Electronic Supplementary Information

G-quadruplex DNA selective targeting for anticancer therapy. A computational study of a novel Pt^{II} monofunctional complex activated by adaptive binding

Daniele Belletto,^a Fortuna Ponte,^a Nico Sanna,^b Stefano Scoditti,^{a*} and Emilia Sicilia^a

- ^a Department of Chemistry and Chemical Technologies, Università della Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende (CS), 87036, Italy;
- ^b Department for Innovation in Biology Agro-Food and Forest Systems (DIBAF), University of Tuscia, Largo dell'Università snc, 01100 Viterbo, Italy.

Table of Contents

- Figure S1. Adopted monomeric parallel-stranded G-quadruplex structure formed in human VEGF promoter (PDB ID 2M27).
 Two potassium ions have been included in the calculations.
 S2
- Figure S2. Downsized G-Q model cut from the monomeric parallel-stranded G-quadruplex structure used for MD calculations together with the structures of the adducts formed by the Pt1 complex in orientations named A and B with this G-Q model.
 S3
- Figure S3. DFT calculated free energy profiles in water describing the a) guanine attack of Pt1 and b) water displacement by methionine from the aquated form Pt1_{wat}. Geometrical structures of the stationary points intercepted along the paths are also reported. Relative energies are in kcal mol⁻¹ and calculated with respect the zero reference energy of the initial adduct.
- Figure S4. Fully optimized geometrical structure of all the stationary points intercepted along the pathways describing the transformation of Pt1, in its orientations B into Pt2.
- **Figure S5** Fully optimized geometrical structure of all the stationary points intercepted along the pathways describing the transformation of **Pt1**_{wat}, in its orientations A into **Pt2**. S6
- Figure S6. Plots of the RDG analysis of non-covalent interactions for the stationary points located along the free energy profile describing the transformation of Pt1_{wat}, in A and B orientations, into Pt2 in presence of G-Q.
- Figure S7. Fully optimized geometrical structure of all the stationary points intercepted along the pathways describing the aquation of Pt1 in its orientation C and orientation D.
- Figure S8. Plots of the RDG analysis of non-covalent interactions for the stationary points located along the free energy profile describing the aquation of Pt1, in C and D orientations, in presence of G-Q. S9
- Figure S9. RMSD plot of MD1 and MD2.
- Figure S10. Structural comparison between the binding poses of the Pt2 complex in MD1 and MD2 (MD1 silver, MD2 blue).
- Figure S11. G-Q DNA length changes calculated for MD1, MD2 and G-Q alone.
- Table S1. Contributions to the MM-GBSA binding free energy for the Q-G Pt2 complex adducts. Van der Waals, electrostatic, polar and non-polar contributions to the solvation free energy, total gas phase and solvation binding energy, resulting MM-GBSA binding energy and the estimation of the entropy term by quasi-harmonic analysis are reported.
- Developed parameters for P2 S14

S10

S11

S12



Figure S1









Figure S3



Orientation B



Figure S4

Orientation A





 $Prod_G-Q_Pt2(B)+H_2O$





Figure S6



Figure S7



Figure S8



Figure S9



Figure S10



Figure S11

Table S2. Contributions to the MM-GBSA binding free energy for the G-quadruplex complex adducts. Van der Waals (VDWAALS), electrostatic (EEL), polar (EGB) and non-polar (ESURF) contributions to the solvation free energy, total gas phase (Δ G gas) and solvation (Δ G solv) binding energy, resulting MM-GBSA binding energy (Δ G TOTAL) and the estimation of the entropy term by quasi-harmonic analysis are reported. All the values are in kcal mol⁻¹.

	MD1	MD2
Energy component		
VDWAALS	-43.9	-48.6
EEL	-529.5	-553.6
EGB	542.4	568.4
ESURF	-3.2	-3.9
∆G gas	-573.4	-602.1
∆G solv	539.2	564.4
∆G TOTAL	-34.2	-37.7
Quasi-harmonic entropy		
approximation	-9.4	-13.1
ΔG binding	-24.8	-24.6

Developed parameters for Pt2

The phenylpyridine ligand bound to the platinum centers is named as AMN. Platinum center is named as PT. The carbene-pyridine ligand to platinum is named as AMQ.

a) PT

0 0 2

This is a remark line molecule.res PT INT 0 CORRECT OMIT DU BEG 0.0000 1 DUMM DU M 0 -1 -2 0.000 .0 .0 .00000 2 DUMM DU M 1 0 -1 1.449 .0 .0 .00000 3 DUMM DU M 2 1 0 1.523 111.21 .0 .00000 4 PT M1 M 3 2 1 1.540 111.208 -180.000 -0.059578

LOOP

IMPROPER

DONE STOP

b) AMN

0 0 2

This is a remark line molecule.res AMN INT 0 CORRECT OMIT DU BEG 0.0000 1 DUMM DU M 0 -1 -2 0.000 .0 .0 .00000 2 DUMM DU M 1 0 -1 1.449 .0 .0 .00000 3 DUMM DU M 2 1 0 1.523 111.21 .0 .00000 4 F61 f M 3 2 1 1.540 111.208 -180.000 -0.132729 5 C64 ca M 4 3 2 1.350 35.574 178.322 0.155668 6 C63 ca M 5 4 3 1.384 116.794 -163.040 -0.184660 7 H61 ha E 6 5 4 1.081 120.993 -0.830 0.177256 8 C62 ca M 6 5 4 1.384 117.077 177.886 0.208039 9 F62 f E 8 6 5 1.342 118.844 -178.668 -0.147670 10 C61 ca M 8 6 5 1.390 122.565 1.331 -0.149811 11 H60 ha E 10 8 6 1.079 117.928 -177.675 0.140883 12 C66 Y3 M 10 8 6 1.393 119.843 0.614 -0.158412 13 C65 ca M 12 10 8 1.422 119.144 -2.772 0.010746 14 C67 ca M 13 12 10 1.462 116.446 -173.578 0.112614 15 C68 ca M 14 13 12 1.400 126.816 -172.071 -0.063229 16 H62 ha E 15 14 13 1.077 119.576 -1.346 0.131453 17 C69 ca M 15 14 13 1.387 119.894 177.778 -0.119015 1.084 119.863 -179.848 0.166841 18 H63 ha E 17 15 14 19 C70 ca M 17 15 14 1.392 119.642 -0.921 -0.106136 20 H64 ha E 19 17 15 1.081 121.928 -178.526 0.149840 21 C71 ca M 19 17 15 1.384 118.055 1.036 -0.052221 22 H65 h4 E 21 19 17 1.081 120.887 -177.792 0.116524 23 N61 Y1 M 21 19 17 1.343 122.839 0.734 0.002217

LOOP C65 C64 N61 C67 IMPROPER C65 C63 C64 F61 C64 C62 C63 H61 C63 C61 C62 F62 C66 C62 C61 H60 C66 C67 C65 C64 C65 C68 C67 N61 C67 C69 C68 H62 C68 C70 C69 H63 C69 C71 C70 H64 C70 H65 C71 N61 DONE STOP c) AMQ 0 0 2 This is a remark line molecule.res AMQ INT 0 CORRECT OMIT DU BEG 0.0000 1 DUMM DU M 0 -1 -2 0.000 .0 .0 .00000 2 DUMM DU M 1 0 -1 1.449 .0 .0 .00000 3 DUMM DU M 2 1 0 1.523 111.21 .0 .00000 4 N62 Y2 M 3 2 1 1.540 111.208 -180.000 -0.044813 5 C73 ca M 4 3 2 1.344 77.936 20.806 -0.027419 6 H71 h4 E 5 4 3 1.080 116.142 -163.377 0.147205 7 C74 ca M 5 4 3 1.386 122.789 17.246 -0.113392 8 H72 ha E 7 5 4 1.082 119.878 -179.269 0.153929 9 C75 ca M 7 5 4 1.392 118.684 1.211 -0.065698 10 H73 ha E 9 7 5 1.083 120.745 179.539 0.165506 11 C76 ca M 9 7 5 1.390 119.303 0.616 -0.144187 12 H74 ha E 11 9 7 1.081 121.114 177.557 0.150468 13 C77 ca M 11 9 7 1.389 118.100 -1.070 0.182166 14 N64 nh M 13 11 9 1.405 123.221 178.976 0.106369 15 C72 Y4 M 14 13 11 1.378 119.416 -170.049 -0.078770 16 N63 nh M 15 14 13 1.349 104.643 -170.879 0.107616 17 C78 c3 3 16 15 14 1.466 126.591 170.879 -0.096800 18 H66 h1 E 17 16 15 1.085 108.877 -8.238 0.093458 19 H67 h1 E 17 16 15 1.090 108.468 -127.321 0.093458 20 H68 h1 E 17 16 15 1.090 110.531 112.526 0.093458 21 C79 c2 M 16 15 14 1.395 110.598 -1.605 -0.128416 22 H69 h4 E 21 16 15 1.076 121.758 -179.577 0.195685 23 C80 c2 M 21 16 15 1.349 107.803 1.247 -0.201846 24 H70 h4 E 23 21 16 1.075 130.578 178.525 0.213404

C77 N62 C80 N64

IMPROPER

 C74
 H71
 C73
 N62

 C73
 C75
 C74
 H72

 C76
 C74
 C75
 H73

 C77
 C75
 C76
 H74

 C76
 N62
 C77
 N64

 C72
 C80
 N64
 C77

 C80
 H69
 C79
 N63

 C79
 H70
 C80
 N64

LOOP

DONE STOP

d) frcmod file for Pt2

REMARK GOES HERE, THIS FILE IS GENERATED BY MCPB.PY							
MASS							
M1 195.08 Pt ion							
Y3 12.01 0.360 Sp2 C in pure aromatic systems							
Y1 14.01 0.530 Sp2 N in pure aromatic systems							
Y2 14.01 0.530 Sp2 N in pure aromatic systems							
Y4 12.01 0.360 Sp2 carbons in non-pure aromatic systems							
BOND							
M1-Y1 104.9 2.1188 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
M1-Y2 32 6 2 2399 Created by Seminario method using MCPB by							
M1 12 32.0 2.2555 Created by Seminario method using MCPB ny							
M1 13 147.5 2.0055 Created by Seminario method using MCPB by							
V2-ca 488.0 1.3390 SOURCES SOURCES 6806 0.0055							
VA-nb 435.2 1 3735 SOURCES SOURCES 976 0.0106							
C2 V1 488 0 1 2200 COURCES SOURCES 570 0.0100							
Ca-11 488.0 1.5590 SOURCES_SOURCES 0800 0.0055							
Cd-13 401.1 1.3984 SOURCE1_SOURCES 121206 0.0001							
ANGL							
M1-Y1-ca 140.24 119.70 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
M1-Y2-ca 144.15 120.06 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
M1-Y3-ca 193.78 120.02 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
M1-Y4-nh 200.53 127.25 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y1-M1-Y3 206.93 79.61 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y2-M1-Y1 154.47 104.81 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y2-M1-Y3 86.22 161.14 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y4-M1-Y1 115.58 167.30 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y4-M1-Y2 134.85 76.73 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y4-M1-Y3 168.63 103.08 Created by Seminario method using MCPB.py							
Y2-ca-ca 68.83 122.94 SOURCE3_SOURCE5 5507 1.1495							
Y2-ca-h4 51.88 116.03 SOURCE3_SOURCE5 2217 0.2861							
Y2-ca-nh 72.66 116.94 SOURCE4_SOURCE5 2042 0.7868							
Y3-ca-ca 66.62 120.02 SOURCE3_SOURCE5 108055 0.7701							
Y4-nh-c2 63.86 126.35 CORR_SOURCE5 14 0.8394							
Y4-nh-c3 63.70 119.72 CORR_SOURCE5 638 2.4802							
Y4-nh-ca 63.03 129.80 CORR SOURCE5 49 1.2126							
ca-Y1-ca 68.35 117.22 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 3343 1.0306							
ca-Y2-ca 68.35 117.22 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 3343 1.0306							
ca-Y3-ca 66.62 120.02 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 108055 0.7701							
ca-ca-Y1 68.83 122.94 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 5507 1.1495							
h4-ca-Y1 51.88 116.03 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 2217 0.2861							
ha-ca-Y3 48.18 119.88 SOURCE3 SOURCE5 126779 0.4424							
nh-Y4-nh 72.43 115.96 SOURCE3 1							
DIHE							
X-Y2-ca-X 2 9.6 180.0 2.0 same as X-CA-NC-X							
X -Y3-ca-X 4 14.5 180.0 2.0 introol bsd on C6H6							
X - Y - nh - Y $A = 14.3 + 100.0 + 2.0 + 100.0 + 20$							
X = -2 + 100, $X = -2 + 100$, $Z = -200$							
$X = CA^{-1} + X^{-2}$ 5.0 100.0 2.0 Same as X = CA^{-1} + X^{-2}							
$M_1 \times L_2 = Ca^{-}Ca^{$							
$M_1 = L_2 = L_4 = 3$ 0.00 0.00 3.0 $H_2 = L_3 = 2 M_1 = 2 M_2 = 2 M_$							
1011-12-12-12-12 S 0.00 0.00 3.0 ITedL ds ZEFO DY MICPB.py							
1V11-12-04-114 3 0.00 0.00 3.0 Treat as zero by MCPB.py							
IVI1-Y3-ca-ca 3 U.UU U.UU 3.U Ireat as zero by MCPB.py							
IVI1-Y3-ca-na 3 0.00 0.00 3.0 Treat as zero by MCPB.py							

M1-Y4-nh-c2	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
M1-Y4-nh-c3	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
M1-Y4-nh-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y1-M1-Y3-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y2-M1-Y1-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y2-M1-Y3-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y4-M1-Y1-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y4-M1-Y2-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
Y4-M1-Y3-ca	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
ca-Y1-M1-Y3	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
ca-Y2-M1-Y1	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
ca-Y2-M1-Y3	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
nh-Y4-M1-Y1	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
nh-Y4-M1-Y2	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
nh-Y4-M1-Y3	3	0.00	0.00	3.0	Treat as zero by MCPB.py
IMPR					
Y1-ca-ca-ca	1.1	-	180.0	2.0	Using the default value
Y4-c2-nh-c3	1.1	1	180.0	2.0	Using the default value
Y4-c2-nh-ca	1.1	1	180.0	2.0	Using the default value
Y2-ca-ca-nh	1.1	1	180.0	2.0	Using the default value
ҮЗ-са-са-са	1.1	-	180.0	2.0	Using the default value
Y2-ca-ca-h4	1.1	1	180.0	2.0	Same as X -X -ca-ha, penalty score= 44.3 (use general term))
Y1-ca-ca-h4	1.1	1	180.0	2.0	Same as X -X -ca-ha, penalty score= 44.3 (use general term))
Y3-ca-ca-ha	1.1	L	180.0	2.0	Using general improper torsional angle X-X-ca-ha, penalty score= 6.0)
NONB					
NOND					

 M1
 1.2660
 0.0030764200
 CM set for Pt2+ ion in TIP3P water from Li et al. JCTC, 2013, 9, 2733

 Y3
 1.9080
 0.0860
 OPLS

 Y1
 1.8240
 0.1700
 OPLS

 Y2
 1.8240
 0.1700
 OPLS

 Y4
 1.9080
 0.0860
 OPLS