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Fig. S1 (a-f) Optical microscopy images of twisted bilayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns with versatile interlayer twists. The twist is inset in the lift upper corner in (a)-(f).


Fig. S2 (a-b) AFM topographic and corresponding phase images of the $30^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower pattern, respectively. (c) The top flower pattern is zoomed in the right parts of (a) to display the topographic and height difference in $30^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower. (d) A height profile in (a) marked with pink, showing the changeable interlayer spacing from the center to the off-center region for the $30^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower.


Fig. S3 (a) Optical microscopy images of $0^{\circ}$ - and $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB} \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns with a highlighted area showing different Raman detection regions (0, 2, 2', and 1). (b-i) LF and HF Raman spectra obtained from the $\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns with a twist angle of $0^{\circ}, 16^{\circ}, 21^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 36^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$, and $60^{\circ}$, respectively. Four different colored Raman curves from (b) to (i) are from the region $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}$, $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$, and 1.


Fig. S4. $\left(a_{i}-f_{i}\right)$ PL spectrum acquired from samples of $t B-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns with a twist of $16^{\circ}$, $25^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 36^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$, respectively. Four different colored curves including the red, the blue, the green and the black curve from $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ to $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ are obtained from the region $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{1}$ in the above samples. $\left(a_{i i}-f_{i i}\right)$ Deconvoluted PL spectrum from the region $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\left(a_{i}\right)-\left(f_{i}\right)$, respectively.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 5}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{PL}$ intensity mapping images of the $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and $0^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns obtained at the energy of A exciton peak positions of 1.817 and 1.802 eV , respectively. (c, d) Raman intensity mapping images of the $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and $0^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower patterns for the $E_{2 g}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1 g}$ modes, respectively.


Fig. S6 (a, b) Deconvoluted PL spectrum from the region $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ from $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower pattern, respectively. (c, d) Deconvoluted PL spectrum from the region 2 and $\mathbf{1}$ from $0^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower pattern, respectively.

Table I. Calculated interlayer spacing $\Delta \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{nm})$, effective masses (in unit of free electron mass $m_{o}$ ) at $\mathbf{K}$ for bilayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower pattern with different twisted angles.

| Twisted angle | Region | $\mathbf{\Delta d} \mathbf{( n m})$ | $\left.\mathbf{C B M}-\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{( m}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ | $\left.\mathbf{V B M}-\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{( m}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ | Bandgap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0.63 | $0.5 / 0.99906=0.500$ | $0.5 / 0.82925=0.603$ | Indirect |
| $21^{\circ}$ | 2 | 0.75 | $0.5 / 1.00217=0.499$ | $0.5 / 0.83202=0.601$ | Indirect |
| $21^{\circ}$ | $2^{\prime}$ | 0.95 | $0.5 / 1.00193=0.499$ | $0.5 / 0.83634=0.598$ | Direct |
| $21^{\circ}$ | 1 |  | $0.5 / 0.97886=0.511$ | $0.5 / 0.80988=0.6174$ | Direct |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0.60 | $0.5 / 0.83763=0.597$ | $0.5 / 0.7939=0.63$ | Indirect |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 2 | 0.60 | $0.5 / 0.83763=0.597$ | $0.5 / 0.7939=0.63$ | Indirect |
| $0^{\circ}$ | $2^{\prime}$ | 0.60 | $0.5 / 0.83763=0.597$ | $0.5 / 0.7939=0.63$ | Indirect |
| $0^{\circ}$ | 1 |  | $0.5 / 0.97886=0.511$ | $0.5 / 0.80988=0.6174$ | Direct |

## DFT Calculations

DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna $a b$ initio simulation package (VASP) ${ }^{1}$. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation ${ }^{2}$ and the projector-augmented wave method ${ }^{3}$ were employed to describe the exchange correlation energy and the electron-ion interaction, respectively. We adopted a plane wave cutoff energy of 400 eV and a convergence criterion of $10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}$ in all calculations. In the self-consistent calculation, a $k$-point mesh density of $2 \pi \times 0.03 \AA^{-1}$ using the $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ centered Monkhorst-Pack scheme ${ }^{4}$ was adopted. The vacuum thickness was set large enough ( $>30 \AA$ ) in the z-direction to avoid interactions between periodic sheets of tB$\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. In our calculations, van der Waals interactions were considered under the framework of the vdW-DF method with the optB86b-vdW exchange functional ${ }^{5}$. To study the electronic band structures of twisted-bilayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}\right)$, we calculated the effective band structures of the crystallographic superlattice using the band unfolding technique ${ }^{6}$.

In this study, the strain in $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower caused the interlayer spacing difference between the center region $\mathbf{0}$ and the edge region $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$, which was not observed in $0^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ flower. Therefore, we constructed a crystallographic superlattice unit cell of the $\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\theta=21.79^{\circ}$ and various layer distance $(\Delta \mathrm{d})$. The size of each petal of the flower pattern was about $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the region we simulated is at the scale of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~nm}$, which could be regarded as a point of the petal, as depicted in Fig. S7. The interlayer distance $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ was set as 0.63 nm to simulate the atomic structure at the center region $\mathbf{0}$. We increased the $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ to $0.70 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.75 \mathrm{~nm}$, and 0.80 nm to simulate the atomic structure at the edge region $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$, the energy band structures of which are shown in Fig. S8. We can see that the energy band structure transition from the indirect gap to the direct one with the interlayer spacing increasing up to 0.75 nm . In our case, the experimental measured interlayer spacing in region 2 for the sample of $21^{\circ}-\mathrm{tB}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is enlarged to around 0.95 nm . Therefore, it is rational to observe the energy band gap transition.


Fig. S7 Theoretical model for the twisted bilayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\theta=21.7^{\circ}$ at different regions.


Fig. S8 The energy band structures of the twisted bilayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\theta=21.79^{\circ}$ with different interlayer distances.
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