2.128962	3.271044	-0.404869	
35	1	0	-2.993968	3.106050	-1.051202	
36	1	0	-2.315754	4.156843	0.208336	
37	1	0	-1.261788	3.464931	-1.039988	

0 imaginary frequencies

#### Structure L11

Center	Atomic	Atomic	Coordinates (Angstroms)
Number	Number	Туре	X Y Z
1	6	0	-0.916216 0.390678 -2.800630
2	6	0	-0.473999 1.467756 -3.522681
3	6	0	0.098308 2.592562 -2.880880
4	6	0	0.209100 2.590434 -1.453652
5	6	0	-0.270547 1.468598 -0.707950
6	6	0	-0.814208 0.397499 -1.390721
7	1	0	0.480244 3.697995 -4.696039
8	1	0	-1.331192 -0.472080 -3.306424
9	1	0	-0.552536 1.461192 -4.604393
10	6	0	0.571885 3.710512 -3.614874

11	6	0	0.804337	3.718851	-0.826638
12	6	0	1.255371	4.784487	-1.568008
13	6	0	1.138110	4.785661	-2.976371
14	1	0	0.900207	3.730978	0.251669
15	1	0	1.706381	5.634287	-1.067838
16	1	0	1.497974	5.634064	-3.547091
17	6	0	0.886099	0.778844	1.386861
18	6	0	-0.166703	1.439309	0.782034
19	6	0	-1.130907	2.097471	1.608183
20	6	0	-0.985476	2.063676	3.033127
21	6	0	0.123794	1.383394	3.593050
22	6	0	1.045167	0.760447	2.792005
23	1	0	-2.377938	2.813674	-0.010027
24	6	0	-2.250045	2.785722	1.064499
25	6	0	-1.948060	2.716021	3.846561
26	1	0	0.245716	1.370597	4.670708
27	1	0	1.905289	0.264015	3.225075
28	6	0	-3.014790	3.374358	3.287183
29	6	0	-3.164436	3.405361	1.881961
30	1	0	-1.824025	2.684215	4.924039
31	1	0	-3.743982	3.869514	3.918166
32	1	0	-4.011171	3.922472	1.444861
33	8	0	-1.224135	-0.680730	-0.626332
34	8	0	1.819699	0.180827	0.563000
35	15	0	-2.147382	-1.956655	-1.181415
36	15	0	2.577497	-1.264577	0.943828
37	6	0	-4.153082	-0.540686	0.264573
38	6	0	-5.503873	-0.358613	0.143633
39	6	0	-5.934874	-1.051745	-1.029622
40	6	0	-4.830754	-1.631268	-1.589690

41	7	0	-3.721737	-1.324192	-0.800414
42	1	0	-3.455737	-0.166939	0.993967
43	1	0	-6.120714	0.217012	0.816740
44	1	0	-6.940670	-1.106780	-1.416356
45	1	0	-4.720557	-2.234652	-2.476336
46	6	0	-1.692207	-4.360063	0.022804
47	6	0	-1.394604	-4.906537	1.241504
48	6	0	-1.271123	-3.829695	2.172389
49	6	0	-1.501272	-2.662354	1.494566
50	7	0	-1.760400	-2.975966	0.165249
51	1	0	-1.860227	-4.821481	-0.936925
52	1	0	-1.274093	-5.958636	1.448096
53	1	0	-1.033077	-3.907683	3.222193
54	1	0	-1.475428	-1.637948	1.822317
55	6	0	3.183608	-1.574126	-1.814675
56	6	0	2.809424	-2.439523	-2.804798
57	6	0	1.940571	-3.417117	-2.225347
58	6	0	1.814013	-3.118502	-0.897303
59	7	0	2.580500	-1.982397	-0.628663
60	1	0	3.832963	-0.715733	-1.834808
61	1	0	3.129656	-2.389803	-3.834376
62	1	0	1.478036	-4.251754	-2.729120
63	1	0	1.254501	-3.603409	-0.112839
64	6	0	6.033675	0.626928	0.764417
65	6	0	6.383590	-0.561197	1.474039
66	6	0	5.241468	-1.301604	1.620128
67	1	0	6.694700	1.436439	0.494767
68	1	0	7.360689	-0.831037	1.843749
69	1	0	5.075421	-2.256158	2.093680
70	7	0	4.191689	-0.615130	1.019011

71	6	0	4.691668	0.572591	0.494153
72	1	0	4.034884	1.270370	0.003753

0 imaginary frequencies

#### References:

- [1] Q. Zhang, K. Tiefenbacher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 43, 16213–16219.
- [2] D. Wang, R. Cao, G. Lu, J. Ren, B. Zeng, Tetrahedron. 2021, 92, 132250.
- [3] R. Ugartea, T. Hudnall, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1990-1998
- [4] E. Fernández, S. Castillan, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 2361.

[5] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16 A.03, Wallingford, CT, 2016.