Supporting information

Field-assiated slow relaxation of magnetization in Cu(II) complexes with a pentaheterocyclic triphenodioxazine ligands: quasi-one-dimensional versus binuclear case

D.V. Korchagin,*a E.P. Ivakhnenko,^b O.P. Demidov,^c P.A. Knyazev^b, N.N. Efimov, ^d R.B. Morgunov,^a A.G. Starikov,^b A.V. Palii,^a V.I. Minkin,^b and S.M. Aldoshin^a

^{*a.*} Federal Research Center of Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Acad. Semenov Av., 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia; korden@icp.ac.ru

^{b.} Institute of Physical and Organic Chemistry, Southern Federal University. 194/2 Stachki St. Rostov on Don, Russian Federation, 344090

^{c.} North Caucasus Federal University. 1 Pushkin st., Stavropol, Russian Federation, 355017

^d Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, 31 Leninskii prosp. Moscow, Russian Federation, 119071,



Fig. S1. Experimental (black) and simulated (red) ESR spectra of Cu(hfac)₂ (toluene solution, 295K, g = 2.1352, a(Cu) = 67.45 G).





Fig.S2. Fragments of crystal packing of 1 (a) and 2(b)



Fig. S3. $\chi^{-1}(T)$ dependances for **1** (a) and **2** (b)(H = 1 and 5 KOe, respectively).



Fig. S4. Frequency dependence of the in-phase $\chi'(a)$, out-of-phase $\chi''(b)$ AC susceptibility χ_M for 1 at temperature T = 2K and H_{DC}=0 Oe.



Fig. S5. Frequency dependences of the out-of-phase (χ ") AC susceptibility for **1** (a) and **2**(b) at different values of applied DC magnetic field and T = 2 K.

Parameters	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{28}CuF_{12}N_2O_6$	$C_{54}H_{46}Cu_2F_{24}N_2O_{10}$
Formula weight, g/mol	876.14	1466.01
Temperature, K	293(1)	100(1)
Crystal system; space group	Triclinic; P-1	Triclinic; P-1
<i>a</i> , Å	9.5270(2)	9.3736(5)
b, Å	13.6628(3)	13.0106(6)
<i>c</i> , Å	15.3279(2))	13.5156(7)
α , deg.	91.565(2)	99.681(4)
β , deg.	97.827(2)	103.799(4)
γ, deg.	106.988(2)	107.618(4)

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1 and 2.

Volume, Å ³	1885.58(7)	1473.32(14)
Z; ρ (calculated), g/cm ³	2; 1.543	2; 1.651
μ , mm ⁻¹	1.789	2.115
F(000)	886	738
Crystal size, mm	0.29 x 0.18 x 0.05	0.24 x 0.17 x 0.11
θ range, deg.	2.917 to 67.684	3.487 to 67.684
Reflections collected	39179	24302
Reflections unique [R(int)]	7869 [0.0188]	6115 [0.0589]
N of param. (Constrains)	666(36)	421(0)
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.074	1.028
Final R_1 ; $wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0386; 0.1177	0.0489; 0.1291
R_1 ; wR_2 (all data)	0.0458; 0.1269	0.0546; 0.1373
$\Delta \rho_{max}$ and $\Delta \rho_{min}$, e·Å ⁻³	0.280 and -0.505	0.936 and -0.731
CCDC	2296209	2296208





Fig. S6. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of polycrystalline sample of **1** (a) and **2** (b): experimental (blue), and calculated from single crystal data (red).



Fig. S7. Frequency dependences of the in-phase χ' for 1 (a) and 2 (b) at different temperatures and H_{DC} =400 Oe and 2500 Oe, respectively (points – experiment, lines – fit by generalized Debye model).

Table S2. Best fit parameters of the one-component Debye model for the Cole-Cole plot of complex **1** at H_{DC} =400 Oe

Т, К	χ _s ,cm³ mol ⁻¹	χ _T ,cm³ mol ^{−1}	τ, s	α	<i>R</i> ₁ ^{<i>a</i>}
1.8	0.190	0.584	0.154E-01	0.171	0.223E-02

2.0	0.178	0.531	0.123E-01	0.166	0.150E-02
2.2	0.143	0.448	0.993E-02	0.170	0.289E-02
2.5	0.131	0.388	0.762E-02	0.157	0.257E-02
2.7	0.125	0.365	0.636E-02	0.161	0.248E-02
3.0	0.126	0.345	0.516E-02	0.153	0.145E-02
3.5	0.113	0.303	0.360E-02	0.164	0.118E-02
4.0	0.111	0.277	0.280E-02	0.158	0.115E-02
5.0	0.104	0.236	0.199E-02	0.148	0.870E-03
8.0	0.094	0.187	0.605E-03	0.123	0.187E-03
10.0	0.089	0.165	0.321E-03	0.082	0.171E-03

^{*a*} The mean residual sum of squares,
$$R_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_{exp} - Y_{calc})^2}{Y_{exp}^2}$$

Table S3. Best fit parameters of the one-component Debye model for the Cole-Cole plot o
complex 2 at H_{DC} =2500 Oe

<i>Т,</i> К	$\chi_{\rm S}$, cm ³ mol ⁻¹	χ _T ,cm³ mol ^{−1}	τ, s	α	<i>R</i> ₁ ^{<i>a</i>}
6	0.153	0.262	0.678E-03	0.124	0.654E-03
7	0.136	0.244	0.608E-03	0.084	0.175E-03
8	0.121	0.216	0.465E-03	0.072	0.185E-03
9	0.110	0.191	0.355E-03	0.039	0.850E-04
10	0.100	0.173	0.276E-03	0.029	0.113E-03
11	0.090	0.158	0.212E-03	0.048	0.610E-03
12	0.084	0.144	0.179E-03	0.029	0.633E-03
13	0.079	0.133	0.135E-03	0.039	0.163E-03
14	0.073	0.124	0.110E-03	0.059	0.257E-03
15	0.068	0.116	0.893E-04	0.010	0.148E-03
16	0.065	0.109	0.721E-04	0.018	0.899E-04

17	0.062	0.103	0.660E-04	0.028	0.656E-04
18	0.059	0.098	0.523E-04	0.041	0.194E-03
19	0.056	0.092	0.459E-04	0.065	0.216E-03
20	0.053	0.088	0.400E-04	0.059	0.145E-03

^{*a*} The mean residual sum of squares, $R_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_{exp} - Y_{calc})^2}{Y_{exp}^2}$

Table S4. Total energies (E_{tot} , a.u.) for the structures of compound L1, L2, 1a-1c, 2-2b and Cu(hfac)₂ optimized at the DFT B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.

Structure	E _{tot}
L1	-1266.696503
L2	-1581.285426
Cu(hfac) ₂	-3522.415768
1a	-9578.264993
1b	-8311.561341
1c	-4789.131488
2	-8626.152444
2a	-5103.721477
2b	-6685.002512