Electronic Supplementary Information for

# Nitrogen intercalated Pd metallene nanoribbons with optimized electronic structure for oxygen reduction catalysis

*Hongjing Wang, Yunju Li, Songliang Liu\*, Hongjie Yu, Kai Deng, Ziqiang Wang, You Xu and Liang Wang\**

State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green-Chemical Synthesis Technology, College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China.

# **Corresponding authors**

\*E-mails: songliangliu@zjut.edu.cn, wangliang@zjut.edu.cn

# **Experimental Section**

#### **Chemicals and Materials**

Sodium tetrachloropalladate  $(Na_2PdCl_4)$ , ethylenediamine (EN), L-ascorbic acid (AA), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP,  $M_W = 40000$ ), molybdenum hexacarbonyl (Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>), diiron nonacarbonyl (Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>), dicobalt octacarbonyl (Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>), tungsten hexacarbonyl (W(CO)<sub>6</sub>), urea, Nafion (5 wt%) and PVP ( $M_W = 58000$ ) were supplied by Aladdin. Pt/C (20 wt%) was provided by Alfa Aesar.

## **Synthesis of Pd MNRs**

The synthesis of Pd MNRs was based on a previous report with minor modification.<sup>1</sup> First,  $Mo(CO)_{6}$  (50 mg), AA (80 mg) and PVP (600 mg,  $M_{W}$  = 40000) were dissolved in 2 mL EN by sonication. Subsequently,  $0.5$  mL Na<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M) was dripped in the gained solution and the mixture was held for 8 h at 120 °C in an oil bath. Eventually, Pd MNRs were centrifuged with water, ethanol and acetone.

#### **Synthesis of N-Pd MNRs**

The above Pd MNRs (2 mg) were added into a water (6 mL) containing urea (60 mg) and PVP (85 mg,  $M_W$  = 58000). The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 20 min and then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave (25 mL). The above mixture was heated for 1 h at 180 °C. At last, the resulting sample was purified by alternating centrifugal washing with water, ethanol and acetone.

## **Materials Characterization**

The microstructure, composition, electronic structure, and crystal information of catalyst were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL-2100F) equipped with energy

dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) system, atomic force microscopy (AFM, Bruker Dimension ICON), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB 250XI), and X-ray diffraction spectrometer (XRD, PANalytical Empyrean). Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analysis was performed on Agilent ICPOES730.

## **Electrocatalytic Experiments**

During the electrochemical measurements, all potentials were referred to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). The ORR properties were tested on CHI 852D electrochemical analyzer with a three-electrode system consisting of counter electrode (Pt wire), working electrode (rotating disk electrode (RDE, a diameter of 3 mm) with catalyst coating) and reference electrode (Ag/AgCl electrode (KCl saturated)). To prepare catalyst ink, different samples (2 mg) were dispersed in 200 μL of ethanol, 750 μL of H<sub>2</sub>O and 50 μL of Nafion solution (0.5 wt %) under ultrasonication. The rotating disk electrode was covered with 2.0 μL catalyst ink. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was recorded with a rotating speed of 1600 rpm at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in an O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution.

The electron transfer number (n) could be estimated from the Koutecky-Levich equation as follows:

$$
j^{-1} = j_{k}^{-1} + j_{d}^{-1}
$$

$$
j_{d} = 0.2nFD^{2/3}v^{-1/6}\omega^{1/2}C_{O2}
$$

In which *j*d, *j*<sup>k</sup> and *j* stand for limiting diffusion current density, kinetic current density and measured current density, respectively, *F* is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), *v* is the electrolyte viscosity (1.13 × 10<sup>-2</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), *D* is O<sub>2</sub> diffusion coefficient (1.93 × 10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_{O2}$  is dissolved O<sub>2</sub> concentration in 0.1 M KOH (1.2  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\omega$  is the rotation speed of electrode (rpm).

Electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) value could be calculated from cyclic voltammetry (CV) curve that was recorded with a sweep speed of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.1 M HClO<sub>4</sub> based on following equation:

$$
ECSA = Q / m \times 420
$$

Where *Q* is the reduced charge gained by integrating the Pd oxide layer, 420 μC cm−2 is the reduced charge of the oxide monolayer on the Pd surface, and *m* is the Pd loading on the electrode surface. The mass activity (MA) and specific activity (SA) in this article are calculated at a potential of 0.9 V. The calculation formulas of MA and SA are as follows:

$$
MA = (0.07 \text{ cm}^2 \times j_k) / m_{\text{metal}}
$$
  

$$
SA = (0.07 \text{ cm}^2 \times j_k) / (\text{ECSA} \times m_{\text{metal}})
$$

Where  $j_k$  is the kinetic current density at 0.9 V, 0.07 cm<sup>2</sup> is the surface area of the electrode, m is the metal mass loaded on the glassy carbon electrode.



Fig. S1 TEM images of the samples prepared by replacing  $Mo(CO)_{6}$  with (a)  $Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)_{9}$ , (b)  $Co_2(CO)_8$  and (c)  $W(CO)_6$ , respectively, under the same synthetic conditions.



**Fig. S2** (a) HAADF-STEM and (b) TEM images of Pd MNRs. (c) HAADF-STEM image and relevant EDX mapping images of Pd MNRs.



**Fig. S3** EDX spectrum of Pd MNRs.



**Fig. S4** (a) TEM image of N-Pd MNRs and (b) corresponding SAED pattern.



**Fig. S5** EDX spectrum of N-Pd MNRs.



**Fig. S6** (a) CV curves in 0.1 M HClO<sup>4</sup> solution and the corresponding ECSAs (b) of different catalysts.



**Fig. S7** (a) ORR polarization curves at different rotating speeds, and (b) electron transfer numbers at different potentials of Pd MNRs.



**Fig. S8** (a) ORR polarization curves at different rotating speeds, and (b) electron transfer numbers at different potentials of Pt/C.



Fig. S9 Chronoamperometric curves in an O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte with 3 M CH<sub>3</sub>OH added at around 600 s.

Catalyst	<b>SA</b> $(mA cm-2)$	MA $(mA \mu g^{-1}$ <sub>metal</sub> $)$	Ref.
<b>N-Pd MNRs</b>	1.29	0.68	This work
Pd <sub>6</sub> Ni icosahedra	0.66	0.22	$\overline{2}$
Pd <sub>3</sub> Pb UPINs/C	1.18	0.59	3
Fe-Pd UPM	0.225	0.736	$\overline{4}$
$Pd_9Pt_1Ni_1$ NSs	1.22	0.29	5
Pd <sub>3</sub> Pb nanoplates	$\sqrt{2}$	0.78	6
Pd@PdFe NPs	1.56	0.31	$\overline{7}$
Au-NWs@Pd@PEI	$\sqrt{2}$	0.29	8
$Pt_2Pd_1$	1.14	0.44	9
Pd <sub>3</sub> Pb/Pd NSs/C	1.31	0.57	10
PtPdSP mNCs	1.10	0.56	11

**Table S1** The comparisons of the ORR performance of N-Pd MNRs with some recently reported Pd-based catalysts.

# **References**

- 1 B. Ni, Q. Zhang, C. Ouyang, S. Zhang, B. Yu, J. Zhuang, L. Gu and X. Wang, *CCS Chem.*, 2020, **2**, 642-654.
- 2 Y. Feng, Q. Shao, Y. Ji, X. Cui, Y. Li, X. Zhu and X. Huang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2018, **4**, eaap8817.
- 3 J. Guo, L. Gao, X. Tan, Y. Yuan, J. Kim, Y. Wang, H. Wang, Y.-J. Zeng, S.-I. Choi, S. C. Smith and H. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 10942-10949.
- 4 S. Huang, S. Lu, S. Gong, Q. Zhang, F. Duan, H. Zhu, H. Gu, W. Dong and M. Du, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 522-532.
- 5 L. Shi, Q. Wang, Q. Ren, Q. Yang, D. Zhao, Y. Feng, H. Chen and Y. Wang, *Small*, 2022, **18**, e2103665.
- 6 K. Wang, Y. Qin, F. Lv, M. Li, Q. Liu, F. Lin, J. Feng, C. Yang, P. Gao and S. Guo, *Small Methods*, 2018, **2**, 1700331.
- 7 X. Li, X. Li, C. Liu, H. Huang, P. Gao, F. Ahmad, L. Luo, Y. Ye, Z. Geng, G. Wang, R. Si, C. Ma, J. Yang and J. Zeng, *Nano Lett.*, 2020, **20**, 1403-1409.
- 8 Q. Xue, J. Bai, C. Han, P. Chen, J.-X. Jiang and Y. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 11287- 11295.
- 9 X. Lyu, W.-N. Zhang, G. Li, B.-W. Shi, Y.-N. Zhang, H. Chen, S.-C. Li and X. Wang, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 8586-8591.
- 10 C. Tang, N. Zhang, Y. Ji, Q. Shao, Y. Li, X. Xiao and X. Huang, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 1336-1342.
- 11 S. Yin, Y. Xu, S. Liu, H. Yu, Z. Wang, X. Li, L. Wang and H. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 14863-14869.