Supplementary Information

Probing the hierarchical dynamics of DNA-sperm nuclear transition protein complex through fuzzy interaction and mesoscale condensation

Shangqiang Xie¹, Congran Yue¹, Sheng Ye^{1,2}*, Zhenlu Li^{1,2}*

¹School of Life Science, Tianjin University, 92 Weijin Road, Tianjin 300072, China.
²Frontiers Science Center for Synthetic Biology (Ministry of Education), Tianjin Key Laboratory of Function and Application of Biological Macromolecular Structures, Tianjin University, 92 Weijin Road, Tianjin 300072, China



Figure S1: Structural prediction of TNP1. (a) Prediction of disorder regions via PONDR web server (http://www.pondr.com/pondr-tut2). (b) Structural prediction of TNP1 via AlphaFold 2 indicates overall intrinsic disorder. A small helix is predicted between residues 38 to 49, but the confidence is low.



Figure S2: Expression and Purification TNP1. (a)Plasmid construct. (b) Anion-exchange chromatography with a HiTrap S column. (c) SDS-Page of MBP-TNP1,TEV cut MBP-tag and TNP1.



Figure S3: Influence of Salt Concentration on the Association of TNP1 (4 μ M) with 177 bp DNA. PBS-T buffers were supplemented with additional NaCl to elevate the salt concentration by increments of 10 mM, 100 mM, and 500 mM, respectively.

Table S1: List of computational systems

Conventional MD Simulation					
	1 st TNP1	2 nd TNP1	3 rd TNP1	4 th TNP1	
Time	100 ns	100 ns	100 ns	100 ns	
Repeat	3	3	3	3	
Number of TNP1	1	2	3	4	
Number of DNA	1	1	1	1	
Number of water	~ 69500				
Number of ions 455		436	417	398	

MetaDynamics & Conventional MD Simulation					
	MetaDynamics Simulation	Convential Simulation			
Time	20 ns	30 ns			
Repeat	2	20			
Number of TNP1	1				
Number of DNA	1				
Number of water	~ 70000				
Number of ions	455				

WCA potential based MesoMD Simulation						
	R _{+/-} = 0.3	R _{+/-} = 0.5	R _{+/-} = 0.7	R _{+/-} = 1.0	R _{+/-} = 1.4	
Time	100 ns					
Repeat	3					
Number of TNP1	30	60	90	120	180	
Number of DNA	30					
Number of water	Implict Solvent					
Number of ions	2970	3540	4110	4680	5820	

		F	irst TNP1	ر مربع	~5 ^{~~} ~ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$			Seco	ond TNP1	Č.	usra ARDO
	Van der Wall Energy	Electrostatic energy	Polar solvation Energy	SASA Energy	Binding Energy		Van der Wall Energy	Electrostatic energy	Polar solvation Energy	SASA Energy	Binding Energy
#1	-651.540 +/- 76.650	-8829.372 +/- 181.557	4639.679 +/- 283.160	-93.792 +/- 7.023	-4935.025 +/- 79.020	#1	-587.869 +/- 63.608	-6999.452 +/-156.402	3992.172 +/- 297.726	-73.851 +/- 6.181	-3669.00 +/- 182.7
#2	-378.791 +/- 52.874	-8660.352 +/-198.607	3346.314 +/- 273.093	-68.419 +/- 4.411	-5461.248 +/- 179.771	#2	-711.902 +/- 38.798	-6996.487 +/-144.507	4092.904 +/- 279.643	-86.490 +/- 4.466	-3701.97 +/- 148.7
#3	-324.959 +/- 39.065	-8887.285 +/- 243.068	3932.756 +/- 347.091	-62.877 +/- 5.524	-5342.365 +/- 123.070	#3	-370.879 +/- 38.185	-7013.783 +/- 117.377	3529.047 +/- 120.218	-51.000 +/- 2.596	-3906.61 +/- 77.90
	1					1					3750.10
Average				۲	-5246.212 +/-275.97	Average				, P	+/-128.7
Average				۲	-5246.212 +/-275.97	Average				n R	+/-128.7:
Average	Van der	T	hird TNP1	SASA	-5246.212 +/-275.97	Average	Van der	Four	th TNP1	SASA	-3739.19 +/-128.72
Average	Van der Wall Energy	T Electrostatic energy	hird TNP1 Polar solvation Energy	SASA Energy	-5246.212 +/-275.97	Average	Van der Wall Energy	Four	th TNP1 Polar solvation Energy	SASA Energy	Binding Energy
Average #1	Van der Wall Energy -486.518 +/- 84.552	T Electrostatic energy -3891.004 +/- 546.778	Polar solvation Energy 1808.582 +/- 696.887	SASA Energy -61.371 +/- 10.907	-5246.212 +/-275.97 Binding Energy -2630.310 +/-269.427	Average	Van der Wall Energy -595.611 +/- 58.761	Four Electrostatic energy -3160.143 +/-87.944	Polar solvation Energy 2844.414 +/- 231.137	SASA Energy -74.129 +/- 7.279	-3135.19 +/-128.7 Binding Energy -984.469 +/- 168.6
Average #1 #2	Van der Wall Energy -486.518 +/- 84.552 -462.690 +/- 56.904	T Electrostatic energy -3891.004 +/- 546.778 -4932.031 +/-117.716	hird TNP1 Polar solvation Energy 1808.582 +/- 696.887 3494.612 +/- 216.437	SASA Energy -61.371 +/- 10.907 -66.063 +/- 5.541	-5246.212 +/-275.97 Binding Energy -2630.310 +/- 269.427 -1966.173 +/-116.028	Average #1 #2	Van der Wall Energy -595.611 +/- 58.761 -362.525 +/- 52.674	Four Electrostatic energy -3160.143 +/-87.944 -2603.994 +/-113.947	th TNP1 Polar solvation Energy 2844.414 +/- 231.137 2361.422 +/- 255.034	SASA Energy -74.129 +/- 7.279 -53.504 +/- 4.802	Binding Energy -984.469 +/- 168.60 -658.601 +/- 171.60
Average #1 #2 #3	Van der Wall Energy -486.518 +/- 84.552 -462.690 +/- 56.904 -628.160 +/- 83.321	T Electrostatic energy -3891.004 +/- 546.778 -4932.031 +/-117.716 -5029.440 +/- 150.782	hird TNP1 Polar solvation Energy 1808.582 +/- 696.887 3494.612 +/- 216.437 3765.859 +/- 334.494	SASA Energy -61.371 +/- 10.907 -66.063 +/- 5.541 -84.525 +/- 7.614	-5246.212 +/-275.97 Binding Energy -2630.310 +/- 269.427 -1966.173 +/- 116.028 -1976.267 +/- 155.080	Average #1 #2 #3	Van der Wall Energy -595.611 +/- 58.761 -362.525 +/- 52.674 -224.661 +/- 27.328	Four Electrostatic energy -3160.143 +/-87.944 -2603.994 +/-113.947 -2287.626 +/- 47.519	th TNP1 Polar solvation Energy 2844.414 +/- 231.137 2361.422 +/- 255.034 1758.875 +/- 153.874	SASA Energy -74.129 +/- 7.279 -53.504 +/- 4.802 -35.141 +/- 3.339	+/-128.7 +/-128.7 Binding Energy -984.465 +/- 168.60 +/- 171.61 -789.052 +/- 134.92

third, and fourth TNP1 to a DNA molecule (40 bp). Solute dielectric constant is set as 8.0. Energy unit is KJ/mol.



Figure S5: Final configurations of TNP1-DNA complex in 20 sets of MD simulations.



Figure S6: Depictions of arginine and lysine insertion into DNA grooves. A minimum distance threshold of 5 angstroms was utilized to determine the insertion of either the guanidinium group of arginine or the ε -amino group of lysine into the DNA groove based on their proximity to the O2 of thymine.



Figure S7: A segment (marked in purple, orange, and green) consists of arbitrary 10 connected base pairs. In each segment, the heavy atoms of the DNA backbone (adenine (A) and guanine (G) for purine bases, and thymine (T) and cytosine (C) for pyrimidine bases) are chosen, and the geometric center of the segment is calculated. The bending angle is defined as the cross angle between the vector connecting the center of $(i-1)^{th}$ and i^{th} segment and the vector connecting the center of i^{th} and $(i+1)^{th}$ segment.



Figure S8: CD spectrum of TNP1. The signal intensity is low, and DichroWeb analysis (http://dichroweb.cryst.bbk.ac.uk/html/home.shtml) indicates that TNP1 lacks secondary structure. The black line represents a typical CD spectrum of collagen-like triple-helical secondary structure, which is characterized by a positive peak at 221 nm and a negative peak at 198 nm.



Figure S9: AFM images of (a) pure DNA (1.5 μ M/bp) and (b) DNA-TNP1 complex (DNA of 0.3 μ M/bp and TNP1 of 0.1 μ M)



Figure S10: Extraction of DNA contour from AFM images.



5 μ M eGFP-TNP1+ 120 μ M/bp DNA

Figure S11: Fluorescence imaging of 120 μ M/bp DNA mixing with 5 μ M eGFP-TNP1.



Figure S12: Fluorescence images under different experimental conditions. (a) Fluorescent images depicting the mixture of 10 μ M eGFP-TNP1 with either 10 μ M or 100 μ M ATP. (b) Fluorescent images of the mixture of eGFP-TNP1 2-1 or eGFP-TNP1 2-2 with 177 bp DNA. Various R_{+/-} ratios were measured in all cases, revealing either no or very weak phenomena of droplet formation. Two representative images are presented here. (c) Addition of 25 μ M or 200 μ M Mg²⁺ into preformed droplet systems of eGFP-TNP1: 177 bp DNA mixture.

DNA Length	Assays
40 bp	Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulation
177 bp	Gel Electrophoresis, Bio-layer Interferometry, Measurement of ζ -Potential,
	CD Spectrum, Dynamic Light Scattering, Fluorescence Imaging
3043 bp	Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

Table S2: Utilization of Various DNA in the Conducted Assays