# **Supporting information**

## Hydrogen Bonding and Phase Separation Cooperatively

### Guide the Self-assembly of U60 and Polymer to Fabricate

#### **Multiscale Nanostructures**

Jie Hu\*, Yingxuan Mei, Huifen Wu, Yan Zhao, Dongping Wu, Nan Ye,

Peng Yi, Yu Yang, Minmeng Liao

School of Mechatronics and New Energy Vehicles , Yichun Vocational

Technical College College, Yichun 336000, China.

\*Email address: hujie2040@163.com

#### **Materials and Methods**

DLS measures the intensity-intensity time correlation function by means of a BI-9000AT multichannel digital correlator. The field correlation function  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  was analyzed by the constrained regularized CONTIN method to yield information on the distribution of the characteristic line width  $\Gamma$  from  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)| = \int G(\Gamma) e^{-\Gamma \tau} d(\Gamma)$ . The normalized distribution function of the characteristic line width,  $G(\Gamma)$ , so obtained, can be used to determine an average apparent translational diffusion coefficient,  $D_{app} = \Gamma/q^2$ . The hydrodynamic radius  $R_h$  is related to D via the Stokes–Einstein equation: $R_h =$  $kT/(6\pi\eta D)$  where k is the Boltzmann constant and  $\eta$  the viscosity of the solvent at temperature T. From DLS measurements, we can obtain the particle-size distribution in solution from a plot of  $\Gamma G(\Gamma)$  vs  $R_h$ . The  $R_h$  of the particles is obtained by extrapolating Rh,app to zero scattering angle.



Fig. S1 SAXS data of U60.



Fig. S2 (a) and (b)  $U_{60}$  and  $P4VP_{3.6k}$ -b- $PS_{1k}$  form a complex aqueous solution DLS analysis diagram (the molar ratio of  $U_{60}$  and  $P4VP_{3.6k}$ -b- $PS_{1k}$  are 1:1, 5:1, 10:1 and 20:

1).



Fig. S3 (a) AFM image of U<sub>60</sub>/P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>1k</sub> wormlike composite. (b) Corresponds to the height of the compound in (a). (c) TEM image of U<sub>60</sub>/P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>1k</sub> composite (the molar ratio of U<sub>60</sub> and P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>1k</sub> is 1:1). (d) Corresponds to the distribution of U elements in (c).



Fig. S4 TEM images of  $U_{60}/P4VP_{3.6k}$ -*b*-PS<sub>1k</sub> wormlike composite, the inset is the distribution diagram of U.



Fig. S5 (a) and (b) TEM image of U<sub>60</sub>/P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>3.1k</sub> composite (the molar ratio of U<sub>60</sub> and P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>3.1k</sub> is 1:1 and 10:1 respectively). (e) and (f) TEM image of U<sub>60</sub>/P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>5.1k</sub> composite (the molar ratio of U<sub>60</sub> and P4VP<sub>3.6k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>5.1k</sub> is 1:1 and 10:1 respectively).



Fig. S6 (a)  $U_{60}/P4VP_{3.6k}$ -*b*-PS<sub>1k</sub> SAXS images with different molar ratios. (b) The UV spectrum of the sample supernatant after P4VP<sub>3.6 k</sub>-*b*-PS<sub>1.1 k</sub> and U<sub>60</sub> were assembled and adsorbed one month later.