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## 1. General experimental techniques.

All chemicals were commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich or Merck (India) and used as received. Solvents for spectroscopic experiments were distilled under nitrogen atmosphere before use. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were measured on a 300 MHz Bruker spectrometer, and reported in $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$. The absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-vis spectrophotometer (Model UV-1800), and fluorescence spectra were recorded using a Hitachi F2500 fluorimeter

## 2. Synthetic Procedures

Synthesis of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ : Compound $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ was synthesized by reacting anthracene-9-aldehyde with 4hydroxylbenzohydrazide, according to Scheme 1:


Scheme 1: Synthesis of A-4OH

Synthesis of ligand A-4OH. To a solution of anthracene-9-aldehyde ( $0.206 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform (5 mL ), was added 4-benzoylhydrazide ( $0.242 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . When the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and desired compound precipitated out. This residue was rinsed with methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford a yellow-coloured productA-4OH. Yield $\sim 84 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 11.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, amide NH ), $10.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 9.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 8.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.71-8.69$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.14-8.12 (2H, d, Ar-H), 7.92-7.89 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64-7.56 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.93-6.90 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) 162.50, 160.57, 145.90, 130.76, 129.60, 129.40, 128.86, 127.02, 125.45, 125.09, 124.76, 123.63, 114.99. ES-MS: m/z 341.13 calc. for $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

## Crystal formation procedure

A-4OH-G: Approximately 0.5 mg of C were taken in a glass vial and dissolved in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ THF. The glass vial was capped for slow evaporation of the solvent. After 2-3 weeks green emissive crystals were obtained.
$\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{N E}$ : A very concentrated solution of A-4OH in distilled $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl formamide ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was prepared and kept for crystallization on a watch glass. After 1 day block like crystals were obtained.

A-4OH-O: 3 mg of A-4OH were taken in a glass sample vial and dissolved in 1 mL Methanol and DMSO separately. After 1-2 week prism like orange emissive crystals were obtained.


Figure S1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$


Figure S2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{A}-\mathbf{4 O H}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$


Figure S3: ES-MS spectra of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$


Figure S4: Solvent dependent (a) UV-vis, and (b) fluorescence spectra of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ (conc. $<0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ ); (c) Changes in the UV-vis spectra of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ in DMF arising from photochemical trans-cis isomerization following exposure to 365 nm UV-light (recorded at intervals of 1 min ); (b) Thermal cis-trans isomerization which occurs spontaneously in the dark.


Figure S5: Relative orientations of the anthracene and the hydroxy phenyl group in (a) A-4OH-NE; (b) A-4OH-O; and (c)A-4OH-G.


Figure S6: Side view and top view stacking structures of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$ crystals showing (a) $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interaction between two symmetric independent units of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{G}$, (b) $\pi-\pi$ interaction between two symmetric related units of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{G}$, (c) and (d) $\pi-\pi$ interaction between anthracene motifs of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathrm{NE}$ respectively; (inset: top view stacking mode of anthracene dimer)


Figure S7: Packing structures showing aromatic $\pi-\pi$ interactions of (a) A-4OH-G along b-axis, (b) A-4OH-O along a-axis, and (c) A-4OH-NE along b-axis
(a)

(b)


Figure S8: Solid state (a) UV-vis, and (b) fluorescence spectra of A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-O, A-4OH-G and pristine form


Figure S9: Overlay of the FT-IR spectra of pristine form, $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{N E}, \mathbf{A - 4 O H - O}$ and $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}-\mathbf{G}$ forms.
a)

b)


Figure S10: (a)Simulated and experimental powder XRD pattern of A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-O, A-4OH-G and PXRD pattern of pristine form;(b)TGA graph of A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-O and A-4OH-G.
(a)

(b)


Figure S11: Solid state (a) UV-visible and (b) fluorescence spectra of A-4OH-NE before and after thermal stimulation.


Figure S12: (a) Overlay of the (a) FTIR spectra and (b) thermogravimetric analysise of A-4OH-NE before and after thermal stimulation, viz. A-4OH-F.
a)


* Exponential Components Analysis (Reconvolution)

Fitting range : [140; 2050] channels
$\chi^{2} \quad$ :

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\Delta \tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.4997 | 0.0428 | 46.125 | 7.262 | 0.591 | 0.042 |
| 2 | 0.0620 | 0.0032 | 25.591 | 1.474 | 2.643 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 0.0193 | 0.0008 | 28.284 | 1.173 | 9.400 | 0.002 |

Shift $:-0.694 \mathrm{~ns}( \pm 1.002 \mathrm{~ns})$
Decay Background : $0.943 \quad( \pm 0.076)$
IRF background : 0
b)


* Exponential Components Analysis (Reconvolution)

Fitting range : [139; 2100] channels

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\Delta \tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.2306 | 0.0111 | 21.396 | 5.331 | 0.432 | 0.087 |
| 2 | 0.0615 | 0.0017 | 31.472 | 1.022 | 2.384 | 0.013 |
| 3 | 0.0229 | 0.0006 | 47.132 | 1.185 | 9.594 | 0.001 |

Shift $\quad:-0.098 \mathrm{~ns}( \pm 0.860 \mathrm{~ns})$
Decay Background : $1.213 \quad( \pm 0.076)$
IRF background : 0

Figure S13: Time resolved photoluminescence spectra of (a) A-4OH-NE and (b) A-4OH-NE heated form
a)

※ Exponential Components Analysis (Reconvolution)

## Fitting range

$\chi^{2} \quad[100$

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\Delta \tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.2287 | 0.0568 | 33.251 | 8.614 | 1.433 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 0.1200 | 0.0083 | 66.749 | 4.609 | 5.483 | 0.001 |

Shift $:-1.074 \mathrm{~ns}( \pm 7.766 \mathrm{~ns})$
Decay Background : $0.922 \quad( \pm 0.113)$
IRF background : 0
c)


* Exponential Components Analysis (Reconvolution)

Fitting range
: [147; 4096] channels
$\chi^{2}$

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\Delta \tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0507 | 0.0009 | 19.500 | 2.830 | 0.490 | 0.063 |
| 2 | 0.0482 | 0.0008 | 63.668 | 1.404 | 1.681 | 0.008 |
| 3 | 0.0033 | 0.0002 | 16.832 | 0.787 | 6.591 | 0.003 |

Shift : $-0.049 \mathrm{~ns}( \pm 0.870 \mathrm{~ns})$
Decay Background : $1.292 \quad( \pm 0.072)$
IRF background : 0.100
b)

$\approx$ Exponential Components Analysis (Reconvolution)
Fitting range : [154; 4096] channels

| $\chi^{2}: 1.176$ |
| :--- |
|  $B_{i}$ $\Delta B_{i}$ $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ $\Delta \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\%)$ $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ $\Delta \tau_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ns})$ <br> 1 0.0538 0.0022 18.481 2.366 0.768 0.067 <br> 2 0.0376 0.0018 38.178 2.123 2.273 0.016 <br> 3 0.0122 0.0004 43.341 1.348 7.948 0.002$\quad:-0.103 \mathrm{~ns}( \pm 2.207 \mathrm{~ns})$ |
| Shift $\quad( \pm 0.156)$ |
| Decay Background : $3.259 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll} \\ \text { IRF background } \quad: 0.100\end{array}\right.$ |

Figure S14: Time resolved luminescence spectra of (a) A-4OH-G, (b) A-4OH-O and (c) pristine form.

Table S1. Comparison of the average fluorescence lifetime of A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-NE (heated), A-4OH-O and pristine sample

|  | $\mathbf{f 1}$ | $\tau \mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{2}$ | $\tau \mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{f 3}$ | $\tau \mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{n s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A-4OH-NE | 0.46125 | 0.59 | 0.25598 | 2.643 | 0.28284 | 9.4 | 3.604 |
| A-4OH-NE (heated) | 0.21396 | 0.432 | 0.31472 | 2.384 | 0.47132 | 9.594 | 5.364 |
| A-4OH-O | 0.18481 | 0.768 | 0.38178 | 2.273 | 0.43341 | 7.948 | 4.454 |
| A-4OH-G | 0.33251 | 1.433 | 0.66749 | 5.483 |  |  | 4.136 |
| Pristine | 0.195 | 0.49 | 0.63668 | 1.681 | 0.16832 | 6.591 | 2.275 |



Figure S15: HOMO-LUMO energy diagram of A-4OH, A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-O and A-4OH-G as obtained from DFT calculations (using B3LYP/6-31G level of theory).


Figure S16. Calculated structures of the transition states (TS) for the photochemically induced trans-cis isomerisation of $\mathbf{A - 4 O H}$, corresponding to an energy barrier of $62.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table S2. Crystallographicdata and refinement parameters of A-4OH-NE, A-4OH-O and A-4OH-G

|  | A-4-OH-NE | A-4OH-O | A-4OH-G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 413.48 | 358.38 | 680.73 |
| Temperature | 296(2) K | 296(2) K | 296(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 § | 0.71073 A | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Orthorhombic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ | Pbca | $P-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=8.5226(3) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{b}=10.0347(4) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{c}=12.5476(5) \AA, \\ & \alpha=77.009(2)^{\circ} \\ & \beta=81.678(2)^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=86.510(2)^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=12.5066(4) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{b}=14.8096(5) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.3306(7) \AA, \\ & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=11.3394(8) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{b}=11.3450(8) \AA, \\ & \mathrm{c}=14.9825(10) \AA, \\ & \alpha=77.424(5)^{\circ} \\ & \beta=86.116(4)^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=67.045(4)^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1034.17(7) £ | 3395.2(2) $\AA$ | 1731.9(2) $\AA$ |
| Z | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.184 | 1.402 | 1.305 |
| Absorption coefficient | 0.089 | $0.094 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | 0.085 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 436.2039 | 1504 | 712 |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.95 to $29.08^{\circ}$ | 2.404to 29.082 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $1.393^{\circ}$ to $26.596^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -11<=\mathrm{h}<=11, \\ & -13<=\mathrm{k}<=13, \\ & -17<=1<=17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17<=\mathrm{h}<=11, \\ & -20<=\mathrm{k}<=20, \\ & -25<=\mathrm{l}<=21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14<=\mathrm{h}<=14, \\ -14<=k<=14, \\ -18<=1<=17 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 20541 | 18823 | 27578 |
| Independent reflections | [ R (int) =] | [ R (int) $=$ ] | [ R (int) $=$ ] |
| Completeness to theta $25.242^{\circ}$ | $25.242^{\circ}$ | $25.242^{\circ}$ | $25.242^{\circ}$ |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ | Full-matrix leastsquares on $F^{2}$ | Full-matrix leastsquares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5514 / 0 / 283 | 4469 / 0 / 273 | 7160 / 0 / 486 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.0544 | 1.063 | 1.061 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0508, \mathrm{wR} 2= \\ & 0.1411 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0466, \mathrm{wR} 2= \\ & 0.1152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0723, \mathrm{wR} 2 \\ & =0.1724 \end{aligned}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0708, \mathrm{wR} 2= \\ & 0.1589 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R1 }=0.0678, \mathrm{wR} 2 \\ & =0.1278 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=0.1413, \text { wR2 } \\ & =0.2116 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | e. $A^{8}{ }^{-3}$ | e. $\AA^{-3}$ | e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

