## Supporting Information

## Ancillary-ligand-strategy for the improvement of electrochemical

## sensing towards S-containing amines with ultralow detection limit

Teng Ma,<sup>a</sup> Yizhen Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Xiao Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xingyue He,<sup>a</sup> Bin Lei,<sup>a</sup> Xiaohang Qiu,\*<sup>a</sup> Jian-Gong Ma,\*<sup>a</sup> and Peng Cheng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Material Chemistry (MOE), Frontiers Science Center for New Organic Matter, and Renewable Energy Conversion and Storage Center (RECAST), College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China



Figure S1 (a) PXRD patterns of 1. (b) FT-IR spectra of  $H_4$  bptc and 1.



Figure S2  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms of 2 at 77 K (inset: the corresponding pore size distribution).



Figure S3 TGA curve of 2.



**Figure S4** (a) PXRD patterns of **2** after immersing in different solvents for 7d; (b) PXRD patterns of **2** after immersing in water, pH  $2 \sim 12$  for 7d.



Figure S5 XPS spectra of (a) survey of 2; (b) Zn 2p; (c) N1s; (d) C1s.



Figure S6 CV characterization of the intrinsic electrochemical activity of 1 and 2 in ABS buffer solution at pH 4.4.



Figure S7 (a) DPV curves of 20  $\mu$ M L-Met on 2@AuE in ABS buffer with different accumulation time (0 ~ 390s) (b) The relationship between the oxidation currents of L-Met and accumulation time.



Figure S8 (a) recyclability and (b) selectivity of 2@AuE towards L-Met.



Figure S9 The SEM images of 2 after electrochemical sensing(a, b) and 6 recycle sensing work(c,

d) towards L-Met.



Figure S10 PXRD patterns of 2 after the sensing process and 6 recycle work towards L-Met.



Figure S11 Comparison of CV curves of 2@AuE and bare AuE in the presence and absence of 20

µM L-Pen in ABS buffer at pH 4.8.



Figure S12 (a) CV curves of 50 μM L-Pen on 2@AuE in ABS buffer with different pH (4.2–5.0).
(b) The relationship between the oxidation currents of L-Pen and pH values.



Figure S13 (a) DPV curves of 50  $\mu$ M L-Pen on 2@AuE in ABS buffer with different accumulation time (0 ~ 150s) (b) The relationship between the oxidation currents of L-Pen and accumulation time.



**Figure S14** (a) DPV curves of different concentrations of L-Pen on **2**@AuE; (b) Linear relationship between the concentration of L-Pen and oxidation peak current.



Figure S15 The SEM images of 2 after electrochemical sensing(a, b) and 6 recycle sensing work(c,

d) towards L-Pen.



Figure S16 PXRD patterns of 2 after the sensing process and 6 recycle work towards L-Pen.

Table ST Crystal data and structure remement for T.		
Identification code	1	
CCDC number	2297489	
Empirical formula	$C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_{11}Zn_2$	
Formula weight	621.15	
Temperature/K	100.01(10)	
Crystal system	monoclinic	
Space group	$P2_1/c$	

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

a/Å	14.3500(2)
b/Å	12.8566(2)
c/Å	21.5209(2)
α/°	90
β/°	125.0700(10)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	3249.61(8)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.270
μ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	2.248
F(000)	1264.0
Reflections collected	24541
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0428
GOF on F <sup>2</sup>	1.170
$R_{1}, wR_{2}[I \geq 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0583, 0.1401
R <sub>1</sub> , wR <sub>2</sub> [all data]	0.0585, 0.1402
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.37/-0.60

 Table S2 Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.

dentification code	2	
CCDC number	2297488	
Empirical formula	$C_{26}H_{18}N_4O_{10}Zn_2$	
Formula weight	677.18	
Temperature/K	133.6(3)	
Crystal system	triclinic	
Space group	рĪ	
a/Å	6.9201(15)	
b/Å	9.6208(11)	
c/Å	12.0479(10)	
α/°	109.196(9)	
β/°	94.448(12)	
γ/°	105.662(14)	
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	717.1(2)	
Z	1	
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.568	
μ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	1.734	
F(000)	342.0	
Reflections collected	5191	
Rint	0.0677	
GOF on F <sup>2</sup>	0.973	
$R_{1}, wR_{2}[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0743, 0.1199	
R <sub>1</sub> , wR <sub>2</sub> [all data]	0.1387, 0.1506	
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-</sup>	0.67/-0.83	

3		
5		

Working Electrode	Linear range (µM)	Limit of detection	Sensitivity (µA	Ref
		(µM)	$mM^{-1} cm^{-2}$ )	
2	$0.05 \sim 1 \mu M$ and	$6.4  imes 10^{-6} \ \mu M$	$3.637 \ \mu A \ \mu M^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	This
	1~30 μM		$(0.05 \sim 1 \ \mu M)$ and	work
			10.706 μA μM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-</sup>	
			<sup>2</sup> (1~30 μM)	
3D PLA-GNaOH-EC	$5.0 \ \mu M - 3000 \ \mu M$	1.39µM	0.176 μAL μmol <sup>-1</sup>	1
electrode				
GC/GCN-S electrode	0.1µM to 200 µM	$3.2 \times 10^{-4} \ \mu M$	$1.16 \ \mu A \ \mu M^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	2
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SPCE	1.0 to 6.1 μM	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \ \mu M$	6.036 (±0.002) μA	3
			μM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	
MnO <sub>2</sub> @MnCeO	1000-10000 and	0.16 μM	-	4
	1–750 μM			
AgO modified	60 μM - 500 μM	0.42 μM	4.23 μA μM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	5
graphite pencil				
electrode				

Table S3 The comparison of 2@AuE with other electrode for electrochemical sensing of L-Met.

## **Reference:**

- 1. C. Kalinke, N. V. Neumsteir, P. Roberto de Oliveira, B. C. Janegitz and J. A. Bonacin, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **1142**, 135-142.
- 2. A. Ajith and S. A. John, *Electroanal*, 2023, 35, e202200063.
- 3. R. Sasikumar, T. W. Chen, S. M. Chen, S. P. Rwei and M. C. Yu, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2018, **13**, 4561-4574.
- 4. M. Rashedi and T. Alizadeh, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2023, 170, 017502.
- 5. N. A. Odewunmi, A. N. Kawde and M. Ibrahim, Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 281, 765-773.