1	Supporting Information for Statistical Errors in Active-Space Reduced
2	Density Matrices Sampled from Quantum Circuit Simulators and the
3	Impact on Multireference Theory Calculations
4	Yuki Oba <sup>1</sup> , Soichiro Nishio <sup>1</sup> , and Yuki Kurashige <sup>*1</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa
6	Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
7	October 2, 2023

## <sup>8</sup> S1 Derivation of observation probability representation for elements

, of reduced density matrices

- With Jordan-Wigner transformation, and with the relations  $P_p^X = H_p P_p^Z H_p$  and  $P_p^Y = R_p^X [-\pi/2] P_p^Z R_p^X [\pi/2]$ ,
- <sup>13</sup> the reduced density operator is transformed into representation with  $P_p^Z$ ,  $H_p$ , and  $R_p^X$ :

In this section, we present derivation of observation probability representation for elements of reduced density matrices by using an example of  $\langle \Psi | a_p^{\dagger} a_q | \Psi \rangle$  with indices such that p > q for simplicity.

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic mail: kura@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

$$a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{q} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{p-1} P_{l}^{Z}\right] (P_{p}^{X} - jP_{p}^{Y}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[\prod_{m=1}^{q-1} P_{m}^{Z}\right] (P_{q}^{X} + jP_{q}^{Y})$$
(S1)

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \prod_{l=q}^{p-1} P_l^Z \right] (P_p^X - jP_p^Y) (P_q^X + jP_q^Y)$$
(S2)

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \prod_{l=q}^{p-1} P_l^Z \right] \left( P_p^X P_q^X + j P_p^X P_q^Y - j P_p^Y P_q^X + P_p^Y P_q^Y \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \prod_{l=q}^{p-1} P_l^Z \right] \left\{ (H_p P_p^Z H_p) (H_q P_q^Z H_q) + j (H_p P_p^Z H_p) (R_q^X [-\pi/2] P_q^Z R_q^X [\pi/2]) \right)$$

$$- j (R_p^X [-\pi/2] P_p^Z R_p^X [\pi/2]) (H_q P_q^Z H_q) + (R_p^X [-\pi/2] P_p^Z R_p^X [\pi/2]) (R_q^X [-\pi/2] P_q^Z R_q^X [\pi/2])) \right\},$$
(S3)

$$4a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{q}$$

$$= H_{q}H_{p}\left[\prod_{l=q+1}^{p}P_{l}^{Z}\right]H_{p}H_{q} + j \cdot R_{q}^{X}[-\pi/2]H_{p}\left[\prod_{l=q+1}^{p}P_{l}^{Z}\right]H_{p}R_{q}^{X}[\pi/2]$$

$$- j \cdot H_{q}R_{p}^{X}[-\pi/2]\left[\prod_{l=q+1}^{p}P_{l}^{Z}\right]R_{p}^{X}[\pi/2]H_{q} + R_{q}^{X}[-\pi/2]R_{p}^{X}[-\pi/2]\left[\prod_{l=q+1}^{p}P_{l}^{Z}\right]R_{p}^{X}[\pi/2]R_{q}^{X}[\pi/2].$$
(S5)

 $_{^{14}}$   $\qquad$  Therefore the matrix element for a CAS wavefunction  $|\Psi_{\rm CAS}\rangle$  is written as

$$\langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | a_p^{\dagger} a_q | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | H_q H_p \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] H_p H_q | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{j}{4} \langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | R_q^X [-\pi/2] H_p \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] H_p R_q^X [\pi/2] | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle$$

$$- \frac{j}{4} \langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | H_q R_p^X [-\pi/2] \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] R_p^X [\pi/2] H_q | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | R_q^X [-\pi/2] R_p^X [-\pi/2] \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] R_p^X [\pi/2] R_q^X [\pi/2] | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle.$$

$$(S6)$$

15 Let  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  be an occupation number vector,

$$|\mathbf{k}\rangle = |k_1, \cdots, k_p, \cdots, k_N\rangle \tag{S7}$$

$$= |k_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |k_p\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |k_N\rangle.$$
(S8)

Here,  $k_p$  is equal to 1 if the *p*-th spin-orbital is occupied; otherwise  $k_p$  is equal to 0.  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  correspond to the eigenstate of  $P^Z$  for a qubit. In eq.(S6), the CAS wavefunction  $|\Psi_{CAS}\rangle$ , which is written with expansion coefficients  $c_{\mathbf{k}}$  as  $|\Psi_{CAS}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{k}\rangle$ , is transformed by several unitary operators. We denote these unitary-transformed wavefunctions as following:

$$|\Psi^{HH}\rangle \equiv H_p H_q |\Psi_{\text{CAS}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{HH} |\mathbf{k}\rangle, \qquad (S9)$$

$$|\Psi^{HR}\rangle \equiv H_p R_q^X[\pi/2] |\Psi_{\text{CAS}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{HR} |\mathbf{k}\rangle, \qquad (S10)$$

$$|\Psi^{RH}\rangle \equiv R_p^X[\pi/2]H_q |\Psi_{\text{CAS}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{RH} |\mathbf{k}\rangle, \qquad (S11)$$

$$|\Psi^{RR}\rangle \equiv R_p^X[\pi/2]R_q^X[\pi/2]|\Psi_{\text{CAS}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{RR} |\mathbf{k}\rangle.$$
(S12)

By using eq.(S9) and  $P^{Z} = |0\rangle \langle 0| - |1\rangle \langle 1|$ , we rewrite the first term in eq.(S6) as

$$\frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi_{\text{CAS}} | H_q H_p \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] H_p H_q | \Psi_{\text{CAS}} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi^{HH} | \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p P_l^Z \right] | \Psi^{HH} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi^{HH} | \left[ \prod_{l=q+1}^p \left( |0_l\rangle \langle 0_l| - |1_l\rangle \langle 1_l| \right) \right] | \Psi^{HH} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle \Psi^{HH} | (-1)^{\sum_{l=q+1}^p k_l} | \Psi^{HH} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (-1)^{\sum_{l=q+1}^p k_l} | \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{HH} |^2.$$
(S13)

As a result of the above transformation, the first term in eq.(S6) can be expressed as a function of  $|\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{HH}|^2$ . By applying similar transformations to the remaining terms in eq.(S6), and also to one-particle reduced density operators with indices such that  $p \leq q$ , each component of 1RDM can be estimated based on  $|\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$ , that is, the probability of obtaining state  $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$  by observing the unitary-transformed CAS wave function represented on the quantum circuit. Higher order RDMs can be estimated in the same way.

#### $_{26}$ S2 Estimation of the $N_{\text{sample}}$ sufficient for the chemical accuracy for

### 27 polyenes



Figure S1: The convergence behaviour of the sample-mean CASCI energy of  $1A_g^-$  state for  $C_4H_6$  and  $C_6H_8$ .

We chose the value of  $N_{\text{sample}}$  as the number of sample that is sufficient for the sample-mean CASCI energy of the  $1A_g^-$  state to converge within the chemical accuracy (1.0 kcal/mol) to the exact energy. As Fig. S1 shows, the values of  $N_{\text{sample}}$  are determined to be 100 for  $N_{\text{shot}} = 10^3$  and  $10^4$ , and 20 for  $N_{\text{shot}} = 10^5$ ,  $10^6$ .

## <sup>31</sup> S3 Least square fitting for the sampled CASCI energies and the sam-

## <sup>32</sup> pled CASPT2 energies

		A	В	$R^2$
	$1 A_g^-$	0.8806	-0.0005	0.9994
$\mathrm{C}_{4}\mathrm{H}_{6}$	$2A_g^-$	1.8318	-0.0006	0.9984
	$1\mathrm{B}^+_\mathrm{u}$	1.3736	+0.0004	0.9995
	$1 A_g^-$	1.2475	-0.0002	1.0000
$\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{8}$	$2A_g^-$	2.0686	-0.0002	0.9970
	$1B_u^+$	2.0031	-0.0012	0.9982

Table S1: Least square fitting for the sampled CASCI energies

 $_{33}$  The parameters A and B are defined as

$$\sigma(E) = A/\sqrt{N_{\text{shot}}} + B,\tag{S14}$$

and  $R^2$  is the coefficient of determination.

### <sup>35</sup> S4 Expected value of the sum of squared errors between the classical

## <sup>36</sup> CI vector and the observed vector

<sup>37</sup> A set of squared CI coefficients in a CAS wavefunction  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\psi_i\rangle$  can be considered as a set of probabilities

<sup>38</sup> P where a configuration  $|\psi_i\rangle$  is observed in the simulation of the present work,

		A	В	$R^2$
	$1 A_g^-$	0.8860	-0.0005	0.9995
$C_4H_6$	$2A_g^-$	1.8433	-0.0005	0.9985
	$1\mathrm{B}^+_\mathrm{u}$	1.3628	+0.0004	0.9995
	$1 \mathrm{A}_g^-$	1.3138	-0.0008	0.9977
$\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{8}$	$2A_g^-$	2.0022	-0.0000	0.9973
	$1B_{u}^{+}$	1.1464	+0.0028	0.9593

Table S2: Least square fitting for the sampled cu(4)-CASPT2 energies

Table S3: Least square fitting for the sampled cu(3,4)-CASPT2 energies

		A	В	$R^2$
	$1 A_g^-$	0.9522	-0.0007	0.9980
$\mathrm{C}_{4}\mathrm{H}_{6}$	$2A_g^-$	1.8392	-0.0005	0.9984
	$1\mathrm{B}^+_\mathrm{u}$	1.3923	+0.0006	0.9994
	$1 A_g^-$	1.2946	-0.0008	0.9989
$\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{8}$	$2A_g^-$	2.0621	-0.0002	0.9972
	$1B_{u}^{+}$	1.2153	+0.0024	0.9685

$$P = \{|c_1|^2, |c_2|^2, \cdots, |c_k|^2\}$$
(S15)

$$= \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k\}.$$
 (S16)

<sup>39</sup> By definition, the sum of  $p_i$  is equal to 1,

$$\sum_{i}^{k} p_k = 1. \tag{S17}$$

40 Let us assume that we perform the sampling with  $N_{\rm shot}$  and obtain an results R where  $|\psi_i\rangle$  is observed  $n_i$  times,

$$R = \{n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k\},$$
(S18)

and that R follows multinomial distribution with parameters  $N_{\rm shot}$  and P. The probability mass function (PMF)

 $_{\rm 42}~$  is given as

$$PMF(R; N_{shot}, P) = \frac{N_{shot}!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_k^{n_k}.$$
(S19)

43 The expected value for  $n_i$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[n_i]$ , and the variance for  $n_i$ ,  $var[n_i]$ , are given as

$$\mathbb{E}[n_i] = N_{\text{shot}} p_i, \tag{S20}$$

$$\operatorname{var}[n_i] = N_{\operatorname{shot}} p_i (1 - p_i). \tag{S21}$$

Here we define the observation error as the sum of squared errors (SSE) between P and  $R/N_{\rm shot}$ ,

SSE
$$(R; N_{\text{shot}}, P) = \sum_{i}^{k} (n_i / N_{\text{shot}} - p_i)^2.$$
 (S22)

45 The expected value for  $SSE(R; N_{shot}, P)$  is given by definition as

$$\mathbb{E}[SSE(R; N_{shot}, P)] = \sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_k} \left\{ PMF(R; N_{shot}, P) \sum_i^k (n_i/N_{shot} - p_i)^2 \right\}$$
(S23)

$$=\sum_{i}^{k} \mathbb{E}[(n_i/N_{\text{shot}} - p_i)^2]$$
(S24)

<sup>46</sup> The following formula transformation is performed by focusing on the term with  $(n_i/N_{\text{shot}} - p_i)^2$  of eq. (S24),

$$\mathbb{E}[(n_i/N_{\text{shot}} - p_i)^2] \tag{S25}$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_k} \text{PMF}(R; N_{\text{shot}}, P) (n_i^2 / N_{\text{shot}}^2 - 2n_i p_i / N_{\text{shot}} + p_i^2)$$
(S26)

$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}[n_i^2]}{N_{\text{shot}}^2} - \frac{2p_i \mathbb{E}[n_i]}{N_{\text{shot}}} + p_i^2 \sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_k} \text{PMF}(R; N_{\text{shot}}, P)$$
(S27)

$$=\frac{\operatorname{var}[n_i] + \mathbb{E}[n_i]^2}{N_{\text{shot}}^2} - \frac{2p_i N_{\text{shot}} p_i}{N_{\text{shot}}} + p_i^2 \cdot 1$$
(S28)

$$=\frac{N_{\rm shot}p_i(1-p_i)+N_{\rm shot}^2p_i^2}{N_{\rm shot}^2}-\frac{2p_iN_{\rm shot}p_i}{N_{\rm shot}}+p_i^2$$
(S29)

$$=\frac{p_i - p_i^2}{N_{\text{shot}}}.$$
(S30)

 $_{47}$  By summing over all *i*, the following formula is obtained,

$$\mathbb{E}[SSE(R; N_{shot}, P)] = \sum_{i}^{k} \frac{p_i - p_i^2}{N_{shot}}$$
$$= \frac{1 - \sum_{i}^{k} p_i^2}{N_{shot}}$$
(S31)

$$=\frac{1-\sum_{i}^{k}|c_{i}|^{4}}{N_{\text{shot}}}.$$
(S32)

- 48 From the inequality of the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean, it follows that the maximum value of
- <sup>49</sup>  $\mathbb{E}[SSE(R; N_{shot}, P)]$  with fixed  $N_{shot}$  and k is  $(1 \frac{1}{k})/N_{shot}$ , which is reached when  $p_i = \frac{1}{k}$  for all i.

# <sup>50</sup> S5 Geometries of $\{[Cu(NH_3)_3]_2O_2\}^{2+}$ complex along the reaction co-

#### 51 ordinate

52 0 0.0000000 0.0000000 1.14524700 53 1  $\mathbf{2}$ 0 0.0000000 0.0000000 -1.1452470054 55 3 Cu -0.164609001.39103100 0.0000000 -1.39103100 4 Cu 0.16460900 0.0000000 56 0.0000000 2.69289600 -1.502780005Ν 57 0.0000000 2.69289600 1.50278000 58  $\mathbf{6}$ Ν Ν 0.0000000 -2.69289600 1.50278000 59 7-2.69289600 Ν 0.0000000 -1.5027800060 8 Ν -2.62497900 1.61604600 0.0000000 9 61 Ν 2.62497900 -1.616046000.0000000 10 62 -3.19950700 2.46202600 0.0000000 н 11 63 3.19950700 -2.46202600 0.0000000 Η 1264 0.81100500 Η -2.938754001.07966600 1365 2.93875400 -1.07966600 -0.81100500 Η 1466 -2.938754001.07966600 -0.81100500 Η 1567 2.93875400 -1.07966600 0.81100500 Н 68 160.88252700 3.20934800 -1.53262500 Н 1769 0.88252700 3.20934800 1.53262500 Η 70 18Η -0.88252700 -3.20934800 1.53262500 1971 Н -0.88252700 -3.20934800 -1.53262500 2072 Η -0.76084000 3.37424500 -1.533926002173 -0.76084000 3.37424500 1.53392600 Η 74 2223Η 0.76084000 -3.37424500 1.53392600 75 24Η 0.76084000 -3.37424500 -1.5339260076 -0.04996700 2.13380200 -2.35872700 25Н 77 -0.04996700 2.13380200 2.35872700 Н 2678 0.04996700 -2.13380200 2.35872700 27Η 79 0.04996700 -2.13380200 -2.35872700 Η 2880 81

F = 0.0

					$1^{\circ} = 0.2$	
82 83	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	1.06233000	
84	2	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	-1.06233000	
85	3	Cu	-0.21403300	1.47433700	0.0000000	
86	4	Cu	0.21403300	-1.47433700	0.0000000	
87	5	N	0.0000000	2.76085100	-1.52335400	
88	6	N	0.0000000	2.76085100	1.52335400	
89	7	N	0.0000000	-2.76085100	1.52335400	
90	8	N	0.0000000	-2.76085100	-1.52335400	
91	9	N	-2.62667900	1.62969200	0.0000000	
92	10	N	2.62667900	-1.62969200	0.0000000	
93	11	Н	-3.19635300	2.47857100	0.0000000	
94	12	Н	3.19635300	-2.47857100	0.0000000	
95	13	Н	-2.93980200	1.09397400	0.81151900	
96	14	Н	2.93980200	-1.09397400	-0.81151900	
97	15	Н	-2.93980200	1.09397400	-0.81151900	
98	16	Н	2.93980200	-1.09397400	0.81151900	
99	17	Н	0.90469100	3.21957500	-1.56274200	
100	18	Н	0.90469100	3.21957500	1.56274200	
101	19	Н	-0.90469100	-3.21957500	1.56274200	
102	20	Н	-0.90469100	-3.21957500	-1.56274200	
103	21	Н	-0.70904000	3.48395300	-1.54782700	
104	22	Н	-0.70904000	3.48395300	1.54782700	
105	23	Н	0.70904000	-3.48395300	1.54782700	
106	24	Н	0.70904000	-3.48395300	-1.54782700	
107	25	Н	-0.09028700	2.21939400	-2.38241400	
108	26	Н	-0.09028700	2.21939400	2.38241400	
109	27	Н	0.09028700	-2.21939400	2.38241400	
<del>11</del> 9	28	Н	0.09028700	-2.21939400	-2.38241400	

#### F = 0.2

					F = 0.4	
112 113	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.97941300	
114	2	0	0.0000000	0.00000000	-0.97941300	
115	3	Cu	-0.26345700	1.55764300	0.0000000	
116	4	Cu	0.26345700	-1.55764300	0.0000000	
117	5	N	0.0000000	2.82880600	-1.54392700	
118	6	N	0.0000000	2.82880600	1.54392700	
119	7	N	0.0000000	-2.82880600	1.54392700	
120	8	N	0.0000000	-2.82880600	-1.54392700	
121	9	N	-2.62837900	1.64333900	0.0000000	
122	10	N	2.62837900	-1.64333900	0.0000000	
123	11	Н	-3.19319900	2.49511600	0.0000000	
124	12	Н	3.19319900	-2.49511600	0.0000000	
125	13	Н	-2.94085000	1.10828200	0.81203400	
126	14	Н	2.94085000	-1.10828200	-0.81203400	
127	15	Н	-2.94085000	1.10828200	-0.81203400	
128	16	Н	2.94085000	-1.10828200	0.81203400	
129	17	Н	0.92685400	3.22980200	-1.59285900	
130	18	Н	0.92685400	3.22980200	1.59285900	
131	19	Н	-0.92685400	-3.22980200	1.59285900	
132	20	Н	-0.92685400	-3.22980200	-1.59285900	
133	21	Н	-0.65724000	3.59366100	-1.56172800	
134	22	Н	-0.65724000	3.59366100	1.56172800	
135	23	Н	0.65724000	-3.59366100	1.56172800	
136	24	Н	0.65724000	-3.59366100	-1.56172800	
137	25	Н	-0.13060700	2.30498500	-2.40610100	
138	26	Н	-0.13060700	2.30498500	2.40610100	
139	27	Н	0.13060700	-2.30498500	2.40610100	
149	28	Н	0.13060700	-2.30498500	-2.40610100	

					F = 0.6	
142 143	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.89649600	
144	2	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	-0.89649600	
145	3	Cu	-0.31288200	1.64094800	0.0000000	
146	4	Cu	0.31288200	-1.64094800	0.0000000	
147	5	N	0.0000000	2.89676200	-1.56450100	
148	6	N	0.0000000	2.89676200	1.56450100	
149	7	N	0.0000000	-2.89676200	1.56450100	
150	8	N	0.0000000	-2.89676200	-1.56450100	
151	9	N	-2.63007800	1.65698500	0.0000000	
152	10	N	2.63007800	-1.65698500	0.0000000	
153	11	Н	-3.19004600	2.51166000	0.0000000	
154	12	Н	3.19004600	-2.51166000	0.0000000	
155	13	Н	-2.94189800	1.12259100	0.81254800	
156	14	Н	2.94189800	-1.12259100	-0.81254800	
157	15	Н	-2.94189800	1.12259100	-0.81254800	
158	16	Н	2.94189800	-1.12259100	0.81254800	
159	17	Н	0.94901800	3.24002900	-1.62297600	
160	18	Н	0.94901800	3.24002900	1.62297600	
161	19	Н	-0.94901800	-3.24002900	1.62297600	
162	20	Н	-0.94901800	-3.24002900	-1.62297600	
163	21	Н	-0.60543900	3.70336800	-1.57563000	
164	22	Н	-0.60543900	3.70336800	1.57563000	
165	23	Н	0.60543900	-3.70336800	1.57563000	
166	24	Н	0.60543900	-3.70336800	-1.57563000	
167	25	Н	-0.17092700	2.39057700	-2.42978700	
168	26	H	-0.17092700	2.39057700	2.42978700	
169	27	H	0.17092700	-2.39057700	2.42978700	
<del>1</del> 79	28	H	0.17092700	-2.39057700	-2.42978700	

					T = 0.0	
172 173	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.81357900	
174	2	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	-0.81357900	
175	3	Cu	-0.36230600	1.72425400	0.0000000	
176	4	Cu	0.36230600	-1.72425400	0.0000000	
177	5	Ν	0.0000000	2.96471700	-1.58507400	
178	6	Ν	0.0000000	2.96471700	1.58507400	
179	7	Ν	0.0000000	-2.96471700	1.58507400	
180	8	Ν	0.0000000	-2.96471700	-1.58507400	
181	9	Ν	-2.63177800	1.67063200	0.0000000	
182	10	Ν	2.63177800	-1.67063200	0.0000000	
183	11	Н	-3.18689200	2.52820500	0.0000000	
184	12	Н	3.18689200	-2.52820500	0.0000000	
185	13	Н	-2.94294600	1.13689900	0.81306300	
186	14	Н	2.94294600	-1.13689900	-0.81306300	
187	15	Н	-2.94294600	1.13689900	-0.81306300	
188	16	Н	2.94294600	-1.13689900	0.81306300	
189	17	Н	0.97118100	3.25025600	-1.65309300	
190	18	Н	0.97118100	3.25025600	1.65309300	
191	19	Н	-0.97118100	-3.25025600	1.65309300	
192	20	Н	-0.97118100	-3.25025600	-1.65309300	
193	21	Н	-0.55363900	3.81307600	-1.58953100	
194	22	Н	-0.55363900	3.81307600	1.58953100	
195	23	Н	0.55363900	-3.81307600	1.58953100	
196	24	Н	0.55363900	-3.81307600	-1.58953100	
197	25	Н	-0.21124700	2.47616800	-2.45347400	
198	26	Н	-0.21124700	2.47616800	2.45347400	
199	27	Н	0.21124700	-2.47616800	2.45347400	
200 201	28	Н	0.21124700	-2.47616800	-2.45347400	

F = 0.8

					I' = 1.0	
202 203	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.73066200	
204	2	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	-0.73066200	
205	3	Cu	-0.41173000	1.80756000	0.0000000	
206	4	Cu	0.41173000	-1.80756000	0.0000000	
207	5	Ν	0.0000000	3.03267200	-1.60564800	
208	6	Ν	0.0000000	3.03267200	1.60564800	
209	7	Ν	0.0000000	-3.03267200	1.60564800	
210	8	Ν	0.0000000	-3.03267200	-1.60564800	
211	9	Ν	-2.63347800	1.68427800	0.0000000	
212	10	Ν	2.63347800	-1.68427800	0.0000000	
213	11	Н	-3.18373800	2.54475000	0.0000000	
214	12	Н	3.18373800	-2.54475000	0.0000000	
215	13	Н	-2.94399400	1.15120700	0.81357700	
216	14	Н	2.94399400	-1.15120700	-0.81357700	
217	15	Н	-2.94399400	1.15120700	-0.81357700	
218	16	Н	2.94399400	-1.15120700	0.81357700	
219	17	Н	0.99334500	3.26048300	-1.68321000	
220	18	Н	0.99334500	3.26048300	1.68321000	
221	19	Н	-0.99334500	-3.26048300	1.68321000	
222	20	Н	-0.99334500	-3.26048300	-1.68321000	
223	21	Н	-0.50183900	3.92278400	-1.60343200	
224	22	Н	-0.50183900	3.92278400	1.60343200	
225	23	Н	0.50183900	-3.92278400	1.60343200	
226	24	Н	0.50183900	-3.92278400	-1.60343200	
227	25	Н	-0.25156700	2.56176000	-2.47716100	
228	26	H	-0.25156700	2.56176000	2.47716100	
229	27	H	0.25156700	-2.56176000	2.47716100	
230 231	28	Н	0.25156700	-2.56176000	-2.4//16100	

F = 1.0