# Design of polymetallic sulfide $NiS_2@Co_4S_3@FeS$ as bifunctional catalyst for high efficiency seawater splitting

Mingshuai Chen<sup>a</sup>, Guangping Wu<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoqiang Du<sup>a\*</sup> and Xiaoshuang Zhang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanxi Key Laboratory of High Performance Battery Materials and Devices, North University of China, Xueyuan road 3, Taiyuan 030051, People's Republic of China. E-mail: duxq16@nuc.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> School of Environment and Safety Engineering, North University of China, Xueyuan road 3, Taiyuan 030051, People's Republic of China.

### Materials and chemicals

Nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, analytical grade, 99.0%), ammonia fluoride (NH<sub>4</sub>F, analytical grade, 96.0%), cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, analytical grade, 98.5%) were purchased from Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Factory. Urea (CH<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O, analytical grade, 99.0%), Ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, analytical grade, 99.0%) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Sublimed sulfur (S, analytical grade) from Tianjin Fengchuan Chemical Reagent Technology Co., LTD. Nickel foam (NF) (200\*300\* 0.5mm) was purchased from Kunshan Guangjiayu New Material Co., LTD.

## **Electrochemical measurements**

All electrochemical tests in this work were carried out by Shanghai Chenhua CH1760E electrochemical workstation. In order to ensure the accuracy of the working electrode potential in the measurement process, the OER performance test was carried out using a standard three-electrode system. Among them, the prepared sample was used as the working electrode, the counter electrode was graphite rod, the reference electrode was Hg/HgO electrode, and the electrolyte solution was seawater solution including 1M KOH. Prior to the electrochemical test, several cyclic voltammetry (CV) scans (0.2~1.0 V vs. Hg/HgO) were used to remove the bubbles covering the catalyst. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) records were performed from 0.2 to 1.0 V vs. Hg/HgO at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed at 1.676V vs. RHE with a frequency range of 10<sup>6</sup> to 0.01 Hz. Equation:  $\eta$ =E<sub>RHE</sub>-1.23V was used to calculate the overpotential ( $\eta$ ) of the working electrode, and Tafel equation:  $\eta$ =blogj+a was adapted to work out Tafel slopes,  $\eta$  is overpotential, j represents the current density, b is the Tafel slope. The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA = C<sub>d</sub>/C<sub>s</sub>) was evaluated by

measuring the dual-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) of CV at different sweep rates.  $C_s$  represents the specific capacitance of 1.0 M KOH (0.04 mF/cm<sup>2</sup>).  $C_{dl}$ , which is positively correlated with ECSA, is evaluated by  $C_{dl} = jc/V$ , where jc stands for current density and V is the voltage measured by CV. All data were compensated with 70% iR. The stability of the catalyst was tested by continuous LSV and CV scanning.

#### **DFT computation details:**

The DFT calculations were performed using the Cambridge Sequential Total Energy Package (CASTEP) with the plane-wave pseudo-potential method. The geometrical structures of the (100) and (111) plane of FeS and NiS<sub>2</sub> was optimized by the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) methods. The Revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerh of (RPBE) functional was used to treat the electron exchange correlation interactions. A Monkhorst Pack grid k-points of 5\*5\*1 and 5\*6\*1 of FeS and NiS<sub>2</sub>, a plane-wave basis set cut-off energy of 400 eV were used for integration of the Brillouin zone. The structures were optimized for energy and force convergence set at 0.05 eV/A and  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  eV, respectively. The Gibbs free energy of H adsorption was calculated as follows:  $\Delta G_{H^*} = \Delta E_{H^*} + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S$ 

Where  $\Delta ZPE$  is the zero-point energy and T $\Delta S$  stands for the entropy corrections. According to the previous report by Norskov et al., we used the 0.24 eV for the  $\Delta ZPE$  - T $\Delta S$  of hydrogen adsorption in this work.

Res: J. Electrochem. Soc., 2005, 152, J23.



Fig.S1 XRD curves of  $NiS_2@Ni_3S_2@FeS(a)$ , NiFe LDHs/Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>(b),  $Ni_7S_6@Co_4S_3$  (c) and

 $NiS_2@Ni_3S_2@FeS$  ,  $Ni_7S_6@Co_4S_3$  and  $NiS_2@Co_4S_3@FeS$  (d).



Fig.S2 CV curves of (a)NiS<sub>2</sub>@Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@FeS, (b)NiFe LDHs/Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>, (c)NiS<sub>2</sub>@Co<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@FeS and (d)Ni<sub>7</sub>S<sub>6</sub>@Co<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S3 NiS<sub>2</sub>@Co<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@FeS (a) chronograph current curve, (b) LSV curves comparison before and after i-t test in OER.



Fig.S4 The calculated state densities of (a)NiS<sub>2</sub>-Ni, (b) NiS<sub>2</sub>-S, (c) FeS-Fe and (d) FeS-S.



Fig.S5 SEM image of  $NiS_2@Co_4S_3@FeS$  after it test (a-b).

**Table S1.** Comparison of the OER performance for the obtained materials in this work with other

 state-of-the-art OER electrocatalysts.

Catalyst		Electrolyte		η <sub>j=10</sub> (1	nV)		Reference	
NiS <sub>2</sub> @Co <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub> @FeS		1 M KOH+seawater		122			This work	
(FeCoNi)OH-S		1 M KOH+seawater		157			[1]	
CoSe <sub>2</sub>		1 M KOH+seawater		245			[2]	
Mo-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>		1 M KOH+seawater		212			[3]	
Na2Co0.75Fe0.25P2O7/C		1 M KOH+seawater		300			[4]	
=NPs								
CoS <sub>x</sub> /CuS <sub>x</sub>		1 M KOH+seawater		230			[5]	
MnFeCr-LTHs		1 M KOH+seawater		242			[6]	
Cu-NiCoP		1 M KOH+seawater		250			[7]	
Ni@CNTs-		1 M KOH+seawater		228	8		[8]	
Mo <sub>x</sub> C/Ni <sub>2</sub> P								
Er-MoO <sub>2</sub>		1 M KOH+seawater		312			[9]	
Table S2. The atomic percent of metal ions in the samples by XPS analysis and the results.								
	C 1s	Co 2p	Fe 2p		Ni 2p	01	ls	S 2p
Atomic (%)	31.44	3.96	3.32		4.78	39.	82	16.68

# References

[1] Y. Yang, M. Lin, Y. Wu, R. Chen, D. Guo, L. Liu, Rational design of bifunctional hydroxide/sulfide heterostructured catalyst for efficient electrochemical seawater splitting;J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023;647: 510-8.

[2] H. Chen, S. Zhang, Q. Liu, P. Yu, J. Luo, G. Hu, X. Liu, CoSe2 nanocrystals embedded into carbon framework as efficient bifunctional catalyst for alkaline seawater splitting br;Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2022;146: 110170.

[3] C. Lan, H.P. Xie, Y.F. Wu, B. Chen, T. Liu, Nanoengineered, Mo-Doped, Ni3S2 Electrocatalyst with Increased Ni-S Coordination for Oxygen Evolution in Alkaline Seawater; Energy Fuels., 2022;36: 2910-7.

[4] H.J. Song, H. Yoon, B. Ju, D.Y. Lee, D.W. Kim, Electrocatalytic Selective Oxygen Evolution of Carbon-Coated Na2Co1-xFexP2O7 Nanoparticles for Alkaline Seawater Electrolysis;ACS Catal., 2020;10: 702-9.

[5] M. Mottakin, V. Selvanathan, S.A. Razali, M.A. Islam, H. Almohamadi, N.H. Alharthi, S. Yoshimura, M. Akhtaruzzaman, Facile electrodeposition of biphasic CuSx/CoSx nanostructures as bifunctional electrocatalysts for seawater splitting;Electrochim. Acta., 2023;463: 142861.

[6] S. Pal, K. Shimizu, S. Khatun, S. Singha, S. Watanabe, P. Roy, Electrolyte engineering for effective seawater splitting based on manganese iron chromium layered triple hydroxides as novel bifunctional electrocatalysts; J. Mater. Chem. A., 2023;11: 12151-63.

[7] Q.R. Wang, C. Wang, X.Q. Du, X.S. Zhang, Controlled synthesis of M (M = Cr, Cu, Zn and Fe)-NiCoP hybrid materials as environmentally friendly catalyst for seawater splitting; J. Alloys Compd., 2023;966: 171516.

[8] J.Q. Wang, D.T. Tran, K. Chang, S. Prabhakaran, J.H. Zhao, D.H. Kim, N.H. Kim, J.H. Lee, Hierarchical Ni@CNTs-bridged MoxC/Ni2P heterostructure micro-pillars for enhanced seawater splitting and Mg/seawater battery;Nano Energy., 2023;111: 108440.

[9] T. Yang, H.H. Lv, Q.H. Quan, X.L. Li, H.S. Lu, X.J. Cui, G.B. Liu, L.H. Jiang, Electronic structure modulation of MoO2 via Er-doping for efficient overall water/seawater splitting and Mg/seawater batteries; Appl. Surf. Sci., 2023;615: 156360.