Electronic Supplementary Information

Hydrogenation of levulinic acid to γ -valerolactone over hydrophobic Ru@HCPs catalysts

Xinbin Gong,^{a,b} Xiao Feng,^{b,c} Jieqi Cao,^{b,c} Yinwei Wang,^{b,c} Xiaoxia Zheng,^{a,b} Weiqiang Yu,^b Xinhong Wang^{*a} and Song Shi^{*b}

^a School of Textile and Material Engineering, Dalian Polytechnic University, Dalian 116034, China. E-mail: qywxh@163.com

^b State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023, China. E-mail: shisong@dicp.ac.cn

^c University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

Table of Contents

Experiment section	;
Fig. S1 N ₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of different HCPs7	1
Fig. S2 Thermal stability of different supports. TGA curves of different HCPs	;;
Fig. S3 H ₂ -TPR profiles of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst9)
Fig. S4 XPS survey of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst10)
Fig. S5 Ru 3p XPS spectra of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst 11	L
Fig. S6 XPS spectra of the N 1s region for TPB-HCP s and Ru@TPB-HCPs 12)
Fig. S7 TEM images of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst13	;
Fig. S8 TEM images of the Ru@EB-HCPs catalyst 14	┝
Fig. S9 TEM images of the Ru@MB-HCPs catalyst 15	j
Fig. S10 TEM images of the Ru@DPM-HCPs catalyst16	5
Fig. S11 TEM images of the Ru@PA-HCPs catalyst 17	1
Fig. S12 TEM images of the Ru@PH-HCPs catalyst	;
Fig. S13 XRD spectra of different Ru@HCPs catalysts)
Fig. S14 N ₂ adsorption/desorption isotherm of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst20)
Fig. S15 Water adsorption tests of different HCPs	L
Fig. S16 Catalytic activity of different wettability catalysts	2
Fig. S17 Water contact angle images of different HCPs23	;
Fig. S18 Control experiments	┟
Fig. S19 Conversion of LA to GVL at different reaction temperatures	j
Fig. S20 Conversion of LA to GVL at different reaction time	5
Fig. S21 Conversion of LA to GVL at different H ₂ pressure27	1
Fig. S22 Stability tests of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst	;
Fig. S23 TEM images of the used Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst)
Table S1. Composition and Porosity of different HCPs)
Table S2. Comparison of Catalytic activity of different catalysts	

Experiment section

Materials

Levulinic acid, γ -valerolactone, and alpha-Angelica lactone were purchased from Merver (Shanghai) Chemical Technology Co., Ltd. 4-hydroxyvaleric Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. acid was supplied by Shanghai Phenylphosphonic acide, 1, 3, 5-triphenylbenzene, phenylethyl alcohol, and diphenyl sulfoxide were purchased from TCI (Shanghai) Development Co., Ltd. Chlorobenzene, anhydrous FeCl₃, 1, 2-dichloroethane, and ethylbenzene were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Ruthenium trichloride were obtained from CNMC Shenyang Research Institute of Nonferrous Metals Co., Ltd. Phenol and diphenylmethane was purchased from Innochem (Beijing) Co., Ltd. Methylbenzene was purchased from Tianjin Kermel Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Triphenylamine and dimethoxymethane was supplied by Energy Chemical. Tetrahydrofuran and methanol were purchased from Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Factory. Deionized water used in all experiments was obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore).

Synthesis of TPB-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene (TPB, 0.00583 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1, 2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of EB-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and ethylbenzene (EB, 0.0175 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1, 2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of MB-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and methylbenzene (MB, 0.0175 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1, 2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of DPM-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and diphenylmethane (DPM, 0.007 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1, 2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of PA-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and phenylethyl alcohol (PA, 0.0175 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of PH-HCPs

Triphenylamine (TPA, 0.0025 mol) and phenol (PH, 0.0175 mol) were mixed with dimethoxymethane cross-linker (FDA, 0.06 mol) and dissolved in 1, 2-dichloroethane (DCE, 20 mL). Then, anhydrous $FeCl_3$ (0.06 mol) was added rapidly under stirring. The mixture was heated at 45 °C for 5 h to form a network and then heated at 80 °C for 19 h to complete the condensation

reaction and to produce a hierarchical porosity polymer. After washing the resulting solid product with methanol several times, until the filtrate liquor was nearly colorless. The product was Soxhlet extracted in methanol for 24 h followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of Ru@HCPs

Ru@HCPs were synthesized by the impregnation method: 50 ml of methanol and 500 mg of the HCPs support were added to a 100 ml flask and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Then a certain amount of RuCl₃ methanol solution was added to the flask and stirred for 24 h. Finally, the solution was spun dry. The synthesized catalysts need to be placed in a quartz tube and reduced at 300° C for 2 h under continuous pure H₂ flow.

Characterization Methods

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a Thermofisher ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer using AlKa radiation. The binding energies were calibrated using the C 1s level (284.8 eV) as the internal standard reference. Empyrean-100 powder diffraction system with Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm) was employed to record X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the obtained materials between 5° and 80° (40 kV, mA). The Ru leaching in the sample was detected by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) on a PerkinElmer ICP-OES 7300DV. N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were determined at 77 K on a Quantachrome Instrument, and the obtained materials were degassed under 300 °C before determination. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were measured in KBr media on a Bruker Tensor 27. The morphologies of the materials were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on the JEM-2100F electron microscope. Solid-state NMR spectra (CP/MAS ¹³C-NMR) were obtained on a WB 400 MHz Bruker Avance II spectrometer. HR-TEM images and EDS Mapping were obtained on the FEI Talos F200X G2 and superX-G2. Water adsorption/desorption isotherms were collected at 298K on the BELSORP-maxll. Temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) was measured on a Micromeritics AutoChem II apparatus.

Hydrogenation procedures and product analysis

The hydrogenation of LA was performed in a 60 mL autoclave. Typically, 10 mL of water as the solvent, 2.5 mmol of LA, and 15 mg of catalyst were added into the autoclave. The reactor was closed tightly, which was then flushed with hydrogen to remove air, and the H_2 pressure was regulated to

1.0 MPa before the reaction. The autoclave then was heated up to the reaction temperature under stirring at 500 rpm. After the reaction finished, the reactor was cooled to room temperature. Chlorobenzene was added as an internal standard. The final liquid products were identified by Agilent 7890 N GC/5973 MS detector and quantitated by Agilent 7890 A GC equipped with a CP-Volamine ($30.0 \text{ m} \times 0.320 \mu \text{m}$) and flame ionization detector.



Fig. S1 (a) N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution of different HCPs.



Fig. S2 Thermal stability of different supports. TGA curves of TPB-HCPs, EB-HCPs, MB-HCPs, DPM-HCPs, PA-HCPs, and PH-HCPs.



Fig. S3 H₂-TPR profiles of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S4 XPS survey of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S5 Ru 3p XPS spectra of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S6 XPS spectra of the N 1s region for TPB-HCPs and Ru@TPB-HCPs.



Fig. S7 TEM images the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S8 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the Ru@EB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S9 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the Ru@MB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S10 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the Ru@DPM-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S11 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the Ru@PA-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S12 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the Ru@PH-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S13 XRD spectra of different Ru@HCPs catalysts.



Fig. S14 (a) N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.



Fig. S15 Water adsorption tests of different HCPs supports.



Fig. S16 Catalytic activity of different wettability catalysts. The wettability of HCPs is represented by the monomer's Log P value.



Fig. S17 Water contact angle images of different supports: (a) TPB-HCPs, (b) EB-HCPs, (c) MB-HCPs, (d) DPM-HCPs, (e) PA-HCP, and (f) PH-HCPs.



Fig. S18 Control experiments.



Fig. S19 Conversion of LA to GVL at different reaction temperatures over Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.

Reaction Condition: 2.5 mmol LA, 10 ml water, 1 MPa H₂, 15 mg catalyst, 120 min.



Fig. S20 Conversion of LA to GVL at different reaction time over Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.

Reaction Condition: 2.5 mmol LA, 10 ml water, 1 MPa H₂, 15 mg catalyst, 130 °C.



Fig. S21 Conversion of LA to GVL at different H₂ pressure over Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.

Reaction Condition: 2.5 mmol LA, 10 ml water, 15 mg catalyst, 130 °C, 60 min.



Fig. S22 Stability tests of the Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.

Reaction conditions: 2.5 mmol LA, 10 mL water, 1 MPa H₂, 15 mg catalyst, 150 °C, 15 min.



Fig. S23 (a) TEM images and (b) the distribution of particle size of the used Ru@TPB-HCPs catalyst.

Entry	Support	$S_{BET} a (m^2 g^{-1})$	V_{p}^{b} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	$D_p c (nm)$
1	TPB-HCPs	1137	0.98	1.23
2	EB-HCPs	619	0.47	2.21
3	MB-HCPs	729	0.50	2.21
4	DPM-HCPs	926	0.73	1.23
5	PA-HCPs	416	0.31	2.11
6	PH-HCPs	289	0.32	1.84

Table S1. Composition and Porosity of different HCPs.

^a Surface area calculated from nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K using the multipoint BET equation.

^b Pore volume calculated from nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K and P/P0 = 0.99.

^c Pore width calculated from nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K using the NLDFT model.

Catalyst	Ru (wt %)	Log P	water adsorption	LA Conversion	GVL Yield
			values (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	(%)	(%)
Ru@TPB-HCPs	0.71	8.35	430	>99	>99
Ru@EB-HCPs	0.54	3.15	131	74.5	64.1
Ru@MB-HCPs	0.63	4.14	331	67.1	56.3
Ru@DPM-HCPs	0.49	2.69	312	66.7	52.2
Ru@PA-HCPs	0.74	1.36	1650	46.1	36.1
Ru@PH-HCPs	0.69	1.48	2553	20.8	20.7
	~ ~	1		TT 1.	1 1 1 20 00

 Table S2. Comparison of Catalytic activity of different catalysts.

Reaction Condition: 2.5 mmol LA, 10 ml water, 1 MPa H₂, 15 mg catalyst, 150 °C, 120 min.