Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for ChemComm.

Supporting Information

A facial synthesis of a copper (I) thiourea sulphate complex and its application for highly efficient chalcopyrite solar cells

Shuxia Wei, Chengfeng Ma, Xinge Liu, Naiyun Liu, Mingjun Yuan, Kang Xiao, Weibo Yan* and Hao Xin*

State Key Laboratory of Organic Electronics and Information Displays (SKLOEID), Institute of Advanced Materials (IAM), Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications, 9 Wenyuan Road, Nanjing 210023, China.

E-mail: iamwbyan@njupt.edu.cn; iamhxin@njupt.edu.cn

Experimental Methods

Synthesis of copper (I) thiourea sulphate complex

The copper (I) thiourea sulphate complex were prepared as follows: 45.6720g thiourea (TU, 99%, Aladdin) and 29.9475g copper (II) acetate (Cu(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O, 99%, Aladdin) were dissolved in 100 mL 35°C deionized water. The mixed solution was put into a 35°C water bath pan, stirred at 500 rpm for 2-4 hours. With the reaction, the solution became blurred (Fig. S1a). After complete reaction, the solution was filtered while hot to obtain yellowish green transparent filtrate (Fig. S1b) and yellow solid (Fig. S2). The yellow solid was dried for 12 hours in a vacuum oven at 60°C to obtain a pale-yellow powder (21.9130 g). After the filtration cooled down, white elongated crystals precipitated (Fig. S4). The crystalline precipitates were filtered and washed with anhydrous ethanol and deionized water. The product was dried in a 60°C vacuum oven (Fig. S5). Finally, 26.5175g white crystals were obtained. The pale- yellow powder was named S1, and the white crystal was named S2. (The of Cu(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O:TU was molar ratio 1:4. the molar concentration of $Cu(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot H_2O$ was 1.5 mol/L.)

Preparation of the precursor solutions

The solution was prepared in a glove box with H_2O and O_2 levels below 5 ppm. To determine the optimal composition, three solutions with molar ratios of $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O:InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O:TU$ of 1:2:0, 1:2:4 and 1:2:8 were made. The results show that 1:2:4 achieved the best device performance. (Fig. S8 and Table S3). The solution with 1:2:4 ratio was prepared as follow: first, 0.9713g thiourea (TU, 99%, Aladdin, recrystallized) was added into 10 mL DMF (99.8%, Bailingwei) under stirring to form a clear solution. Then, 1.8709g indium trichloride tetrahydrate (InCl₃·4H₂O, 99.99%, Aladdin) and 2.2263g [Cu((NH₂)₂CS)₃]₂SO₄·H₂O were subsequently added to the above solution until completely dissolved. The precursor solution was filtered by a 0.80 µm polytetrafluoroethylene filter to obtain a clear DMF precursor solution (Fig. 2a).

The dimethylformamide (DMF) precursor solution with CuCl as precursor was prepared as follows: first, 2.4283g thiourea (TU, 99%, Aladdin, recrystallized) was added into 10 mL DMF (99.8%, Bailingwei) under stirring to form a clear solution. Then, 1.8709g indium trichloride tetrahydrate

(InCl₃·4H₂O, 99.99%, Aladdin) and 2.2263g CuCl were subsequently added to the above solution until completely dissolved. The precursor solution was filtered by a 0.80 μ m polytetrafluoroethylene filter to obtain a clear DMF precursor solution.

Preparation of CISSe Absorber Films

Mo-coated soda-lime glass substrates were cleaned by sequential sonication in ultrapure water, acetone, and isopropanol, each for 15 min, and then dried under N_2 flow. The precursor solution was spin-coated on the substrates at a speed of 4000 rpm for 60 s. The wet films were immediately annealed on a hot plate at a temperature of 340°C for 90 s. The spin-coating and annealing were repeated for 12 times to reach the desired thickness. Then the films were put into a graphite box with Se tablets (0.35-0.38g) and placed in a tube furnace for selenization. The selenization was performed in a 0.16 Mpa Ar atmosphere and the selenization temperature was set at 630°C and the time was 17 min according to our previous report.^{1,2}

Fabrication of CISSe Solar Cells

After selenization, the absorber films were first etched in 14% (NH₄)₂S solution for 15 min and then 50 nm CdS buffer layer was deposited on the top of the absorber by chemical both deposition (CBD)^{3,4}. CBD was performed by loading the samples into a 65°C water bath beaker containing 22 mL 18.46 mmol/L CdSO₄ aqueous solution (99%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.), 28 mL NH₄OH (25-28%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.) and 150 mL ultrapure water under stirring. After stirred for 1 min, 22 mL 0.75 mol/L aqueous solution of TU (99%, Aladdin, recrystallized) was poured into the bath beaker and the solution was kept stirred for 15 min. A window layer containing 50 nm i-ZnO and 250 nm ITO were deposited on top of CdS by RF sputtering followed by thermal deposition of top contacts of nickel and aluminum (Ni/Al) through a shadow mask. The solar cell area was defined by mechanical scribing for approximately 0.10 cm².

Elemental analysis

The analysis of elemental CHN was performed by Center of Materials Analysis, Nanjing University on the Vario MICRO Elemental Analyzer.

X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction of the complex were performed using a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer at room temperature with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The program SAINT was used for integration of the diffraction profiles and an absorption correction was applied using the method of multi-scans. The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELXS program of SHELXTL packages and refined anisotropically for all non-hydrogen atoms by full-matrix least squares on F² with the SHELXL program. All the hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions by using a riding model.⁵

Film and device characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (2 θ scan) were collected by a Siemens D5005 X-ray powder diffraction system using Cu K α (λ = 1.5406 Å) X-ray as the source. The scan rate was 6 °/min.

The morphology of the films was measured on a Hitachi S4800 scanning electron microscope using 5 kV power. The elemental composition of the precursor film and CISSe absorption film was measured by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). To avoid background signals from the substrate, which can distort the quantification of the elements by matrix effects, the measurement was performed at low acceleration voltages of 5 kV. The current density-voltage (*J-V*) curves of the CISSe solar cells were measured using a Keithley 2400 Source Meter under simulated AM 1.5 sunlight at 100 mW/cm² irradiance generated by an AAA sun simulator (CROWNTECH, Inc.). The intensity of the simulated sunlight was calibrated by an NREL calibrated Si reference cell. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the solar cells were measured on Enlitech QE-R3018 using calibrated Si and Ge diodes (Enli technology Co. Ltd.) as references.

Results and discussion



Fig. S1 Photos of solutions after reaction (a) and filtration (b).



Fig. S2 Photograph of the pale yellow powder S1 obtained from solution in Fig. S1a.



Fig. S3 Photograph of the pH testing paper after dipped into the solution in Fig. S1b.



Fig. S4 Photograph of white crystals precipitated from the solution in Fig. S1b.



Fig. S5 Photograph of the white crystals (S2) obtained from Fig. S4.

In order to understand the composition of S1 and S2, we conducted a powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis on S1 and S2. The results are shown in Fig. S6. The XRD patterns of S1 match perfectly with that of S_8 . For S2, no matched compound was found.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of S1 (a) and S2 (b).

The report of the elemental analysis (CHN) of S2 is shown in Fig. S7. The measured and calculated contents of the C, H, and N elements based on $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4\cdot H_2O$ are summarized in Table S1. From Table S1, it can be seen that the measured contents of elemental C, H, and N are in perfect agreement with that calculated from the molecular formula of $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4$ H₂O, confirming high purity of product S2.



Fig. S7 Report of the measurement and analysis of the CHN content of S2.

Elements in S2	С	Н	Ν	0	S	Cu
Measured mass percentage (%)	10.42	3.54	22.59	/	/	/
Calculated mass percentage (%) from [Cu((NH ₂) ₂ CS) ₃] ₂ SO ₄ ·H ₂ O	10.33	3.73	24.10	11.46	32.10	18.21

Table S1 The measured and calculated CHN contents of S2

Table S2 Crystallographic data for [Cu((NH₂)₂CS)₃] ₂SO₄ H₂O.

Formula	[Cu((NH ₂) ₂ CS) ₃] ₂ SO ₄ H ₂ O				
Formula weight	697.89				
Crystal system	orthorhombic				
Space group	Pbca				
<i>a</i> , Å	19.2009(11)				
b, Å	12.3001(7)				
<i>c</i> , Å	21.4316(13)				
<i>α</i> , °	90				
β , °	90				
γ, °	90				
$V, Å^3$	5061.6(5)				
Z	8				
Т, К	297(2)				
$ ho_{ m calc}, { m g~cm^{-3}}$	1.832				
F(000)	2848				
μ , mm ⁻¹	2.302				
Index ranges	$-23 \le h \le 28, -17 \le k \le 18, -31 \le l \le 29$				
$ heta$ range for data collection, $^{\circ}$	2.691- 31.687				
Measured reflections	8539				
Independent reflections	5826				
Data/restraints/parameters	8539/2/298				
$\operatorname{GOF}(F^2)$	1.006				
B indexes $[I > 2\pi(b)]$	$R_1 = 0.0376$				
K indexes $[I > 20(I)]$	$wR_2 = 0.0656$				
P(all data)	$R_1 = 0.0752$				
K (an data)	$wR_2 = 0.0753$				
$R_{1} = \sum F_{o} - F_{c} / \sum F_{o} ; \ wR_{2} = \left[\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}\right]^{1/2}$					

To determine the optimal molar ratio, DMF precursor solutions with $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4\cdot H_2O:InCl_3\cdot 4H_2O:TU$ of 1:2:0, 1:2:4 and 1:2:8 were prepared using the concentration of Cu⁺=0.638mol/L as a reference. The statistical device parameters and the *J*-*V* curves of the best performing CISSe solar cells are shown in Fig. S8. The device parameters are summarized in Table S3. The results show a composition of 1:2:4 achieves the best device performance.



Fig. S8 (a) The statistical device parameters (a) and the J-V curves (b) of the solar cells fabricated from solutions with $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O:InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O:TU$ ratios of 1:2:0, 1:2:4 and 1:2:8.

Table S3 Summary of the device parameters of the best performing solar cells fabricated from solutions with $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O:InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O:TU$ ratios of 1:2:0, 1:2:4 and 1:2:8..

Samples	V _{OC} (mV)	J_{SC} (mA/cm ²)	FF (%)	η (%)
1:2:0	393.4	31.30	52.15	6.42
1:2:4	450.1	38.90	66.35	11.62
1:2:8	415.4	34.23	59.28	8.43



Fig. S9 (a) Extraction of the idealilty factor (n) of the solar cell in Fig.2c. (b) Extraction of Urbach energy (E_U) from the EQE in Fig.2d. (c) Plots of the open-circuit voltage versus temperature (V_{OC} -T) and linear fit of activation energy (E_a) of the device in Fig.2c.

Tuble 5 T Device parameters of the enampion solar cen.												
Voc	\mathbf{J}_{sc}	FF	PCE	EQE	IQE	n	\mathbf{J}_0	Eg	Ea	E_u	Rs	\mathbf{R}_{sh}
(V)	(mA/cm ²)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)		(mA/cm ²)	(eV)	(eV)	(meV)	(Ω/cm^2)	(Ω/cm^2)
0.454	39.19	68.33	12.16	12.09	13.21	1.38	1.156×10-4	1.032	1.030	17.25	14.31	12620

Table S4 Device parameters of the champion solar cell

To compare the new complex to that without TU complexing, CISSe solar cells using CuCl as the copper source were fabricated in parallel to that of $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4$ ·H₂O. The results are shown below in Figure S10 and Table S5. Device that uses CuCl as the copper source is marked as "CuCl" (black curves) and that use $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4$ ·H₂O as the copper source is marked as "[Cu((NH₂)₂CS)₃]_2SO₄·H₂O" (red curves).



Figure S10 The J-V curves (a), EQE spectra (b), and the XRD patterns (c) of the CISSe devices (absorbers) respectively using CuCl (black curves) and $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ " (red curves) as Cu precursors.

Cu precursor	V _{OC} (mV)	J_{SC} (mV/cm ²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)				
CuCl	477.1	36.45 (34.37)	68.95	11.99 (11.31)				
[Cu((NH ₂) ₂ CS) ₃] ₂ SO ₄ H ₂ O	454.0	39.19 (38.96)	68.33	12.16 (12.09)				

Table S5 The device parameters of CISSe solar cells using CuCl and $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ as Cu precursor.

In parentheses are the integrated J_{SC} from the EQE and PCE based on the integrated J_{SC} .

From the J-V curves (Fig. S10a) and EQE spectra (Fig. S10b), the device using $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ as copper source has higher J_{SC} with better EQE response in almost the whole absorption range of 500-1150 nm, comparable FF, and lower V_{OC}. The high J_{SC} indicates better absorber quality and less charge carrier recombination. The XRD patterns (Fig. S10c) demonstrate the CISSe absorber film using the new complex $[Cu((NH_2)_2CS)_3]_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O$ as precursor has higher crystallinity, consistent with SEM image (Fig. 3c). The lower V_{OC} maybe due to unoptimized composition and selenization condition for this new complex system, which needs further investigation.

Table S6 Chemical composition of the precursor film and CISSe film.

Samples	Cu (Atomic %)	In (Atomic %)	S (Atomic %)	Se (Atomic %)	Cu/In
Precursor film	27.61	26.20	46.19	/	1.05
CISSe film	28.67	23.94	4.43	42.95	1.20

The elemental composition of the precursor film and CISSe film was determined by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis (Table S6). The Cu/In ratio is 1.00 in the precursor solution, similar to the precursor film (1.05). But the Cu/In ratio increased to 1.20 after selenization, much higher than 1.05, indicating a large loss of In during selenization. The results suggest that the precursor solution experiences minimal In loss during spin coating and thermal annealing. This may be attributed to the fact that [Cu((NH₂)₂CS)₃]₂SO₄·H₂O is coordinated with TU, which aids In complexation with TU and enhances the stability of the precursor solution. However, high-temperature selenization causes excessive In loss, resulting in a Cu-rich film. This highlights the importance of regulating In loss during selenization to control the CISSe/CIGSe composition and achieve highly performing CISSe/CIGSe thin film solar cells. We will investigate how to reduce the In loss in the subsequent study.

Reference

- 1. J. Jiang, S. Yu, Y. Gong, W. Yan, R. Zhang, S. Liu, W. Huang and H. Xin, Solar RRL, 2018, 2, 1800044.
- 2. S. Wu, J. Jiang, S. Yu, Y. Gong, W. Yan, H. Xin and W. Huang, Nano Energy, 2019, 62, 818-822.
- 3. H. Khallaf, I. O. Oladeji, G. Chai and L. Chow, *Thin Solid Films*, 2008, **516**, 7306-7312.
- 4. G. Brammertz, M. Buffière, Y. Mevel, Y. Ren, A. E. Zaghi, N. Lenaers, Y. Mols, C. Koeble, J. Vleugels, M. Meuris and J. Poortmans, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2013, **102**, 013902.
- 5. Y.-R. Su, K. Xiao, J.-W. Liu, F.-F. Ju, C.-Y. Qin, L.-Z. Wang, S. Wang and Y.-H. Li, *Journal of Chemical Research*, 2018, **42**, 77-79.