# **Supporting Information**

## Nitrogen Vacancies Modulated Efficient Ammonia Desorption over

### metal-free BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> monalayer

Long Lin<sup>a</sup>, Kun Xie<sup>a</sup>, Chaozheng He<sup>b,c\*</sup>,

<sup>a</sup> Henan Key Laboratory of Materials on Deep-Earth Engineering, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, 454000, Henan, China <sup>b</sup> Institute of Environmental and Energy Catalysis, School of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Environmental and Energy Catalysis, School of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, XI an Technological University, Xi'an 710021, China

<sup>c</sup> Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Functional Materials and Devices, School of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an Technological University, Xi'an 710021, China

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail address: hecz2019@xatu.edu.cn (C. He);

Number of Pages: 9 Number of Notes:1 Number of Figures:9 Number of Tables: 9

#### Note S1. Gibbs free energy calculation

Free energies of the NRR intermediates in electrochemical reaction pathways were calculated based on the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model proposed by Nørskov et al. <sup>[1]</sup> The chemical potential of the H<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> pair was considered as half of the gas-phase H<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the change of Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) for each reaction step was given by :  $\Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box$ 

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S + \Delta G_U + \Delta G_{nH} \qquad (S-1)$$

where  $\Delta E$  is calculated directly by DFT,  $\Delta ZPE$  is the zero-point energy correction, *T* is the temperature (T = 298.15 K), and  $\Delta S$  is the change in entropy.  $\Delta G_U$  is the contribution of the electrode potential (U) to  $\Delta G$ , and  $\Delta G_{pH} = k_B T \times \ln 10 \times pH$ , where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant under standard reaction conditions.



Fig. S1 The top and side views for the relaxed structures of  $TM@BC_3N_2$  model (a) and  $V_N$ -TM@BC\_3N\_2 model (b). Colored spheres are B (pink), C (gray), N (blue) atom and Metal (jacinth).



Fig. S2 Relationship between calculated charge transfer from the catalyst to N<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta Q_{N^2(S/E)}$ ,  $\Delta Q_{V^{N-N^2(S/E)}}$ ) and charge transfer of TM before and after N<sub>2</sub> adsorption ( $\Delta Q_{TM(S/E)}$ ,  $\Delta Q_{V^{N-TM}(S/E)}$ ).



Fig. S3 Crystal Orbital Hamilton Population (COHP) between the two single atoms of nitrogen when  $N_2$  horizontal adsorption on TM@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>N</sub>-TM@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (TM=Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn).



Fig. S4 Crystal Orbital Hamilton Population (COHP) between the two single atoms of nitrogen when  $N_2$  vertical adsorption on TM@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>N</sub>-TM@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (TM=Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn).



Fig. S5 The difference charge of  $N_2$  horizontal and vertical adsorpted on TM@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (TM=Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn). The isosurface value is 0.003 e/Å. The bule region represents the electron accumulation, while yellow blue region corresponds the electron loss.



Fig. S6 (a)-(d) The density of states (DOS) plots of  $N_2$  end-on and side-on adsorption on Fe/V<sub>N</sub>-Fe@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> system.



Fig. S7 Molecular orbital diagram of nitrogen.



Fig. S8. (a) and (b) are the PDOS of two single atoms of nitrogen and the Crystal orbital Hamilton population (COHP) between N-N of adsorbed  $N_2$  when  $N_2$  end-on adsorption on Fe/V<sub>N</sub>-Fe@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S9. Free energy diagrams for  $N_2$  reduction on Fe/V<sub>N</sub>-Fe@BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> through (a) enzymatic, (b) alternating, and (c) distal mechanisms.

DC N	a (Å)	$d_1$ (Å)	$d_2$ (Å)	α (°)	β (°)	γ (°)
$BC_3N_2$	4.277	1.395	1.482	120.0	117.9	124.2

**Table S1** Summary of lattice constant *a*, *b*, bond length  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and bond angle  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  (see Fig. 1(a)) for BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>.

**Table S2** The calculated results for Sc to Zn adsorbed on  $BC_3N_2$  monolayer. The adsorption energy, Bader charge and bond length between TM and the closest B, C, N atoms.

Configurations	$E(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{V})$	Bader charge		Bond length (Å)	
Metal-site	$E_{b}(ev)$	(e)	Metal-B	Metal-C	Metal-N
Sc-T <sub>B</sub>	-2.10	-0.961	2.320	2.354	3.159
Sc-H	-2.65	-1.319	2.567	2.140	2.330
Sc-T <sub>N</sub>	-3.29	-1.179	3.062	2.203	2.240
Ti-T <sub>B</sub>	-2.32	-0.811	2.233	2.294	3.11
Ti-H	-2.80	-0.866	2.364	2.09	2.45
Ti-T <sub>N</sub>	-3.47	-1.056	2.97	2.104	2.149
V-T <sub>B</sub>	-1.96	-0.65	2.167	2.262	3.089
V-H	-2.12	-0.732	2.303	2.099	2.516
V-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.65	-0.891	2.975	2.063	2.067
Cr-T <sub>B</sub>	-0.74	-0.357	2.235	2.539	3.259
Cr-B <sub>B-C</sub>	-0.90	-0.393	2.46	2.107	2.964
Cr-H	-0.88	-0.397	2.283	2.106	2.787
Cr-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.36	-0.711	2.969	2.076	2.198
Mn-T <sub>B</sub>	-0.74	-0.443	2.224	2.449	3.206
Mn-B <sub>B-C</sub>	-0.75	-0.43	2.279	2.189	3.053
Mn-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.28	-0.619	3.01	2.146	2.202
Fe-T <sub>B</sub>	-1.36	-0.296	2.048	2.168	2.957
Fe-T <sub>C</sub>	-1.38	-0.21	2.316	1.955	2.822
Fe-H	-1.68	-0.4	2.166	2.027	2.447
Fe-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.89	-0.48	2.94	2.073	2.124
Co-T <sub>B</sub>	-2.18	-0.318	1.92	2.066	2.93
Co-B <sub>B-C</sub>	-1.95	-0.052	2.152	1.893	2.806
Со-Н	-2.37	-0.29	2.07	1.952	2.415
Co-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.55	-0.35	2.861	1.94	2.177
Ni-T <sub>B</sub>	-2.23	-0.141	1.935	2.236	2.035
Ni-B <sub>B-C</sub>	-2.62	0.025	2.092	1.862	2.783
Ni-H	-2.65	-0.167	2.253	1.954	2.371

Ni-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.52	-0.285	2.0894	2.021	2.207
Cu-T <sub>B</sub>	-1.27	0.148	2.074	2.815	3.481
Cu-T <sub>C</sub>	-1.37	-0.065	2.720	1.965	2.773
Cu-T <sub>N</sub>	-0.78	-0.187	3.044	2.248	2.328
Zn-T <sub>B</sub>	-0.16	-0.015	3.033	3.372	3.393
Zn-B <sub>B-C</sub>	-0.16	-0.021	3.122	3.146	3.578
Zn-B <sub>C-N</sub>	-0.15	-0.026	3.549	3.111	3.147
Zn-T <sub>C</sub>	-0.15	-0.025	3.348	3.055	3.379
Zn-H	-0.17	-0.027	3.261	3.273	3.030
Zn-T <sub>N</sub>	-0.14	-0.025	3.905	3.356	3.095

**Table S3** The anchor atoms adsorption energy  $(E_b)$ , the charge transfer  $(\Delta Q_{\rm TM})$  of TM atom anchored to the BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> nanosheet, the migration barrier of the TM on the substrate surface  $(E_{\rm bar})$ , the nitrogen vacancy formation energy  $(E_{\rm Vn})$  directly below the TM anchoring site.

TM site	$E_{\rm b}({\rm eV})$	$ riangle Q_{ ext{TM}}$	$E_{\rm bar}({\rm eV})$	$E_{\rm VN}~({\rm eV})$
Sc-T <sub>N</sub>	-3.29	-1.179	1.15	1.24
Ti-T <sub>N</sub>	-3.47	-1.056	0.75	0.26
V-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.65	-0.891	0.61	0.06
Cr-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.36	-0.711	0.53	0.29
Mn-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.28	-0.619	0.47	0.12
Fe-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.89	-0.48	0.29	-0.37
Co-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.55	-0.35	0.48	-0.05
Ni-H	-2.65	-0.167	0.09	/
Cu-T <sub>C</sub>	-1.37	-0.065	0.31	/
Zn-H	-0.18	-0.027	0.01	/

**Table S4** The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption energy  $(E_{ad(E)/(S)})$  of TM atom anchored to the BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> nanosheet.

TM site	N <sub>2</sub> site	$E_{ad(E)}$	$E_{\rm ad-VN(E)}$	N <sub>2</sub> site	$E_{\rm ad(S)}$	$E_{\rm ad-VN(S)}$
Sc-T <sub>N</sub>	E	-0.75	-0.54	S	-0.61	-0.37

Ti-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.20	-0.87	-1.14	-0.69
$V-T_N$	-1.35	-1.16	-1.23	-0.90
Cr-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.06	-1.31	-0.90	-0.97
Mn-T <sub>N</sub>	-0.96	-1.11	-0.78	-0.80
Fe-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.46	-0.97	-1.29	-0.58
Co-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.47	-0.79	-1.10	-0.81
Ni-H	-1.38	-	-0.86	-
Cu-T <sub>C</sub>	-0.77	-	-0.77	-
Zn-H	-0.03	-	-0.02	-

=

**Table S5** The TM atoms' charge transfer ( $\triangle Q_{\text{TM}}$ ) of TM atom anchored to the BC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> nanosheet, TM charge transfer ( $\triangle Q_{\text{TM}(S)}$ ,  $\triangle Q_{\text{TM}(E)}$ ) during nitrogen horizontal and vertical adsorption, TM charge transfer ( $\triangle Q_{\text{VN}^-\text{TM}}$ ) in the presence of intrinsic nitrogen defects, the charge transfer of TM ( $\triangle Q_{\text{VN}^-\text{TM}(S)}$ ,  $\triangle Q_{\text{VN}^-\text{TM}(E)}$ ) after nitrogen horizontal adsorption and vertical adsorption in the presence of intrinsic nitrogen defects.

TM site	$ riangle Q_{ ext{TM}}$	$ riangle Q_{\mathrm{TM(S)}}$	$ riangle Q_{ ext{TM(E)}}$	$ riangle Q_{\text{VN-TM}}$	$ riangle Q_{\text{VN-TM(S)}}$	$ riangle Q_{\text{VN-TM(E)}}$
Sc-T <sub>N</sub>	-1.179	-1.516	-1.43	-1.491	-1.64	-1.634
$Ti-T_N$	-1.056	-1.456	-1.343	-1.447	-1.626	-1.595
$V-T_N$	-0.891	-1.281	-1.163	-1.2831	-1.477	-1.432
Cr-T <sub>N</sub>	-0.711	-1.118	-0.973	-1.014	-1.246	-1.243
$Mn-T_N$	-0.619	-0.985	-0.86	-0.822	-1.025	-0.999
Fe-T <sub>N</sub>	-0.48	-0.735	-0.734	-0.616	-0.807	-0.792
$Co-T_N$	-0.35	-0.599	-0.567	-0.497	-0.718	-0.668
Ni-H	-0.167	-0.478	-0.432	-	-	-
Cu-T <sub>C</sub>	-0.065	-0.453	-0.466	-	-	-
Zn-H	-0.027	-0.045	-0.043	-	-	-

**Table S6** The N<sub>2</sub> charge transfer  $(\triangle Q_{N_2(S)}, \triangle Q_{N_2(E)})$  during nitrogen horizontal and vertical adsorption, the charge transfer of N<sub>2</sub>  $(\triangle Q_{V_N-N_2(S)}, \triangle Q_{V_N-N_2(E)})$  after nitrogen horizontal adsorption and vertical adsorption in the presence of intrinsic nitrogen defects.

TM-site	$ riangle Q_{ m N2(S)}$	$ riangle Q_{ m N2(E)}$	$ riangle Q_{ ext{VN-N2(S)}}$	$ riangle Q_{ ext{VN-N2(E)}}$
Sc-T <sub>N</sub>	0.554	0.361	0.396	0.297
Ti-T <sub>N</sub>	0.609	0.439	0.487	0.327
$V-T_N$	0.637	0.397	0.522	0.341
Cr-T <sub>N</sub>	0.614	0.399	0.508	0.375
$Mn-T_N$	0.575	0.390	0.463	0.303
Fe-T <sub>N</sub>	0.508	0.436	0.392	0.346
Co-T <sub>N</sub>	0.478	0.399	0.369	0.289
Ni-H	0.396	0.318	-	-
Cu-T <sub>C</sub>	0.209	0.202	-	-
Zn-H	0.018	0.015	-	-

Table S7 The variation of N–N bond lengths in each configureations.

configurations	$\triangle d_{\text{N-N}}$	configureations	$ riangle d_{ ext{N-N}}$	configureations	$ riangle d_{ ext{N-N}}$	configureations	$ riangle d_{ ext{N-N}}$
Sc <sub>(S)</sub>	4.95%	Sc <sub>(E)</sub>	2.33%	$V_N$ -Sc $_{(S)}$	3.26%	V <sub>N-</sub> Sc <sub>(E)</sub>	1.78%
Ti <sub>(S)</sub>	5.93%	Ti <sub>(E)</sub>	2.97%	$V_N$ - $Ti_{(S)}$	4.48%	$V_{N-}Ti_{(E)}$	2.04%
$V_{(S)}$	6.91%	$V_{(E)}$	2.67%	$V_N$ - $V_{(S)}$	5.13%	$V_{N}-V_{(E)}$	2.21%
Cr <sub>(S)</sub>	7.14%	Cr <sub>(E)</sub>	2.84%	$V_N$ - $Cr_{(S)}$	5.50%	$V_{N}$ - $Cr_{(E)}$	2.26%
Mn <sub>(S)</sub>	6.91%	Mn <sub>(E)</sub>	2.86%	$V_N$ - $Mn_{(S)}$	5.36%	$V_{N-}Mn_{(E)}$	2.15%
Fe <sub>(S)</sub>	6.79%	Fe <sub>(E)</sub>	2.78%	$V_N$ -Fe <sub>(S)</sub>	4.26%	V <sub>N-</sub> Fe <sub>(E)</sub>	2.40%
Co <sub>(S)</sub>	6.27%	Co <sub>(E)</sub>	2.60%	V <sub>N-</sub> Co <sub>(S)</sub>	3.30%	V <sub>N</sub> -Co <sub>(E)</sub>	2.06%
Ni <sub>(S)</sub>	4.87%	Ni <sub>(E)</sub>	2.14%				
Cu <sub>(S)</sub>	1.52%	Cu <sub>(E)</sub>	1.58%				
Zn <sub>(S)</sub>	0.06%	Zn <sub>(E)</sub>	0.06%				

Table S8 The computed ICOHPs for all  $N_2$  adsorption configurations and the ICOHPs ( $\triangle_{ICOHP}$ ) difference between  $N_2$  adsorption configurations and isolated  $N_2$  molecule.

Configurations	ICOHP	△ICOHP	Configurations	ICOHP	△ICOHP
Sc <sub>(E)</sub>	-18.08	4.83	Sc <sub>(S)</sub>	-20.4	2.52
Ti <sub>(E)</sub>	-15.35	7.56	Ti <sub>(S)</sub>	-19.79	3.12
V <sub>(E)</sub>	-16.51	6.41	$V_{(S)}$	-19.28	3.63
Cr <sub>(E)</sub>	-21.22	1.7	Cr <sub>(S)</sub>	-18.85	4.07
Mn <sub>(E)</sub>	-21.11	1.8	Mn <sub>(S)</sub>	-19.08	3.83
Fe <sub>(E)</sub>	-21.01	1.91	Fe <sub>(S)</sub>	-19.21	3.71
Co <sub>(E)</sub>	-21.13	1.78	Co <sub>(S)</sub>	-	-
Configurations	ICOHP	△ICOHP	Configurations	ICOHP	△ICOHP
$V_{N-}Sc_{(E)}$	-20.50	2.42	V <sub>N-</sub> Sc <sub>(S)</sub>	-21.57	1.35

$V_{N-}Ti_{(E)}$	-19.80	3.12	$V_{N-}Ti_{(S)}$	-20.80	2.12
$V_{N}V_{(E)}$	-20.37	2.55	$V_{N}V_{(S)}$	-20.30	2.61
$V_{N-}Cr_{(E)}$	-21.76	1.16	$V_{N}$ - $Cr_{(S)}$	-19.88	3.04
$V_{N}Mn_{(E)}$	-21.83	1.08	$V_{N}$ - $Mn_{(S)}$	-20.16	2.76
$V_{N}$ -Fe (E)	-21.43	1.48	$V_{N}$ -Fe $_{(S)}$	-20.33	2.58
V <sub>N-</sub> Co (E)	-21.72	1.20	V <sub>N-</sub> Co <sub>(S)</sub>	-	-

Table S9 Cohesion energy of metal quadruple cluster and binding energy of transition metal on  $BC_3N_2$  surface, where  $\Delta E_{M}$  and  $\Delta E_{VN-M}$  are the

	- ()	E <sub>VN-M@BC3N2</sub>	Cohesive (eV)			
Metal-site	$E_{M@BC3N2}(eV)$		cluster of 4 atoms	$\Delta E_{\rm M}$	$\Delta E_{\rm VN-M}$	
V-T <sub>N</sub>	-2.65	-2.59	-2.15	-0.5	-0.44	
Cr- T <sub>N</sub>	-1.363	-1.071	-1.06	-0.303	-0.011	
Mn- $T_{\rm N}$	-1.275	-1.158	-1.13	-0.145	-0.028	
Fe- T <sub>N</sub>	-1.892	-2.265	-2.17	0.278	-0.095	
Co- T <sub>N</sub>	-2.549	-2.596	-2.25	-0.299	-0.346	

#### References

S1 L. Fu, R. Wang, C. Zhao, J. Huo, C. He, K-H. Kim, W. Zhang, Construction of Cr-embedded graphyne electrocatalyst for highly selective reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub>: A DFT study, Chem. Eng. J. 414 (2021) 128857.