Supporting Information for.

# Sustainable Photocatalytic Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide from Catechol-Formaldehyde Resin Microspheres Modulated by Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Dots

Yuexin Xiang, Zhinan Xia, Wanchao Hu, Cuiyan Tong,\* and Changli Lü\*

Institute of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P. R. China

**Preparation of CA CDs**: CA CDs were synthesized from "bottom to top" method.<sup>1</sup> The roundbottomed flask containing citric acid (2 g) into 200 °C oil bath was put. After heating for 30 min, citric acid turned into orange liquid, indicating that CA CDs were formed. Then the product was added in NaOH solution (0.2 M) to obtain a neutral solution. Finally, CA CDs were purified by filtration and dialysis with a cellulose ester membrane bag (MW 3500 Da) for 3 days and the product in the dialysis membrane was dried by a freezing dryer.

**Preparation of CA-Tris CDs**: CA-Tris CDs were prepared by hydrothermal synthesis.<sup>2</sup> First, 0.21 g citric acid and 0.12 g Tris were dissolved in 10 mL ultrapure water thoroughly to form a clear solution. Then, the solution was transferred into a poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (Teflon)-lined autoclave (30 mL) and heated at a specific temperature (250 °C) for 6 h. After the reaction, the reactors were cooled down at room temperature. The deep-brown aqueous dispersion formed was centrifuged at high speed (12,000 rpm/min) for 15 min in order to remove any insoluble particulates. Then the upper brown solution was dialyzed against ultrapure water through a dialysis membrane (MW 3500 Da) for 3 days.

At last, the product in the dialysis membrane was dried by a freezing dryer.

**Synthesis of CFR/CA CDs**:CFR/CA CDs composite was synthesized by freeze-drying method. 95 wt % CFR microspheres and 5 wt % CA CDs (total mass 50 mg) dissolved in 30 mL deionized water, ultrasonic until completely dissolved. Finally, the composite was prepared by 24 h freeze-drying method.

**Synthesis of CFR/CA-Tris CDs**: CFR/CA-Tris CDs composite was synthesized by freeze-drying method. 95 wt % CFR microspheres and 5 wt % CA-Tris CDs (total mass 50 mg) dissolved in 30 mL deionized water, ultrasonic until completely dissolved. Finally, the product in the dialysis membrane was dried by a freezing dryer.

### Instrument characterization

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)(Hitachi SU8010) and field emission transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEM-2100F) were used to detect the size and morphology of the samples. The XRD pattern was determined with X-ray powder diffractometer (Dmax2200PC). The surface functional groups and chemical bonds of the samples were determined by Fourier Transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (Magna 560), diffuse infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) (Magna 560 FTIR spectrometer) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)(X-TOOL, ULVAC-PHI). The light absorption of materials was measured by SHIMADZU UV-2550 UV-vis spectrophotometer. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were collected by the Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer. three-electrode electrochemical workstation was CHI 660D used for А the photoelectrochemical test. Saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and Pt sheet electrode (1 cm × 1 cm) were used as reference electrode and the opposite electrode, respectively.

The rotating ring-

disk electrode (RRDE) measurements were performed on BAS Inc setup with CHI 760E wo rkstation. Electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra of  $\cdot O_2^-$  in water were collected by Bruker EMXplus system using 5, 5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline n-oxide (DMPO) as spin catcher.

#### Determination of apparent quantum efficiency (AQE)

The catalyst (50 mg) and water (30 mL) were added to the three-hole reaction bottle, and the O<sub>2</sub> was bubbled in the solution for 15 min. Magnetically stirred in a 25 °C water bath and illuminated by 300 W xenon lamps with bandpass filters (420, 475, 520, 600 and 700 nm). For the action spectrum analysis, the reactions were carried out for 6 h at 25 °C using the monochromated light, where  $\Phi_{AQY}$ was determined using the following equation<sup>3</sup>:

 $\Phi_{AQY}$  (%) = ((number of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> molecules)×2)/(number of incident photons)×100 S1

#### **Determination of SCC efficiency**

The solar-to-chemical conversion (SCC) efficiency of photocatalytic  $H_2O_2$  production by CFR-140 or CFR/NCDs-220 was determined by the photoreaction under simulated solar light irradiation. The irradiance of solar simulator was adjusted to the AM1.5 global spectrum. The SCC efficiency was calculated by the following equation [3]:

SCC efficiency =  $[\Delta G^{\circ} \times n(H_2O_2)]/[(\text{total input energy}) \times (\text{reaction time})]$  S2

Where  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is the free energy for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generation (117 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>), n(H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is the molar of the formed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (mol), the overall irradiance of the AM1.5 global spectrum (300-2500 nm) is 410W·m<sup>-2</sup>, and the irradiation area is 8.2×10<sup>-3</sup> m<sup>2</sup>. The total input power over the irradiation area is calculated to be 300 W.

#### **Photoelectrochemical measurements**

For electrochemical analysis,  $0.1M \text{ Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  (pH 6.6) was used as electrolyte, and Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrodes were used as counting electrodes and reference electrodes, respectively. The working electrode was prepared by the following method: 20 mg catalyst and 60 µL Nafion solution (5 wt %) were dispersed in 4 mL ethanol, and after ultrasound for 30 min, the mixture was uniformly dropped on FTO glass (1.0 cm × 1.0 cm) and dried at room temperature.<sup>4</sup> 300 W Xenon light source with 420 nm cutoff filter (Beijing PerfectLight, China) was used to irradiate the working electrode.

The rotating ringdisk electrode (RRDE) measurements were performed on BAS Inc setup with CHI 760E workstation.Ag/AgCl used as a reference and Pt wire as a counter in an electrolyte composed of phosphate buffer (pH = 7, 0.1 M). The RRDE that was composed of a glassy carbon disk and a Pt ring was used as a working electrode. Prior to measurements, the catalyst ink was dropped on the glassy carbon disk and dried at room temperature.

Superoxide radical ( $\cdot O_2^-$ ) examination :  $\cdot O_2^-$  was examined by a Bruker model electron spin resonance (ESR) A300-10/12 spectrometer equipped with a quanta-Ray Nd: YAG laser system employing DMPO as the spin trapper. Typically, catalyst (0.10 g) was added to a aqueous solution containing DMPO (0.13 mmol). After O<sub>2</sub> bubbling for 10 min, the container was irradiated for 3 minutes. The catalyst was recovered by filtration, and the solution was subjected to analysis at 25 °C.

Sample	Temp.	Time	Catechol/HCHO//NH <sub>3</sub>	Water/ethanol	CFR diameter	$H_2O_2^{\ a}$
	(°C)	(h)	(mmol/mmol/mmol)	(mL/mL)	(µm)	(µmol)
1	120	12	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	2-3	0.73
2	140	6	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	2-3	31
3	140	12	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	2-3	25
4	140	24	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	3-4	20.6
5	160	12	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	3-4	23.3
6	200	12	3.6/7.2/3.0	40/0	3-4	5
7	140	6	1.8/2.7/3	40/0	0.2-0.3	51
8	140	6	1.8/3.6/3	20/20	0.4-1	36.8
9	140	6	1.8/5.4/3	40/0	0.4-1	25

**Table S1** | Properties of CFR spheres prepared under different conditions and their chem-catalyticactivity for  $H_2O_2$  production.

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: water (30 mL), catalyst (50 mg), O<sub>2</sub> (1 atm), temperature (298 K), cacatalytic time (6 h).

Samula	Elemental Analysis (Atom conc %)			
Sample	С	0	Ν	
CFR	82.67	17.33	0	
NCDs-220	79.31	15.13	5.56	
CFR/NCDs-220	81.39	16.51	2.1	

## **Table S2** | XPS analysis of CFR, NCDs-220 and CFR/NCDs-220.

Catalyst	Condition	Light source	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> yield [μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ]	SCC efficiency [%]	Ф <sub>АQY</sub> (%)	Ref.
CFR/NCDs	O₂-saturated; 1.67 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$	213	≈1.06	7(420 nm)	This work
CFR	O <sub>2</sub> -saturated; 1.67 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$ )	126.7	≈ 0.1	5.8 (420 nm)	This work
RF523	O <sub>2</sub> -saturated; 1.67 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$ )	50	pprox 0.5	7.8 (420 nm)	[5]
RF-acid resins	$O_2$ -saturated; 1.67 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$	65.8	pprox 0.7	8.5 (420 nm)	[6]
RF/P <sub>3</sub> HT-1.0	$O_2$ -saturated; 1.67 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$	233.3	≈ 1.0	11 (420 nm)	[7]
PDA	25.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$ )	250	-	2 (280 nm)	[8]
RF-GQDs-0.4	$O_2$ -saturated; 0.2 g·L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$ )	4083.3	≈ 1.1	-	[9]
TiO <sub>2</sub> @RF	$O_2$ -saturated; 0.67g L <sup>-1</sup> catalyst	Xe lamp (AM 1.5)	1000	≈ 0.21	-	[10]

# Table S3 | Performance summary of reported photocatalysts for $\rm H_2O_2$ production.



Fig.S1. TEM images of NCDs-200 (a) and NCDs-250 (b).



**Fig.S2.** (a) PL spectra of the NCDs-220 at different excitation wavelengths, (b) PL spectra of the NCDs synthesized at different temperatures.



**Fig.S3.** Photocatalytic  $H_2O_2$  production by different photocatalysts (The CFR<sub>s</sub> in the figure are all CFR-140, sample 7 in Table S1).



**Fig.S4.**  $H_2O_2$  production catalyzed by respective photocatalysts under light/darkness for 6 h.(The carbon dots in the figure are all NCDs-220;The CFR microspheres from left to right in the figure are samples 7, 5 and 6 in Table S1.)



Fig.S5. Photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by CFR/NCDs-220 with different contents of NCDs-220.



Fig.S6. Photocatalytic production of  $H_2O_2$  with different CFR/NCDs-220 concentrations in the catalytic system.



Fig.S7. Photocatalytic  $H_2O_2$  decomposition of CFR and CFR/NCDs-220.



**Fig.S8.** Photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by CFR/NCDs-220 under a Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 420$  nm) in O<sub>2</sub>-, air-, or N<sub>2</sub>-saturated atmospheres.



Fig.S9.CFR/NCDs-220 photocatalyzes  $H_2O_2$  production in NaCl solution within 6 hours.



Fig.S10. The ratio  $(I_{C=O}/I_{C-OH})$  of 1,2-benzoquinone groups to catechol groups in CFR/NCDs-220 and<br/>CFR-140overtime.

### References

- [1] Y. Dong, J. Shao, C. Chen, H. Li, R. Wang, Y. Chi, X. Lin and G. Chen, Carbon, 2012, 50, 4738-4743.
- [2] M. Zhou, Z. Zhou, A. Gong, Y. Zhang and Q. Li, Talanta, 2015, 143, 107-113.
- [3] Z. Wei, S. Zhao, W. Li, X. Zhao, C. Chen, D. L. Phillips, Y. Zhu and W. Choi, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 11436-11443.
- [4] P. Su, J. Zhang, Y. Zhou, Z. Wei, S. Zhao, B. Yang, X. Zhao and J. Chen, Chem. Eng. J, 2023, 454, 140504.
- [5] Y. Shiraishi, T. Takii, T. Hagi, S. Mori, Y. Kofuji, Y. Kitagawa, S. Tanaka, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, Nat. Mater., 2019, 18, 985-993.
- [6] Y. Shiraishi, T. Hagi, M. Matsumoto, S. Tanaka, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, Commun. Chem., 2020, 3,169.
- [7] Y. Shiraishi, M. Matsumoto, S. Ichikawa, S. Tanaka and T. Hirai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 12590-12599.
- [8] D.-Y. Lee, M. Park, N. Kim, M. Gu, H.-i. Kim and B.-S. Kim, J. Catal., 2022, 411, 235-244.
- [9] C. Zhao, X. Wang, S. Ye and J. Liu, Sol. RRL, 2022, 6, 2200427.
- [10] C. Xia, L. Yuan, H. Song, C. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Zou, J. Li, T. Bao, C. Yu and C. Liu, Small, 2023, 19, 2300292.