# Antioxidant activity of a Mg(II) compound containing ferulic acid as chelator: potential application for active packaging and riboflavin stabilization

Rafael Cavalieri Marchi,\*<sup>a,c</sup> Flavio V. C. Kock,<sup>a</sup> Isabele Aparecida Soares dos Campos,<sup>a</sup> Hugo Cesar R. Jesus,<sup>a</sup> Tiago Venâncio,<sup>a</sup> Maria Fátima G. F. da Silva,<sub>a</sub> João Batista Fernandes,<sup>a</sup> Sara Limbo<sup>b</sup> and Rose Maria Carlos\*<sup>a</sup>

a. Chemistry Department, Federal University of São Carlos, Rod. Washington Luís-km
235, CP 676, CEP 13565-905, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil.

b. Department of Food Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Università degli Studi di
 Milano, Via Celoria 2, 20133, Milan, Italy

c. Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: Rafael.Marchi@warwick.ac.uk

Experimental Section – Starch Films	S5
Experimental section- Antioxidant Activity	S6
Figure S1. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex at 298 K in D <sub>2</sub> O (blue	e) compared
with the spectra of ferulic acid (green) and 1,10-phenanthroline (red)	S09
Figure S2. Variable temperature (278K to 313K) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of the M	g(phen)(fer)
complex in D <sub>2</sub> O	S10
Figure S3. <sup>1</sup> H-DOSY-NMR map obtained for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in	1 D <sub>2</sub> O at 283
K (A), 278 K (B), 293 K (C), 298 K (D), 303 K (E) and 313 K (F)	S11
Figure S4. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of a freshly prepared aqueous solu	tion of the
Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top painel)	S12
Figure S5. (A) Absorption spectra in water of the Mg(phen)(fer) comple	ex (red) and
ferulic acid (black); (B) Emission ( $\lambda_{exc}$ =310 nm) and excitation ( $\lambda_{em}$ =410 nm	n) spectra of
Mg(phen)(fer) in water; (C) Colorimetric determination of the pKa	a value of
Mg(phen)(fer) following absorption at $\lambda$ =380 nm; (D) Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{exc}$	=310 nm) of
Mg(phen)(fer) in the 4-11 pH range. Mg(phen)(fer) concentration was 7.5 µ	ıg/mL in all
the experiments; (E) Absorption spectra of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in the	pH range 3-
10	S13
Figure S6. Cyclic voltammograms of ferulic acid in a buffered solution at	pH 7.4 with
0.1 M KCl in a screen-printed electrochemical formed by an electrochemica	l cell with a
glassy carbon working electrode, silver counter and reference electrodes	S14
Figure S7. Cyclic voltammograms of ferulic acid in buffered solution at pH	4.0, pH 7.4
and pH 9.0 with 0.1M KCl, $v = 100$ mV/s and in the range of 1.0 V to -1.0	V (left side)
and -0.25V to 1.0V (right side)	S15
Figure S8. Cyclic voltammograms of Mg(phen)(fer) in buffered solution at	pH 4.0, pH
7.4 and pH 9.0 with 0.1M KCl, $v = 100$ mV/s and in the range of -0.25V to 1.	0VS16
Figure S9. Representation of obtaining the $IC_{50}$ of the inhibition of the DPPH	• radical for
the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel), for the BHT (middle panel) and fo	r the ferulic
acid (bottom panel) using UV-vis spectrophotometer and following the decay	of the band
at 517 nm. Measurements were performed in triplicate	S17
<b>Figure S10.</b> Representation of obtaining the $IC_{50}$ of the inhibition of the AB	TS <sup>++</sup> radical
for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel), for the BHT (middle panel) and for	or the ferulic

acid (bottom panel) using UV-vis spectrophotometer and following the decay of the band Figure S11. Representation of obtaining the IC<sub>50</sub> of the inhibition of the peroxyl radical (ROO•) for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel). Measurements were performed in Figure S12. Kinetic treatment of  $\ln[(A_{inf} - A_t)/(A_{inf} - A_{t0})]$  vs time in minutes considering a first order process in N<sub>2</sub>, air and in N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Mg(phen)(fer) (left hand side). Decrease in the band at 525 nm referring to excited singlet emission from riboflavin and band increase at 460 nm referring to excited singlet emission of lumichrome in N<sub>2</sub>, air and in N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Mg(phen)(fer) (right hand side)......S20 Figure S13. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10 µmol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-13  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), Figure S14. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10 µmol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-15  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in air atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), 12 min (purple) and 15 min (yellow)......S23 Figure S15. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10 µmol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-15  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), 12 min (purple) and 15 min (yellow)......S25 Figure S16. EPR spectra of TEMPO generated in situ by the reaction: <sup>3\*</sup>(Riboflavin) +  ${}^{3}O_{2} \rightarrow \text{Riboflavin} + {}^{1}O_{2}$ ;  ${}^{1}O_{2} + \text{TEMP} \rightarrow \text{TEMPO}$  (black, control) and  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  inhibition after adding Mg(phen)(fer) ranging from 0.165 µg /mL to 4.95 µg /mL. Signal decrease Figure S17. Selected riboflavin fluorescence lifetime decay traces recorded in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 at a specific concentration ( $C_{Rf}=0.38$  mg/mL) with variable concentration of Mg(phen)(fer) complex ( $C_c = 0.38$  to 2.66 mg/mL) in air atmosphere, blue fit decay profile in each condition. Measurements were performed in triplicate. Red decay profile is the IRF decay. The quality of the fit is indicated by the plots of the weighted residues 

Figure S20. Stern-Volmer plots for suppression of the singlet excited state of Rf by the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in different atmospheres and concentrations:  $N_2(top)$ , air (center) and  $O_2$  (bottom) in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Values of the Stern-Volmer constant ( $K_{SV}$ ) and bimolecular rate constant of singlet state suppression by the complex  $(k_a)$ . Figure S21. Haze (%) values and visual transparency test for starch films produced by Figure S22. Starch films Fourier transform infrared attenuated total reflectance (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy: control (black) and containing Mg(phen)(fer) (red)......S39 Figure S23. Qualitative activity of Mg(phen)fer as active compound in active starch film compared to the film control under the following conditions: continuous white light at Table S1. First-order rate constants (kobs) for Rf photobleaching under anaerobic condition with different concentrations of the complex in phosphate buffer pH 7.4.....S41 **Table S2.** Table S2. Halo diameter in cm for different concentrations of Mg(phen)fer against A. niger, P. nonatum and R. oryzae......S42 Table S3. Table S2. Halo diameter in cm for different concentrations of ferulic acid against A. niger, P. nonatum and R. oryzae......S43 Scheme S1. Proposed mechanism for the electrochemical process of the Mg(phen)(fer)

#### **Experimental Section – Starch Films**

## **Casting procedure**

Tapioca starch films were done by casting. 1 g of starch (5% m/m), 250 mg (25% m/m) glycerol and 15 mL deonized water were stirred in a hot water bath at 75 °C until gelatinization. 10 mg of Mg(phen)(fer) was added in 5 mL deonized water and this solution was poured into the 15 mL. This final blend was distributed in Petry dishes with 56.75 cm<sup>2</sup> area with 16 g. The films were dried in a ventilated oven for 26h at 37 °C with 60% humidity. For the control films the same procedure was done but the 20 mL of water was added at once. Film thickness was measured with a digital micrometer Mitutoyo (Japan).

### Haze

The optical properties of the films were using an UV-vis spectrophotometer Lambda 650 (PerkinElemer, USA). Haze (%) was obtained with the following equation:

$$\% Haze = \left(\frac{T_4}{T_2} - \frac{T_3}{T_1}\right) x \ 100\%$$

Term	Sample	Black Body <sup>1</sup>	Standard	Meaning	
T <sub>1</sub>	No	No	Yes	Incident light	
T <sub>2</sub>	Yes	No	Yes	Total light transmitted by the film	
T <sub>3</sub>	No	Yes	No	Light diverted by the equipment	
$T_4$	Yes	Yes	No	Light deflected by equipment and sample	

The terms  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  e  $T_4$  are defined below:

<sup>1</sup>Black body is a device to prevent light dispersion.

## Infrared

Attenuated total reflection – Fourier-transform infrared (ATR–FTIR) spectra of the films without and with Mg(phen)(fer) were acquired using a Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, USA) equipped with a crystal ATR accessory (Ge/Ge) at room temperature. Each film was recorded five times in different position with 64 scans for each measurement with no significant changes.

## **Experimental section- Antioxidant Activity**

## pKa value

The pKa was determined by the colorimetric method. Solutions with the same concentration were prepared in the pH range between 2 and 12. Before each measurement, the solution was kept at rest for half an hour so that the system reached equilibrium. To obtain the pKa value was considered the following Equation  $1.^1$ 

$$pKa = -\log K_a = -\log \left( \frac{Abs_{basic \ specie} - Abs_{mixture}}{Abs_{mixture} - Abs_{acidic \ specie}} x \left[ H^+ \right]_{mistura} \right)$$
Eq. 1

## 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH•) radical assay

Stock solutions were prepared in methanol at the following concentrations: DPPH• radical at 1.2 mmol/L and ferulic acid, 1,10-phenanthroline and BHT at 1.52 mmol/L each one. Stock solution of Mg(phen)(fer) was prepared in distilled water at a concentration equal to 1 mg/mL. To measure the antioxidant activity, the final solutions were consistent of: 150  $\mu$ L of DPPH•, different volumes (different concentrations between 0 up to 100  $\mu$ mol/L) from the tested compounds, and variable amount of methanol was added to produce solutions with final volume equal to 2 mL. The reaction solution was vortexed for 30 seconds and left in the dark for 1 hour. After this time, UV-vis spectra of each sample were taken, and the band was monitored at 517 nm.<sup>2</sup> The procedure was done in triplicate.

The IC<sub>50</sub> value related to the DPPH• radical inhibition percentage was obtained by Equation 2:

$$\% I = \left(\frac{A_0 - A}{A_0}\right) X100\%$$
 Eq. 2

Where: %I is percentage inhibition,  $A_0$  is the absorbance of the standard sample without analyte at 517 nm wavelength, A is the absorbance of the sample with analyte at 517 nm wavelength.

#### 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical (ABTS'+) assay

Reaction between 5.2 mL of an aqueous solution of the ABTS salt (7 mmol/L) with 92  $\mu$ L of an aqueous solution of potassium persulfate (140 mmol/L) was kept in the dark for 16 hours for the formation of the ABTS<sup>++</sup> radical. Then, stock solutions of the

tested compounds were prepared in methanol at the following concentrations: ferulic acid, 1,10-phenanthroline and BHT at 1.52 mmol/L. Stock solution of Mg(phen)(fer) was prepared in distilled water at a concentration equal to 1 mg/mL. To measure the antioxidant activity, the final solutions were consistent of: 30  $\mu$ L of ABTS<sup>++</sup> radical solution, different volumes (different concentrations between 0 up to 60  $\mu$ mol/L) from the tested compounds, and variable amount of water was added to produce solutions with final volume equal to 2 mL. The reaction solutions were left in the dark for 10 minutes. After this time, UV-vis spectra of each sample were taken, and the band was monitored at 630 nm.<sup>3</sup> The procedure was done in triplicate. The IC<sub>50</sub> value related to the ABTS<sup>++</sup> radical inhibition percentage was obtained by Equation 3:

$$\% I = \left(\frac{A_0 - A}{A_0}\right) x 100\%$$
 Eq. 3

Where: %I is percentage inhibition,  $A_0$  is the absorbance of the standard sample without analyte at 630 nm wavelength, A is the absorbance of the sample with analyte at 630 nm wavelength.

## Peroxyl radical (ROO•) assay

The peroxyl radical (ROO•) was generated by thermal decomposition at 37 °C for 30 minutes of 2-2'-azobis-(2-amidinopropane)-dihydrochloride (AAPH).<sup>4</sup> The formation of the peroxyl radical was monitored with the spin trap  $\alpha$ -(4-pyridyl-1-oxide)-N-tertbutylnitrone (POBN). 100 µL final volume solutions were prepared containing: 20 µL AAPH (50 mmol/L), 20 µL POBN (50 mmol/L) and for Mg(phen)(fer) complex the concentration varied from 0.8 µg/mL to 16 µg/mL. Each sample was transferred to a quartz capillary and measured from the RPE cavity. RPE spectra were recorded every 30 s. EPR conditions: microwave frequency 9.5 GHz, modulation frequency 100 kHz, microwave power 5.0 mW, modulation amplitude 2 G, scan width 200 G, time constant 0.128 s and temperature 298 K. The procedure was done in triplicate. The IC<sub>50</sub> value related to the ROO• radical inhibition percentage was obtained by Equation 4:

$$\%I = \left(\frac{A_0 - A}{A_0}\right) x100\%$$
 Eq.

4

Where: %I is percentage inhibition,  $A_0$  is the intensity of the EPR spectrum of the standard sample without analyte, A is the intensity of the EPR spectrum of the sample with analyte.

# Singlet oxygen (<sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub>) assay

Singlet oxygen ( ${}^{1}O_{2}$ ) was generated by continuous irradiation of riboflavin with 420 nm light for a period of 30 min.<sup>5</sup> The formation of singlet oxygen was monitored with the spin trap 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinol (TEMP). 1 mL volume solutions were prepared containing: 130 µL TEMP (1.15 mol/L), 150 µL riboflavin (3 mol/L) and Mg(phen)(fer) complex the concentration varied from 165 ng/mL to 4 .95 µg/mL and final volume completed with PBS pH 7.4 buffer. After 30 minutes irradiation of each sample, it was transferred to a quartz capillary and measured from the cavity of the EPR. EPR spectra were recorded every 30 s. EPR conditions: microwave frequency 9.5 GHz, modulation frequency 100 kHz, microwave power 5.0 mW, modulation amplitude 2 G, scan width 200 G, time constant 0.128 s and temperature 298 K

The IC<sub>50</sub> value related to the  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  radical inhibition percentage was obtained by Equation 5:

$$\% I = \left(\frac{A_0 - A}{A_0}\right) x 100\%$$
 Eq. 5

Where: %I is percentage inhibition,  $A_0$  is the intensity of the EPR spectrum of the standard sample without analyte, A is the intensity of the EPR spectrum of the sample with analyte.

- Salgado, L. E. V.; Vargas-Hernández, C. Spectrophotometric Determination of the PKa, Isosbestic Point and Equation of Absorbance vs. PH for a Universal PH Indicator. Am. J. Anal. Chem. 2014, 05 (17), 1290–1301. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajac.2014.517135.
- (2) Sharma, O. P.; Bhat, T. K. DPPH Antioxidant Assay Revisited. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *113* (4), 1202–1205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.08.008.
- (3) Abramovič, H.; Grobin, B.; Ulrih, N. P.; Cigić, B. The Methodology Applied in DPPH, ABTS and Folin-Ciocalteau Assays Has a Large Influence on the Determined Antioxidant Potential. *Acta Chim. Slov.* **2017**, *64* (2), 491–499. https://doi.org/10.17344/acsi.2017.3408.
- (4) Guo, Q.; Zhao, B.; Shen, S.; Hou, J.; Hu, J.; Xin, W. ESR Study on the Structure-Antioxidant Activity Relationship of Tea Catechins and Their Epimers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj.* 1999, 1427 (1), 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4165(98)00168-8.

(5) Choe, E.; Min, D. B. Chemistry and Reactions of Reactive Oxygen Species in Foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2006**, *46* (1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500455474.



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex at 298 K in  $D_2O$  (blue) compared with the spectra of ferulic acid (green) and 1,10-phenanthroline (red).



Figure S2. Variable temperature (278K to 313K) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in  $D_2O$ .



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H-DOSY-NMR map obtained for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in  $D_2O$  at 283 K (A), 278 K (B), 293 K (C), 298 K (D), 303 K (E) and 313 K (F).

S11



Figure S4. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of a freshly prepared aqueous solution of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top painel).



Figure S5. (A) Absorption spectra in water of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (red) and ferulic acid (black); (B) Emission ( $\lambda_{exc}$ =310 nm) and excitation ( $\lambda_{em}$ =410 nm) spectra of Mg(phen)(fer) in water; (C) Colorimetric determination of the pKa value of Mg(phen)(fer) following absorption at  $\lambda$ =380 nm; (D) Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{exc}$ =310 nm) of Mg(phen)(fer) in the 4-11 pH range. Mg(phen)(fer) concentration was 7.5 µg/mL in all the experiments; (E) Absorption spectra of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in the pH range 3-10.



Figure S6. Cyclic voltammograms of ferulic acid in a buffered solution at pH 7.4 with 0.1 M KCl in a screen-printed electrochemical matrix formed by an electrochemical cell with a glassy carbon working electrode (d = 4 mm), silver counter and reference electrodes.



Figure S7. Cyclic voltammograms of ferulic acid in buffered solution at pH 4.0, pH 7.4 and pH 9.0 with 0.1M KCl, v = 100 mV/s and in the range of 1.0 V to -1.0 V (left side) and -0.25V to 1.0V (right side).





Figure S8. Cyclic voltammograms of Mg(phen)(fer) complex in buffered solution at pH 4.0, pH 7.4 and pH 9.0 with 0.1M KCl, v = 100 mV/s and in the range of -0.25V to 1.0V.



Figure S9. Representation of obtaining the  $IC_{50}$  of the inhibition of the DPPH• radical for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel), for the BHT (middle panel) and for the ferulic acid (bottom panel) using UV-vis spectrophotometer and following the decay of the band at 517 nm. Measurements were performed in triplicate.



Figure S10. Representation of obtaining the  $IC_{50}$  of the inhibition of the ABTS<sup>++</sup> radical for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel), for the BHT (middle panel) and for the ferulic acid (bottom panel) using UV-vis spectrophotometer and following the decay of the band at 730 nm. Measurements were performed in triplicate.



Figure S11. Representation of obtaining the  $IC_{50}$  of the inhibition of the peroxyl radical (ROO•) for the Mg(phen)(fer) complex (top panel). Measurements were performed in triplicate.



Figure S12. Kinetic treatment of  $\ln[(A_{inf} - A_t)/(A_{inf} - A_{t0})]$  vs time in minutes considering a first order process in N<sub>2</sub>, air and in N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Mg(phen)(fer) (left hand side). Decrease in the band at 525 nm referring to excited singlet emission from riboflavin and band increase at 460 nm referring to excited singlet emission of lumichrome in N<sub>2</sub>, air and in N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Mg(phen)(fer) (right hand side).





Figure S13. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10  $\mu$ mol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-13  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), 12 min (purple) and 15 min (yellow).





Figure S14. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10  $\mu$ mol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-15  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in air atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), 12 min (purple) and 15 min (yellow).





Figure S15. Irradiation with continuous light (450 nm) of an Rf solution (10  $\mu$ mol/L) in the presence of different concentrations (4-15  $\mu$ g/L) of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Irradiation times: 0 min (black), 3 min (red), 6 min (blue), 9 min (green), 12 min (purple) and 15 min (yellow).



Figure S16. EPR spectra of TEMPO generated *in situ* by the reaction:  ${}^{3*}$ (Riboflavin) +  ${}^{3}O_{2} \rightarrow$  Riboflavin +  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ ;  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  + TEMP  $\rightarrow$  TEMPO (black, control) and  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  inhibition after adding Mg(phen)(fer) ranging from 0.165 µg /mL to 4.95 µg /mL. Signal decrease through  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  inhibition reaction with varying concentration of complexes.







Figure S17. Selected riboflavin fluorescence lifetime decay traces recorded in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 at a specific concentration ( $C_{Rf}$ = 0.38 mg/mL) with variable concentration of Mg(phen)(fer) complex ( $C_c$ = 0.38 to 2.66 mg/mL) in air atmosphere, blue fit decay profile in each condition. Measurements were performed in triplicate. Red decay profile is the IRF decay. The quality of the fit is indicated by the plots of the weighted residues shown below the luminescence decays.







Figure S18. Selected riboflavin fluorescence lifetime decay traces recorded in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 at a specific concentration ( $C_{Rf}$ = 0.38 mg/mL) with variable concentration of Mg(phen)(fer) complex ( $C_c$ = 0.38 to 2.66 mg/mL) in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, blue fit decay profile in each condition. Measurements were performed in triplicate. Red decay profile is the IRF decay. The quality of the fit is indicated by the plots of the weighted residues shown below the luminescence decays.







Figure S19. Selected riboflavin fluorescence lifetime decay traces recorded in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 at a specific concentration ( $C_{Rf}$ = 0.38 mg/mL) with variable concentration of Mg(phen)(fer) complex ( $C_c$ = 0.38 to 2.66 mg/mL) in O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, blue fit decay profile in each condition. Measurements were performed in triplicate. Red decay profile is the IRF decay. The quality of the fit is indicated by the plots of the weighted residues shown below the luminescence decays.



Figure S20. Stern-Volmer plots for suppression of the singlet excited state of Rf by the Mg(phen)(fer) complex in different atmospheres and concentrations: N<sub>2</sub> (top), air (center) and O<sub>2</sub> (bottom) in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Values of the Stern-Volmer constant (K<sub>SV</sub>) and bimolecular rate constant of singlet state suppression by the complex ( $k_q$ ). Measurements were performed in triplicate.

Sample	Starch		
	% Haze	Visual test	
Control	20,05 %	uftere	
Mg(phen)(fer)	13,50 %	uftere	

Figure S21. Haze (%) values and visual transparency test for starch films produced by casting.



Figure S22. Starch films Fourier transform infrared attenuated total reflectance (ATR– FTIR) spectroscopy: control (black) and containing Mg(phen)(fer) (red).



Figure S23. Qualitative activity of Mg(phen)fer as active compound in active starch film compared to the film control under the following conditions: continuous white light at 8°C for 8 days.

Table S1. First-order rate constants  $(k_{obs})$  for Rf photobleaching under anaerobic condition with different concentrations of the complex in phosphate buffer pH 7.4

Mg(phen)(fer)	k (min <sup>-1</sup> )
---------------	------------------------

concentration (µg/mL)	
0	0,244
4	0,233
7	0,207
9	0,199
11	0,102
13	0,080

Table S2. Halo diameter in cm for different concentrations of Mg(phen)fer against *A*. *niger*, *P. nonatum and R. oryzae* 

Mg(phen)(fer)

Fungi Concentration	A. niger	P. nonatum	R. oryzae
Control	1.32 cm	1.00 cm	1.75 cm
0.001 mg/mL	1.30 cm	0.90 cm	1.74 cm
0.005 mg/mL	1.15 cm	0.88 cm	1.44 cm
0.01 mg/mL	1.00 cm	0.75 cm	1.45 cm
0.05 mg/mL	0	0	0
0.1 mg/mL	0	0	0

Table S3. Halo diameter in cm for different concentrations of ferulic acid against A. niger,P. nonatum and R. oryzae

	Ferulic acid		
Fungi Concentration	A. niger	P. nonatum	R. oryzae
Control	0.92 cm	0.69 cm	1.12 cm
0.70 mg/mL	0.68 cm	0.60 cm	0.59 cm
1.05 mg/mL	0.58 cm	0.45 cm	0.61 cm
1.40 mg/mL	0.59 cm	0.45 cm	0.44 cm
1.75 mg/mL	0.58 cm	0.31 cm	0.41 cm
2.10 mg/mL	0.42 cm	0 cm	0.42 cm



Scheme S1. Proposed mechanism for the electrochemical process of the Mg(phen)(fer) complex.