Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Food & Function. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024



## PRISMA 2020 Checklist

The     1     Identify the report as a systematic review.     Page 1       ABSTRACT     ABSTRACT     Page 1       ADSTRACT     2     See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.     Page 1       NTRODUCTION     Rationale     3     Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.     Section 1 Page 1       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statament of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       Information     6     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review adhow studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information     6     Specify all databases, registers, websites, cryanisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.     Section 2.1       Section process     8     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Specify the cate when each source was last searched or consulted.     Section 2.1       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers cateled as during the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion source in data fram is used.     Section 2.4       Specify the methods used to decicid at from	Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
ABSTRACT     2     See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.     Page 1       Abstract     2     See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.     Page 1       INTRODUCTION     Section 1 Page 1.     Section 1 Page 1.       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2.       Information     5     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review addresses.     Section 2.1       Information     6     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Sources     5     Specify the date when each source was consulted.     Section 2.2       Sources     6     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each source was consulted.     Section 2.3       Sala collection process     6     Specify the methods used to decide the from reports, including how many reviewers collected data for automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection     9     Specify the methods used to decide which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assessment     Section 2.4       10b     Liat and define	TITLE	_		
Abstract     2     See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.     Page 1       NTRODUCTION     Image: Constraint of the provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 1.       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 1.       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       METHOD     Eligibility criteria     5     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information     5     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Section 2.3       Selection process     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers, worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection     9     Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each eport, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection     9     Specify the method used to collect data from sculp' investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Secti	Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Page 1
INTRODUCTION     Section 1 Page 1- 2       Rationale     3     Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.     Section 1 Page 1- 2       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.     Section 1 Page 2       Display of the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information sources     Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers acreened each record and each report reterieved, whether in the worked independently, and if applicable, defails of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.3       Data collection process     Objectify the methods used to oelect data from each report whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, defails of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1.4       Data titles     Its and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were complexible methods used to acleat study and whether they worked independently, and process.     Section 2.1.4 <td>ABSTRACT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ABSTRACT			
Rationale     3     Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.     Section 1 Page 1-2       Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       METHODS     5     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information     5     Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.     Section 2.1       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Supplemental material 1       Selection process     6     Specify the methods used to collect data form reports, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.3       Data collection process     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any ssumptions made about any missing or unclear information.     Section 2.1.4       10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any setting of the process.     Section 2.4	Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Page 1
Objectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       Dobjectives     4     Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.     Section 1 Page 2       BETHODS     Eligibility criteria     5     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information     6     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Section 2.2       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Supplemental material 1       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each report, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tool used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection process     9     Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tool used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection     0b     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whethere allesults that were compa	INTRODUCTION	1		
METHODS     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information     6     Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.     Section 2.2       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Supplemental material 1       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection process     9     Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1       Data items     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.     Section 2.4       Study risk of bias     11     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including datalis of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers satistics assessed each study and whethert they worked independently, and if applicable, detai	Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	
Eligibility criteria     5     Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.     Section 2.1       Information sources     6     Specify and databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.     Section 2.2       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Supplemental material 1       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers collected data from exports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1       Data collection process     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.     Section 2.14       Study risk of bias assessment     11     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1       Study risk of bias assessment     11     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in th	Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Section 1 Page 2
Information sources     6     Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Section 2.2       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Supplemental material 1       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each process     Section 2.3       Data collection process     9     Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1       Data collection process     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought, Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in tools used in the process.     Section 2.1.4       10b     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought (e.g., participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.     Section 2.5       Study risk of bias assessment     11     Sectify the methods used to asses risk of bias in the included studides, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers	METHODS			
sources     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.     Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.       Search strategy     7     Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.     Superior       Selection process     8     Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review; including how many reviewers screened each record retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection process     9     Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.4       Data collection process     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.     Section 2.1.4       Study risk of bias     11     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers     Section 2.1       Study risk of bias     12     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of automation tools used in the process.     Secti	Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Section 2.1
MaterialMaterialMaterialMaterialSelection process8Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Section 2.3Data collection process9Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Section 2.4Data items10aList and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.Section 2.1.410bList and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.Section 2.410bList and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation obused in the process.Section 2.410bList and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that outcome domain in assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation obused in the proc	Information sources	6		Section 2.2
IndexIndexrecord and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Section 2.4Data collection process9Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Section 2.4Data items10aList and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in a subuptions made about any missing or unclear information.Section 2.1.4Study risk of bias assessment11Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessmentSection 2.5Synthesis methods12Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.n/aSynthesis methods13aDescribe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data mode(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.Section 2, Table 213aDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of the synthesize dresults (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression)n/a13aDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13aDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and soft	Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	
process     worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.     Section 2.1.4       Data items     10a     List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.     Section 2.1.4       10b     List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.     Section 2.4       Study risk of bias assessment     11     Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessment     Section 2.5       Effect measures     12     Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.     n/a       Synthesis methods     13a     Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesiz, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Selection process	8		Section 2.3
each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.10bList and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.Section 2.4Study risk of bias assessement11Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessementSection 2.5Effect measures12Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.n/aSynthesis methods13Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).Section 2; Table 213bDescribe any methods used to aspute to synthesiz er sults and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and offware package(s) used.n/a13cDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13dDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13cDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13dDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13d<	Data collection process	9	worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation	Section 2.4
Study risk of bias assessment11Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Section 2.5Effect measures12Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.n/aSynthesis methods13aDescribe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).Section 2; Table 213bDescribe any methods used to abulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.Section 2; Table 213cDescribe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13eDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).n/a13fDescribe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).Section 2.5	Data items	10a		Section 2.1.4
assessmentassessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.Effect measures12Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.n/aSynthesis methods13aDescribe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).Section 2; Table 213bDescribe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.Section 2; Table 213cDescribe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.Section 2; Table 213dDescribe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.n/a13eDescribe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).n/a13fDescribe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesize (arising from reporting biases).n/aReporting bias assessment14Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).Section 2.5		10b		Section 2.4
Synthesis methods     13a     Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).     Section 2; Table 2       13b     Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.     Section 2; Table 2       13c     Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.     Section 2; Table 2       13d     Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.     n/a       13e     Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).     n/a       13f     Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).     Section 2.5	Study risk of bias assessment	11		Section 2.5
methods   and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).   13b   Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.   Section 2; Table 2     13c   Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.   Section 2; Table 2     13d   Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.   n/a     13e   Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   n/a     13f   Describe any methods used to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     13f   Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5	Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	n/a
Image: space of the synthesize is space of the synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Synthesis methods	13a		Section 2; Table 2
13d   Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.   n/a     13e   Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   n/a     13f   Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5		13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Section 2; Table 2
model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.   13e     13e   Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   n/a     13f   Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5		13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Section 2; Table 2
13e   Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).   n/a     13f   Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5		13d		n/a
13f   Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.   n/a     Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5		13e		n/a
Reporting bias assessment   14   Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   Section 2.5		13f		n/a
	Reporting bias assessment			
	Certainty	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Section 2.5



## PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section and Topic	ltem #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported			
assessment						
RESULTS						
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Figure 1			
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	Section 3			
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Section 3.1; Table 2			
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Section 3.5; Figure 2			
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Table 2			
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Figure 2			
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	n/a			
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Section 3			
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	n/a			
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Figure 2			
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Section 3.5			
DISCUSSION						
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Section 4			
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Section 5			
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Section 5			
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Section 5			
OTHER INFORMATION						
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Not registered.			
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Section 2			
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	n/a			
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Section Acknowledgement			
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Section Conflict of interest			
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Table 1-2; Supplementary materials			



For more information, visit: <u>http://www.prisma-statement.org/</u>