Synthesis of polyvinylethylene glycols (PVEGs) via polyetherification of vinylethylene carbonate by synergistic catalysis

Fan Yang, Minghang Wang and Yong Jian Zhang*

Shanghai Key Laboratory for Molecular Engineering of Chiral Drugs, Frontiers Science Center for Transformative Molecules, and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China, Sichuan Research Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Chengdu 610042, P. R. China E-mail: yjian@sjtu.edu.cn

Contents

1. Materials and methods
2. General procedure for the synthesis of vinyl-functional polyvinylethylene glycols
3. Typical synthesis of vinyl-functional thermoplastic polyurethane (VFTPU)
4. The procedure for thiol-ene click reaction
5. MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of vinyl-functional polyvinylethylene glycols (PVEG)
6. Copies of ¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR spectrums and GPC chromatograms of PVEGs
7. Characterization of vinyl-functional thermoplastic polyurethane (VFTPU)
8. Optimization of the detailed polymerization conditions ^[a]

1. Materials and methods

Materials

All the materials used in this study were purchased from commercial chemical suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich, Sinopharm Chemical reagent, Bidepharm, Titan, Macklin, and Meryer), unless otherwise stated. 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene (BINAP, 99%), 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)butane (DPPB, 98%. Jiangsu Sinocompund Catalysts), 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)propane (DPPP, 98%, Jiangsu Sinocompund Catalysts), (oxybis(2,1-phenylene))bis(diphenylphosphane) (DPEphos, 98%, Jiangsu Sinocompund Catalysts), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (P(2-furanyl)₃, 98%, Jiangsu Sinocompund Catalysts), triphenylphosphine (PPh₃, 98%), tricyclohexylphosphane 98%. Jiangsu Sinocompund Catalysts), (PCy₃, triphenylphosphite (P(OPh)₃, 98%)

Methods

¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer at 25° C. CDCl₃ (δ H = 7.26 ppm and δ C = 77.16 ppm) and DMSO-D₆ (δ H = 2.50 ppm and δ C = 39.52 ppm) were used as internal standards. The number average (Mn), weight-average (Mw) molecular weights and corresponding molecular weight distribution (Mw/Mn, PDI) were measured through Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) by using an EcoSEC HLC-8320 series with THF as eluent at 25°C and a flow rate of 1.00 mL·min⁻¹ with a refractive index (RI) detector. All calibrations were performed by using polystyrene (PS) standards (Sigma-Aldrich; Mp, 500–8000000). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS) measurements were performed by using (E)-2-(3-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)-2methylallylidene)malononitrile (DCTB) as a matrix on a Bruker Autoflex Max instrument. Hydroxyl value was measured according to ASTM D4274 standard by phthalic anhydride-pyridine method. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (TG 209 F3, NETZSCH) was employed under a nitrogen atmosphere from 50 to 800 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹. The storage/loss modulus and tan δ as a function of temperature were measured on a TA Instrument DMA Q850 with the tensile mode. Stress-strain curves were measured at room temperature using an INSTRON 3365

universal testing machine with a stretching speed of 50 mm·min⁻¹. For the tensile measurements, the specimens were cut into dog-bone shapes (width: 4 mm; gauge length: 20 mm; thickness 0.5mm).

2. General procedure for the synthesis of vinyl-functional polyvinylethylene glycols

Synthetic of P2: To an oven dried screw-cap reaction tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (114.1 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 1,4-phenylenedimethanol (13.8 mg, 0.10 mmol) were added. Then, Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (1.5 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (1.6 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1) were added. The reaction tube was sealed with rubber-septum, then evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (this process was repeated a total of three times). Et₃B (3 μ L of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) and anhydrous THF (1 mL) were added sequentially via syringe. The resulting mixture was stirred at 10 °C for 2 h. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum. The remain catalysts were removed by short silica gel column to afford **P2** as a light-yellow oil (79.7 mg, 95% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.32$ (4H, Ar*H*), 5.71 (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.33 (2H, CH₂=CH), 4.61/4.47 (4H, ArCH₂O), 3.99 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂) CH₂O), 3.53 (2H, OCH(CH=CH₂) CH₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.37$ (Ar*C*), 135.77-134.99 (CH₂=CH), 127.77 (Ar*C*), 118.44-118.07 (CH₂=CH), 82.89/80.20/81.04/80.47/79.59 (O*C*H(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 71.90-71.24 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 70.31 (Ar*C*H₂O), 65.33/65.16 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm. **Synthetic of P3:** General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (228.2 mg, 2.0 mmol), 1,4-phenylenedimethanol (13.8 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (3.1 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (3.2 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (6µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. **P3** was obtained as light-yellow oil (144.9 mg, 94% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.31 (4H, Ar*H*), 5.72 (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.33 (2H, C*H*₂=CH), 4.60/4.49 (4H, ArC*H*₂O), 3.96 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 3.54 (2H,

OCH(CH=CH₂)C H_2 O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.82$ (ArC), 134.99-136.08 (CH₂=CH), 128.31 (ArC), 118.47-118.08 (CH₂=CH), 82.97/82.23/80.78/79.38 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.62-71.20 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OCH), 70.36 (ArCH₂O), 65.36/65.15 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm.

Synthetic of P4: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), 1,4-phenylenedimethanol (13.8 mg, 0.10 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. P4 was obtained as light-yellow oil (279.8 mg, 95% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.30$ (4H, Ar*H*), 5.74 (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.21 (2H, CH₂=CH), 4.60/4.49 (4H, ArCH₂O), 3.95 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 3.49 (2H, OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.80$ (Ar*C*), 136.07-134.43 (CH₂=CH), 127.61 (Ar*C*), 118.39-117.64 (CH₂=CH), 82.93/82.18/80.65/79.34 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.91-71.22 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OCH), 70.34 (Ar*C*H₂O), 65.31/65.11 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm.

Synthetic of P5: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (912.8 mg, 8.0 mmol), 1,4-phenylenedimethanol (13.8 mg, 0.10 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (12.4 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (12.9 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (24µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. P5 was obtained as light-yellow oil (534.9 mg, 93% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.32$ (4H, Ar*H*), 5.74 (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.21 (2H, CH₂=CH), 4.60/4.49 (4H, ArCH₂O), 3.93 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂) CH₂O), 3.50 (2H, OCH(CH=CH₂) CH₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.91$ (Ar*C*), 136.17-134.96 (CH₂=CH), 127.60 (Ar*C*), 118.14-117.60 (CH₂=CH), 83.04/82.24/80.67/79.34 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.97-71.65 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OCH), 71.19 (Ar*C*H₂O), 65.39/65.20 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm.

Synthetic of P6: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC,
1) (1825.6 mg, 16.0 mmol), 1,4-phenylenedimethanol (13.8 mg, 0.10mmol),
Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (24.8 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (25.8 mg, 0.3 mol% for

1), Et₃B (48 μ L of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. **P6** was obtained as light-yellow oil (1045.7 mg, 92% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.30$ (4H, Ar*H*), 5.74 (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.21 (2H, CH₂=CH), 4.60/4.49 (4H, ArCH₂O), 3.91 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂) CH₂O), 3.49 (2H, OCH(CH=CH₂) CH₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.53$ (Ar*C*), 136.18-134.97 (CH₂=CH), 127.65 (Ar*C*), 118.53-117.58 (CH₂=CH), 83.00/82.22/80.67/79.36 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.99-71.66 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OCH), 71.22 (Ar*C*H₂O), 65.38/65.17 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm.

Synthetic of P7: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), cyclohexane-1,4-diyldimethanol (14.4 mg, 0.10 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12 µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. P7 was obtained as light-yellow oil (280.3 mg, 95% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.74 (1H, CH₂=CH), 5.22 (2H, CH₂=CH), 3.95 (1H, OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 3.58-3.43 (H, (CH₂)₂CCH₂O & CH(CH=CH₂) CH₂O), 1.81/1.50/1.35/0.91 (H, cyclohexane) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 136.60$ -134.97 (CH₂=CH), 118.54-117.71 (CH₂=CH), 83.02/82.25/80.66 (OCH(CH=CH₂)) CH₂), 71.88-71.17 ((CH₂)₂CCH₂O $CH(CH=CH2)CH_2O),$ & 65.33/65.13 $(CH(CH=CH_2)CH_2OH),$ 38.68/35.61 (cyclohexane, $(CH_2)_2C),$ 29.45/25.84 (cyclohexane, $(CH_2)_2C$) ppm.

Synthetic of P8: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), butane-1,4-diol (9.0 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12 μ L of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. P8 was obtained as light-yellow oil (269.4 mg, 93% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.74$ (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.21 (2H, CH₂=CH), 3.93 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 3.58-3.42 (H, CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O & CH₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 1.62 (2H, CH₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 136.51-135.17$ (CH₂=CH), 118.16-117.66 (CH₂=CH),

83.10/82.31/80.67/80.10 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 71.96-71.63 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O),
68.85 (CH₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 65.37 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH), 29.67/26.57 (CH₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂) ppm.

Synthetic of P9: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), 2,2'-oxybis(ethan-1-ol) (10.6 mg, 0.10 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12 µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. P9 was obtained as light-yellow oil (262.2 mg, 90% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.72$ (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.21 (2H, C*H*₂=CH), 3.94 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 3.58-3.43 (H, CH(CH=CH₂)C*H*₂O & OCH₂C*H*₂OCH & OC*H*₂CH₂OCH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 136.13-135.17$ (CH₂=CH), 118.58-117.75 (CH₂=CH), 83.04/82.26/80.66 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 71.96-71.63 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.17 (CH₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 70.59 (*C*H₂CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 68.31/65.34 (CH(CH=CH₂)*C*H₂OH) ppm. **Synthetic of P10:** General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), tert-butyl bis(2-hydroxyethyl)carbamate (20.5 mg, 0.10mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12 µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. **P10** was obtained as light-yellow liquid (259.1 mg, 86% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.74$ (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.22 (2H, C*H*₂=CH), 3.93 (1H, OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 3.57-3.42 (H, OCH(CH=CH₂)C*H*₂O & NCH₂C*H*₂OCH & NC*H*₂CH₂OCH), 1.43 (H, Boc) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 155.39$ (Boc), 136.17-134.96 (CH₂=CH), 118.50-117.72 (*C*H₂=CH), 82.99/82.21/80.66/79.31 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 79.31 (Boc), 71.95-71.19 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 67.33 (NCH₂CH₂OCH), 65.36/65.15 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH), 53.43/47.97 (NCH₂CH₂OCH), 28.46 (Boc) ppm.

Synthetic of P11: General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC,
1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), 2-methylenepropane-1,3-diol (8.8 mg, 0.10mmol),
Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1),

Et₃B (12 μ L of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.012 mmol, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. **P11** was obtained as light-yellow oil (275.0 mg, 95% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.74$ (1H, CH₂=C*H*), 5.22 (2H, C*H*₂=CH), 4.06-3.94 (H, CC*H*₂OCH(CH=CH₂) & CH₂OC*H*(CH=CH₂)CH₂), 3.57-3.42 (H, CH(CH=CH₂) C*H*₂O & C(C*H*₂)CH₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 143.06$ (*C*(CH₂) CH₂), 136.06-134.98 (CH₂=CH), 118.50-117.56 (*C*H₂=CH), 116.04 (C(*C*H₂)CH₂O), 82.98/82.21/80.65/79.31 (O*C*H(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.96-71.19 (OCH(CH=CH₂)*C*H₂O), 69.38 (C(CH₂)*C*H₂OCH), 65.33 (CH(CH=CH₂)*C*H₂OH) ppm. **Synthetic of P12:** General procedure was followed. 4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxoian-2-one (VEC, 1) (456.4 mg, 4.0 mmol), (Z)-but-2-ene-1,4-diol (8.8 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (6.2 mg, 0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos ligand (6.4 mg, 0.3 mol% for 1), Et₃B (12 µL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ in THF solution, 0.3 mol% for 1) were used. **P12** was obtained as light-yellow oil (277.9 mg, 96% yield).

¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.74$ (H, CH₂=C*H* & C*H*CH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 5.22 (2H, C*H*₂=CH), 4.08-3.93 (H, CHC*H*₂OCH(CH=CH₂) & CHCH₂OC*H*(CH=CH₂)), 3.50 (2H, CH(CH=CH₂)C*H*₂O) ppm. ¹³C NMR (125MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 136.02-134.94$ (CH₂=CH), 129.33 (CHCH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 118.60-117.77 (CH₂=CH), 83.05/82.28/80.66/79.59 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 71.87-71.16 (OCH(CH=CH₂)CH₂O), 65.35 (CHCH₂OCH(CH=CH₂)), 64.50 (CH(CH=CH₂)CH₂OH) ppm.

3. Typical synthesis of vinyl-functional thermoplastic polyurethane (VFTPU)

The polymer was synthesized by the traditional two-step solution polymerization. Briefly, the stoichiometry of P4/MDI/1,4-butanediol was 1:2:1. Before the reaction, P4 (1.0089 g, 0.5 mmol) was evacuated at 70 °C for 2 h to remove moisture. MDI (0.2502 g, 1.0 mmol) was then added into the flask dropwise, followed by the addition of DBTDL (0.1304 g, 1 wt%) as a catalyst. THF was added once the viscosity of the reaction solution increased. The prepolymer reaction was carried out for 3 h at 70 °C. Afterward, 1,4-butanediol (0.0451 g, 0.5 mmol) as the chain extender was added into the solution and stirred for another 2h at 70°C under nitrogen protection. The resulting

polymer was washed by methanol for further purification, then dried.

4. The procedure for thiol-ene click reaction

To test the reactivity of the allyl component toward 1-dodecanethiol, a model reaction was carried out. In order to ensure the complete reaction of vinyl-functional groups in PVEG **P4**, 1-dodecanethiol was added according to the stoichiometry 1:5 of vinyl/sulfhydryl (C=C/-SH). In a scintillation vial, 1-dodecanethiol (0.6072 g, 30 equiv), PVEG **P4** (0.2017 g, 1 equiv), and 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone as a photoinitiator (0.0081 g, 1 wt%) were mixed well. Then, the samples were irradiated with UV lamps (365 nm, 300mW·cm⁻²) for 60 seconds. Finally, the sample was removed by short silica gel column to afford thioether-functional polyglycol (TEFPG). The TEFPG were tested by ¹H NMR.

5. MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of vinyl-functional polyvinylethylene glycols





Figure S1. MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of PVEG (P4).

6. Copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrums and GPC chromatograms of

PVEGs









Figure S4. GPC chromatogram of P2.





Figure S7. GPC chromatogram of P3.









Figure S10. GPC chromatogram of P4.





Figure S13. GPC chromatogram of P5.



Figure S14. ¹H NMR spectrum of P6 in CDCl₃ (400 MHz).





Figure S16. GPC chromatogram of P6.





Figure S19. GPC chromatogram of P7.



Figure S20. ¹H NMR spectrum of P8 in CDCl₃ (400 MHz).





Figure S22. GPC chromatogram of P8.







Figure S25. GPC chromatogram of P9.









Figure S28. GPC chromatogram of P10.



Figure S29. ¹H NMR spectrum of P11 in CDCl₃ (400 MHz).



Figure S30. ¹³C NMR spectrum of P11 in CDCl₃ (125 MHz).



Figure S31. GPC chromatogram of P11.



Figure S32. ¹H NMR spectrum of P12 in CDCl₃ (400 MHz).





Figure S34. GPC chromatogram of P12.



7. Characterization of vinyl-functional thermoplastic polyurethane (VFTPU)

Figure S35. ¹H NMR spectrum (D₆-DMSO, 400 MHz) of VFTPU



Figure S36. GPC chromatogram (a), thermogravimetric curve (b), dynamic mechanical analysis curve (c), and stress-strain curves (d) of VFTPU.

Table S1. Physical properties of VFTPU.					
sample	T _{d1} ^[a] (°C)	T _{d2} ^[a] (°C)	Tg ^[b] (°C)		
VFTPU	310	420	4.5		

[a] measured by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA); [b] measured by dynamic mechanical analysis.

	+ НО ОН - 2а	Pd₂(dba)₃ · CHCl₃ ligand, BEt₃ solvent	P1	O (O) ^H n
antwi	licond	colvent	Tomp	Viald (0/)[b]
entry	liganu	solvent	$(^{\circ}C)/time (h)$	1 leid (70) ^r
1	PPh ₃	THF	20/24	0
2	PCv ₃	THF	20/24	0 0
3	$P(OPh)_3$	THF	20/24	0
4	P(2-furanyl) ₃	THF	20/12	81
5	dppp	THF	20/12	5
6	dppb	THF	20/12	20
7	BINAP	THF	20/12	80
8	DPEphos	THF	20/2	86
9	DPEphos	1,4-dioxane	20/2	61
10	DPEphos	MTBE	20/2	75
11	DPEphos	toluene	20/2	78
12	DPEphos	CH_2Cl_2	20/2	73
13	DPEphos	CH ₃ CN	20/2	76
14	DPEphos	THF	10/2	95
15	DPEphos	THF	30/2	89
16	DPEphos	THF	50/2	91
17	DPEphos	THF	70/2	92
18 ^[c]	DPEphos	THF	10/2	95
19 ^[d]	DPEphos	THF	10/12	91
20 ^[e]	DPEphos	THF	10/24	45

8. Optimization of the detailed polymerization conditions^[a]

[a] Reaction conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol, 10 equiv.), 2a (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.), Pd2(dba)3·CHCl3 (0.2 mol% for 1), ligand (0.8 mol% for monophosphines; 0.4 mol% for bisphosphines), BEt₃ (0.4 mol% for 1), solvent (1.0 mL). [b] Isolated yields. [c] The reaction carried out using Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (0.15 mol% for 1), DPEphos (0.3 mol% for 1) and BEt₃ (0.3 mol% for 1) under otherwise identical conditions. [d] The reaction carried out using Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (0.1 mol% for 1), DPEphos (0.2 mol% for 1) and BEt₃ (0.2 mol% for 1) under otherwise identical conditions. [e] The reaction carried out using Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (0.05 mol% for 1), DPEphos (0.1 mol% for 1) and BEt₃ (0.1 mol% for 1) under otherwise identical conditions. BINAP, 2,2'bis(diphenylphosphanyl)-1,1'-binaphthalene; dppb, 1,4bis(diphenylphosphanyl)butane; dppp, 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)propane; MTBE, methyl tert-butyl ether.