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Table S1 Optimized structural parameters (bond length, bond angle and dihedral angle) of 2-amino- $N$-(phenylcarbamothioyl) benzamide.

| Bonded atoms | Bond Length ( $\AA$ ) | Bonded atoms | Bond angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Bonded atoms | Bond angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-C2 | 1.409 | C2,C1,C6 | 118.667 | C18,N19,H21 | 112.818 |
| C2-C3 | 1.381 | C2,C1,C14 | 121.420 | C18,N19, 222 | 132.497 |
| C3-C4 | 1.401 | C1,C2, C3 | 122.219 | H21,N19, 222 | 114.673 |
| C5-C6 | 1.413 | C6,C1,C14 | 119.902 | N19,C22, C 23 | 115.257 |
| C1-C6 | 1.426 | C1,C6, 55 | 118.133 | N19,C22,C24 | 125.443 |
| C2-H7 | 1.084 | C1,C6,N11 | 122.580 | C23,C22,C24 | 119.298 |
| C3-H8 | 1.082 | C1,C14,O15 | 122.737 | C22,C23, 225 | 120.602 |
| C4-H9 | 1.084 | C1,C14,N16 | 116.331 | C22,C23,H26 | 119.475 |
| C5-H10 | 1.085 | C3,C2,H7 | 118.002 | C22,C24,C27 | 119.414 |
| C6-N11 | 1.366 | C2,C3,C4 | 118.795 | C22,C24,H32 | 119.951 |
| N11-H12 | 1.009 | C2,C3,H8 | 120.413 | C25,C23,H26 | 119.922 |
| N11-H13 | 1.006 | C4,C3,H8 | 120.788 | C23,C25,C28 | 120.208 |
| C1-C14 | 1.479 | C3,C4,C5 | 120.606 | C23,C25,H29 | 119.455 |
| C14-C15 | 1.240 | C3,C4,H9 | 120.009 | C27,C24,H32 | 120.633 |
| C14-N16 | 1.382 | C5,C4,H9 | 119.380 | C24,C27,C28 | 121.321 |
| N16-H17 | 1.008 | C4,C5,C6 | 121.532 | C24,C27,H30 | 118.716 |
| N16-C18 | 1.411 | C4,C5,H10 | 120.034 | C28,C25,H29 | 120.335 |
| C18-S20 | 1.673 | C6,C5,H10 | 118.432 | C25,C28, 227 | 119.155 |
| C18-N19 | 1.346 | C5,C6,N11 | 119.248 | C25,C28,H31 | 120.369 |
| N19-H21 | 1.023 | C6,N11,H12 | 117.947 | C28,C27,H30 | 119.962 |
| N19-C22 | 1.412 | C6,N11,H13 | 118.429 | C27,C28,H31 | 120.474 |
| C23-C25 | 1.387 | N11,H12,O15 | 128.141 | C18,N19,H21 | 112.818 |
| C27-C28 | 1.391 | C14,O15, H 12 | 104.444 | H21,N19,C22 | 114.673 |
| C22-C24 | 1.399 | C14,N16,H17 | 118.206 | N19,C22,C23 | 115.257 |
| C24-H32 | 1.078 | C14,N16,C18 | 130.807 | N19,C22,C24 | 125.443 |
| C27-H30 | 1.084 | H17,N16,C18 | 110.958 | C23,C22,C24 | 119.298 |
| C28-H31 | 1.083 | N16,C18,N19 | 114.107 |  |  |
| C25-H29 | 1.084 | C16,C18,S20 | 116.307 |  |  |
| C23-H26 | 1.085 | N19,C18,S20 | 129.584 |  |  |

Table S1 continued.

| Atoms | Dihedral angle ( ${ }^{\mathbf{}} \mathbf{)}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 1$ | -0.178 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.063 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 3$ | -0.120 |
| H7,C2,C1,C6 | 175.985 |
| H8,C3,C2,C1 | 179.276 |
| H9,C4,C3,C2 | -179.638 |
| H10,C5,C4,C3 | 179.383 |
| N11,C6,C5,C4 | -179.477 |
| H12,N11,C6,C5 | -165.909 |
| H13,H1,C6,C5 | -10.993 |
| C14,C1,C2,C3 | 179.514 |
| O15,C14,C1,C2 | 160.880 |
| N16,C14,C1,C2 | -19.767 |
| H17,N16,C14,C1 | 6.506 |
| $\mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{~N} 16, \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 1$ | 175.607 |
| N19,C18,N16,C14 | 0.938 |
| S20,C18,N16,C14 | -179.191 |
| H21,N19,C18,N16 | 1.946 |
| C22,N19,C18,N16 | -179.355 |
| C23,C22,N19,C18 | -177.179 |
| $\mathrm{C} 24, \mathrm{C} 22, \mathrm{~N} 19, \mathrm{C} 18$ | 3.165 |
| C25,C23,C22,N19 | -179.858 |
| H26,C23,C22,N19 | 0.173 |
| C27,C24,C22,N19 | 179.795 |
| C28,C27,C24,C22 | -0.015 |
| H29,C25,C23,C22 | -179.933 |
| H30,C27,C24,C22 | 179.955 |
| H31,C28,C27,C24 | 179.932 |
| H32,C24,C22,N19 | -0.412 |

Table S2 Calculated and experimental vibrational frequencies for the title molecule

| Mode | Experimental Frequency | Calculated Frequency |  | IR <br> Intensity | Assignments and PED\% contributions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FT-IR | unscaled | scaled |  |  |
| 90 |  | 3699 | 3551 | 89.69 | $\gamma \mathrm{NH}$ (94) |
| 89 | 3250 | 3620 | 3475 | 39.15 | $\gamma \mathrm{NH}$ (100) |
| 88 | 3220 | 3557 | 3415 | 88.69 | $\gamma_{\mathrm{NH}}$ (94) |
| 87 | 3140 | 3354 | 3219 | 369.18 | $\gamma \mathrm{NH}$ (99) |
| 86 |  | 3237 | 3107 | 9.71 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}$ (98) |
| 85 |  | 3200 | 3072 | 8.37 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}(79)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(11)$ |
| 84 |  | 3191 | 3064 | 20.32 | $\gamma$ CH (83) |
| 83 |  | 3182 | 3052 | 19.49 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}(15)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(65)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(16)$ |
| 82 |  | 3179 | 3052 | 18.01 | $\gamma$ CH (86) |
| 81 |  | 3173 | 3046 | 0.42 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}(75)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(15)$ |
| 80 |  | 3169 | 3042 | 0.72 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}(81)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(10)$ |
| 79 |  | 3160 | 3033 | 8.85 | $\gamma \mathrm{CH}(20)+\gamma \mathrm{CH}(77)$ |
| 78 | 3026 | 3159 | 3032 | 5.41 | $\gamma$ CH (84) |
| 77 | 1665 | 1693 | 1627 | 52.33 | $\gamma \mathrm{CO}(36)+\beta$ HNH (28) |
| 76 | 1620 | 1658 | 1593 | 337.60 | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{CC}(12)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(10)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(10)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(20)+ \\ & \beta_{\mathrm{HNH}}(10)+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}(11) \end{aligned}$ |
| 75 |  | 1645 | 1581 | 36.99 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (36) $+\beta_{\text {HCC }}$ (10) |
| 74 | 1553 | 1637 | 1573 | 217.92 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (30) $+\beta_{\text {HNC }}$ (11) |
| 73 | 1531 | 1621 | 1558 | 153.06 | $\beta_{\text {HNH (37) }}$ |
| 72 |  | 1604 | 1542 | 264.07 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (20) $+\beta_{\text {HNC }}(20)$ |
| 71 |  | 1585 | 1523 | 277.46 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(23)$ |
| 70 | 1490 | 1551 | 1490 | 625.99 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}(13)+\beta$ HNC (54) |
| 69 | 1337 | 1526 | 1466 | 114.64 | $\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}(54)+\beta_{\mathrm{CCC}}$ (10) |
| 68 |  | 1516 | 1457 | 11.58 | $\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (41) $+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (10) |
| 67 |  | 1480 | 1422 | 19.35 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (12) $+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (17) $+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (19) |
| 66 |  | 1478 | 1420 | 14.00 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(10)+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}(15)+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (18) |
| 65 |  | 1383 | 1329 | 499.52 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}$ (35) |
| 64 |  | 1371 | 1317 | 97.17 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(16)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(19)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(10)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(12)$ |
| 63 |  | 1358 | 1305 | 63.14 | $\beta_{\text {HCC ( }}$ (75) |
| 62 |  | 1350 | 1298 | 71.85 | $\beta_{\text {HCC ( }}$ (42) |
| 61 |  | 1342 | 1289 | 12.14 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (54) |
| 60 |  | 1300 | 1249 | 39.68 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (37) $+\beta_{\text {HCC }}$ (10) |
| 59 |  | 1269 | 1219 | 284.71 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}(17)$ |
| 58 | 1225 | 1239 | 1190 | 69.81 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}(12)+\gamma \mathrm{CN}(16)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(19)$ |
| 57 | 1193 | 1205 | 1158 | 0.73 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(15)+\beta_{\text {HCC }}$ (75) |
| 56 | 1168 | 1195 | 1148 | 78.69 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(16)+\beta_{\mathrm{HNC}}(58)$ |
| 55 | 1122 | 1186 | 1140 | 5.46 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(12)+\beta$ HCC (47) |
| 54 | 1069 | 1183 | 1137 | 0.23 | $\beta$ HCC (82) |
| 53 |  | 1155 | 1110 | 334.25 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}(42)+\beta_{\mathrm{HNC}}(10)$ |


| 52 |  | 1110 | 1067 | 0.64 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(24)+\beta$ HCC (42) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 |  | 1085 | 1043 | 15.46 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(14)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(23)+\beta_{\mathrm{HNC}}(20)+\beta_{\mathrm{HCC}}$ (12) |
| 50 |  | 1072 | 1031 | 10.41 | $\gamma \mathrm{CN}(25)+\beta$ HCC (14) |
| 49 |  | 1051 | 1010 | 9.36 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}$ (41) $+\beta$ НСC (23) $+\beta$ ССС (20) |
| 48 | 1031 | 1042 | 1001 | 16.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{CC}(12)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(17)+\gamma \mathrm{CC}(17)+\beta_{\mathrm{HNC}}(24)+ \\ & \beta_{\mathrm{CCC}}(12) \end{aligned}$ |
| 47 | 987 | 1013 | 974 | 0.31 | $\gamma_{\mathrm{CC}}(15)+\beta \mathrm{CCC}$ (49) |
| 46 | 909 | 997 | 959 | 0.19 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(59)+\tau_{\mathrm{NCCC}}(13)$ |
| 45 |  | 988 | 950 | 0.15 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(77)+\tau_{\text {CCCC }}(11)$ |
| 44 |  | 978 | 940 | 0.03 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC (72) }}$ |
| 43 |  | 965 | 927 | 3.24 | $\gamma_{\mathrm{CN}}(11)+\beta_{\mathrm{CCC}}(26)+\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(12)$ |
| 42 | 885 | 952 | 915 | 0.41 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(58)$ |
| 41 |  | 919 | 883 | 7.79 | $\tau^{\text {HCCC }}$ (82) |
| 40 |  | 882 | 847 | 13.16 | $\beta \mathrm{CCC}(10)+\beta^{\text {CNC }}$ (20) |
| 39 |  | 857 | 824 | 7.83 | $\tau_{\text {HCCN }}(63)+\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(13)$ |
| 38 | 843 | 849 | 816 | 14.64 | $\tau^{\text {HNCC }}$ (25) $+\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(66)$ |
| 37 |  | 827 | 794 | 3.61 | $\beta \mathrm{CCC}$ (12) $+\beta \mathrm{CCC}$ (10) $+\beta_{\mathrm{CCC}}$ (26) |
| 36 |  | 821 | 789 | 41.63 | $\tau_{\mathrm{HNCC}}(53)+\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(24)$ |
| 35 | 801 | 789 | 758 | 18.16 | $\tau^{\text {OCNC }}$ (42) $+\tau_{\mathrm{NCCC}}$ (11) |
| 34 |  | 769 | 739 | 34.05 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(60)+\tau_{\text {CCCC }}(23)$ |
| 33 |  | 759 | 729 | 44.08 | $\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(49)+\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(12)+\tau_{\mathrm{NCCC}}(10)$ |
| 32 | 761 | 753 | 724 | 43.69 | $\gamma \mathrm{CS}$ (33) |
| 31 |  | 707 | 679 | 1.83 | $\tau^{\text {OCNC }}$ (32) $+\tau_{\text {NCCC }}(15)$ |
| 30 | 689 | 702 | 675 | 30.59 | $\tau \mathrm{HCCC}(16)+\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(27)+{ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CCCC}$ (43) |
| 29 |  | 688 | 662 | 27.06 | $\beta_{\text {HCC ( }}$ (43) $+\beta_{\text {CCC }}$ (10) |
| 28 | 645 | 665 | 639 | 21.05 | $\beta$ NCS (61) |
| 27 |  | 639 | 614 | 45.55 | $\beta_{\text {HCC ( }}$ (82) |
| 26 |  | 629 | 605 | 1.14 | $\beta \mathrm{CCC}(51)+\beta \mathrm{CCC}(24)$ |
| 25 |  | 602 | 578 | 17.84 | $\tau^{\text {SNNC ( }}$ (85) |
| 24 | 569 | 582 | 559 | 20.60 | $\tau^{\text {HNCC }}$ (64) |
| 23 | 558 | 573 | 551 | 8.45 | $\gamma_{\mathrm{CC}}(12)+\beta \mathrm{CCC}$ (12) $+\beta_{\text {CCC }}$ (23) |
| 22 | 528 | 540 | 519 | 24.78 | $\tau_{\text {NCCC }}(17)$ |
| 21 |  | 526 | 506 | 28.79 | $\tau_{\text {NCCC (16) }}$ |
| 20 |  | 517 | 497 | 9.95 | $\tau_{\text {HCCC }}(11)+\tau_{\mathrm{NCCC}}(67)$ |
| 19 |  | 454 | 437 | 3.16 | $\beta$ CCC (26) $+{ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CCCC}$ (10) |
| 18 |  | 438 | 421 | 7.52 | $\beta$ CCC (38) $+{ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CCCC}$ (11) |
| 17 |  | 419 | 403 | 3.62 | $\gamma \mathrm{CC}(14)+\beta \mathrm{CCC}$ (45) |
| 16 |  | 416 | 400 | 0.04 | $\tau^{\text {HCCC }}$ (14) $+\tau^{\text {CCCC }}$ (81) |
| 15 |  | 394 | 379 | 14.89 | $\beta \mathrm{CCN}$ (46) |
| 14 |  | 346 | 332 | 181.29 | $\tau_{\text {HNCC ( }}$ (74) |
| 13 |  | 318 | 306 | 2.96 | $\beta$ CCN (46) |
| 12 |  | 271 | 260 | 1.46 | ${ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CNCN}(45)+\tau \mathrm{CNCN}(12)$ |
| 11 |  | 249 | 240 | 6.24 | $\beta_{\mathrm{NCS}}$ (47) $+{ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CCCC}$ (10) |
| 10 |  | 239 | 229 | 5.97 | $\beta_{\mathrm{NCS}}$ (13) $+\tau_{\mathrm{CCCC}}$ (23) $+\tau_{\mathrm{CCCC}}$ (13) + <br> ${ }^{\tau} \mathrm{CNCN}$ (10) |
| 9 |  | 199 | 191 | 6.93 | $\beta \mathrm{CCC} \mathrm{(13)}+\beta \mathrm{CCN}(15)+\beta \mathrm{CCN}$ (12) |
| 8 |  | 171 | 164 | 0.97 | $\beta$ CCN (45) $+\tau$ HCCC (14) |


| 7 |  | 130 | 125 | 1.77 | $\beta_{\mathrm{CCN}(21)+\tau_{\mathrm{HCCC}}(12)}+\tau_{\mathrm{CCCC}(11)+\tau_{\mathrm{CCCC}}(18)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 |  | 115 | 110 | 2.02 | $\tau_{\mathrm{CCC}(14)+\tau_{\mathrm{CNCN}}(52)}$ |
| 5 |  | 87 | 83 | 0.94 | $\tau_{\mathrm{CNCN}(59)}$ |
| 4 |  | 65 | 63 | 0.68 | $\beta_{\mathrm{CCN}}(70)$ |
| 3 |  | 37 | 36 | 0.32 | $\tau_{\mathrm{CCCN}(76)}$ |
| 2 |  | 24 | 23 | 0.56 | $\tau_{\mathrm{CCNC}(76)}$ |
| 1 |  | 19 | 18 | 0.23 | $\tau_{\mathrm{CNCC}}(86)$ |

Abbreviations: $\gamma$-stretching, $\beta$ - bending, and $\tau$-torsion modes.


Fig.S1 PXRD data for investigated compound.


Fig. S2 Charge distribution density in HOMO and LUMO for APCB in gas phase, THF and DMSO solvents.

Table S3 Global reactivity descriptors for APCB in the gas phase, THF and DMSO solvents.

| Molecular descriptor | Gas phase | THF | DMSO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо ( }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | -6.163 | -6.147 | -6.138 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | -2.171 | -2.176 | -2.182 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 3.992 | 3.971 | 3.956 |
| Ionization potential ( $\mathbf{I}=-\mathbf{E}_{\text {Hомо }}$ ) ( eV ) | 6.163 | 6.147 | 6.138 |
| Electron affinity ( $\mathbf{A}=-\mathbf{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$ ) ( eV ) | 2.171 | 2.176 | 2.182 |
| Chemical hardness ( $\eta=(I-A) / 2$ ) (eV) | 1.996 | 1.985 | 1.978 |
| Chemical softness $\left({ }^{\sigma=1 / \eta)}(\mathrm{eV})^{-1}\right.$ | 0.501 | 0.503 | 0.505 |
| Absolute electronegativity $(\chi=(I+A) / 2)(\mathrm{eV})$ | 4.167 | 4.161 | 4.160 |
| Chemical potential ( $\mu=-(I+A) / 2)(\mathrm{eV})$ | -4.167 | -4.161 | -4.160 |
| Electrophilicity index $\left(\omega=\mu^{2} / \eta\right)(\mathrm{eV})$ | 8.699 | 8.722 | 8.749 |
| Maximum charge transfer index $\left(\Delta N_{\max }=-\mu / \eta\right)$ | 2.087 | 2.096 | 2.103 |
| $\mu_{o}$ (D) | 4.775 | 6.403 | 6.827 |
| $\langle\alpha\rangle$ (au) | 236.689 | 301.647 | 318.118 |



Fig. S3 Graphs depicting the temperature dependency of entropy, heat capacity, and enthalpy for APCB molecule.

Table S4 Calculated thermodynamic functions as a function of temperature.

| $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C a l} \cdot \mathbf{m o l}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{V}}\left(\mathbf{C a l} \cdot \mathbf{m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{\Delta H}\left(\mathbf{k c a l . m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 88.340 | 24.956 | 158.723 |
| 200 | 112.558 | 43.705 | 162.326 |
| 300 | 134.991 | 64.360 | 167.883 |
| 400 | 156.756 | 83.357 | 175.543 |
| 500 | 177.550 | 99.099 | 184.898 |
| 600 | 197.144 | 111.658 | 195.667 |
| 700 | 215.437 | 121.686 | 207.560 |
| 800 | 232.495 | 129.824 | 220.350 |
| 900 | 248.418 | 136.547 | 233.887 |
| 1000 | 263.325 | 142.184 | 248.039 |

Table S5 The computed NLO parameters for APCB.

| Descriptor | DFT/B3LYP/ <br> $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 + + \mathbf { G } ( \mathbf { d } , \mathbf { p } )}$ | Descriptor | DFT/B3LYP/ <br> $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 + + \mathbf { G } ( \mathbf { d } , \mathbf { p } )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{x}$ | -3.415 | $\beta_{x x x}$ | -55.603 |
| $\mu_{y}$ | -3.309 | $\beta_{x y y}$ | -36.008 |
| $\mu_{z}$ | 0.429 | $\beta_{x z z}$ | -4.939 |
| $\mu_{o}(\mathbf{D})$ | 4.775 | $\beta_{y y y}$ | -66.526 |
| $\alpha_{x x}$ | 344.642 | $\beta_{x x y}$ | -34.766 |
| $\alpha_{x y}$ | -1.512 | $\beta_{y z z}$ | -0.801 |
| $\alpha_{x z}$ | 0.656 | $\beta_{z z z}$ | -1.296 |
| $\alpha_{y y}$ | 248.197 | $\beta_{x x z}$ | 4.048 |
| $\alpha_{y z}$ | 2.433 | $\beta_{y y z}$ | 2.409 |
| $\alpha_{z z}$ | 117.228 | $\beta_{o}(\mathrm{esu})$ | $1.214 \times 10^{-30}$ |
| $\alpha_{o}(\mathrm{esu})$ | $3.507 \times 10^{-23}$ |  |  |



Fig. S4 Calculated $f_{k}^{+}, f_{k}^{-}$, and $\Delta f_{k}$ for different atoms in APCB.

Table S6 Calculated values for the condensed Fukui functions.

| Atom | $f_{k}^{+}$ | $f_{k}^{-}$ | $\Delta f_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.0059 | -0.0183 | 0.0242 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | -0.0470 | -0.0192 | -0.0277 |
| $\mathbf{C 3}$ | -0.0023 | -0.0431 | 0.0407 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | -0.0570 | 0.0031 | -0.0611 |
| $\mathbf{C 5}$ | -0.0454 | -0.0369 | -0.0084 |
| $\mathbf{C 6}$ | -0.0143 | -0.0009 | -0.0134 |
| $\mathbf{N 1 1}$ | -0.0248 | -0.0755 | 0.0506 |
| $\mathbf{C 1 4}$ | -0.0560 | -0.0021 | -0.0538 |
| $\mathbf{O 1 5}$ | -0.1011 | -0.0219 | -0.0791 |
| $\mathbf{N 1 6}$ | -0.0022 | -0.0089 | 0.0066 |
| $\mathbf{C 1 8}$ | 0.0144 | 0.0076 | 0.0068 |
| $\mathbf{N 1 9}$ | -0.0149 | -0.0538 | 0.0388 |
| $\mathbf{S 2 0}$ | -0.2027 | -0.1748 | -0.0278 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 2}$ | -0.0156 | -0.0674 | 0.0517 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 3}$ | -0.0389 | -0.0370 | -0.0019 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 4}$ | 0.0224 | 0.0226 | -0.0001 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 5}$ | 0.0082 | -0.0007 | 0.0090 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 7}$ | -0.0116 | -0.0029 | -0.0087 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 8}$ | -0.0286 | -0.0406 | 0.0120 |



Fig. S5 The calculated natural charges for different atoms in APCB.


Fig. S6 The bioavailability of the investigated compound tested using the swissADME web application.

Table S7 Calculated drug-likeness properties for the APCB compound.

| Calculated drug-likeness properties for title molecule | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lipinski's violations | 0 |
| Hydrogen Bond Donor (HBD) | 3 |
| Hydrogen Bond Acceptor (HBA) | 1 |
| Topological polar surface area (TPSA) $\left[\AA^{2}\right]$ | 99.24 |
| Number of atoms | 32 |
| Number of rotatable bonds (NROTB) | 5 |
| Molecular weight (MW) | 271.34 |
| Consensus Log Po/w (clogP) | 2.48 |
| Bioavailability score | 0.55 |

## 2. The procedure of calculation for reduced density gradient

1. Generate Gaussian file.
2. Copy and paste Multiwfn in the target file with the Gaussian file.
3. Open Multiwfn and press enter to select the file.
4. Select 20 representing Visual study of weak interactions.
5. Select 1 NCI analysis(also known as RDG analysis)
6. Select 3 High-quality grids.
7. Select -7 to show the isosurface of RDG.
8. After selecting a suitable isovalue, press -1 to draw a scatter graph.
9. The RDG will be shown in a non-colored manner.
10. To illustrate NCI within the molecule, copy and paste the VMD file into the desired file.
11. From the original Multiwfn software file, copy RDGfill.VMD from examples to the desired file.
12. Open VMD and write soure RDGfill.VMD.
13. The interaction is observed in a new window.

### 3.1. MTT assay against MCF-7 cells

3.1.1. Mammalian cell lines: MCF-7 cells (human breast cancer cell line), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD).
3.1.2. Chemicals Used: Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MTT and trypan blue dye were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo., USA).

Fetal Bovine serum, RPMI-1640, HEPES buffer solution, L-glutamine, gentamycin and $0.25 \%$ Trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Lonza (Belgium).

### 3.1.3. Cell line Propagation:

The cells were grown on RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ inactivated fetal calf serum and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ gentamycin. The cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \%$ CO 2 and were subcultured two to three times a week.

### 3.1.4. Cytotoxicity evaluation using viability assay

For antitumor assays, the tumor cell lines were suspended in medium at concentration $5 \times 10^{4}$ cell/well in Corning® 96 -well tissue culture plates, then incubated for 24 hr . The tested
compounds were then added into 96 -well plates (three replicates) to achieve twelve concentrations for each compound. Six vehicle controls with media or $0.5 \%$ DMSO were run for each 96 well plate as a control. After incubating for 24 h , the numbers of viable cells were determined by the MTT test. Briefly, the media was removed from the 96 well plate and replaced with $100 \mu 1$ of fresh culture RPMI 1640 medium without phenol red then $10 \mu 1$ of the 12 mM MTT stock solution ( 5 mg of MTT in 1 mL of PBS) to each well including the untreated controls. The 96 well plates were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 4 hours. An $85 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the media was removed from the wells, and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DMSO was added to each well and mixed thoroughly with the pipette and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Then, the optical density was measured at 590 nm with the microplate reader (SunRise, TECAN, Inc, USA) to determine the number of viable cells and the percentage of viability was calculated as [(ODt/ODc)]x100\% where ODt is the mean optical density of wells treated with the tested sample and ODc is the mean optical density of untreated cells. The relation between surviving cells and drug concentration is plotted to get the survival curve of each tumor cell line after treatment with the specified compound. The $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ), the concentration required to cause toxic effects in $50 \%$ of intact cells, was estimated from graphic plots of the dose response curve for each conc. using Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA. USA).

### 3.2. MTT assay against MRC-5 cells.

### 3.2.1. Mammalian cell lines: MRC-5

(Normal human Lung fibroblast cells), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD).

### 3.2.2. Chemicals Used

Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MTT and trypan blue dye were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo., USA). Fetal Bovine serum, DMEM, HEPES buffer solution, L-glutamine, gentamycin and $0.25 \%$ Trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Lonza (Belgium).

### 3.2.3. Cell line Propagation

The cells were propagated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, HEPES buffer and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ gentamycin. All cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and were subcultured two times a week.

### 3.2.4. Cytotoxicity evaluation using viability assay

For cytotoxicity assay, the cells were seeded in 96 -well plate at a cell concentration of $1 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of growth medium. Fresh medium containing different concentrations of the test sample was added after 24 h of seeding. Serial two-fold dilutions of the tested chemical compound were added to confluent cell monolayers dispensed into 96-well, flatbottomed microtiter plates (Falcon, NJ, USA) using a multichannel pipette. The microtiter plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified incubator with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for a period of 24 h . Three wells were used for each concentration of the test sample. Control cells were incubated without test sample and with or without DMSO. The little percentage of DMSO present in the wells (maximal 0.1\%) was found not to affect the experiment. After incubation of the cells viable cells yield was determined by a colorimetric method.

After incubating for 24 h , the numbers of viable cells were determined by the MTT test. Briefly, the media was removed from the 96 well plate and replaced with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of fresh culture RPMI 1640 medium without phenol red then $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the 12 mM MTT stock solution ( 5 mg of MTT in 1 mL of PBS) to each well including the untreated controls. The 96 well plates were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 4 hours. An $85 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the media was removed from the wells, and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DMSO was added to each well and mixed thoroughly with the pipette and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Then, the optical density was measured at 590 nm with the microplate reader (SunRise, TECAN, Inc, USA) to determine the number of viable cells and the percentage of viability was calculated as [(ODt/ODc)]x100\% where ODt is the mean optical density of wells treated with the tested sample and ODc is the mean optical density of untreated cells.

The relation between surviving cells and drug concentration is plotted to get the survival curve of each tumor cell line after treatment with the specified compound. The Cytotoxic concentration $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right)$, the concentration required to cause toxic effects in $50 \%$ of intact cells, was estimated from
graphic plots of the dose response curve for each conc. using Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA. USA).

### 3.3. VEGFR-2 kinase inhibitory activity assay

The most active compound was evaluated for in vitro VEGFR-2 kinase inhibitory activity by VEGFR2 (KDR) Kinase Assay Kit-BPS Bioscience Corporation catalog \# 40325, using KinaseGlo ${ }^{R}$ MAX as a detection reagent following the manufacturer's instructions as following:

- Thaw 5x Kinase Buffer 1, ATP and PTK substrate Poly (Glu:Tyr 4:1) ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ).
- Prepare the master mixture ( $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per well): N wells x ( $6 \mu \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{x}$ Kinase Buffer $1+1 \mu \mathrm{ATP}$ ( 500 $\mu \mathrm{M})+1 \mu$ PTK substrate Poly (Glu:Tyr $4: 1)(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})+17 \mu$ water). Add $25 \mu$ l to every well.
- Add $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Inhibitor solution of each well labeled as "Test Inhibitor". For the "Positive Control" and "Blank", add $5 \mu$ of the same solution without inhibitor (Inhibitor buffer).
- Prepare 3 ml of 1 x Kinase Buffer 1 by mixing $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 5 x Kinase Buffer 1 with $2400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ water. 3 ml of 1 x Kinase Buffer 1 is sufficient for 100 reactions.
- To the wells designated as "Blank", add $20 \mu$ l of 1x Kinase Buffer 1.
- Thaw VEGFR2 enzyme on ice. Upon first thaw, briefly spin tube containing enzyme to recover full content of the tube. Calculate the amount of VEGFR2 required for the assay and dilute enzyme to $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ with 1 x Kinase Buffer 1.
- Initiate reaction by adding $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of diluted VEGFR2 enzyme to the wells designated "Positive Control" and "Test Inhibitor Control". Incubate at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 minutes.
- Thaw Kinase-Glo Max reagent.
- After the 45 minutes, add $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Kinase-Glo Max reagent to each well. Cover plate with aluminum foil and incubate the plate at room temperature for 15 minutes.
- Measure luminescence using the microplate reader.
- Inhibitory activity was expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (the concentration at which $50 \%$ of the enzyme activity inhibited), which were calculated from dose-response curves obtained using twelve tested concentrations of the inhibitor and carried out in duplicate as shown below:


Fig. S7 Relation between VEGFR-2 enzyme inhibition as a function of concentration for (a) head molecule. (b) sorafenib.

Table S8 Inhibition of VEGFR-2 Enzyme in the presence of various concentrations of APCB.

| Sample conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | \% of VEGFR <br> Enzyme Activity | VEGFR Enzyme <br> Inhibition (\%) | S.D. ( $\pm$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 3.11 | 96.89 | 0.27 |
| 250 | 6.86 | 93.14 | 0.38 |
| 125 | 8.92 | 91.08 | 0.74 |
| 62.5 | 19.53 | 80.47 | 0.91 |
| 31.25 | 26.48 | 73.52 | 1.46 |
| 15.6 | 38.72 | 61.28 | 0.94 |
| 7.8 | 53.63 | 46.37 | 1.05 |
| 3.9 | 64.59 | 35.41 | 0.63 |
| 2 | 72.18 | 27.82 | 0.44 |
| 1 | 79.61 | 20.39 | 0.23 |
| 0.5 | 85.75 | 14.25 | 0.17 |
| 0.25 | 91.27 | 8.73 | 0.09 |
| 0 | 100 | 0 |  |

Table S9 Inhibition of VEGFR-2 Enzyme in the presence of various concentrations of sorafenib.

| Sample conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | \% of VEGFR <br> Enzyme Activity | VEGFR Enzyme <br> Inhibition (\%) | S.D. ( $\pm$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 1.24 | 98.76 | 0.32 |
| 250 | 3.58 | 96.42 | 0.46 |
| 125 | 4.63 | 95.37 | 0.21 |
| 62.5 | 6.11 | 93.89 | 0.53 |
| 31.25 | 8.24 | 91.76 | 0.28 |
| 15.6 | 9.07 | 90.93 | 0.11 |
| 7.8 | 15.28 | 84.72 | 0.06 |
| 3.9 | 19.71 | 80.29 | 0.37 |
| 2 | 24.66 | 75.34 | 0.28 |
| 1 | 28.71 | 71.29 | 0.65 |
| 0.5 | 39.47 | 60.53 | 1.29 |
| 0.25 | 51.29 | 48.71 | 0.83 |
| 0 | 100 | 0 |  |

Table S10 Comparison of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the investigated compound to other recently published thiourea derivative and
 reference compound.


