Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Food & Function. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Supplementary Table 1. Results of the methodological quality of meta-analysis assessment.

Study	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q 7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Quality score
Alsuwaylihi et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	10
Barraud et al. 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	10
Bo et al. 2014	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	10
Batra et al. 2020	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Cheema et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Chen et al. 2018	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	9
Chen et al. 2021	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Falagas et al. 2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	1
Fan et al. 2019	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	10
Gu et al. 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Gu et al. 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	9
Ji et al. 2020	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Lan et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	10
Lee et al. 2023	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Li et al. 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Li et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Liu et al. 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Liu et al. 2021	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	9
Manzanares et al. 2016	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Noshadi et al. 2022	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Sharif et al. 2022	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Siempos et al. 2010	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	-	+	9
Song et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11
Su et al. 2020	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Sun et al. 2022	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Watkinson et al. 2006	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	8
Wang et al. 2013	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	10
Wang et al. 2022	-	+	+	?	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	8
Weng et al. 2017	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	10
Zhao et al. 2020	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	10

The result of assess the methodological quality using AMSTAR: each item for included studies (?: can't answer; -: means no; +: means yes). Q1: Was an ,a priori design provided?, Q2: Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?, Q3: Was a comprehensive literature search (at least two databases) performed?, Q4: Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?, Q5: Was a list of studies (included

and excluded) provided?, Q6: Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?, Q7: Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?, Q8: Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulation conclusions?, Q9: Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?, Q10: Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?, Q11: Was the conflict of interest included?