Direct Z-scheme WO₃/Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) heterostructure for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen peroxide production in water

Yepeng Yang, Yuan Li, Xiaoqian Ma, Lanxin Xie, Die Lv, Liang Jiang, Jiao He, Daomei

Chen, Jiaqiang Wang*

School of Chemical Sciences & Technology, National Center for International Research on Photoelectric and Energy Materials, Yunnan Province Engineering Research Center of Photocatalytic Treatment of Industrial Wastewater, School of Materials and Energy, School of Engineering, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, P. R. China.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-871-65031567

Fax: +86-871-65031567

E-mail address: jqwang@ynu.edu.cn (J. Wang)



Fig. S1. FT-IR survey spectra of WO₃, WO₃-NH₂, Tp, WO₃/Tp and WO₃/Tp-TAPB



Fig. S2. (a) Pore size distributions estimated by BJH of WO₃; (b) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of Tp-TAPB; (c) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of 5WO₃/Tp-TAPB; (d) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of 10WO₃/Tp-TAPB; (e) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of 20WO₃/Tp-TAPB; (f) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of 30WO₃/Tp-TAPB; (g) Pore size distributions estimated by NLDFT of 40WO₃/Tp-TAPB



Fig. S3. SEM and image of (a) $5WO_3/Tp$ -TAPB; (b) $10WO_3/Tp$ -TAPB; (c) $30WO_3/Tp$ -TAPB; (d) $40WO_3/Tp$ -TAPB



Fig. S4. (a) Mott-Schottky plots of WO_3 , (b) Mott-Schottky plots of Tp-TAPB



Fig. S5. TRPL spectra of WO $_3$, Tp-TAPB and 20WO $_3$ /Tp-TAPB



Fig. S6. LSV curves of WO₃, Tp-TAPB and 20WO₃/Tp-TAPB obtained using an RRDE with a rotating speed of 1600 rpm



Fig. S7. AQY values of 20WO₃/Tp-TAPB under different monochromatic light irradiation and UV-visible DRS spectra



Fig. S8. XRD pattern of 20WO₃/Tp-TAPB before and after photocatalytic reaction



Fig. S9. FT-IR survey spectra of $20WO_3$ /Tp-TAPB before and after photocatalytic reaction



Fig. S10. The photocatalytic H_2O_2 production over 20WO₃/Tp-TAPB in tap water and lake water (Condition: 5 mg catalyst, 10 mL $H_2O + O_2$, LED light ($\lambda > 420$ nm))



Fig. S11. The removal efficiency of COD by H_2O_2 , UV and H_2O_2/UV (Raw water COD concentration = 110mg/L, 50 mL Coking water + 5 mL H_2O_2)

Samples	BET surface areas (m ² /g)	
WO ₃	7.02	
Тр-ТАРВ	608.26	
5WO ₃ / Tp-TAPB	547.15	
10WO ₃ / Tp-TAPB	489.89	
20WO ₃ / Tp-TAPB	306.60	
30WO ₃ / Tp-TAPB	301.89	
40WO ₃ / Tp-TAPB	246.37	

Table S1. BET surface areas of the samples

Samples	WO ₃ composition (%)	
5WO₃/Tp-TAPB	3.08	
10WO ₃ /Tp-TAPB	11.62	
20WO ₃ /Тр-ТАРВ	21.92	
30WO ₃ /Тр-ТАРВ	26.29	
40WO₃/Tp-TAPB	34.60	

Table S2. The WO₃ composition of WO₃/Tp-TAPB

·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
Samples	H_2O_2 generation rate	Solvent	References
CHF-DPDA	256 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	1
TPB-DMTP-COF	2882 µmol∙g⁻¹∙h⁻¹	H ₂ O	2
COF-TfpBpy	1970 μmol·L ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	3
TiCOF-spn	489.94 µmol∙g ⁻¹ ∙h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	4
HEP-TAPT-COF	87.50 µmol∙h⁻¹	H ₂ O	5
TAPB-PDA-H ₂	1349.3 µmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	6
TAPB-PDA-OH	1841.3 µmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	6
TAPB-PDA-OCH ₃	869.1 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	6
TAPB-PDA-CH ₃	857.8 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	6
TAPD-(Me) ₂ COF	234.52 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O + EtOH	7
CTF-NS-5BT	1630 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	$H_2O + BA$	8
TpMa/CN-5	880.46 μmol·L ⁻¹	H ₂ O + IPA	9
TiO ₂ /COF	740 μmol·L ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	furfuryl alcohol	10
ZnIn ₂ S ₄ /TpPa-1	516 µmol·L⁻¹ (2h)	H ₂ O + EtOH	11
CDs@CTFs	535.41 µmol∙g ⁻¹ ∙h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	12
ZnO/COF	2443 µmol∙g ⁻¹ ∙h ⁻¹	H ₂ O + EtOH	13
MnIn ₂ S ₄ /WO ₃	1188 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	14
ZnO/WO₃	6788 μmol·L ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O + EtOH	15
KCN10/WO₃	1.33 mmol/L	H ₂ O + EtOH	16
Au/WO ₃	544 μM	H ₂ O + MeOH	17
Тр-ТАРВ	528.3 μmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	This work
20WO ₃ /Tp-TAPB	1488.4 µmol∙g ⁻¹ ∙h ⁻¹	H ₂ O	This work

Table S3. Comparison of photocatalytic H_2O_2 production with other photocatalysts

References:

- H. Cheng, H. Lv, J. Cheng, L. Wang, X. Wu and H. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, e2107480.
- 2. L. J. Li, L. P. Xu, Z. F. Hu and J. C. Yu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2106120.
- J. N. Chang, Q. Li, J. W. Shi, M. Zhang, L. Zhang, S. Li, Y. Chen, S. L. Li and Y. Q. Lan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2023, 62, e202218868.
- 4. W.-K. Han, H.-S. Lu, J.-X. Fu, X. Liu, X. Zhu, X. Yan, J. Zhang, Y. Jiang, H. Dong and Z.-G. Gu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **449**, 137802.
- D. Chen, W. Chen, Y. Wu, L. Wang, X. Wu, H. Xu and L. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2022, **135**, e202217479.
- Y. P. Yang, J. X. Kang, Y. Li, J. J. Liang, J. X. Liang, L. Jiang, D. M. Chen, J. He, Y. J. Chen and J. Q. Wang, *New. J. Chem.*, 2022, 46, 21605-21614.
- C. Krishnaraj, H. Sekhar Jena, L. Bourda, A. Laemont, P. Pachfule, J. Roeser, C.
 V. Chandran, S. Borgmans, S. M. J. Rogge, K. Leus, C. V. Stevens, J. A. Martens,
 V. Van Speybroeck, E. Breynaert, A. Thomas and P. Van Der Voort, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 20107-20116.
- X. Yu, B. Viengkeo, Q. He, X. Zhao, Q. Huang, P. Li, W. Huang and Y. Li, *Adv. Sustain. Syst.*, 2021, 5, 2100184.
- H. Wang, E. Almatrafi, Z. Wang, Y. Yang, T. Xiong, H. Yu, H. Qin, H. Yang, Y. He,
 C. Zhou, G. Zeng and P. Xu, J. Colloid. Interface. Sci., 2022, 608, 1051-1063.
- Y. Yang, J. Liu, M. Gu, B. Cheng, L. Wang and J. Yu, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2023, **333**, 122780.
- G. Xia, J. Qiu, L. Zhang, D. Dai and J. Yao, *Colloid. Surface. A.*, 2023, 664, 131124.
- W. J. Ren, Q. Chang, N. Li, J. L. Yang and S. L. Hu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, 451, 139035.
- Y. Zhang, J. Y. Qiu, B. C. Zhu, M. V. Fedin, B. Cheng, J. G. Yu and L. Y. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 444, 136584.
- 14. X. Dang, S. Wu and H. Zhao, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2022, 10, 4161-

4172.

- Z. Jiang, B. Cheng, Y. Zhang, S. Wageh, A. A. Al Ghamdi, J. Yu and L. Wang, J. Mater. Sci. Technol., 2022, **124**, 193-201.
- J. H. Shi, Z. C. Liu, Y. F. Luo, C. L. Guo, Y. Z. Li, T. T. Yang, C. K. Ju, H. Wang, X. T. Li and Z. Fan, *Colloid. Surface. A.*, 2021, 623, 126758.
- 17. Y. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Zhao, M. Chen, X. Huang and Y. Xu, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2021, **284**, 119691.