## Electronic Supplementary Information: Structural and thermodynamic investigations of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> between 280 K and their decomposition temperatures

Konrad Burkmann, Franziska Habermann, Erik Schumann, Jakob Kraus, Bianca Störr, Horst Schmidt, Erica Brendler, Jürgen Seidel, Klaus Bohmhammel, Jens Kortus, and Florian Mertens

#### Table of contents

Page 2	1.	<sup>1</sup> H, <sup>11</sup> B and <sup>91</sup> Zr NMR spectra of $Zr(BH_4)_4$ and $Hf(BH_4)_4$
Page 4	2.	Crystal structures of Hf(BH4)4 and Zr(BH4)4
Page 5	3.	SEM images of the decomposition products of the DSC measurements
Page 6	4.	SEM images of the decomposition products after the TG-DSC measurements
Page 7	5.	$C_P$ measurement of Hf(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>
Page 7	6.	Experimental heat capacity values of $Zr(BH_4)_4$ and $Hf(BH_4)_4$
Page 8	7.	Comparison between the optimised values for $Hf(BH_4)_4$ from this work and the ones from the literature
Page 8	8.	Thermodynamic equlibrium calculations regarding the decomposition behaviour of Zr(BH4)4 and Hf(BH4)4
Page 9	9.	Literature

1. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>91</sup>Zr NMR spectra of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>



Fig. S1: **top:** <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; 28.63 mg in 0.5 mL C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>;  $\delta$  = 2.70 ppm; <sup>1</sup>J<sub>1H-11B</sub> = 89.3 Hz (M = 4); <sup>1</sup>J<sub>1H-10B</sub> = 28.5 Hz (M = 7); **bottom:** <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; 27.68 mg in 0.5 mL C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>;  $\delta$  = 1.50 ppm; <sup>1</sup>J<sub>1H-11B</sub> = 90.4 Hz (M = 4); <sup>1</sup>J<sub>1H-10B</sub> = 29.1 Hz (M = 7); signals are given relative to SiMe<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. S2: **top:** <sup>11</sup>B spectrum of Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; 28.63 mg in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>;  $\delta$  = -11.27 ppm; <sup>1</sup>J<sub>11B-1H</sub> = 89.3 Hz (M = 5); **bottom:** <sup>11</sup>B spectrum of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; 27.68 mg in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>;  $\delta$  = -8.19 ppm; <sup>1</sup>J<sub>11B-1H</sub> = 90.4 Hz (M = 5); signals are given relative to 15 Vol-% Bortrifluoridetherat BF<sub>3</sub>: Et<sub>2</sub>O in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S3:  ${}^{91}Zr$  spectrum  $Zr(BH_4)_4$ ; saturated in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>:C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (1:4);  $\delta$  = 44.1 ppm; relative to Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrBr<sub>2</sub> (0.2 M) in THF-d<sub>8</sub>:THF (1:4) = 0 ppm.

2. Crystal structures of Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>



Fig. S4: Crystal structures of  $Hf(BH_4)_4$  (top) and  $Zr(BH_4)_4$  (bottom) measured in this study (a (288 K), c (273 K)) in comparison with already published ones (b (110 K) [1], d (100 K) [2]). The dotted lines show the M-H contacts.



3. SEM images of the decomposition products of the DSC measurements

Fig. S5: SEM images of the Zr (top) and Hf (bottom) containing decomposition products after the DSC measurements. The scale is given in the images.

4. SEM images of the decomposition products after the TG-DSC measurements



Fig. S6: SEM images of the Zr (top) and Hf (bottom) containing decomposition products after the TG-DSC measurements. The scale is given in the images.

### 5. CP measurement of Hf(BH4)4



Fig. S7: Graph of the measured  $C_P$  values of  $Hf(BH_4)_4$  from 280 K to 310 K (black dots; black line is drawn to guide the readers eyes).

#### 6. Experimental heat capacity values of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>

Tab. S1: Mean values of the molar heat capacities of  $Zr(BH_4)_4$  and  $Hf(BH_4)_4$  measured at the given temperatures between 281 K and 308 K.

nhaca	Zr(B	6H <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	Hf(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>			
phase	T [K]	C <sub>P</sub> [J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]	T [K]	C <sub>P</sub> [J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]		
	281.79	205.85	281.30	207.50		
colid	285.60	214.70	285.16	211.37		
Soliu	289.38	224.02	288.94	214.08		
			292.69	219.70		
	293.42	293.77				
phase transition	297.22	577.64	296.61	251.90		
	301.64	3319.00	302.20	2074.25		
liquid	304.14	250.66				
iiquia	307.87	252.91	307.49	259.10		

7. Comparison between the optimised values for Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> from this work and the ones from the literature



Fig. S8: Comparison between the measured vapour pressure values of Hoekstra and Katz [3] (dots) and our calculated ones (lines) for Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (sublimation: black; vaporisation: red).

# 8. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations regarding the decomposition behaviour of Zr(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Hf(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>

The Gibbs enthalpies of decomposition  $\Delta_{dec}G$  in the presented graphs were calculated using our determined values from Table 6 in the main part of the manuscript for  $Zr(BH_4)_4$  and  $Hf(BH_4)_4$ . The data for the other compounds involved were taken from the HSC [4] or the FactSage database [5] and are displayed in Tab. S2. The heat capacities were calculated using a Maier-Kelley type polynomial function [6]:

$$C_P(T) = A + B \cdot T \cdot 10^{-3} + C \cdot T^{-2} \cdot 10^5 + D \cdot T^{2} \cdot 10^{-6}$$

	Trongo [1/]	<i>∆⊧H</i> (298.15 K)	S(298.15 K) [J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]	C <sub>P</sub> [J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> ]				
	i range [K]	[kJ/mol]		А	В	С	D	source
H <sub>2</sub> (g)	298.15-5000	0.000	130.679	25.855	4.837	1.584	-0.372	[4]
B (s)	298.15-1500	0.000	5.900	16.033	12.895	-7.570	-3.234	[4]
B <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> (g)	298.15-900	41.003	232.086	2.235	213.291	-1.075	-79.525	[4]
ZrH <sub>2</sub> (s)	298.15-960	-169.452	35.020	37.522	33.916	-16.359	0.000	[4]
HfH <sub>2</sub> (s)	298.15-2506	-125.520	38.425	64.280	8.8292	0.452	0.003	[5]
ZrB <sub>2</sub> (s)	100-600	222 596	25.041	-3.916	233.340	-0.545	-196.082	[4]
ZrB <sub>2</sub> (s)	600-3323	-322.300	55.941	65.836	7.284	-15.990	0.579	[4]
HfB <sub>2</sub> (s)	298.15-3000	-328.862	42.677	73.346	7.824	-23.012	0.000	[4]

Tab. S2: Summary of all used litereature thermodynamic data.



Fig. S9: Comparison between the different possible decomposition reactions of  $Zr(BH_4)_4$  (left) and  $Hf(BH_4)_4$  (right) calculated with our determined data for both boranates and the literature data [4,5] for the other compounds.

Fig. S9 displays the results of several theoretically possible decomposition reactions. As can be seen, the thermodynamically most favorable decomposition is the one that generates the metal diborides, two equivalents of boron and eight equivalents of hydrogen without any release of diborane. The formation of metal dihydrides as intermediates may appear because of the fact that direct decomposition into the metal diborides is kinetically hindered at these low decomposition temperatures.

#### 9. Literature

- R. W. Broach, I. S. Chuang, T. J. Marks and J. M. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 1081–1084.
- [2] L. H. Rude, M. Corno, P. Ugliengo, M. Baricco, Y.-S. Lee, Y. W. Cho, F. Besenbacher, J. Overgaard and T. R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 20239–20245.
- [3] H. R. Hoekstra, J. J. Katz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 2488–2492.
- [4] A. Roine, HSC Chemistry, 2002, https://www.hsc-chemistry.com/.
- [5] C.W. Bale, E. Bélisle, P. Chartrand, S. A. Decterov, G. Eriksson, A. E. Gheribi, K. Hack, I. H. Jung, Y. B. Kang, J. Melançon, A. D. Pelton, S. Petersen, C. Robelin, J. Sangster, P. Spencer and M. A. van Ende, *Calphad*, 2016, **54**, 35–53.
- [6] C. G. Maier, K. K. Kelley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1932, 54, 3243–3246.