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## 1. Experimental detail

## Materials and methods

All experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon or nitrogen using glovebox and Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purified, dried and degassed prior to use. $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ was used as obtained from commercial suppliers. [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{B} 16} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ was prepared according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{[1]}$ The NMR spectra in solution were measured on Bruker Avance 300, 400 or 600 spectrometers. The MAS NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance 300 spectrometer by using a double resonance 2.5 mm MAS probe ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}: 121.495 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). All spectra were acquired at MAS rotation frequencies of up to 30 kHz with a $90^{\circ}$ pulse length of about $2.3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and relaxation delays of 120 s ( ${ }^{31}$ P). ESI-MS spectra were measured on a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSG 7000 mass spectrometer. The elemental analysis was determined on a Vario EL III apparatus or by external service (all elements) ‘Lehrbereich Anorganische Chemie, TU Munich, Mikroanalytisches Labor, Tel.: +49-89-28913128'.

## General procedure for the synthesis of compound $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{816} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right\}_{6}(\mathrm{CuBr})_{32}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{6}\right](2)$.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}(1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $5 \mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{CN}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BII}} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right](250 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.267 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is added and stirred for 30 min resulting in a red-brown solution. After the solvent is removed in vacuum, the residue is triturated with $10 \mathrm{mLCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through cannula into a thin Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture is layered with 20 mL of toluene. After complete diffusion, well-shaped dark brown crystals of the product are obtained.

## Analytical data.

$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{CuBr})_{32}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{6}\right]\right.$ : Yield: $285 \mathrm{mg}(61 \%)$.

## Elemental analysis (all elements):

Calculated (\%) for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{342} \mathrm{H}_{408} \mathrm{Br}_{32} \mathrm{Cu}_{32} \mathrm{Fe}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{30}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10967.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}) \mathrm{C}, 39.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.93 ; \mathrm{Br}, 24.45$; Cu, 19.44; Fe, 3.20; N, 0.80; P, 8.89; found: C, 36.18; H, 3.58; Br, 28.34; Cu, 19.1; Fe, 4.13; N, 0.77; P, 8.19.

## Positive ion ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ):

$m / z(\%)=2079.7\left(30 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{B 16} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+}\right), 1936.8\left(100 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{B 16} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}\right]^{+}\right), 1431.0(10 \%$, $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]^{+}\right), 1328.0\left(12 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{+}\right]^{+}\right.$), $1287.3\left(18 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$, $1143.3\left(40 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{Bl6}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+}\right), 1040.5\left(70 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CuCH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{+}\right]^{+}\right.$, $999.5\left(10 \%,\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BIG}} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right]^{+}\right.$, $\left.726.4\left(7 \%,\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{BII}}\right]^{+}\right), 432.4\left(20 \%,\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.1$ (pseudo-t, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{pp}} \approx 560 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 16.4 (pseudo-t, ${ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{Jpp}} \approx 520 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 25.1 (pseudo-t, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Pp}} \approx 550 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 37.0 (pseudo-t, ${ }^{1}{ }_{\text {Jpp }} \approx 550 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ P), 59.0 (pseudo-t, ${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {pp }} \approx 555 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ).

## 2. NMR spectra



FigureS1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 298 K .


Figure S2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 298 K .


Figure S3. Zoomed section of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 298 K .


Figure S4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ MAS NMR spectrum of 2 at 298 K .

## 2) Crystallographic Details:

The compound $\mathbf{2}$ crystallizes in the trigonal crystal system as dark brown prisms. Crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ were taken from a Schlenk flask under a stream of argon and immediately covered with perfluorinated Fomblin ${ }^{\circledR}$ mineral oil. Despite this precaution, the crystals lose solvent and are prone to quick amorphization out of mother solution. The quickly chosen single crystals covered by a droplet of the oil were taken to the pre-centered goniometer head with CryoMount ${ }^{\circledR}$ and directly placed on a diffractometer in a cold nitrogen flow ( 123 K ).

The data collection was performed on an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer equipped with CuKa micro-focus source and Atlas detector. The data reduction was performed with CrysAlisPro software. ${ }^{[2]}$ An analytical absorption correction based on crystal faces was applied. ${ }^{[3]}$ The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using the program SIR- $97{ }^{[4]}$ and refined with the least square method on $\left|F^{2}\right|$ employing SHELXTL ${ }^{[5]}$ in anisotropic approximation for non-H atoms that occupy their positions with site occupancy factors of more than 0.5 . Hydrogen atoms were located in idealized positions and refined isotropically according to the riding model.

Supplementary Information for more detail. Deposition Number CCDC-2245833 (2) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe.
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Figure S5. ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of the compound 2: a) asymmetric part of the supramolecule. Solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; b) asymmetric part of the inorganic core. Some copper positions are partly occupied and/or disordered over close positions.

Table 1. Crystallographic details for $2\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{342} \mathrm{H}_{408} \mathrm{Br}_{32} \mathrm{Cu}_{32} \mathrm{Fe}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{30} \cdot 2.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ |
| CCDC-Refcode | CCDC-2245833 |
| $M_{r}$ | 10661.14 |
| Crystal system, space group | Trigonal, R3:H |
| Temperature (K) | 123 |
| a, c (Å) | 30.0348(4), 38.1116(9) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 29774.0(11) |
| $Z$ | 3 |
| F(000) | 15770 |
| $D_{x}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.784 |
| Radiation type | Cu K $\alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 9.06 |
| Crystal shape and colour | Dark brown prism |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.11 \times 0.07 \times 0.07$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | SuperNova, Single source at offset, Atlas |
| Absorption correction | Analytical |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.521, 0.648 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [I>2s(I)] reflections | 71417, 11894, 10124 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.036 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.599 |
| Range of $h, k$, l | $h=-35 \rightarrow 29, k=-35 \rightarrow 32, I=-45 \rightarrow 45$ |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.049, 0.158, 1.07 |
| No. of reflections | 11894 |
| No. of parameters | 900 |
| No. of restraints | 124 |
| H -atom treatment | H -atom parameters constrained |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.35, -0.85 |

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO, Rigaku, Version 1.171.36.28 (release 01-02-2013
CrysAlis171 .NET), SIR97 (Altomare, 1999), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018).

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters for $\mathbf{2}\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| Cu1C-Br6 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.4809 (12) | Cu5-Br5 | 2.386 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu1C-Br6ii | 2.4809 (12) | Cu5-Br4 | 2.407 (3) |
| Cu1C-Br6 | 2.4809 (12) | Cu5-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.818 (4) |
| Cu1C-Br5iii | 2.905 (6) | Cu4B-P5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.200 (8) |
| Cu1-P1 | 2.2105 (18) | Cu4B-Br4 | 2.315 (8) |
| Cu1-Br3 | 2.3675 (12) | Cu4B-Br2 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.437 (8) |
| Cu1-Br1 | 2.3992 (11) | Cu5B-P4 | 2.227 (2) |
| Cu2-N1 | 1.991 (7) | Cu5B-Br4 | 2.334 (2) |
| Cu2-P2 | 2.1996 (17) | Cu5B-Br5 | 2.529 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{Br} 3{ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.3923 (12) | Cu6-P4 | 2.280 (2) |
| Cu2-Br2 | 2.6011 (12) | Cu6-Br6 ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | 2.397 (2) |
| Cu3-P3 | 2.2137 (19) | Cu6-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.490 (2) |
| Cu3-Br1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.3714 (12) | Cu6-P5 | 2.551 (3) |
| Cu3-Br2 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.4166 (11) | Cu6-Br6 | 2.595 (2) |
| Cu4-P5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.210 (6) | P1-P2 | 2.105 (2) |
| Cu4-Br2 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.411 (6) | P1-P5 | 2.109 (2) |
| Cu4-Br4 | 2.440 (5) | P2-P3 | 2.102 (2) |
| Cu4-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.679 (3) | P3-P4 | 2.107 (2) |
| Cu5-P4 | 2.208 (3) | P4-P5 | 2.124 (2) |
| Br6i-Cu1C-Br6ii | 117.70 (7) | $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {v }}-\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 113.14 (4) |
| Br6i-Cu1C-Br6 | 117.70 (7) | $\mathrm{Cu} 4 \mathrm{~B}^{\text {v }}-\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 120.01 (18) |
| Br6iilCu1C-Br6 | 117.70 (7) | Cu1-Br3-Cu2 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 100.91 (4) |
| Br6i-Cu1C-Br5iii | 98.81 (13) | Cu4B-Br4-Cu5B | 100.72 (15) |
| Br6iilCu1C-Br5iii | 98.82 (13) | Cu6 ${ }^{\text {iv- }}$ - ${ }^{\text {r }} 6$-Cu6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 118.89 (9) |
| Br6-Cu1C-Br5iii | 98.81 (13) | Cu6iv-Br6-Cu6 | 87.93 (7) |
| Br3-Cu1-Br1 | 111.90 (4) | Cu1C-Br6-Cu6 | 98.32 (14) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 3{ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | 102.31 (4) | Cu6 ${ }^{\text {- Br6-Cu6 }}$ | 86.00 (6) |
| Br1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cu} 3-\mathrm{Br} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 107.85 (4) | Cu6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ - $\mathrm{Br} 6-\mathrm{Cu4}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 90.52 (13) |
| Br2 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$-Cu4-Br4 | 103.4 (2) | Cu1C-Br6-Cu4 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 119.31 (17) |
| $\mathrm{Br}{ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cu} 4-\mathrm{Br} 6^{\text {iv }}$ | 102.80 (15) | Cu6 - Br6-Cu4 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 131.83 (13) |
| Br4-Cu4-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 116.18 (19) | Cu6-Br6-Cu4 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 135.65 (13) |
| Br5-Cu5-Br4 | 107.35 (12) | Cu6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Br} 6-\mathrm{Cu5}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 104.75 (8) |
| Br5-Cu5-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 103.62 (14) | Cu6-Br6-Cu5 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 159.96 (8) |
| Br4-Cu5-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 112.38 (14) | Cu5-Br5-Cu5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 113.56 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 4-\mathrm{Cu} 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Br} 2^{\text {iv }}$ | 106.4 (3) | Cu5-Br5-Cu5i | 113.56 (8) |
| Br4-Cu5B-Br5 | 105.08 (8) | Cu5 - Br5-Cu5i | 113.56 (8) |
| Br6 ${ }^{\text {v }}$-Cu6-Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 120.64 (9) | Cu5-Br5-Cu5B ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 109.46 (10) |
|  | 94.11 (7) | Cu5iil ${ }^{\text {iir5 }}$-Cu5B ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 128.77 (9) |
| Br6 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$-Cu6-Br6 | 91.96 (7) | Cu5B-Br5-Cu5B ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 119.974 (4) |
| Cu4 ${ }^{\text {- }} \mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {v }}$ | 108.78 (11) | Cu5-Br5-Cu5Bii | 128.77 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {v }}-\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 4 \mathrm{~B}^{\vee}$ | 117.31 (16) | Cu5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - Br5-Cu5B ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 109.46 (10) |
| Cu4 ${ }^{\text {- }} \mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | 121.06 (13) |  |  |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-y+1, x-y+1, z$; (ii) $-x+y,-x+1, z$; (iii) $-x+2 / 3,-y+4 / 3,-z+4 / 3$; (iv) $x-y+2 / 3$, $x+1 / 3,-z+4 / 3 ;$ (v) $y-1 / 3,-x+y+1 / 3,-z+4 / 3$.

Table 3. Site occupancy factors for non-carbon atoms in the core of $\mathbf{2}$

| Atom | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}$ | s.o.f. of crystallogr. <br> position | s.o.f. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1C | 0.333333 | 0.666667 | $0.71224(15)$ | $0.0753(13)$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ |
| Cu1 | $0.57320(4)$ | $0.93346(4)$ | $0.65195(3)$ | $0.0391(2)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Cu2 | $0.44634(4)$ | $0.96218(4)$ | $0.68642(3)$ | $0.0373(2)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Cu3 | $0.30009(4)$ | $0.86324(4)$ | $0.60626(3)$ | $0.0424(2)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Cu4 | $0.22562(17)$ | $0.7167(2)$ | $0.58169(12)$ | $0.0268(8)$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ |
| Cu4B | $0.2115(3)$ | $0.7122(3)$ | $0.57308(17)$ | $0.0287(12)$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ |
| Cu5 | $0.32359(13)$ | $0.73808(12)$ | $0.56106(10)$ | $0.0339(7)$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ |
| Cu5B | $0.33975(9)$ | $0.75388(9)$ | $0.54379(7)$ | $0.0325(5)$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ |
| Cu6 | $0.38454(8)$ | $0.75994(7)$ | $0.63420(5)$ | $0.0393(4)$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ |
| Br1 | $0.59870(3)$ | $0.97641(2)$ | $0.70758(2)$ | $0.03487(16)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Br2 | $0.45541(2)$ | $0.90628(2)$ | $0.73491(2)$ | $0.03164(16)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Br3 | $0.64133(3)$ | $0.92888(3)$ | $0.62422(2)$ | $0.03532(16)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Br4 | $0.26052(2)$ | $0.73862(2)$ | $0.52238(2)$ | $0.03344(16)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Br6 | $0.38327(3)$ | $0.76086(3)$ | $0.70227(2)$ | $0.04093(18)$ | 1 | 1 |
| Br5 | 0.333333 | 0.666667 | $0.54487(3)$ | $0.0365(3)$ | $1 / 3$ | 1 |



Figure S6. Disordering of the inorganic core in the molecule of the compound 2. Dark blue balls show the second position of the disordered copper atoms.

Partly occupied $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ positions and symmetry-imposed restrictions lead to the following interpretation of the crystallographic disorder: the molecular structure can be presented as two isomers ( $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ ) overlapping in the same crystallographic position. It is disordered over total six positions each arising from inversion and $120^{\circ}$-rotation.


Isomer B



Figure S7. Side-by-side comparison of the isomeric forms $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ co-crystallizing in $\mathbf{2}$ in a ratio 1:1.
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Figure S8. Coordination modes of pentaphosphaferrocene 1d in 2: a) penta-, b) tetra-, c)

## 1,2,3-tri-coordination.

The outer shell of the molecule slightly resembles two fused fullerene-like fragments in which the pentagonal rings are represented by three cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligands and tentative six-membered rings are represented by folded $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}\right\}(\mathrm{Br})$ rings (Figure S9a). A similar fragment was observed in the other 1d-based supramolecule 3 possessing a $\mathrm{C}_{140}$-fullerene topology (Figure $\mathrm{S9} \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ ). However, the shorter $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ distances in the cycles $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}\right\}(\mathrm{Br})$ of a fullerene-like supramolecule indicated the existence of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ dimers and allowed to consider the $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{Br}\right\}(\mathrm{Br})$ rings as six-membered ones $(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Br}-$ $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{P}$ ) with a Br bridge. As the corresponding $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ distances are longer in $\mathbf{2}$, the fullerene topology is, strictly speaking, not fulfilled for 2.
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Figure S9. Side-by-side comparison of the b) fullerene-like fragments a) in $\mathbf{2}$ and b) in l-C $\mathrm{C}_{140}$ inorganic fullerene $\mathbf{3}$ based on 1d. ${ }^{[6]}$


Figure S10. Bond lengths in the central adamantane-like fragment of the inorganic core. In forms A and $B$ co-crystallizing in $\mathbf{2}$ it is similar.


Figure S11. Supramolecule 2, a) top and b) side view in van der Waals spheres. Isomeric forms are indistinguishable from the outer shape and can therefore co-crystallize in the solid state.
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