Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Molecular Systems Design & Engineering. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

## **Supporting Information**

#### Anion influence on synthesis of Cu(I)-based coordination polymers

along with a series of derived materials for the selective

photocatalysis properties

Xiao-Sa Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jia-Liang Cui,<sup>a</sup> Yu Liu,<sup>a</sup> Wen-Ze Li,<sup>\*a</sup> Yan Liu,<sup>a</sup> Hong Xiang,<sup>a</sup>

Jian Luan\*b

<sup>a</sup> College of Science, Shenyang University of Chemical Technology, Shenyang, 110142, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> College of Sciences, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, P. R. China

E-mails: liwenze@syuct.edu.cn; 2010044@stu.neu.edu.cn

Table S1 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for CP 1.

Cu(1)–N(1)	2.031(2)	Cu(1)–Cl(1)	2.3836(8)
Cu(1)–N(2)	2.046(2)	Cu(1)–Cl(1)#1	2.4240(8)
N(1)-Cu(1)-N(2)	112.68(8)	N(1)-Cu(1)-Cl(1)#1	106.17(7)
N(1)-Cu(1)-Cl(1)	117.17(7)	N(2)-Cu(1)-Cl(1)#1	110.97(7)
N(2)–Cu(1)–Cl(1)	105.96(6)	Cl(1)-Cu(1)-Cl(1)#1	103.52(3)
Symmetry code: $\#1 - x, -y,$	<i></i>		

Table S2 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for CP 2.

Cu(1)–N(1)	1.9239(17)	Cu(1)–O(1)#1	2.438(2)	
Cu(1)–N(1)#1	1.9239(17)	Cu(1)–O(1)	2.438(2)	
N(1)-Cu(1)-N(1)#1	156.16(10)	N(1)-Cu(1)-O(1)	96.28(8)	
N(1)-Cu(1)-O(1)#1	105.29(8)	N(1)#1-Cu(1)-O(1)	105.29(8)	
N(1)#1-Cu(1)-O(1)#1	96.28(8)	O(1)#1-Cu(1)-O(1)	50.70(10)	
Symmetry code: $\#1 - x - 1/2, -y + 2, z$ .				

СР	D–H···A	D–H	Н…А	D…A	D–H···A
	C3–H3A····O2 <sup>a</sup>	0.93	2.53	3.2468	134
1	O1W–H1WA…N4 <sup>b</sup>	0.85	2.06	2.9055	171
	O1W-H1WB…Cl1°	0.85	2.62	3.4711	178
2	$N(2)-H(2B)\cdots O(3)^{d}$	0.86	2.14	2.9410	155
Z	C(2)–H(2A)····O(1) <sup>e</sup>	0.93	2.58	3.2573	130
Symmetry codes: a $1 - x, -y, 1 - z;$ b $1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z;$ c $1 - x, -y, -z;$ d $x, 1 + y, z;$ e $1 - x, -y, -z;$ e $1 $					

Table S3 Hydrogen	bonding geometries	(Å, °	) of CPs	1 and 2.
-------------------	--------------------	-------	----------	----------

x, 1 + y, 1/2 - z.

Table S4 Organic dyes with different charge types and sizes.

Dye	Formula	Charge type	Size $(nm \times nm \times nm)$
Methylene Blue (MB)	$H_3C_N$ $H_3C_N$ $H_3C_N$ $H_3C_N$ $H_3C_N$ $H_3$ $H$	Cationic	0.40 × 0.79 × 1.63
Rhodamine B (RhB)	H <sub>3</sub> C N CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>	Cationic	0.68 × 1.18 × 1.57
Gentian Violet (GV)	$\begin{array}{c} CH_3 & CH_3 \\ H_3C^{-N} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ H_3C^{-N}CH_3 \end{array}$	Cationic	0.40 × 1.30 × 1.37
Methyl Orange (MO)	$H_3C$ N $H_3C$ N N N N N N N N N N	Anionic	0.53 × 0.73 × 1.74
Congo Red (CR)	$NH_2 \\ N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N$	Anionic	0.39 × 0.86 × 2.61

Matarial	Dosage	Irradiation time	ntion time Degradation efficiency	
Material	(mg)	(min)	(%)	Kelerence
TiO <sub>2</sub> P25	1000	30	100	S1
C-V-1	5	30	99.01	S2
Cu@TiO <sub>2</sub>	5	240	99.51	S3
TiO <sub>2</sub> /CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	5	180	83.00	S4
Ni-CP@FA-1000	5	240	100	S5
Cu/CuO@C	10	240	93.22	S6
Cu@N-1	5	240	97.79	This work

Table S5 Comparison of photodegradation efficiency of different materials for GV.

#### **References:**

S1 S. Bendjabeur, R. Zouaghi, O. N. H Kaabeche and T. Sehili, *Int. J. Chem. React. Eng.*, 2017, **15**, 20160206.

S2 X. S. Zhang, H. T. Zhao, Y. Liu, W. Z. Li, A. A. Yang and J. Luan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 18173–18185.

S3 Y. Q. Zhang, N. Xu, Y. Liu, X. S. Zhang, W. Z. Li, H. T. Zhao and J. Luan, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 9363–9372.

S4 I. Ibrahim, G. V. Belessiotis, A. M. Elseman, M. M. Mohamed, Y. Ren, T. M. Salama and M. B. I. Mohamed, *Nanomaterials*, 2022, **12**, 3290.

S5 Y. X. Li, W. L. Duan, J. Luan and F. Guo, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2023, 549, 121418.

S6 W. L. Duan, X. Liu, J. Luan, G. R. Jiao, Z. Y. Jiang and F. Yan, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2023, **322**, 123995.



Fig. S1 Typical SEM images and corresponding element mappings of Cu-C-2 (a), Cu-N-2 (b), Cu-Mo-2 (c), Cu-W-2 (d).



**Fig. S2** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with CP **2**. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to CP **2**.



**Fig. S3** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with C-CP 1. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to C-CP 1.



**Fig. S4** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with C-CP 2. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to C-CP 2.



**Fig. S5** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with Cu-C-2. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to Cu-C-2.



**Fig. S6** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with **Cu-N-2**. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to **Cu-N-2**.



**Fig. S7** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with **Cu-Mo-1**. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to **Cu-Mo-1**.



**Fig. S8** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with Cu-Mo-2. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to Cu-Mo-2.



**Fig. S9** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with **Cu-W-1**. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to **Cu-W-1**.



**Fig. S10** UV–vis spectra of MB (a), RhB (b), GV (c), MO (d), and CR (e) solutions which were recorded after photocatalytic degradation had been performed for different lengths of time with **Cu-W-2**. (f) The photodegradation rates of MB, RhB, MO, CR and GV at different time points during exposure to **Cu-W-2**.



**Fig. S11** (a) UV–vis absorption spectra of the Cu-CPs, CP-derived and CP-dopingderived structures; (b) Tauc's plots of the Cu-CPs, CP-derived and CP-doping-derived structures.

#### S1. Pseudo-first-order kinetics.

These calculations were performed via equations (S1) and (S2):

$$\ln \left( C_0 / C_t \right) = kt \tag{S1}$$
$$t_{1/2} = \frac{ln2}{k} \tag{S2}$$

where  $C_0$  represents the initial concentration of dye (mg L<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_t$  is the residual concentration of dye at time t (min), k denotes the pseudo-first-order rate constant (min<sup>-1</sup>), and  $t_{1/2}$ (min) represents the half-life period of the reaction.

#### S2. Pseudo-second-order kinetics.

These calculations were performed via equations (S3):

$$t/C_t = 1/(kC_t^2) + t/C_0$$
 (S3)

where  $C_0$  represents the initial concentration of dye (mg L<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_t$  is the residual concentration of dye at time *t* (min), and *k* denotes the pseudo-second-order rate constant (L mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>).



(a)



Fig. S12 (a) Pseudo-first-order plots with respect to time for Cu-N-1 in GV solution;(b) Pseudo-second-order plots with respect to time for Cu-N-1 in GV solution.



Fig. S13 The reproducibility of the photocatalyst Cu-N-1 for GV.

#### S3. Supplementary X-ray crystallography

First, the residual density plot of CP 2 has been shown in Fig. S14. The yellow balls of Q1–Q5 (residual peak <1) are revealed in ablow residual density plot. Second, the phenomenon of "over-assigned" Cu atom (Fig. S15) is a "crystallographic artifact", which is due to insufficient absorption correction. Thrid, we have measured the inductively coupled plasma spectrometer (ICP), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) for CP 2 to verify the structural composition (Table S6). Moreover, the PXRD pattern for CP 2 was in agreement with the corresponding simulated one (Fig. 3d), indicating the phase purity of the sample and verifying the existence of Cu and the accuracy of SCXRD. Furthermore, there were only five elements such as Cu, C, N, O and H in the reactants, while there was nothing present at this site as well.



**Fig. S14** The residual density plot of CP **2** (The yellow balls of Q1–Q5 (residual peak <1) are revealed in ablow residual density plot.).



Fig. S15 The assigned density plot of CP 2.

Element	ICP (%)	XPS (%)	XRF (%)	Theoretical value (%)
Cu	14.14	14.07	14.11	14.12
С	48.00	48.04	48.03	48.05
Ν	15.56	15.58	15.55	15.57
Ο	17.82	17.80	17.77	17.78

 Table S6 The elemental analysis and structural composition of CP 2.