### **Supporting information**

#### **Direct Observation of Highly Effective Hydrogen Isotope Separation at Active Metal Sites**

**by** *in situ* **DRIFT Spectroscopy**

Xiayan Yan, <sup>a</sup> Yaqi Song, <sup>a</sup> Degao Wang, <sup>a</sup> Tifeng Xia, <sup>a</sup> Xinxin Tan, <sup>a</sup> Jingwen Ba, <sup>a</sup> Tao Tang, <sup>a</sup> Wenhua Luo, <sup>b</sup> Ge Sang \*a and Renjin Xiong \*a

*a Institute of Materials, China Academy of Engineering Physics, P. O. Box 9071-12, Mianyang 621907, China*

*<sup>b</sup> Science and Technology on Surface Physics and Chemistry Laboratory, P. O. Box 9072-35, Mianyang 621908,*

*China.*

*\* Corresponding authors, E-mail address: angelsg@163.com; xrj902@163.com*

### **I. Experimental Details**

**Sythensis of Cu<sup>I</sup> -MFU-4***l***.** All starting materials were purchased from commercial suppliers and no further purification was carried out. 0.2 M LiCOOH solution in methanol was prepared ahead as following. HCOOH (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.) was firstly added into a suspension of excess Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.) in methanol (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.), and the mixture was stirred at RT for 30 min. Excess  $Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was then filtered off to obtain LiCOOH solution.

MFU-4*l* was synthesized from H<sub>2</sub>-BTDD (*bis*  $(1H-1,2,3-*trizaolo*[4,5-b],[4',5'-*i*]) dibenzo[1,4]$ doxin, Chemsoon Co. Ltd.) and anhydrous ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.) in a *N*, *N*dimethylformamide (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.) solution, following the synthetic procedure reported in ref. S1.

Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l* was obtained using a combined method with postsynthetic cation exchange of MFU-4*l* and thermal decomposition procedures, as described in ref. S2-S3. Briefly, activated MFU-4*l* was added to a solution of anhydrous CuCl<sub>2</sub> (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.) in *N, N*dimethylacetamide (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.), and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 20 h in a sealed glass bottle. Its precipitate was washed by 3 times with *N, N*-dimethylacetamide, methanol, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (reagent grade, J&K Scientific Ltd.), respectively, then dried at 150 °C for 24 h under vacuum, and finally yielded green powders Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*. Afterwards, the activated Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l* was added to the as-prepared 0.2 M solution of LiCOOH in methanol and then stirred at RT for 30 min to obtain Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate. The obtained Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate was then activated after washing with methanol and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and drying at 70 °C under vacuum for 24 h. After activation, the red-brown CuII-MFU-4*l*-formate powders were stored into an Ar-filled glovebox with  $H_2O \leq 0.1$  ppm and  $O_2 \leq 0.1$  ppm. Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l* was finally obtained by *in situ* heating Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate to 180 °C under vacuum at a ramp of 4 °C /min and then maintained at 180 °C for  $1~1.5 h.$ 

**General characterizations.** Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data was collected using X'Pert-PRO powder X-ray diffractometer equipped with Cu-K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda$ =0.1541 nm). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out with Tecnai-GF20 scanning electron microscope. ICP-OES analysis was measured with iCAP 6300 full-spectrum direct-reading spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed using a STA 449C analyzer with a heating rate of 5  $\rm{°C}$  /min up to 900  $\rm{°C}$  in Ar flowing gas of 20 ml/min. Gas adsorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1-C instrument. Approximate 15 mg samples were loaded in an adsorption sample cell. Prior to measurements, all samples were outgassed at given temperatures under vacuum for several hours. For MFU-4*l*, samples were outgassed at 150 <sup>o</sup>C for 5 h. For Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l*, samples were pretreated by heating Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate powders up to 180  $\degree$ C and then maintaining at the temperature for 1 $\sim$ 1.5 h under vacuum. Thermal desorption spectra (TDS) were performed with a home-built advanced cryogenic thermal desorption apparatus with a quadruple mass spectrometer (QMS). Its calibration was carried out with two commercial gas leaks of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ . Approximate 3 mg sample was loaded in a sample holder, and then outgassed with the same pretreated procedures prior to isotherm measurements. After outgassing,  $\sim$ 1 kPa of pure D<sub>2</sub> was dosed into the sample holder with the exposure time of 10 min. The dosed gas was then evacuated to 0.1 Pa to remove unabsorbed gases above the sample's surface, and the sample was immediately cooled down to 26 K. Finally, desorption spectra were obtained by heating the sample to 298 K with a ramp of 2 K/min.

**DRIFT spectra measurements**. Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier-transformed (DRIFT) spectra were collected in Nicolet IS50 spectrometer equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooled mercury-cadmium-telluride detector. As shown in Figure S1, samples were loaded into a sample cup within a Harrick praying Mantis reaction chamber (CHC), which allowed for controlled temperatures from -150  $\rm{°C}$  to 600  $\rm{°C}$ . Two K-type thermocouples were placed in the bottom of the cup to monitor sample temperatures. The inlet/outlet of the chamber was connected to a homemade gas evacuation and charging apparatus, which incorporated a turbomolecular pump unit, gas

charging pipelines and two pressure transducers with respective full-scale ranges of 10 Torr and 400 kPa. A calibrated gas manifold of 33 ml in the gas charging pipelines was used to quantify dosing gases. Noticed that ambient water vapors would condense on transmission windows if the dosing gases were residual in the sample chamber, an Ar flow was employed to purge the windows.

Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate powders were loaded into the sample cup, and its bottom was filled with short copper wires to accelerate heat conduction. Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l* was obtained by *in situ* heating Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate powders up to 180 °C under vacuum with a ramp of 4 °C/min and then maintaining at 180 °C for ~1.5 h. Three kinds of hydrogen isotope gases (pure  $H_2$ , pure  $D_2$  and a 1:1  $H_2/D_2$  mixture) were employed with the purity of  $\geq$  99.999%.

During DRIFTs measurements, all spectra were collected using the spectrum of outgassed Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l* sample as the background. Two temperatures of 130 K and 298 K were selected due to the limited improvement of Ar purging on water condensation on transmission windows at low temperatures. Detailed processes of gas dosing were as following. At 130 K, isotope gases were stepwisely dosed with each dosage of  $\sim$ 4.5 Pa, equivalent to  $\sim$ 0.12 mmol/g referenced to Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l*. It should be noted that slightly different processes were adopt during the 15 doses. For the first 1- 9 doses, all of the dosed gases were adsorbed and the pressure in the DRIFT chamber maintained at the vacuum level. While for the after 10-15 doses, excessive dosed gases over saturation adsorption  $(1.08 \text{ mmol/g})$  brought increased pressures in the chamber and an evacuation was subsequently added to avoid elevating sample temperature after each dose. In this case, the adsorbed amount was taken as the quantitative parameter, which could be calculated by the pressure changes after multiple dosings. By contrast, in the case of 298 K, to enhance the spectrum signal, isotope gases were continuously dosed with the 1st dosage of  $\sim$ 5 kPa and each subsequent dosage of  $\sim$ 25 kPa, which was much more than the saturation adsorption capacity of 1.08 mmol/g. For quantification, the equilibrium pressure after each dosing was chosen as the quantitative parameter. Moreover, in both cases, the interval time between two dosings was not more than 5 min.

**Dosing sequences for isotope exchange experiments at 130 K.** In a typical gas dosing sequence, taking the sequence of first  $H_2$  and then  $D_2$  as an example, the vacuumed Cu<sup>I</sup>-MFU-4*l* sample was firstly dosed with pure  $H_2$  with the saturated adsorption capacity of  $\sim$ 1.08 mmol/g. All of the dosed H<sup>2</sup> molecules were adsorbed and there was almost no residual gas in the DRIFT chamber. The 1st dosing of pure  $D_2$  was then loaded with the amount of ~0.15 mmol/g. After about 5 minutes, the unabsorbed gas was evacuated, and subsequently the 2nd dosing with equivalent  $D_2$  was loaded. The above evacuation and dosing processes were repeated several times.

# **II. Figures and Tables**



**Figure S1. Schematic diagram of homemade gas evacuation and charging apparatus and Harrick chamber: (A) gas inlet/outlet, (B) K-type thermocouples, (C) sample cup, filled with short copper wires at the bottom and samples at the surface, (D) reflection mirrors, (E) transmission windows, (F) calibrated gas manifold.**



**Figure S2. XRD patterns of MFU-4***l* **and CuII-MFU-4***l***-formate**.



**Figure S3. SEM images of MFU-4***l* **and CuII-MFU-4***l***-formate.**



**Figure S4. N<sup>2</sup> hydrogen isotherms of MFU-4***l* **and CuII-MFU-4***l***-formate at 77 K.** It shows

lower adsorption volume of Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate than MFU-4*l*, consistent with larger size of -





**Figure S5. TGA curves for MFU-4***l* **and CuII-MFU-4***l***-formate.** Samples were heated from 25 <sup>o</sup>C to 900 <sup>o</sup>C at a ramp of 5 <sup>o</sup>C /min under Ar flow. In Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate, a weight loss of 6.7 % occurs at the temperature range of 130 °C ~180 °C, indicating that Cu<sup>II</sup>-formate moieties have been



decomposed into Cu<sup>I</sup>.<sup>S2</sup>

**Figure S6. DRIFT spectra of CuII-MFU-4***l***-formate and the decomposed Cu<sup>I</sup> -MFU-4***l* **with the resolution of 4 cm-1 .** The bands at 1549 cm-1 and 1679 cm-1 were assigned to the formate moieties, <sup>S2,S4</sup> which nearly disappeared after heating Cu<sup>II</sup>-MFU-4*l*-formate in vacuum at 180 °C



for 1.5 h.

**Figure S7. Bands for isotopologues adsorbed at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites in Cu<sup>I</sup> -MFU-4***l***.** Data was collected after dosing pure  $H_2$  or  $D_2$ , at the two given temperatures of 130 K and 298 K. Note that the dosing at 130 K was sub-stoichiometric with a dosage of ~40.5 Pa (equivalent to the saturated

kPa. Both adsorption bands were normalized according to the intensity of the corresponding



strongest peaks.

**Figure S8. Integrated areas of adsorption bands for isotopologues as a function of dosing**

#### **pressures at 298 K.**



**Figure S9. Spectra for absorbed isotopologues at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites with the dosing sequence of first H<sup>2</sup> and then D<sup>2</sup> at 298 K.** Note that H<sup>2</sup> was firstly one-time dosed with the dosage of 100 kPa, and then  $D_2$  was stepwisely dosed with each dosage of  $\sim$ 25 kPa.



**Figure S10. Spectra for absorbed isotopologues at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites with the dosing sequence of first**  $D_2$  **and then**  $H_2$  **at 298 K.** Note that  $D_2$  was firstly one-time dosed with the dosage of 100 kPa, and then  $H_2$  was stepwisely dosed with each dosage of  $\sim$ 25 kPa.

**Table S1. Concentrations of Cu and Zn in Cu<sup>I</sup> -MFU-4***l***, determined by ICP-OES**

Elements	Zn	Cп
Mass fraction $(wt\%)$	16.61	12.41
Atomic content (Atomic%)	0.254	0.195

**Table S2. Linear fit for the adsorbed H<sup>2</sup> at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites at 130 K.** The first two data and the fifth

data were reflioved when the finear fitting was done.					
Dose numbers	Cumulative dosage	Adsorbed quantity $(x)$	Integrated area $(y)$		
	Pa	mmol/g	$cm^{-1}$		
	4.25	0.1133	7.775		
2	8.58	0.2288	27.70		
3	12.66	0.3376	59.74		
4	16.78	0.4475	142.5		
	21.20	0.5653	192.2		

data were removed when the linear fitting was done.



**Table S3. Linear fit for the adsorbed D<sup>2</sup> at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites at 130 K.** The first three data were

Dose numbers	Cumulative dosage	Adsorbed quantity $(x)$	Integrated area $(y)$
	Pa	mmol/g	$cm^{-1}$
	4.00	0.1067	10.67
$\overline{2}$	8.42	0.2245	22.45
3	12.76	0.3403	34.03
4	17.26	0.4603	46.03
5	21.86	0.5829	58.29
6	25.78	0.6875	68.75
7	29.74	0.7931	79.31
8	34.44	0.9184	91.84
9	38.23	1.019	101.9
Linearly fitting	$y=1031x-382.3$		$R^2=0.9925$

removed when the linear fitting was done.

**Table S4. Coefficient for the adsorbed D<sup>2</sup> and H<sup>2</sup> at Cu<sup>I</sup> sites at 298 K.** For simplification,

we used the average of the first seven data (the corresponding dosing pressure of isotope gases

Dose numbers	Dosing pressure kPa	Integrated area /H <sub>2</sub> $cm^{-1}$	Integrated area /D <sub>2</sub> $\text{cm}^{-1}$	Ratio of integrated areas of $D_2/H_2$
	5	0.5585	0.8246	1.477
2	25	2.999	3.828	1.276
3	50	4.712	7.097	1.506
$\overline{4}$	75	6.923	9.064	1.309
5	100	9.012	10.77	1.195
6	125	10.65	12.54	1.178
7	150	11.47	13.18	1.149
Average				1.299

increased to 150 kPa) as the quantitative coefficient.

## **III. References**

- S1. D. Denysenko, M. Grzywa, M. Tonigold, B. Streppel, I. Krkljus, M. Hirscher, E. Mugnaioli, U. Kolb, J. Hanss and D. Volkmer, Elucidating Gating Effects for Hydrogen Sorption in MFU-4- Type Triazolate-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks Featuring Different Pore Sizes, *Chem. Eur. J.,* 2011, **17**, 1837-1848.
- S2. D. Denysenko, M. Grzywa, J. Jelic, K. Reuter and D. Volkmer, Scorpionate-Type Coordination in MFU-4*l* Metal-Organic Frameworks: Small-Molecule Binding and Activation upon the Thermally Activated Formation of Open Metal Sites, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 5832- 5836.
- S3. D. Denysenko, J. Jelic, K. Reuter and D. Volkmer, Postsynthetic Metal and Ligand Exchange in MFU-4*l*: A Screening Approach toward Functional Metal-Organic Frameworks Comprising Single-Site Active Centers, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 8188-8199.
- S4. B. R. Barnett, H. A. Evans, G. M. Su, H. Z. H. Jiang, R. Chakraborty, D. Banyeretse, T. J. Hartman, M. B. Martinez, B. A. Trump, J. D. Tarver, M. N. Dods, L. M. Funke, J. Borgel, J. A. Reimer, W. S. Drisdell, K. E. Hurst, T. Gennett, S. A. FitzGerald, C. M. Brown, M. Head-Gordon and J. R. Long, Observation of an Intermediate to  $H_2$  Binding in a Metal-Organic Framework, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 14884-14894.