# **Supporting Information for**

# Formoxyboranes as hydroborane surrogates for catalyzed carbonyl reduction through transfer hydroboration

Gabriel Durin,<sup>†</sup> R. Martin Romero,<sup>†</sup> Timothé Godou, Clément Chauvier, Pierre Thuéry, Emmanuel Nicolas and Thibault Cantat\*

Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, NIMBE, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex (France).

E-mail: thibault.cantat@cea.fr

#### Table of contents

1	General considerations	1
2	Oligomer synthesis	1
3	Formoxyborane synthesis	2
4	NMR spectra of formoxyboranes	4
5	Crystallography1	1
6	Screening of conditions	4
7	General procedures	5
7	.1 General Procedure for NMR Scale reactions (GP1)	5
7	2 General Procedure for product hydrolysis reactions (GP2) 1	5
8	Characterization of hydroborylated compounds1	6
9	NMR Spectra of hydroborated compounds	6
10	Characterization of isolated hydrolyzed products 4	6
11	NMR Spectra of hydrolyzed product	6
12	Evidence for the formation of CO <sub>2</sub> during the reaction	8
13	Evidence of the crucial role of the N–H function	.9
14	Experimental evidence of ruthenium monohydride species	0
15	Ketone hydroboration with isolated Ru( $\eta^1$ -OAc)(H)(CO)( <i>mer</i> - $\kappa^3$ -PN <sup>H</sup> P <sup>Ph</sup> ) as catalyst5	2
16	Computational details and structures	3
1	6.1 General considerations	3
1	6.2 Alternative intermediates and transition states	3
1	6.3 Three lowest frequencies and energies for all computed structures	3
17	References	4

#### **1** General considerations

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were performed in a recirculating *mBraun LabMaster DP* inert atmosphere (Ar) drybox and vacuum Schlenk lines. Glassware were dried overnight at 120 °C. NMR spectra were recorded in a Bruker Avance Neo 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported as ppm downfield from residual solvent peaks. The following calibrations were used: CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  = 7.26 and 77.16 ppm, THF-*d*8  $\delta$  = 3.58, 1.72 and 67.21, 25.31 ppm, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>  $\delta = 7.16$  and 128.06 ppm, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\delta = 5.32$  and 53.84 ppm. 4Å molecular sieves (Aldrich) were dried under dynamic vacuum at 250 °C for 48 h prior to use. Deuterated solvents were dried and stored under molecular sieves.  $fac-[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)(\kappa^2-OAc)(\kappa^3-PN^HP^{Ph})]$  (2a),<sup>[1]</sup>  $fac-[Ru(\kappa^1-DAc)(\kappa^2-OAc)(\kappa^3-PN^HP^{Ph})]$  (2b),<sup>[1]</sup>  $fac-[Ru(\kappa^1-DAc)(\kappa^2-DAc)(\kappa^3-PN^HP^{Ph})]$  (2b),<sup>[1]</sup>  $fac-[Ru(\kappa^1-DAc)(\kappa^2-DAc)(\kappa^3-PN^HP^{Ph})]$  (2c),<sup>[1]</sup>  $fac-[Ru(\kappa^1-DAc)(\kappa^2-DAc)$  $OAc)(\kappa^2 - OAc)(\kappa^3 - PN^{Me}P^{Ph})]$  (2a-Me)<sup>[1]</sup> and  $[Ru(\eta^1 - OAc)(H)(CO)(mer - \kappa^3 - PN^{H}P^{Ph})]$  (2b)<sup>[1]</sup> were synthesized according to literature procedures. HCO<sub>2</sub>H (99 %, highest grade commercially available), triethylamine, quinolone, pyridine and 4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) were obtained from Acros and degassed prior to use. Sodium formate was purchased from Aldrich, finely ground and dried at 120°C under high vacuum for 2 hours prior to use. 9-Borabicycle nonane dimer was obtained from Aldrich and dicyclohexylborane<sup>[2]</sup> and was synthesized according to literature. Ketones were purchased and used without any further purification. IR spectra was recorded with a Shimadzu IRAffinity-1S equipped with a MIRacle 10 ATR accessory and a demountable FT IR Liquid cell (Pike Technologies). GC data were acquired with a Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus apparatus, equipped with a Supelco column CARBOXEN 1010 PLOT (30 m x 0.53 mm, T = 100 °C) and using argon as a gas carrier.

#### 2 Oligomer synthesis

In a glovebox, a *J. Young* NMR Tube was charged with dicyclohexylborane (0.05 mmol, 1 equiv.),  $d_8$ -THF and formic acid (1 equiv.). Hydrogen evolution was immediately observed and after 16 h reacting at room temperature, a crystalline insoluble product was observed. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were directly formed from the reaction medium.



Scheme S1. Synthesis of formoxyborane oligomer.

#### **3** Formoxyborane synthesis

Method A.

$$R_2B-H$$
 +  $C$  +  $LB$  Toluene  $LB$   $R_2B-H$  +  $R_2B-H$  +  $LB$   $R_2B-H$  +  $R_$ 

Scheme S2. General procedure for the synthesis of formoxyboranes.

In a glovebox, a flame dried round bottom flask equipped with a solv-seal connection and a *J*. *Young* valve was charged with the corresponding hydroborane (8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and suspended in toluene (20 mL). To this mixture, the Lewis base (16.8 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) and formic acid (19.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) were added in that order. The flask was sealed, brought out of the glovebox and stirred at room temperature overnight. After reaction completion, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The obtained solid was washed with cold hexane and dried under vacuum to produce the final pure compound.

#### 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate pyridine adduct (1a)



Isolated as a white powder in 98% yield (1.92 g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.44$  (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 6.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.70-1.27 (m, 14H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 163.5$ , 145.9, 140.7, 125.0, 32.2, 31.2, 24.9, 22.7 (bs). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (64 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 7.5$ 

#### 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (1b)



Isolated as a white powder in 96% yield (2.21 g).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.51$  (s, 1H), 8.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.55 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.74-2.63 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.26 (m, 4H), 2.18-2.03 (m, 3H), 1.90 (s, 6H), 1.85 (bs, 2H), 1.78-1.59 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 163.7, 155.1, 145.0, 105.8, 38.2, 32.3, 31.7, 25.4, 25.3, 23.1 (bs).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 6.0$ 

#### 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate quinoline adduct



Brown powder. Product obtained impure in low yield. <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (200 MHz,**  $d_8$ **-THF):**  $\delta$  = 9.09 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.54-7.55 (m, 7H), 2.04-0.70 (m, 14H).

#### ((Dicyclohexylboraneyl)oxy)formaldehyde pyridine adduct (1c)



Isolated as a white powder in 82% yield (1.98 g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.79$  (s, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.66-6.46 (m, 2H), 1.92-0.4 (m, 22H) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 162.5$ , 144.1, 139.7, 124.6, 29.6 (bs), 28.9, 28.7, 27.9. <sup>11</sup>B NMR (64 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 9.0$ .

Additionally, compound 1c can be obtained from Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl through the following procedure:

In a glovebox, a flamed dried round bottom flask equipped with a solv seal connection and a *J*. *Young* valve was charged with sodium formate (4 mmol, 2 equiv.) and LiCl (0.2 mmol, 20 mol%) and suspended in MeCN (20 mL). To this mixture, pyridine (2.1 mmol, 1.02 equiv.) and a 1M solution of Cy<sub>2</sub>BCl in hexanes (2 mmol, 1 equiv.) were sequentially added. The flask was sealed , brought out of the glovebox and stirred at 100 °C. After 20 hours, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Toluene (10 mL) was added and the resulting suspension was filtered through Celite. The residue was treated with additional toluene (2 x 5 mL). Solvent evaportation gave 1c as a white powder in 94% yield (0.57 g).

#### ((Dicyclohexylboraneyl)oxy)formaldehyde *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (1d)

Isolated as a white powder in 82% yield (2.25 g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz,  $d_8$ -THF):  $\delta = 8.34$  (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (s, 6H), 1.9-0.4 (m, 22H) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz,  $d_8$ -THF):  $\delta = 162.3$ , 156.1, 143.8, 106.6, 39.1, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 28.3. <sup>11</sup>B NMR (64 MHz,  $d_8$ -THF):  $\delta = 5.4$ .

### 4 NMR spectra of formoxyboranes



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1a.



Figure S2. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for formoxyborane 1a.



--- 7.5

Figure S3. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1a.



Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1b.



Figure S5. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for formoxyborane 1b.

--- 6.0



Figure S6. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for formoxyborane 1b.





Figure S8. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1c.



Figure S9.  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1c.



Figure S10. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for formoxyborane 1c.



Figure S11. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $d_8$ -THF for formoxyborane 1d.



Figure S12. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum obtained in  $d_8$ -THF for formoxyborane 1d.



Figure S13. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $d_8$ -THF for formoxyborane 1d.

#### 5 Crystallography

The data were collected on a Nonius Kappa-CCD area detector diffractometer<sup>3</sup> using graphitemonochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The crystals were introduced into glass capillaries with a protective coating of Paratone-N oil (Hampton Research). The unit cell parameters were determined from ten frames, then refined on all data. The data (combinations of  $\varphi$ - and  $\omega$ -scans) were processed with HKL2000.<sup>4</sup>The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing with SHELXT,<sup>5</sup> expanded by subsequent difference Fourier synthesis and refined by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  with SHELXL.<sup>6</sup> All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and were treated as riding atoms with an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 times that of the parent atom (1.5 for CH<sub>3</sub>). In the structure of the formoxyborane hexamer, both THF solvent molecules are disordered over two positions sharing either one or two carbon atoms, which have been refined with occupancy parameters constrained to sum to unity and with restraints on bond lengths and displacement parameters. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters are given in Table S1. The molecular plots were drawn with ORTEP-3.<sup>7</sup> Table S1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement Details

	hexamer · 4TH	1a	1b	1c	1d
chemical formula	$C_{94}H_{170}B_6O_{16}$	$C_{14}H_{20}BNO_2$	$C_{16}H_{25}BN_2O_2$	$C_{18}H_{28}BNO_2$	$C_{20}H_{33}BN_2O_2$
M (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	1621.15	245.12	288.19	301.22	344.29
cryst syst	triclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	Pī	Pbca	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_1/c$
<i>a</i> (Å)	11.5184(6)	10.7670(2)	9.8725(5)	10.1407(4)	12.5923(8)
<i>b</i> (Å)	14.6526(7)	12.8036(5)	7.3758(4)	28.0242(11)	10.8437(6)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.1480(9)	18.9389(8)	21.8820(12)	12.2214(5)	14.9151(8)
$\alpha$ (deg)	97.730(3)	90	90	90	90
$\beta$ (deg)	108.931(2)	90	98.551(3)	94.627(3)	90.370(5)
$\gamma$ (deg)	98.573(3)	90	90	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	2500.0(2)	2610.85(16)	1575.68(15)	3461.8(2)	2036.6(2)
Z	1	8	4	8	4
<i>T</i> (K)	200	150	100	150	100
reflns collcd	123007	59510	70088	105117	55323
indep reflns	9438	2468	2965	6562	3861
obsd reflns $[I >$	7006	2140	2550	5121	2652
$R_{\rm int}$	0.025	0.018	0.022	0.031	0.074
params refined	588	163	192	397	228
$R_1$	0.064	0.039	0.037	0.040	0.042
$wR_2$	0.200	0.101	0.101	0.099	0.111
S	1.066	1.045	1.065	1.049	0.989
$\Delta \rho_{\min} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	-0.48	-0.18	-0.21	-0.17	-0.20
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.50	0.26	0.25	0.19	0.20



**Figure S14.** Two views of the formoxyborane hexamer with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted except for those of formate units. Symmetry code: i = 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.



**Figure S15.** View of **1a** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted except for that of formate.



**Figure S16.** View of **1b** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted except for that of formate.



**Figure S17.** View of one of the two independent molecules in **1c** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted except for that of formate.



**Figure S18.** View of **1d** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted except for that of formate.

#### 6 Screening of conditions

In a glovebox, a *J. Young* NMR Tube was charged with Catalyst (2 mol%), solvent (0.4 mL), acetophenone **3a** or 3-pentanone **3b** (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), trimethoxybenzene (10 mg) and the formoxyborane (1.2 equiv.). The tube was sealed, brought out of the glovebox and heated. The reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR integration versus trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

				ĻВ				LB ¥	
			F		Cataly	rst (2 mol%)		DBR <sub>2</sub>	
	D - Dh		(32)	0 ⊓ 1a-d	Trimeth Sol	ioxybenzene vent, T, t	R	к' I	
	R = R'	= Et	3 ( <b>3a</b> ) ( <b>3b</b> ) (	1.2 equiv.)			-	•	
Entry	Substrate	R	LB	Catalyst	:	Solvent	T (°C)	t (h)	Yield (%)
1	3a	9BBN	Ру	-		$C_6D_6$	130	48	0
2	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(triphos)(C	Ac) <sub>2</sub> ]	$C_6D_6$	110	4.5	19
3	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(H)(	CI(CO)]	$C_6D_6$	110	4.5	56
4	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	110	0.75	99
5	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	d <sub>8</sub> -THF	110	0.75	93
6	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$CD_2Cl_2$	110	0.75	5
7	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$CD_3CN$	110	0.75	5
8	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	4	99
9	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	2	49
10	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	70	40	71
11	3a	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	25	40	0
12	3a	9BBN	DMAP	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	3	95
13	3a	9BBN	DMAP	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	2	93
15	3a	Су	DMAP	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	4	63
16	3a	Су	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	3	98
17	3a	Су	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	2	95
18	3b	9BBN	DMAP	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	5	92
19	3b	9BBN	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	;) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	5	50
20	3b	Су	Ру	[Ru(PN <sup>H</sup> P)(OAc	:) <sub>2</sub> ] ( <b>2a)</b>	$C_6D_6$	90	5	27

Table S1. Screening of conditions. 0.1 mmol scale

#### 7 General procedures

#### 7.1 General Procedure for NMR Scale reactions (GP1)

In a glovebox, a *J. Young* NMR Tube was charged with *fac*-[Ru( $\kappa^1$ -OAc)( $\kappa^2$ -OAc)( $\kappa^3$ -PN<sup>H</sup>P<sup>Ph</sup>)] (2a) (2 mol%), 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (1b) (1.2 equiv.), C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.4 mL), ketone (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and mesitylene (10 µL) or trimethoxybenzene (10 mg). The tube was sealed, brought out of the glovebox and heated at 90 °C. The reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Yields of hydroborylated products were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR integration versus mesitylene or trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard ( $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.71$  and 2.15 ppm in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for mesitylene,  $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.22$  and 3.33 ppm in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for trimethoxybenzene).

#### 7.2 General Procedure for product hydrolysis reactions (GP2)

After reaction completion, the mixture was directly concentrate to dryness in the *J. Young* NMR Tube. In a glovebox,  $d_8$ -THF (0.4 mL) and mesitylene (if used as internal standard) (10 µL) were added. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded and water (20 mL) was added. The final mixture was stirred for 1h and the reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. To isolate the final alcohol, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purify directly by column chromatography.

#### 8 Characterization of hydroborylated compounds

9-(1-phenylethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4ab)



Obtained in 96% NMR Yield with trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.96 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.96-6.84 (m, 1H), 5.62 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.33-2.12 (m, 10H), 2.06 (s, 6H), 1.88-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.59 (bs, 2H), 1.40 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 10.4.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[8]</sup>

9-(pentan-3-yloxy)-)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4bb)



4bb

Obtained in 100% NMR Yield with trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.31$  (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (s, 6H), 2.05-1.93 (m, 10H), 1.60-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.38 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 41.3$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[9]</sup>

9-(1-(*p*-tolyl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4c)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 5.66 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.31-2.14 (m, 10H), 2.09 (s, 6H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.58 (bs, 2H), 1.40 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 13.4.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[10]</sup>

9-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4d)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (procedure GP1). After 2.5h, 87% NMR Yield was observed.

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.68 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 2.33-2.14 (m, 10H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 1.86-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.58 (bs, 2H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 12.8.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[11]</sup>

*N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine

9-(1-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane adduct (4e)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.11 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.98-6.91 (m, 1H), 6.85 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (q, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.385 (s, 3H), 2.34-2.17 (m, 10H), 2.09 (s, 6H), 1.82-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.65 (bs, 2H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 13.8$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[12]</sup>

9-(1-(4-iodophenyl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4f)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):**  $\delta$  = 7.76 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.50 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.42-2.21 (m, 10H), 2.17 (s, 6H), 1.90-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.51 (bs, 2H), 1.38 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 9.1.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[13]</sup>

9-(1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4g)



Obtained in 60% NMR Yield with trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.88 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.63 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.32-2.16 (m, 10H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.88-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.47 (bs, 2H), 1.32 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 6.8.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[14]</sup>

4-(1-9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)oxy)ethyl)benzonitrile *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4h)



Obtained in 86% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.76 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.51 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.65 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.37-2.22 (m, 10H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 1.89-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.47 (bs, 2H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 7.3$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[14]</sup>

Methyl 4-(1-9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)oxy)ethyl))benzoate *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4i)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.81 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.51 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.80 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 2.37-2.17 (m, 10H), 2.08 (s, 6H), 1.90-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.53 (bs, 2H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 8.7$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[15]</sup>

4-(1-(9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)oxy)ethyl))pyridine *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4j)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.28 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 5.62 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.38-2.20 (m, 10H), 2.08 (s, 6H), 1.88-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.51 (bs, 2H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 7.4$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[16]</sup>

2-(1-(9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl)oxy)ethyl))pyridine *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4k)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.41$  (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 8.36 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.12 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.63-2.32 (m, 8H), 2.28 (s, 6H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 4H), 2.02-1.94 (m, 2H), 2.80 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 12.1$ .

This product was not possible to hydrolize, presumably due to the intramolecular N-B bond.

9-(1-furan-2-yl)ethoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (41)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):**  $\delta = 8.05$  (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.04-6.00 (m, 1H), 5.81 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.96 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.33-2.18 (m, 10H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 1.88-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.52 (bs, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 10.3$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[17]</sup>

9-(1-Phenylbutoxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4m)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.90 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.98-6.92 (m, 2H), 6.88-6.82 (m, 1H), 5.60 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.76 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.40-1.3 (m, 18H), 2.10 (s, 6H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 14.6$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[15]</sup>

9-(Benzhydryloxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4n)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.04-7.98 (m, 4H), 7.90-6.83 (m, 2H), 5.77 (s, 1H), 5.45 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.37-2.18 (m, 10H), 2.02 (s, 6H), 1.89-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.65 (bs, 2H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 8.8$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 97% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[18]</sup>

9-(Cyclohexyloxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (40)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.24$  (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.93 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.84-3.72 (m, 1H), 2.14 (m, 6H), 2.32-1.10 (m, 25H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 26.1.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[19]</sup>

9-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-yloxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4p)



Obtained in 90% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.19$  (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.90 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.65-5.55 (m, 2H), 4.40-4.30 (m, 1H), 2.14 (m, 6H), 2.35-1.45 (m, 23H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 17.2$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[20]</sup>

9-(((E)-4-Phenylbut-3-en-2-yl)oxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4q)



Obtained in 93% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.09$  (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.03-6.93 (m, 5H), 6.09 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dd, J = 6.9, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.43-4.33 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.18 (m, 10H), 2.03 (s, 6H), 1.93-1.87 (m, 2H), 1.55 (bs, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 10.1$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[21]</sup>

9-((4-Phenylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4r)



Obtained in 62% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):**  $\delta$  = 8.20-8.14 (m, 2H), 7.07-7.01 (m, 2H), 6.95-6.87 (m, 3H), 5.80 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 4.76 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.47-2.20 (m, 10H), 2.07 (s, 6H), 1.93-1.86 (m, 2H), 1.67 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (bs, 2H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 6.4$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[22]</sup>

9-(Benzyloxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4s)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.07$  (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.21-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.04 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 2.40-2.22 (m, 10H), 2.06 (s, 6H), 1.96-1.87 (m, 2H), 1.59 (bs, 2H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 8.4$ .

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[23]</sup>

9-(Cinnamyloxy)-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N,N-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (4t)



Obtained in 99% NMR Yield with mesitylene as internal standard (procedure GP1).

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.09$  (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.02 (m, 2H), 6.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.75-6.72 (m, 1H), 6.36 (dt, J = 4.8, 15.8 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.20-4.14 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.22 (m, 10H), 2.07 (s, 6H), 1.96-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.57 (bs, 2H).

<sup>11</sup>B NMR (128 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.7.

Hydrolyzed alcohol product obtained in 99% yield from the borylated compound crude mixture (procedure GP2).<sup>[23]</sup>

#### 9 NMR Spectra of hydroborated compounds



**Figure S14.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4ab**. Crude reaction mixture.



<sup>50</sup> <sup>80</sup> <sup>70</sup> <sup>60</sup> <sup>50</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>30</sup> <sup>40</sup> <sup>50</sup> <sup>60</sup> <sup>70</sup> <sup>80</sup> <sup>90</sup> <sup>1</sup> **Figure S15.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for the transfer hydroboration of **4ab**. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S16.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4bb**. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S17.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4bb**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S18. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4c. Crude reaction mixture.

— 13.4



**Figure S19.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4c**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S20. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4d. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S21.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4d**. Crude reaction mixture.



reaction mixture.



reaction mixture.



Figure S24. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4f. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S25. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4f. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S26. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4g. Crude reaction mixture.

- 6.8



**Figure S27.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4g**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S28. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4h. Crude reaction mixture.

- 7.3



**Figure S29.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4h**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4i. Crude reaction mixture.

- 8.7



**Figure S31.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4i**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S32. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4j. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S33.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4j**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S34. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4k. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S35.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4k**. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S36.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4**l. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S37. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4l. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S38. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4m. Crude reaction mixture.

— 14.6



**Figure S39.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4m**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S40. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4n. Crude reaction mixture.

- 8.8



**Figure S41.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4n**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S42. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 40. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S43.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **40**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S44. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4p. Crude reaction mixture.





**Figure S45.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4p**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S46. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4q. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S47.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4q**. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S48.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4r**. Crude reaction mixture.

-- 6.4



**Figure S49.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4r**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S50. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4s. Crude reaction mixture.



**Figure S51.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4s**. Crude reaction mixture.



Figure S52. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of 4t. Crude reaction mixture.

- 8.7



**Figure S53.** <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum obtained in  $C_6D_6$  for the transfer hydroboration of **4t**. Crude reaction mixture.

#### 10 Characterization of isolated hydrolyzed products





Isolated as a colorless oil in 97% yield (17.4 mg) (procedure GP2). Spectroscopic data in accordance with literature.<sup>[15]</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.01$  (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.96 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 1H), 1.50 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 167.1$ , 151.1, 130.0, 129.3, 125.4, 70.1, 52.2, 25.4.

**11** NMR Spectra of hydrolyzed product



Figure S54. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub> for isolated alcohol from 4i hydrolysis.



#### 12 Evidence for the formation of CO<sub>2</sub> during the reaction

In order to prove the formation of  $CO_2$  during the reaction, a typical reaction following the general procedure of NMR scale reactions (GP1) was performed, using acetophenone as the ketone. After the reaction, the gas phase was sampled from the headspace of the J. Young tube and injected in GC. The trace presents two peaks: the first is N<sub>2</sub> from air, and the second (5.6 minutes) is  $CO_2$ .



Figure S56. GC trace of the gas phase after reaction.

#### 13 Evidence of the crucial role of the N–H function

In order to prove the importance of the role of the N-H in the hydroboration of ketones, the reaction was performed with catalyst catalyst fac-[Ru( $\kappa^1$ -OAc)( $\kappa^2$ -OAc)( $\kappa^3$ -PN<sup>Me</sup>P<sup>Ph</sup>)] (**2a-Me**) following the general procedure of NMR scale reactions (GP1).



Table S2. Screening Hydroboration reaction of ketone 3a with catalyst 2a or 2a-Me and formoxyboranes 1a and 1b.

#### 14 Experimental evidence of ruthenium monohydride species

In a glovebox, a *J. Young* NMR Tube was charged with *fac*-[Ru( $\kappa^1$ -OAc)( $\kappa^2$ -OAc)( $\kappa^3$ -PN<sup>H</sup>P<sup>Ph</sup>)] (2a) (1 equiv.)), 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (1b) (1.2 equiv.), C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.4 mL) and mesitylene (10 µL). The tube was sealed, brought out of the glovebox and heated at 90 °C. during 30 seconds. The reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy after 0.5 minutes of reaction (Figure S57, Figure S58), showing the presence of different ruthenium hydrides, including related Ru(PN<sup>H</sup>P)(H)(CO)(OAc) (-16.7 ppm). The presence of a CO ligand was further demonstrate by the IR measurement of the solution (1923 cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure S60).



**Figure S57.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum from stoichiometric reaction of catalyst **2a** with formoxyborane **1b**.



Figure S58.  ${}^{1}H[{}^{31}P]$  NMR spectrum from stoichiometric reaction of catalyst 2a with formoxyborane 1b.



formoxyborane 1b.



Figure S60. IR spectrum from stoichiometric reaction of catalyst 2a with formoxyboranes 1b.

# 15 Ketone hydroboration with isolated $Ru(\eta^1-OAc)(H)(CO)(mer-\kappa^3-PN^HP^{Ph})$ as catalyst

In a glovebox, a *J. Young* NMR Tube was charged [Ru( $\eta^1$ -OAc)(H)(CO)(*mer*- $\kappa^3$ -PN<sup>H</sup>P<sup>Ph</sup>)] (**2b**) (2 mol%), 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-yl formate *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine adduct (**1b**) (1.2 equiv.), C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (0.4 mL), acetophenone (**3a**) (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and trimethoxybenzene (10 mg). The tube was sealed, brought out of the glovebox and heated at 90 °C. The reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. After 3 hours of reaction, 99% yield of the hydroborylated product (**4ab**) was obtained versus trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

#### 16 Computational details and structures

#### **16.1 General considerations**

All the density functional theory calculations were carried out by using the Gaussian16 suite of codes.<sup>24</sup> The hybrid functional B3LYP<sup>25</sup> was used with Grimme's D3 empirical dispersion.<sup>26</sup> Charged species were approximated as isolated contact ion pair unless isolated ionic species are described. The Def2SVP basis set together with the W06 fitting set was used for all atoms.<sup>27[22]</sup> All the geometries were fully optimized without any symmetry or geometry constrains. Harmonic vibrational analyses were performed to confirm and characterize the structures as minima. Free energies were calculated within the harmonic approximation for vibrational frequencies. Solvent effects were accounted by application of the implicit solvent model SMD (solvent = benzene).<sup>28</sup> All relative energies (corrected for ZPE contributions) and Gibbs free energies (T= 298 K, P = 1 atm) are reported below in Hartree.

#### **16.2** Alternative intermediates and transition states



Scheme S3. Computed alternative intermediates and TS for the (catalytic) transfer of hydroboration of acetophenone 3a with 1b catalyzed by Ia at B3LYP-D3/Def2SVP level of theory and SMD model to account for solvent effect ( $C_6D_6$ ). Values are given as Gibbs free energies, referenced to Ia and the respective organic reagents, and in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>.

These results show that a mechanism involving the generation of a genuine hydroborane is energetically unfavoured ( $TS_{S1}$ ,  $TS_{S2} > 40$  kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>). Moreover, some alternative intermediates (**ISa**, **ISb** >20 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>), as well as an alternative TS ( $TS_{S3}$ ) were also computed, they are all higher in energy than the proposed catalytic mechanism reported in Scheme 6.

#### **16.3** Three lowest frequencies and energies for all computed structures

Structures can be found in the xyz document attached. Reports were directly generated from the .log files using the GEAC program.<sup>29</sup>

 $CO_2$ 

	1	2	3
	PI	PI	SG
Frequencies	 648.6979	648.6979	1389.9816
Red. masses	 12.8774	12.8774	15.9949
Frc consts	 3.1927	3.1927	18.2075

HF = -188.443466993

## DMAP

N-			
	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	78.8040	83.3045	157.6830
Red. masses	2.2325	2.4375	1.8139
Frc consts	0.0082	0.0100	0.0266
IR Inten	0.8295	0.0138	0.1626

#### HF = -382.004858777

#### Acetophenone

•	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	64.8564	154.3861	187.9477
Red. masses	4.0107	4.3875	1.0371
Frc consts	0.0099	0.0616	0.0216
IR Inten	3.2860	0.0065	0.5022

HF = -384.641019152

#### Et<sub>3</sub>SiH

Et <sub>3</sub> SiH			
0	1	2	3
	А	A	А
Frequencies	49.6515	64.8405	67.8831
Red. masses	2.2110	1.8932	1.9144
Frc consts	0.0032	0.0047	0.0052
IR Inten	0.0103	0.1198	0.1253

HF = -527.567942729

#### Et<sub>3</sub>SiOCHO

1	2	3
А	А	А
 30.4374	48.1648	58.2381
 2.2892	2.7537	4.1759
 0.0012	0.0038	0.0083
 0.1211	1.2386	2.0125
  	1 A 30.4374 2.2892 0.0012 0.1211	1 2   A A    30.4374 48.1648    2.2892 2.7537    0.0012 0.0038    0.1211 1.2386

HF = -716.041086164

BBNH			
S-B-H			
	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	54.6536	86.0735	231.3540
Red. masses	1.6643	2.2196	1.6968
Frc consts	0.0029	0.0097	0.0535
IR Inten	0.6459	0.0000	0.0414

HF = -338.515936054

#### BBNH•DMAP



HF = -720.574815540

1-phenylethan-1-ol



	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	38.4218	136.5959	227.7801
Red. masses	3.6027	3.4310	2.5136
Frc consts	0.0031	0.0377	0.0768
IR Inten	2.1779	3.4835	3.0660

HF = -385.838137317



HF = -909.063048322



![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

HF = -1105.27101844

![](_page_57_Figure_5.jpeg)

HF = -2218.98561854

Ib			
	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	17.1298	22.2971	23.9990
Red. masses	4.6781	5.5782	3.8907
Frc consts	0.0008	0.0016	0.0013
IR Inten	0.1770	1.4243	0.1909

HF = -2218.95903577

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

HF = -2030.49325218

Id

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

HF = -2415.15085612

S57

le			
Ph			
н0			
N−Ru—CO			
► <u>†</u> P H			
	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	20.3787	22.9265	28.2409
Red. masses	5.2154	4.7101	5.2283
Frc consts	0.0013	0.0015	0.0025
IR Inten	0.0946	0.0241	0.9639

HF = -2415.17184863

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

		1	2	3
		A	A	А
Frequencies	1	.8.6833	23.1767	25.1780
Red. masses		5.4464	5.3287	5.0796
Frc consts		0.0011	0.0017	0.0019
IR Inten		1.1976	1.2064	0.4143

HF = -3324.26066331

![](_page_59_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ie

0.2050

$$HF = -2942.19892502$$

Ih

![](_page_60_Figure_5.jpeg)

1	2	3
А	А	А
 5.6719	18.5916	20.6080
 5.4362	5.6446	5.2772
 0.0001	0.0011	0.0013
 0.2153	0.4664	0.1949
  	1 A 5.6719 5.4362 0.0001 0.2153	1 2   A A    5.6719 18.5916    5.4362 5.6446    0.0001 0.0011    0.2153 0.4664

HF = -2942.24426868

![](_page_60_Figure_8.jpeg)

HF = -2029.28679104

![](_page_60_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_11.jpeg)

Frc consts	 0.0015	0.0024	0.0028
IR Inten	 1.1682	0.6427	1.2371

HF = -2942.21762119

$$TS_{a-b}$$

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

	А	А	А
Frequencies	 -336.0741	15.2809	21.2182
Red. masses	 1.9436	4.7771	5.1883
Frc consts	 0.1293	0.0007	0.0014
IR Inten	 2.4063	0.1771	0.0970

2

HF = -2218.95095349

![](_page_61_Figure_6.jpeg)

Frequencies	 -316.2353
Red. masses	 5.1456
Frc consts	 0.3032
IR Inten	 1337.4047

2	3
А	А
11.8766	18.5255
4.9844	7.2421
0.0004	0.0015
0.2958	1.2200

3

HF = -2218.94463208

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

	1	2	3	
	А	А	А	
Frequencies	 -44.1851	7.5919	17.0293	
Red. masses	 7.0229	5.3910	5.1705	
Frc consts	 0.0081	0.0002	0.0009	
IR Inten	 2.0844	0.3843	0.6577	

HF = -3324.24910165

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_4.jpeg)

-74.7223

5.3974

0.0178

19.0796

3
А
22.5924
4.6549
0.0014
0.1973

HF = -2942.19208215

\_ \_

Frequencies --

Red. masses --

Frc consts --

IR Inten

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	 -94.4996	8.5759	14.0147
Red. masses	 6.9277	5.4137	5.5509
Frc consts	 0.0365	0.0002	0.0006
IR Inten	 49.0635	0.3227	0.1469

HF = -2942.23637738

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	-256.0949	43.1252	55.2744
Red. masses	4.9260	4.2207	3.8461
Frc consts	0.1903	0.0046	0.0069
IR Inten	181.1370	0.0389	0.0945

HF = -723.162799624

![](_page_63_Figure_6.jpeg)

	1	2	3
	А	А	А
Frequencies	-243.7378	10.2645	36.6520
Red. masses	6.8214	4.7554	3.9478
Frc consts	0.2388	0.0003	0.0031
IR Inten	218.5745	1.1245	0.7416

HF = -1105.18898421

 $TS_{S3}$ 

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

6.1306

0.1141

134.0417

2	3
А	А
17.2496	19.9769
5.2144	4.9786
0.0009	0.0012
0.6025	0.1741

HF = -3324.17676342

--

Red. masses --

Frc consts --

IR Inten

#### **17 References**

[1] C. Chauvier, A. Imberdis, P. Thuéry, T. Cantat, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 14019-14023.

[2] A. Abiko, Org. Synth. 2002, 79, 103.

[3] Hooft, R. W. W. COLLECT, Nonius BV: Delft, The Netherlands, 1998.

[4] Otwinowski, Z.; Minor, W. Processing of X-Ray Diffraction Data Collected in Oscillation Mode. Methods Enzymol. 1997, 276, 307–326.

[5] Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT – Integrated Space-Group and Crystal-Structure Determination. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2015, 71, 3–8.

[6] Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal Structure Refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 2015, 71, 3-8

[7] Farrugia, L. J. WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an Update. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2012, 45, 849–854.

[8] C. Azerraf, D. Gelman, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10364-10368.

[9] S. Kawamorita, G. Hamasaka, H. Ohmiya, K. Hara, A. Fukouka, M. Sawamura, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4697-4700.

[10] M. B. Runge, M. T. Mwangi, A. L. Miller II, M. Perring, N. B. Bowden, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 935-939.

[11] A. Aupoix, C. Bournaud, G. Vo-Thanh, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2772-2776.

[12] L. M. Misal Castro, D. Bézier, J. B. Sortais, C. Darcel, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1279-1284.

[13] A. K. Patra, S. K. Kundu, D. Kim, A. Bhaumik, ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 791-798.

[14] B. Martín-Matute, M. Edin, K. Bogár, F. Betül Kaynak, J. E. Bäckvall, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8817-8825.

[15] R. J. Rahaim Jr., R. E. Maleczka Jr., Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 584-587.

[16] M. Hatano, S. Suzuki, K. Ishihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9998-9999.

[17] M. Noji, T. Ohno, K. Fuji, N. Futaba, H. Tajima, K. Ishii, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9341-9347.

[18] R. Lerebours, C. Wolf, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 128, 13052-13053.

[19] H. Saburi, S. Tanaka, M. Kitamura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1730-1732.

[20] M. Tiecco, L. Testaferri, C. Santi, C. Tomassini, R. Bonini, F. Marini, L. Banoli, A. Temperini, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4751-4753.

[21] J. B. Langlois, A. Alexakis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 8, 1877-1881.

[22] K. Tanaka, T. Shoji, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3561-3563.

[23] N. S. Shaikh, K. Junge, M. Beller, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5429-5432.

[24] Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

[25] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652

[26] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.

[27] a) A. Schaefer, H. Horn, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 97, 2571-2577; b) A. Schaefer, C. Huber, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 100, 5829-5835; c) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297-3305; d) F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 1057-1065.

[28] A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 6378-6396

[29] E. Nicolas, GEAC, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4923171