Supporting Information

ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 Core-shell Nanorod Arrays for ppb-level NO₂ Detection

Mingqi Sun^{#,a}, Mingyuan Wang^{#,b}, Xin Ni^c, Guiwu Liu^a, Guanjun Qiao^a, Shuangying Lei^b, Mingsong Wang^{*,a}, Ling Bai^{*,a}

^aSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu Province 212013, China
^bSEU-FEI Nano-Pico Center, Key Laboratory of MEMS of Ministry of Education, School of Electrical Science and Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province 210096, China
^cDepartment of Gastroenterology, Affliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu Province 212013, China
[#]The authors contribute equally
*Corresponding authors.
E-mail addresses: wangms@ujs.edu.cn (M. Wang); lingmubai@ujs.edu.cn (L. Bai).

Author Contributions

Mingqi Sun: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft. Mingyuan Wang: Calculation, simulation. Xin Ni: Formal analysis. Guiwu Liu: Conceptualization. Guanjun Qiao: Methodology. Shuangying Lei: Methodology. Mingsong Wang: Methodology, Formal analysis. Ling Bai: Writing-review & editing.

1. Experimental

1.1. Chemical materials

The Pt-printed alumina substrate was described elsewhere [1]. The following chemicals: zinc acetate hydrate ($ZnAc_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, AR), zinc nitrate hexahydrate ($Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, AR), ethanolamine (99 %), hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA, AR), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, AR), 2-methylimidazole (2-MeIM, 99 %), tetrachloroamic acid tetrahydrate (HAuCl₄·4H₂O, AR), ethanol (99 %) and deionized water (H₂O) (Laboratory preparation) were purchased from Sino Chem Co., Ltd. China, and used directly as received without further purification and treatment.

1.2. Preparation of the ZnO and ZnO-Au nanorod arrays

ZnO nanorod arrays on alumina substrate were synthesized by a modified seedassisted hydrothermal method. ZnO seed layer was deposited on the conductive side of the substrate by immersing it in the precursor solution, and withdrawn with a speed of 100 mm/min [2]. Then the substrate with ZnO seeds was placed in a 50 mL Teflonlined stainless autoclave with the seeded side facing down, and 40 mL aqueous solution containing Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.48 g) and HMTA (0.22 g) was added. The reaction was carried out at 120 °C for 100 min, and cooled to room temperature. For the ZnO-Au nanorod sample, 0.135mL HAuCl₄·4H₂O (10 mg/mL) was added into the aqueous solution during immersion. The above-synthesized products were washed repeatedly with deionized water and dried at 60 °C overnight. The ZnO and ZnO-Au composites were obtained by annealing at 400 °C for 5 h.

1.3. Preparation of the ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 core-shell nanorod arrays

The ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 core-shell nanorod arrays were prepared via a hydrothermal route similar with the reported study [3]. The as-prepared ZnO and

ZnO-Au nanorods grown on the alumina substrate and 2-methylimidazole (0.82 g, 0.5 M) were successively added to a Teflon-lined autoclave (25 mL) containing a mixed solvent of DMF and H_2O (20 mL, V_{DMF} : V_{H2O} =1:1), and heated at 60 °C for 10 min. After cooling to room temperature, the product was obtained and washed with fresh DMF and H_2O several times, and dried at 60 °C overnight.

1.4. Characterization

The morphologies and structures of the samples were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Nova Nano 450) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F at 200 kV). The crystalline structure and phase of the products were investigated by grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) on a Smartlab 9kw X-ray with Cu K α radiation at λ =0.145 nm. The elemental valence states of the samples were determined via XPS equipment (Thermo Scientific K-Alph) and C1s peak at 284.8 eV was used as the calibration value of binding energy shift.

The details of the gas sensing performance of ZnO-based materials were described in the previous study. Under the preset gas concentration and heating temperature (125 °C), the computer could monitor the resistance of the sensor. The sensor response is defined as the ratio of Rg/Ra (Ra/Rg) used to test oxidation (reduction) gas, where Ra is the resistance of the sensor in dry air and Rg is the resistance of the sensor in target gas. The response time and recovery time respectively correspond to the time required to obtain 90 % of the response, while the recovery time indicates the time required for the signal to recover to 90 %.

2. Supplementary Results



Fig. S1. Schematic diagram of the preparation of the ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8

core-shelled materials.



Fig. S2. The XRD patterns of (a) ZnO (black); (b) ZnO-Au (blue); (c) ZnO@ZIF-8 (green);

(d) ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 (red) samples, respectively.



Fig. S3. The TEM and HRTEM images of (a,b) ZnO-Au and (c,d) ZnO-Au@ZIF-8

samples.



Fig. S4. XPS spectra of various samples: (a) survey spectrum, (b) Zn 2p, (c) O 1s and (d) Au 4f spectra.



Fig. S5. The response of ZnO NRs, ZnO-Au, ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 sensors to 1 ppm NO₂ obtained at various operating temperatures.



Fig. S6. The SEM images of ZnO@ZIF-8 composite films obtained with different DMF/ H₂O volume ratios: a) 3:1; b) 1:1; c) 1:3; d) 3:4 (T= 60 °C, 10 min); ZnO@ZIF-8 composite films obtained at different reaction temperature: e) 50 °C; f) 60 °C; g) 70 °C; h) 80 °C (DMF/ H₂O=1:1, 10 min); ZnO@ZIF-8composite films obtained at different reaction time: i) 5 min; j) 10 min; k) 15 min; l) 20 min (T= 60 °C, DMF/ H₂O=1:1).



Fig. S7. The response of ZnO@ZIF-8 composite films obtained with different test conditions to 1 ppm NO₂.



Fig. S8. (a-b) The dynamic response curves of ZnO NRs, ZnO-Au, ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 sensors to 1 ppm NO₂ at 125 °C.



Fig. S9. (a) Repeatability test for ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 exposing to five-cycle 5 ppb NO₂ at 125 °C; (b) long-term reliability test toward 10 ppm NO₂ within 50 days for four ZnO-based sensors; (c) the responses to 10 ppm NO₂ for the four ZnO-based sensors under various RH levels; (d) dynamic response curve toward 10 ppm NO₂ for ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 at 125 °C under different humidity conditions.



Fig. S10. Schematic illustration of the NO₂ sensing mechanism of ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 core-shell structure.



Fig. S11. (a) ZIF-8 intercepts molecular adsorption fragments; the theoretical binding configurations of different gas molecule on ZIF-8: (b) NO₂ on ZIF-8, (c) H₂S on ZIF-8, (d) NH₃ on ZIF-8, (e) H₂ on ZIF-8, (f) HCHO on ZIF-8, (g) CH₂CH₂OH on ZIF-8, (h) H₃CCOCH₃ on ZIF-8. The white, gray, red, blue, and yellow spheres represent H, C, O, N, and S atoms, respectively.



Fig. S12. Calculated adsorption energies of O_2 and NO_2 at ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8, respectively.



Fig. S13. Top and side views of the configurations for (a1, a2) ZnO@ZIF-8 composite, (b1,b2) O₂-adsorbed ZnO@ZIF-8 composite, (c1,c2) NO₂-adsorbed ZnO@ZIF-8 composite, (d1,d2) ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 composite, (e1,e2) O₂-adsorbed ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 composite, (f1,f2) NO₂-adsorbed ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 composite, obtained by DFT calculations. The white, gray, red, blue, purple and yellow spheres represent N, H, O, C, Zn and Au atoms, respectively.

Samples	OL	Ov	Oc	
ZnO	530.32 eV/57.99%	531.44 eV/25.58%	532.43 eV/16.43%	
ZnO-Au	530.17 eV/52.67%	530.91 eV/29.08%	532.13 eV/18.45%	
ZnO@ZIF-8	/	531.56 eV/69.98%	532.38 eV/30.02%	
ZnO-Au@ZIF-8	/	531.8 eV/68.62%	532.68 eV/31.38%	

Table S1. Different proportion of deconvoluted oxygen components in the ZnO, ZnO-

Au, ZnO@ZIF-8, and ZnO-Au@ZIF-8 samples, respectively.

Sensing materials	Concentratio	Work	Sensor	
	n	temperature	response	References
			(Rg/Ra)	in the SI
ZnO-Au nanowires	1 ppm	250 °C	51.01	4
ZnO/Au	5 ppm	Room	0.6	5
		temperature		
		with UV		
		illumination		
ZnO@Pt nanowire	20 ppm	220 °C	7.01	6
Au/Pd–ZnO	5 ppm	100 °C	732.4	7
ZnO-Au nanorods	5 ppm	300 °C	1.2	8
Au nanoparticle	0.05 ppm	Room	260 %	10
decorated ZnO@ZIF-8		temperature		
core-shell NRs		with UV		
		illumination		
ZnO-Au@ZIF-8	5 ppm 5 ppb	125 °C	63.1 1.8	This work

Table S2. Comparison of NO2 gas-sensing performance of various heterostructures.

References

1, M. S. Wang, Y. W. Wang, X. J. Li, C. X. Ge, S. Hussain, G. W. Liu, G. J. Qiao, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 8, 128050.

2, C. M. Chang, M. H. Hon, I. C. Leu, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2010, 11, 15-20.

3, S. S. Nair, N. Illyaskutty, B. Tam, A. O. Yazaydin, K. Emmerich, A. Steudel, T. Hashem, L. Schöttner, C. Wöll, H. Kohler, H. Gliemann, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2020, 2, 127184.

4, E. Navarrete, F. Güell, P. R. Martínez-Alanis, E. Llobeta, J. Alloys Compd., 2021, 890, 161923.

5, A.Gaiardo, B. Fabbri, A. Giberti, V. Guidi, P. Bellutti, C. Malagù, M. Valt, G. Pepponi,

S. Gherardi, G. Zonta, A. Martucci, M. Sturaro, N. Landini, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2016, 237, 1085-1094.

6, C. Y. Wang, J. Y. Xie, X. Chang, W. Zheng, J. Zhang, X. H. Liu, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 473, 145481.

7, X. X. Chen. Y. F. Ouyang, T. H. Liu, C. Y. Zhang, S. Y. Huang, H. R. Shang, H. Lin, S. K. Zhao, Y. B. Shen, Vacuum, 2024, 219, 112742.

8, P. Rai, Y. S. Kim, H. M. Song, M. K. Song, Y. T. Yu, Sens. Actuators B Chem., 2012, 165, 133-142.

9, M. M. Zhan, C. X. Ge, S. Hussain, A. S. Alkorbi, R. Alsaiari, N. A. Alhemiary, G. J. Qiao, G. W. Liu, Chemosphere, 2022, 291, 132842.

10, T. Murugesan, R. R. Kumar, A. Ranjan, M. Y. Lu, N. H.Lin, Sens. Actuators B Chem, 2024, 402, 135106.