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## Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. FTIR spectra of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ PNCCs.


Figure S2. The XPS full spectrum of $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ PNCCs.


Figure S3. Stability comparation between $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{PNCCs}$ and $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} \mathrm{PNCs}$ in ethanol according to the fluorescence intensity.

Table S1. The fitting parameters of the decay curves for the $\mathrm{CsPbBr}_{3} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ PNCCs with the addition of different concentration of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cl}}-\mathrm{mM}$ | Wavelength <br> $/ \mathrm{nm}$ | $\tau_{1} / \mathrm{ns}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ | $\tau_{2} / \mathrm{ns}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ | $\tau_{3} / \mathrm{ns}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ | $\tau_{\mathrm{avg}} / \mathrm{ns}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 512 | $0.99(10.3 \%)$ | $4.83(24.5 \%)$ | $30.33(65.2 \%)$ | 28.75 |
| 60 | 472 | $0.96(17.5 \%)$ | $4.64(29.5 \%)$ | $26.38(53.0 \%)$ | 24.18 |
| 120 | 457 | $1.03(24.7 \%)$ | $4.07(38.0 \%)$ | $19.13(37.3 \%)$ | 16.01 |
| 180 | 449 | $1.12(20.3 \%)$ | $3.79(53.9 \%)$ | $13.71(25.7 \%)$ | 9.72 |

Note: The PL decay curves were fitted using a triple-exponential function:
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{A}_{0}+\mathrm{A}_{1} \exp \left(-\mathrm{t} / \tau_{1}\right)+\mathrm{A}_{2} \exp \left(-\mathrm{t} / \tau_{2}\right)+\mathrm{A}_{3} \exp \left(-\mathrm{t} / \tau_{3}\right)$
Where A and $\tau$ correspond to lifetime components and relative proportion of the triple-exponential function, respectively. $t$ is the decay time. The average lifetime $(\tau)$ is calculated as:
$\tau_{\text {avg }}=\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \tau_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \tau_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3} \tau_{3}{ }^{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \tau_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \tau_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3} \tau_{3}\right)$.
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