Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2023

# **Supplementary Information**

## Trace doping of cobalt oxide using lanthanum for effective catalytic oxidation of

## carbon monoxide

Zhou Zhicheng<sup>a</sup>, Jiang Tingting<sup>a</sup>, Liu Tao<sup>b,c\*</sup>, Zheng Shourong<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of the

Environment, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210046, P.R. China

<sup>b</sup> School of Environmental Engineering, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing

211167, P.R. China

<sup>c</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210046, P.R. China

Material characterization

The morphology of materials was determined using a Quanta 250 FEG fieldemission scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA). The XRD patterns of the samples were obtained on an D/max-RA powder diffraction meter (Rigaku Co., Japan), operating with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). Surface areas of the catalysts were obtained using  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument (Micromeritics Instrument Corp., Norcross, USA). The contents of La in catalysts were determined by were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, J-A1100, Jarrell-Ash, Franklin, MA). The XPS of the catalysts was conducted on a ESCALAB 250 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Thermo, Co., USA) equipped with a monochromatized Al K $\alpha$  excitation source (hv = 1486.6 eV). H<sub>2</sub> temperatureprogrammed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) were performed with an AutochemII 2920 Chemisorption Apparatus (Micrometric Co., USA). The samples were pretreated under  $N_2$  flow at 110  $\,^{\rm o}\!C$  for 1h and cooled down to room temperature. The temperature was elevated to 700 °C from room temperature at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under 10 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar flow.

#### CO oxidation activity experiment

Prior to the oxidation experiment, 20 mg catalyst was tableted and screened by a 40-mesh sieve. Then, the catalyst was immobilized in a quartz tube with quartz wool. The reaction temperature was measured by a thermocouple. The catalyst was pretreated under  $N_2$  flow (20 mL/min) at 100°C for 1 h with a heating rate of 5°C/min. After cooling down to room temperature, the background value was collected. The CO oxidation experiment under dry conditions was conducted at a flow rate of 100 mL/min, with a feed gas consisting of 1 vol.% CO, 10 vol.% O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> balance. For the CO oxidation experiment under wet conditions, the feed gas was changed by the addition of 3 vol.% water vapor. The quartz tube was maintained at each preset temperature to obtain a steady state, and the CO concentration was determined by an IR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10, USA).

### In situ CO catalytic oxidation experiment

To further clarify the catalytic performance of the catalysts, we conducted in situ CO catalytic oxidation experiments using DRIFT. The catalyst was pretreated under  $N_2$  flow at 100°C with a heating rate of 5°C/min for 1 h. Under dry conditions, the catalyst was exposed to a feed gas consisting of 1 vol.% CO and 10 vol.%  $O_2$  balanced with  $N_2$  at different temperatures for 10 min, and the IR spectrum was obtained. Under wet condition, the feed gas composition was varied by the addition of 3 vol.% water vapor.

#### Catalyst stability experiment

The stability and activity of different catalysts under dry and wet conditions were investigated at 150°C for 15 h.



Fig. S1 SEM images of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (a), La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(IM) (b), La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(CP) (c) and 2%La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (d) catalysts



Fig. S2 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption curve of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and *x*%La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalysts

Samples	Total H <sub>2</sub> consumption (mmol/g)				
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	1.59				
1%La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2.90				
2%La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3.03				
4%La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2.89				

Table S1 The amounts of  $H_2$  consumption of samples from  $H_2$ -TPR experiments



Fig. S3 Water contact angle of  $\rm Co_3O_4$  (a), 1%La-Co\_3O\_4 (b), 2%La-Co\_3O\_4 (c) and 4%La-Co\_3O\_4 (d)



Fig. S4 Co 2p XPS spectra of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and La-doped Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> prepared by different synthesis methods

synthesis methods				
Samples	Co <sup>3+</sup> /Co <sup>2+</sup>			
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	1.06			
La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (IM)	0.82			
La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (CP)	1.19			
La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (DP)	1.33			

Table S2  $Co^{3+}/Co^{2+}$  ratios in  $Co_3O_4$  and La-doped  $Co_3O_4$  prepared by different

	GHSV	Derry and	Day oon dition		/et	
Catalysts	$(mL g^{-1} h^{-1})$	Dry condition		condition		Ref.
	1)	$T_{50}$	$T_{100}$	$T_{50}$	$T_{100}$	
2%La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	120 000	105	130	145	165	This work
Mn-doped Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> spheres	150 000	143	182			1
$Co_{0.50}Sn_{0.50}$	18 000	125	150			2
N-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	30 000	83	125		130	3
A-N-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	30 000	127	160		180	3
CuO <sub>x</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	60 000	80	110			4
AtCo	60 000	100	120	123	150	5
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /C-400	40 000	182	195			6
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -MOF	40 000	142	155			6
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -CeO <sub>2</sub>	15 000	128	170			7
20% La-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	120 000	98	150	117	165	8

Table S3 Comparison of catalytic performance of various catalysts

The Arrhenius equation presented as follows:

$$\ln r_{\rm CO} = \frac{-E_a}{RT} + n \ln[\rm CO]_{in} + \ln A \tag{1}$$

where  $r_{CO}$  is the CO oxidation rate constant, A is the pre-exponential factor, R and T are the universal gas constant and the absolute temperature (K), n is the apparent reaction constant of CO oxidation, respectively. To make a general comparison of  $E_a$ values for different catalysts, the reaction temperature was varied to keep the conversion of CO at a relatively low level (less than 20%).

The rate constant was obtained as follows:

$$r_{\rm CO} = \frac{[\rm CO]_{in} \cdot Q \cdot \alpha}{m_{\rm cat}}$$
(2)

Where Q is flow velocity (m<sup>3</sup>/s),  $m_{cat}$  is the catalyst mass (g).

$$\alpha = \frac{\left(\left[\text{CO}\right]_{in} - \left[\text{CO}\right]_{out}\right]}{\left[\text{CO}\right]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(3)



Fig. S5 Arrhenius plots for CO oxidation on Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and 2%La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalysts



Fig. S6 Long-term catalytic stability test on 2%La-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 150 °C.

### References

[1] J. Bae, D. Shin, H. Jeong, C. Choe, Y. Choi, J.W. Han, and H. Lee, ACS Catal.
2021, 11, 11066–11074.

[2] X.L. Xu, X.F. Sun, H. Han, H.G. Peng, W.M. Liu, X. Peng, X. Wang and X.J.
 Yang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2015, **355**, 1254–1260.

[3] C.C. Yin, Y.N. Liu, Q.N. Xia, S.F. Kang, X. Li, Y.G. Wang and L.F. Cui, J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 2019, **553**, 427–435.

[4] S.Q. Zhao, P. Wu, J.J. Lin, Y.F. Li, A.Q. Li, X.J. Jin, Y. Chen, B.T. Zhao, Y. Zhao, G.X. Chen, Y.C. Qiu, D.Q. Ye and S.H. Yang, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, 429, 132219.

[5] B.X. Chu, S.Q. Liu, Q.J. Qin, R.Q. Zhao, K. Chen, X.Y. Hou, R.Y. Li, C. Li, J.H. Chen, L.H. Dong, and Bin Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2023, **33**, 221244.

[6] X. Chen, J.J. Li, S.C. Cai, J. Chen, and H.P. Jia, J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 6619.

[7] H. Wang, D. Mao, J. Qi, Q.H. Zhang, X.H. Ma, S.Y. Song, L. Gu, R.B. Yu and D.Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2019, **29**, 1806588.

[8] J. Bae, D. Shin, H. Jeong. B. S. Kim, J. W. Han and H. Lee, ACS Catal. 2019, 9(11), 10093-10100.