Supplementary Information for:

Mixed-ligand Co metal-organic framework and its carbon composites as excellent electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction in green-energy devices

Gajendra Gupta,^{*a} Filipe Gusmão,^c Anup Paul,^{*b} Biljana Šljukić,^c Diogo M. F. Santos,^c

Junseong Lee,^d M. Fátima C. Guedes da Silva, ^{b,e} Armando J. L. Pombeiro^b and

Chang Yeon Lee*a

^{*a*}Department of Energy and Chemical Engineering/Innovation Center for Chemical Engineering, Incheon National University, 119 Academy-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 22012, Republic of Korea.

^bCentro de Química Estrutural, Institute of Molecular Sciences, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal.

^cCenter of Physics and Engineering of Advanced Materials, Laboratory of Physics of Materials and Emerging Technologies, Chemical Engineering Department, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal.

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 61186, Republic of Korea.

^eDepartamento de Engenharia Química, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal.

Correspondence: G. Gupta (gjngupt@gmail.com)

- A. Paul (anuppaul@tecnico.ulisboa.pt)
- C. Y. Lee (cylee@inu.ac.kr)

Experimental Section

The dipyridyl functionalised BODIPY ligand was synthesized according to the method we reported previously.[1] All other reagents and solvents were commercially available and used without further purification. The ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on an Agilent 400-MR spectrometer using the residual protonated solvent as an internal standard. Thermal properties were studied using a Perkin-Elmer Instrument system (STA6000) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a dinitrogen atmosphere and a flow rate of 20 mL/min. Powder XRD was recorded on Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer. Gas sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area analyzer with prior degassing at 80 °C for 12 h.

Electrochemical measurements:

The activity of the prepared CoBDPMOF/Carbon materials for OER electrocatalysis was assessed using a three-electrode system with a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode, a platinum coil as the counter electrode, and the CoBDPMOF/Carbon as the working electrode. A graphite reference electrode was used during stability experiments. All electrochemical measurements were performed at room temperature (25 °C) using an ALS/DY2325 Bi-Potentiostat from ALS-Japan. All potentials have been converted (and presented) to the reference hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using the equation E(RHE) = E(SCE) + 0.242 + 0.059pH. Electrodes were placed in 1 M KOH (80 mL, Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) as supporting electrolyte.

Electrochemical characterization and investigation of the catalytic activity of the samples were performed using standard electrochemical methods: cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and chronoamperometry. The capacitance measurements were done in a deaerated solution achieved by bubbling nitrogen (Air Liquide, 99.998 vol.%) into the electrolyte solution for 20 min before the experiments and keeping a gentle flow of N_2 just above the electrolyte while running the measurements. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz at 1.67 V vs RHE. For OER studies, 5 LSVs were run in OER polarization conditions at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The stability test was run in chronoamperometric mode at a constant potential of 1.7 V for 24 h.

X-Ray Structure Determination

A crystal of CoBDPMOF was mounted on a loop and reflection data was collected using a Bruker APEX-II CCD-based diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å). The hemisphere of the reflection data was collected as φ and ω scan frames at 0.5 o/frame and an exposure time of 10 s/frame. The cell parameters were determined and refined by the SMART APEX2 program.[2] Data reduction was performed using the SAINT software. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program.[3] The structures of the compounds were obtained by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using the SHELXTL program package [4] and Olex 2 program [5] with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. In view of instability in refinement, several restrains were included. All hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The hydrogen atoms of the crystallization water molecule were located in the difference Fourier synthesis and refined with the help of distance restraints; hydrogen atoms for the remaining water molecule (O27) could not be located. Crystallographic details are summarized in Table S1. Figures of molecular structures were drawn with MERCURY program. CCDC No. 2250942 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033; Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].



Figure S1: Powder XRD pattern of simulated and as synthesized CoBDPMOF.



Figure S2: ¹H NMR spectra of the digested **CoBDPMOF** and of the functionalized BODIPY moiety in DCl/DMSO-d6 (1:9) solution; resonances pertaining to each ligand types are clear.



Figure S3: Infrared spectra of the free BODIPY ligand, trimasic acid and CoBDPMOF.





Figure S5. N_2 adsorption isotherms at 77 K for the CoBDPMOF (a) and its NLDFT PSD (b).



Figure S6. N₂ adsorption isotherms at 77 K for the CoBTC (a) and its NLDFT PSD (b).



Figure S7: XPS survey spectrum of CoBDPMOF.



(a)



(b)

Figure S8: Elemental mapping and EDX analysis of CoBDPMOF (a) and CoBDPMOF@AC (b).







(b)

Figure S9: Elemental mapping and EDX analysis of CoBDPMOF@CNT (a) and CoBDPMOF@rGO (b).



Figure S10: Capacitance plots of **CoBDPMOF**@AC (A) and the difference between cathodic and anodic current density vs. scan rate for the studied materials (B-E).

	CoBDPMOF
CCDC #	2250942
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{31}B_{1}Co_{1}F_{2}N_{4}O_{7}\\$
Formula weight	763.41
Temperature/K	100
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	Pī(<u>2</u>)
a/Å	10.3351(4)
b/Å	10.7704(4)
c/Å	15.9693(5)
α/°	91.472(2)
$\beta/^{\circ}$	100.354(2)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	103.714(2)
Volume/Å ³	1694.45(11)
Ζ	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.496
µ/mm ⁻¹	0.576
F(000)	786
Reflections collected	16829
Independent reflections	3916
R _{int}	0.815
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	6076
Restraints/Parameters	0/486
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.011
Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)]	0.0628
Final R indexes [all data]	0.1227
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.898/-0.670

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for CoBDPMOF.

^{*a*} $\mathbf{R}_1 = \sum ||F\mathbf{o}| - |F\mathbf{c}|| / \sum |F\mathbf{o}|$. ^{*b*} $\mathbf{w} \mathbf{R}_2 = \{ [\sum w(F\mathbf{o}^2 - F\mathbf{c}^2)^2] / [\sum w(F\mathbf{o}^2)^2] \}^{1/2}$.

References:

[1] G. Gupta, A. Das, K. C. Park, A. Tron, H. Kim, J. Mun, N. Mandal, K.-W. Chi and C. Y. Lee, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 4615-4621.

[2] Bruker-AXS (2014). APEX2. Version 2014.11-0. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

[3] L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Seldrick and D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 3-10.

[4] G. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. C, 2015, 71, 3-8.

[5] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2009, 42, 339-341.