Supporting information for

The impact of A-site cation on the crystal structure and magnetism of the new double perovskites ALaCoTeO₆ (A = Na and K)

Haoyu Wu, Zhilin Fang, Pengfei Jiang,* and Tao Yang*

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China.

*Corresponding authors: pengfeijiang@cqu.edu.cn; taoyang@cqu.edu.cn.

parameters					
formula	KLaCoTeO ₆	NaLaCoTeO ₆			
temperature (K)	298	298			
source	$Cu\;K_{\alpha l}$	$Cu K_{\alpha 1}$	neutron		
wavelength (Å)	1.5406	1.5406	1.622		
Space group (no.)	P4/nmm (no. 129)	<i>I</i> -1 (no. 1)			
<i>d</i> -spacing range (Å)	0.89-9.0	0.82-8.8	0.82-10.0		
<i>a</i> (Å)	5.62355(2)	5.5864(7)			
<i>b</i> (Å)	5.62355(2)	7.8751(9)			
<i>c</i> (Å)	7.98442(5)	5.5676(1)			
α (°)	90	90.345(5)			
β (°)	90	89.934(3)			
γ (°)	90	90.0403(9)			
cell volume (Å ³)	252.502(3)	244.85(1)			
Ζ	2	2			
R _{wp} (%)	2.10	1.78	4.30		
<i>R</i> _p (%)	1.56	1.37	3.39		
R_{\exp} (%)	1.50	1.05	2.91		
χ^2	1.40	1.69	1.48		

Table S1 Crystallographic Data for $ALaCoTeO_6$ (A = K and Na) from Rietveld Refinement against PXRD and ND Data.

KLaCoTeO ₆		NaLaCoTeO ₆	
Co-O3 × 2	1.93(2)	Co01	1.96(1)
Co-O2 × 2	2.087(8)	Co-O3 × 4	2.068(3)
Co-O1 × 2	2.13(2)	Co-O2	2.18(1)
<c0-0></c0-0>	2.05(2)	<co-o></co-o>	2.069(5)
Te-O1 × 2	1.84(1)	Te-O2	1.91(1)
Te–O2 × 2	1.912(9)	Te-O1	1.94(1)
Te–O3 × 2	2.08(2)	Te $-O3 \times 4$	1.939(4)
<te-o></te-o>	1.94(1)	<te-o></te-o>	1.934(6)

Table S2. The Co–O and Te–O bond distances in KLaCoTeO₆ and NaLaCoTeO₆.



Fig. S1 XRPD patterns for the compositions of KLnCoTeO₆ (Ln = La, Nd, Eu, Y) and NaLnCoTeO₆ (Ln = La, Eu, Dy, Y).



Fig. S2 Le-Bail fitting to the NPD data of KLaCoTeO₆ using the space group P4/nmm.



Fig. S3 Le-Bail fitting to the NPD data of NaLaCoTeO₆ using the space group I2/m.



Fig. S4 Rietveld refinement plots of NPD data for NaLaCoTeO₆. The blue, red, and black solid lines indicate the observed, calculated, and difference curves, respectively. The expected Bragg positions are presented as green bars at the bottom of the pattern.



Fig. S5 Plots of the frustration factor as a function of average Co-O-Te bond angle (a) and radius of A-site cation radius (b).