### ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# Dissecting the biosynthesis of the polyketide alkaloid lydicamycin using a complex metabolic network

Heqian Zhang,<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Xiaojie Li,<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Shiyu Pan,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Jiaquan Huang<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>, and Zhiwei Qin<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Cell Proliferation and Regulation Biology, Ministry of Education, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai, Guangdong, 519087, China.

<sup>b</sup>Center for Biological Science and Technology, Advanced Institute of Natural Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai, Guangdong, 519087, China.

<sup>c</sup>Guangdong Zhuhai-Macao Joint Biotech Laboratory, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai, Guangdong, 519087, China.

<sup>d</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

E-mail: z.qin@bnu.edu.cn

### Table of contents

#### 1. Experimental:

- 1a Standard microbiology methods
- 1b Standard chemistry methods and materials
- 1c Production, purification and structure elucidation of lydicamycin
- 1d Molecular networking of lydicamycin
- 2. ESI Tables
- 3. ESI Figures
- 4. ESI References

#### 1. Experimental

**1a. Standard microbiology methods.** S. ginsengnesis G7 was cultivated at 30°C on ISP2 agar and genetically manipulated on MS agar (See ESI Table 2 for recipes). For sgRNA design and cloning, the Benching web tool (https://benchling.com/) was used to design the sequences, and CasOT<sup>44</sup> to detect off-target risk, sgRNAs with higher specificity were selected. In this study, plasmid pSCBE3-HF was used as the template for target fragment amplification, and 20-bp guide sequence was eventually inserted. Briefly, (1) pSCBE3-HF plasmid was used as the template to PCR-amplify two insertion fragments, with 20-bp guide sequence introduced for gene editing; (2) The pSCBE3-HF plasmid was linearized by restriction enzyme XbaI and NheI; (3) The target fragments were ligated to the XbaI-NheI linearized pSCBE3-HF plasmid to make functional sgRNA expression cassettes by Gibson assembly; (4) The newly constructed vector was replicated in E. coli DH5a or E. coli DH10B, then confirmed by colony PCR and sequencing<sup>[1]</sup>. For conjugation and mutant screening, the experiments were undertaken to transformed final vector to Streptomyces ginsengnesis G7 using E. coli ET12567/pUZ8002 as described previously<sup>[2]</sup>. The ex-conjugants were scraped out from the conjugation plates after overlaying with a pramycin (25 µg/mL) for 7 days and transferred to a new plate with a pramycin (25 µg/mL) for another 7 days. The spores were harvested and spread onto MS agar without apramycin for an extra 3 days growth to loss the CRISPR plasmid. The resulting single colonies were first spread onto the MS plates with and without apramycin (25 µg/mL) for phenotype screening and then checked by Sanger sequencing.

**1b. Standard chemistry methods and materials**. Unless stated otherwise all chemicals were supplied by Macklin. All solvents were of HPLC grade or equivalent. Unless otherwise stated samples were analysed by LCMS/MS on a Agilent G6500 UHPLC system attached to a quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-ToF) mass spectrometer. The spray chamber conditions were: nebulizer, 5 L/min; drying gas, 200; sheath gas temperature, 350°C, sheath gas flow, 11 L/min; drying gas on, 5 L/min. The instrument was calibrated using API-TOF Reference Mass Solution Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following analytical LCMS method was used throughout this study: Phenomenex Kinetex  $C_{18}$  column (100 × 2.1 mm, 100 Å); mobile phase A: water + 0.1% formic acid; mobile phase B: acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid. Elution gradient: 0–1 min, 20% B; 1–12 min, 20%–100% B; 12–14 min, 100% B; 14–14.1 min, 100%–20% B; 14.1–17 min, 20% B; flow rate 0.3mL/min; injection volume 10 µL.

**1c. Production, purification and structure elucidation of lydicamycin.** To isolate compound **1-5**, *S. ginsengnesis* G7 was cultivated on ISP2 agar (2 L; approx. 60 plates) at 30°C for seven days. The agar was sliced into small pieces and extracted once with methanol (2 L) using ultrasonication to improve the extraction. 3 g of the crude extract was filtered and concentrated by evaporation then diluted with 50% methanol (3 mL). This sample was then loaded onto an

open column (4.6 cm × 30 cm) preloaded with Sephadex LH-20 in 50% methanol. Elution was achieved using 50% methanol (600 mL each) and a total number of 35 fractions were finally collected with each in approximate 10 mL. The solvent was removed from each fraction, and the residue dissolved in methanol (1 mL) and this was tested for antibacterial activity using a disk diffusion assay against *B. subtilis*. The antibacterial activity was located exclusively in fraction 9 which was further separated by chromatography over a chromatography using a Phenomenex Gemini semi-prep reversed-phase column ( $C_{18}$ , 110 Å, 150 × 4.6 mm) using a Agilent 1290 series HPLC system and eluting with the following isocratic method: mobile phase A: water + 0.1% formic acid; mobile phase B: acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid; 0–20 min 53% B; flow rate 1 mL/min; injection volume 20 µL. Absorbance was monitored at 285 nm. The resulting solids (1, 3.31 mg; 2, 4.95 mg; 3, 2.34 mg; 4, 3.33 mg; 5, 1.59 mg;) were subjected to analysis by HRMS as described in the main text and the structure determined as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 of the main paper.

**1d. Molecular networking of lydicamycin.** A molecular network was created using the online workflow (https://ccms-ucsd.github.io/GNPSDocumentation/) on the GNPS website (http://gnps.ucsd.edu). The data was filtered by removing all MS/MS fragment ions within +/-17 Da of the precursor m/z. MS/MS spectra were window filtered by choosing only the top 6 fragment ions in the +/- 50Da window throughout the spectrum. The precursor ion mass tolerance was set to 0.1 Da and a MS/MS fragment ion tolerance of 0.1 Da. A network was then created where edges were filtered to have a cosine score above 0.1 and more than 1 matched peaks. Further, edges between two nodes were kept in the network if and only if each of the nodes appeared in each other's respective top 10 most similar nodes. Finally, the maximum size of a molecular family was set to 100, and the lowest scoring edges were removed from molecular families until the molecular family size was below this threshold. The spectra in the network were then searched against GNPS' spectral libraries. The library spectra were filtered in the same manner as the input data. All matches kept between network spectra and library spectra were required to have a score above 0.7 and at least 6 matched peaks.

# 2. ESI Tables

**Table S1.** Strains, plasmids and primers used in this work.

Strain name		Description	Source or Ref.		
<i>E. coli</i> ET12567		dam- dcm- hsdS-	[3]		
<i>E. coli</i> DH10B		F-mcrA $\Delta$ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC)Φ80lacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ lacX74recA1araD139 $\Delta$ (aragalUgalKrpsLnupG $\lambda$ -	Tsingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China		
<i>E. coli</i> DH5α		F- $\varphi$ 80 <i>lac</i> ZΔM15Δ( <i>lacZYA-argF</i> ) <i>U169</i> recA1 endA1 hsdR17 (rK- mK+) phoA supE44 λ- thi-1 gyrA96 relA1	Tsingke Co., Ltd., C	Tsingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China	
Streptomyces ginsengnesis G7		Wild-type strain	This work		
Streptomyces ginsengnesis G7_Alyd65		TPU-0037-AandLydicamycin (BGC9) reduced	This work		
Streptomyces ginsengnesis G7_Alyd67		Lydicamycin (BGC9) reduced	This work		
Plasmids and ePACs		Description	Source or Ref.		
pSCBE3-HF		pSCBE3 derivate with nCas9 replaced by HF-nCas9	[4]		
pUZ8002		Non-transmissible RK2 derivative with a mutation in <i>oriT</i>	[3]		
Primer name	Sequence			Description	
pSCBE3-HF- CGGGGGACG promoter-fwd ACGGTCTC		CTGCAGGTCGACTTGTTCAC	ATTCGA pSCBE3-HF template left flank 1		

nSCBE3-HE-	TATGTCCTGCGGGTAAATAGGCTACAACTCCTG	pSCBE3-HF
terminator-rev	AGGCTACA	template right
	hotemen	flank 2
		pSCBE3-HF
lvd65 spacer-	GCATCCAGGCGCTCGCCCAGGTTTTAGAGCTAG	template left
scaffold-fwd	AAATAGCAAG	flank 2 of
		lvd65
		19405
		pSCBE3-HF
lyd65_spacer-	CTGGGCGAGCGCCTGGATGCGGCCACGACTTTA	template right
promoter-rev	CAACAC	flank 1 of
		lyd65
		pSCBE3-HF
lvd67 spacer-	AGCGAGCAATCGCTCCGCTTGTTTTAGAGCTAG	template left
scaffold_fwd		flank 2 of
scarroid-iwd	AAATAOCAAO	hyd67
		lyd07
		pSCBE3-HF
lyd67_spacer-	AAGCGGAGCGATTGCTCGCTGGCCACGACTTTA	template right
promoter-rev	CAACAC	flank 1 of
		lyd67
		Test sgRNA
seq-sgRNA-	TGATGCCACGATCCTCGCCCT	in pSCRE2
fwd	TOATOCCACOATCCTCOCCCT	шрэсвез-
		пг
sea saPNA		Test sgRNA
seq-sgrivA-	GCAAGGTCGCGCTGATTGCTGG	in pSCBE3-
ICV		HF
sea-Ivd65-	GCACCGCACACTCCCCTCAGGCAC	Test Ivd65
fwd		hase editing in
1.00		buse cutting in
		genome
seq-lyd65-rev	GCCAGGAGGTGAGCTGCCGGCCGG	Test lyd65
		base editing in
		genome

seq- <i>lyd</i> 67- fwd	TTTTATAACTGCGGTTGATTGGTGT	Test <i>lyd67</i> base editing in genome	
seq- lyd67-rev	GTTGGGGGCCGCCGCGGTGCAGGCA	Test <i>lyd</i> 67 base editing in genome	

Media	Recipe (per litre)	Water	рН
LB	10 g tryptone	Deionised	7.5
	5 g yeast extract		
	10 g NaCl		
	+/- 20 g agar		
MS	20 g soy flour	Тар	As made
	20 g mannitol		
	20 g agar		
ISP2	4 g glucose	Тар	7.2
	4 g yeast extract		
	10 g malt extract		
	10g agar		

Table S2. The media used in this work.

Table S3. The antibiotics and concentrations used in this work.

Antibiotic	Final concentration used for selection (µg/ml)
Apramycin	50/25
Ampicillin	100
Chloramphenicol	25
Nalidixic Acid	25
kanamycin	25

## Table S4. The NMR assignment and comparison for 2, 400 MHz, methanol-d4.

Note. For the reason of the low yield, certain chemical shits were not detected.



Position	δ <sub>c</sub> ppm (Ref. 5)	δ <sub>H</sub> ppm (Ref. 5)	δ <sub>н</sub> ppm (Ref. 6)	δ <sub>c</sub> ppm (this study)	δ <sub>H</sub> ppm (this study)
1	180.94				
2	102.88				
3	203.95			202.7	
4	54.36				
5	33.53	3.06 (1H, m)	3.12	33.1	3.13 (1H, m)
6	23.44	1.38,1.58 (2H, m)	1.73, 1.42		1.39, 1.60(2H, m)
7	29.57	1.55,1.72 (2H, m)	1.75,1.62		1.62, 1.71(2H, m)
8	71.11	3.43 (1H, m)	3.48		3.48 (1H, m)
9	75.57	3.64 (1H, m)	3.69		3.64 (1H, m)
10	43.75	2.48 (1H, m)	2.54		
11	120.17	4.85 (1H, m)	4.9		
12	141.22				
13	44.97	2.60 (1H, m)	2.66		
14	29.86	1.10, 1.85 (2H, m)	1.89, 1.12		
15	37.86	1.12, 1.86 (2H, m)	1.89,1.19		
16	37.86	1.55 (1H, m)	1.59		
17	83.71	3.57 (1H, d, 8.3)	3.62	83.1	3.57 (1H, d, 4.49)

18	139.66				
19	124.06	5.33 (1H, t, 6.8)	5.37		5.36 (1H, t, 8.29)
20	36.73	2.19, 2.36 (2H, m)	2.38, 2.25	36.8	2.25-2.15, 2.37-2.25(2H, m)
21	73.65	4.03 (1H, m)	4.08	73.7	4.0-4.1 (1H, m)
22	134.32	5.48 (1H, m)	5.53		5.55-5.45 (1H <i>,</i> m)
23	134.89	5.62 (1H, m)	5.64	134.9	5.65-5.55 (1H, m)
24	43.9	2.23 (1H, m)	2.25	44.0	2.25-2.15 (1H, m)
25	77.53	3.84 (1H, t, 6.6)	3.85	77.6	3.84 (1H, m)
26	134.74	5.48 (1H, m)	5.53		5.55-5.45 (1H <i>,</i> m)
27	129.54	5.62 (1H, m)	5.57	129.7	5.65-5.55 (1H, m)
28	42.13	2.21 (1H, m)	2.35	42.1	2.25-2.15 (1H, m)
29	73.11	4.08 (1H, m)	4.06	73.1	4.10-4.01 (1H, m)
30	136.92	5.53 (1H, m)		136.9	5.55-5.45 (1H, m)
31	128.02	5.66 (1H, m)	5.47	127.9	5.65-5.55 (1H, m)
32	41.46	2.20, 2.30 (2H, m)	2.33,2.19	41.4	2.25-2.15, 2.37-2.25(2H, m)
33	69.86	3.66 (1H, m)	3.72	69.9	3.67 (1H, m)
34	41.65	1.63, 1.67 (2H, m)	1.73,1.68	41.7	1.64, 1.67 (2H, m)
35	57.52	4.03 (1H, m)	4.07	57.3	4.10-4.00 (1H, m)
36	32.13	1.89, 2.08 (2H, m)	2.14, 1.95	32.1	1.92, 2.12- 2.02 (1H, m)
37	24.09	2.06 (2H, m)	2.09	24.1	2.12-2.02 (1H, m)
38	48.19	3.36, 3.50 (2H, m)	3.53, 3.40	48.2	3.35, 3.48 (2H, m)
39	192.47				
40	50.72	3.52 (2H, m)	3.56	49.7	3.51 (2H, m)

41	17.84	1.40 (3H, s)	1.45	17.7	
42	23.36	1.72 (3H, s)	1.77	22.7	1.76 (3H, m)
43	17.08	0.76 (3H, d, 6.6)	0.81	17.0	0.76 (3H, m)
44	11.94	1.58 (3H, s)	1.63	11.7	1.59 (3H, s)
45	16.42	0.95 (3H, d, 6.8)	0.97	16.6	0.96 (3H, d, 6.7)
46	_	_	1.67	_	_
47	155.77			155.7	

## **3. ESI Figures**



**Fig. S1.**  $^{1}$ H (up) and  $^{13}$ C NMR (bottom) of **2**, 400 MHz, methanol-d4.

#### 4. ESI References

1. Y. Tong; C. M. Whitford; H. L Robertsen; K Blin; T. S. Jørgensen; A. K. Klitgaard; T. Gren; X Jiang; T. Weber; S. Y. Lee. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*, 2019, **116**, 20366.

2. Z. Qin; J. T. Munnoch; R. Devine; N. A. Holmes; R. F. Seipke; K. A. Wilkinson; B. Wilkinson and M. I. Hutchings. *Chem. Sci*, 2017, **8**, 3218.

3. D. J. MacNeil; J. L. Occi; K. M. Gewain; T. MacNeil; P. H. Gibbons; C. L. Ruby; S. J. Danis. *Gene*, 1992, **115**, 119.

4. Z. Zhong; J. Guo, L. Deng; L. Chen; J. Wang; S. Li; W. Xu; Z. Deng; Y. Sun. *bioRxiv*, 2019, <u>https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/630137v1</u>

5. T. Furumai, k. Eto, T. Sasaki, H. Higuchi, H. Onaka, N. Saitoa, T. Fujitab, H. Naokib, Y. Igarashi. *J Antibiot*, 2002, **55**, 873-880.

6. Y. Hayakawa, N. Kanamaru, N. Morisaki, K. Furihata, H. Seto. *J Antibiot*, 1991, **44**, 288-292.