

High-Efficiency ITO-Free Organic Solar Cells Through Top Illumination

Yu-Ching Huang^{a,b,c*}, Chih-Chien Lee^d, Yung-Yuan Lee^d, Ssu-yung Chung^{a,b}, Hui-Chieh Lin^a, Kasimayan Uma^a, Chia-Feng Li^b, S. W. Liu^{a,e*}

^a Organic Electronics Research Center, Ming Chi University of Technology, New Taipei City 24301, Taiwan

^b Department of Materials Engineering, Ming-Chi University of Technology, New Taipei City, 24301, Taiwan

^c Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, College of Engineering, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan

^d Department of Electronic Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taipei City, 106335, Taiwan

^e Department of Electronic Engineering, Ming Chi University of Technology, New Taipei City 24301, Taiwan

Corresponding authors: huangyc@mail.mcut.edu.tw (Y. -C. Huang); swliu@mail.mcut.edu.tw (S. -W. Liu)

S1. Calculation of Power-per-weight

Parylene thickness = 2.0 μm

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(1) Weight - per - area} &= \frac{\text{Device weight}}{\text{Device area}} \\ &= \frac{0.0015 \text{ g}}{504 \text{ mm}^2} = 2.98 \text{ } \mu\text{g/mm}^2 \\ &= 2.98 \end{aligned}$$

g/m^2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(2) Power density} &= \text{Efficiency} \times \text{incident power} \\ &= 9.26 \% \times 100 \text{ mW/cm}^2 = 92.6 \text{ W/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(3) Power - per - weight} &= \frac{\text{Power density}}{\text{Weight - per - area}} \\ &= \frac{92.6 \text{ W/m}^2}{2.98 \text{ g/m}^2} = 31.07 \text{ W/g} \end{aligned}$$

Table S1. Comparison of PCE vs. W/g vs. T80 of our crumple OPV and reported results in the literature of solar cells.

System	Device structure	Substrate thickness (μm)	PCE (%)	Power-per-weight (W/g)	T80 (hr)	Ref.
OPV	Parylene/PEDOT:PSS/ZnO/P3HT:O-IDTBR/PEDOT:PSS/Parylene	1.7	4.73	6.3	NA	1.
	PE/PEDOT:PSS FTE/PEDOT:PSS/PM6:Y6/PFN-Br/Al	24	14.66	6.33	NA	2.
	PET/PEDOT:PSS/P3HT:PCBM/Ca/Ag	1.4	4.2	10	NA	3.
	PDMS/PET/PEDOT:PSS/D18-Cl:Y6/PFNCl-Br/Al	2.5	15.5	32.07	NA	4.
	PI/Ag/PCP-2F-Li-PEDOT:PSS/PM6:L8-BO/Bis-FIMG	125	17.32	39.72	NA	5.
	Parylene/Al ₂ O ₃ /Ag/PFN-Br/PM6:Y6/MoO ₃ /Ag/WO ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃	2.0	9.26	31.07		This work
Perovskite	NCP/PEDOT:PSS:ethylene glycol:Triton-X100/PEDOT:PSS/CH ₃ NH ₃ PbI ₃ /PCBM/Al	0.5	4.25	0.56	NA	6.
	PET/PH1000/PEDOT:PSS/PbI ₂ /CH ₃ NH ₃ I(MAI)/PCBM/Al	50	14	1.96	NA	7.
	PET/Ag NWs/m-FCE/PEDOT:PSS/MAPbI ₃ /PC61BM/Al	17	13.32	4.16	NA	8.
	PET/graphene/P3HT/CH ₃ NH ₃ PbI ₃ /PC ₇₁ BM/Ag	20	11.5	5	NA	9.
	PET/PEDOT:PSS/CH ₃ NH ₃ PbI _{3-x} Cl _x /PCBM/PTCDI/Cr/Cr ₂ O ₃ /Al	3	12	23	NA	10.
	PET/ITO/NiOx/CH ₃ NH ₃ PbI ₃ /C ₆₀ /Bis-C ₆₀ /Ag	1.4	14.19	23.26	NA	11.
	PET/NiOx NP/R-BA/MAPbI ₃ /PCBM/bis-C ₆₀ /Ag	1.4	16.2	26.9	360	12.
	PEN/Ag NW/PH1000/Al4083/MAIMABrPbI ₂ /PC ₆₁ BM/Al	1.3	15.18	29.4	500	13.
Quantum dot	PET/parylene/graphene/oCVD PEDOT/PbS-EDT/Pbs-TBAI/LiF/Al	1.0	7.1	12.3	NA	14.
	PEN/Ag NWs/AZO/PbS-PbX ₂ /PbS-EDT/Ag	1.3	9.9	15.2	840	15.

Reference:

1. E. Bihar, D. Corzo, T. C. Hidalgo, D. Rosas-Villalva, K. N. Salama, S. Inal, D. Baran, *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2020, 5, 2000226.
2. J. Wan, R. Wen, Y. Xia, M. Dai, H. Huang, L. Xue, Z. Zhang, J. Fang, K. N. Hui, X. Fan, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2021, 9, 5425.
3. M. Kaltenbrunner, M. S. White, E. D. Głowacki, T. Sekitani, T. Someya, N. S. Sariciftci, S. Bauer, *Nat. Commun.* 2012, 3, 770.
4. W. Song, K. Yu, E. Zhou, L. Xie, L. Hong, J. Ge, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, R. Peng, Z. Ge, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2021, 31, 2102694.
5. Xiangjun Zheng,^a Lijian Zuo, Kangrong Yan, Shiqi Shan, Tianyi Chen, Guanyu Ding, Bowei Xu, Xi Yang, Jianhui Hou, Minmin Shi, and Hongzheng Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2023, 16, 2284-2294.
6. L. Gao, L. Chao, M. Hou, J. Liang, Y. Chen, H.-D. Yu, W. Huang, *npj Flexible Electron.* 2019, 3, 4.
7. Y. Li, L. Meng, Y. Yang, G. Xu, Z. Hong, Q. Chen, J. You, G. Li, Y. Yang, Y. Li, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 10214.
8. M. Xie, J. Wang, J. Kang, L. Zhang, X. Sun, K. Han, Q. Luo, J. Lin, L. Shi, C.-Q. Ma, *Flexible Printed Electron.* 2019, 4, 034002.
9. Z. Liu, P. You, C. Xie, G. Tang, F. Yan, *Nano Energy* 2016, 28, 151.
10. M. Kaltenbrunner, G. Adam, E. D. Głowacki, M. Drack, R. Schwödiauer, L. Leonat, D. H. Apaydin, H. Groiss, M. C. Scharber, M. S. White, N. S. Sariciftci, S. Bauer, *Nat. Mater.* 2015, 14, 1032.
11. H. Zhang, J. Cheng, D. Li, F. Lin, J. Mao, C. Liang, A. K.-Y. Jen, M. Grätzel, W. C. H. Choy, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1604695.

12. Q.Wang, C.-C. Chueh, T. Zhao, J. Cheng, M. Eslamian, W. C. H. Choy, A. K.-Y. Jen, *ChemSusChem* 2017, 10, 3794.
13. S. Kang, J. Jeong, S. Cho, Y. J. Yoon, S. Park, S. Lim, J. Y. Kim, H. Ko, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2019, 7, 1107.
14. M. M. Tavakoli, M. H. Gharahcheshmeh, N. Moody, M. G. Bawendi, K. K. Gleason, J. Kong, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 7, 2000498.
15. X. Zhang, V. A. Öberg, J. Du, J. Liu, E.M. J. Johansson, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2018, 11, 354

S2. Demonstration Video:

The video file is attached with this supplementary file. This video serves to demonstrate the smooth removal of our device from the ITO substrate. Notably, the peeling process showcases the device's extraordinary stability as no damage to its structure is observed.