1 Modifying D-A- π -A-D HTM system for higher hole mobility by the

2 meta-substitution strategy to weaken the electron-donating ability of

3 donor unit: A DFT study

4

5 Ke-Li Wang^{1,§}, Qun-Gui Wang^{1,§}, Cui-E Hu^{2,*}, Yan Cheng^{1,*}, Guang-Fu Ji³, Xiang-

6 Rong Chen¹

7

⁸ ¹College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China;

9²College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Chongqing Normal University,

10 Chongqing 400047, China;

11 ³ National Key Laboratory for Shock Wave and Detonation Physics Research,

12 Institute of Fluid Physics, CAEP, Mianyang 621900, China

13

14 Abstract

Tuning the electron-donating ability (EDA) of donor unit of hole transporting 15 materials (HTMs) is an efficient strategy to modulate the optoelectronic properties of 16 HTMs. Based on the strategy, we first theoretically investigated the effects of the EDA 17 of donor unit on the D-A- π -A-D architectural HTMs. Results show that the enhanced 18 EDA of donor unit leads to larger hole reorganization energy and poorer molecular 19 stability of HTM. In contrast, meta-substitution of side-group is an effective strategy to 20 reduce the EDA of donor unit. We found that the application of the meta-substitution 21 strategy in the D-A- π -A-D system not only successfully improves the molecular 22 stability, but also achieves higher hole mobilities by promoting the electronic coupling 23

^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>cuiehu@cqnu.edu.cn</u>; <u>ycheng@scu.edu.cn</u>

1	between the molecular dimers and decreasing the hole reorganization energies
2	simultaneously. Interfacial properties studies indicate the intermolecular coupling also
3	synergistically enhances the interfacial charge extraction performance and reduces the
4	carrier recombination. In conclusion, by utilizing the meta-substitution strategy to
5	reduce the EDA of donor units on D-A- π -A-D architectural HTMs, we successfully
6	designed four superior performance HTMs mD1, mD2, mD3, and mD4.
7	
8	Keywords: Hole transport materials; Perovskite solar cells; Electron-donating ability;
9	Meta-substitution; Hole mobility; Interfacial properties.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	



3 Fig. S1. (Color online) The calculated absorption and emission spectra of eight
4 investigated molecules at the TD-DFT/PBE38/6-31G (d, p) level in dichloromethane.
5



4 Fig. S2. (Color online) The calculated absorption and emission spectra of DT-BT
5 molecule at the TD-DFT/PBE38/6-31G (d, p) level in dichloromethane.



(b)



3 Fig. S3. (Color online) (a). The diagram of giant ideal crystal expanded by the lowest 4 total energy crystal structure; (b). The illustration of the effective distance d_{eff} between 5 molecules of the dimer.

6



3 Fig. S4. (Color online) The convergence of electronic coupling of investigated
4 molecules.
5



4 Fig. S5. (Color online) The crystal structure, hopping pathways, and the
5 corresponding hole hopping rate on each main hopping pathway of DT-BT molecules.



3 Fig. S6. (Color online) The linear regression fitting of reorganization energy as a

⁴ function of IP_{donor} .



3 Fig. S7. (Color online) Viewpoint of interacting molecules in the dimer of the
4 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of pD1.

pD2 $\int e^{i\theta} e^{i\theta}$

3 Fig. S8. (Color online) Viewpoint of interacting molecules in the dimer of the
4 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of pD2.

5







3 Fig. S10. (Color online) Viewpoints of interacting molecules in the dimer of the
4 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of DTB-FL.



2 Fig. S11. (Color online) Viewpoints of interacting molecules in the dimer of the3 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of mD1.



3 Fig. S12. (Color online) Viewpoints of interacting molecules in the dimer of the
4 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of mD2.



2 Fig. S13. (Color online) Viewpoints of interacting molecules in the dimer of the3 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of mD3.



3 Fig. S14. (Color online) Viewpoints of interacting molecules in the dimer of the
4 predominate hopping path and the overlap between the orbitals of mD4.





2 Fig. S15. (Color online) Interfacial interaction between perovskite (110) surface and

- 4
- 5

³ monomer of pD1, DTB-FL, mD1 and mD4, respectively.



2 Fig. S16. (Color online) The EDD maps (left), plane-averaged EDD ($\Delta \rho$) of the 3 dimer/perovskite systems integrated in the *x-y* plane (central) and the transferred 4 electrons as function of the *z*-axis (right), where light blue and light yellow in EDD

maps and fillings of Δρ diagram represent the accumulation and consumption of
 electrons, respectively, and the corresponding color curves in Δρ diagram represent
 the distribution of positive and negative charge carriers.



Donor d1 d2 d3 d4 d6 d5 d7 d8 IP_{donor}/eV 4.13 4.27 4.32 4.62 4.67 4.78 4.80 4.94 EA_{donor}/eV 0.34 0.39 0.51 0.37 0.42 0.46 0.54 0.43

1 Table S1. The ionization potential and electron affinity of the designed donor unit in

2 the adiabatic condition, are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d, p) level with the PCM

3 model in dichloromethane solvents.

4

6 Table S2. The values of HOMO level and LUMO level calculated at B3LYP/6-31G (d,

-	```	1 1
1	n	IAVA
/	P	

Molecules	$E_{\rm HOMO}$ /eV	$E_{ m LUMO}$ /eV
pD1	-4.43	-2.41
pD2	-4.58	-2.42
pD3	-4.59	-2.43
DTB-FL	-4.78	-2.46
mD1	-4.82	-2.46
mD2	-4.89	-2.48
mD3	-4.90	-2.47
mD4	-5.00	-2.52

8

⁵

Molecules	E^*_{HOMO} (eV)	E^*_{LUMO} (eV)	$E_{\text{Gap}} \left(\text{eV} \right)$
pD1	-5.02	-3.00	2.02
pD2	-5.19	-3.03	2.16
pD3	-5.20	-3.04	2.16
DTB-FL	-5.41(-5.47) ^{<i>a</i>}	-3.09(-3.23) ^a	2.32 (2.24) ^{<i>a</i>}
mD1	-5.45	-3.09	2.36
mD2	-5.53	-3.12	2.42
mD3	-5.54	-3.11	2.43
mD4	-5.65	-3.16	2.48

Table S3. The fitting values of HOMO and LUMO energy level of investigated
 molecules by employing the empirical equation proposed by W. J. Chi *et al.* (Ref. 117).

^a Experimental data are obtained from Ref. 53.

6 Table S4. The calculated and experimental values of HOMO level and LUMO level

7 calculated of DT-BT HTM.

	$E^*_{\rm HOMO}$ /eV	E^*_{LUMO} /eV	$E_{\mathrm{Gap}}/\mathrm{eV}$
Calculated	-5.29	-2.95	2.34
Experimental	-5.32	-3.19	2.13

1 **Table S5.** The calculated and experimental values of the main absorption peak (λ_{main})

Molecule		-BT	DTE	3-FL
Absorption type	$\lambda_{ m main}$ /nm	$\lambda_{\rm abs}$ /nm	$\lambda_{ m main}$ /nm	$\lambda_{\rm abs}$ /nm
Computational	317.81	507.09	323.74	499.06
Experimental ^a	314	504	314	492

2 and the absorption peak in the UV-vis region (λ_{abs}) of experimental molecules.

3 ^{*a*} Experimental data are obtained from Ref. 53.

1	Table S6. The absorption	peak λ_{abs} and	emission	peak λ _{em} ,	the excitation	energy	E and

2 corresponding oscillator strength $f_{\rm abs}$, the main configuration of investigated molecules,

3	respectively, along with the	Stokes shift at the TD-DFT	/ PBE38 / 6-31G(d, p) level.
---	------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------

						Stokes
Molecules	λ_{abs} (nm)	Ε	$f_{ m abs}$	Main configurations	$\lambda_{\rm em} ({\rm nm})$	shifts (nm)
pD1	542.48	2.286	1.185	H → L 56.9%	714.70	172.22
				H-1→L+1 27.9%		
pD2	522.49	2.373	1.310	H→L 61.5%	664.93	142.44
				H-1→L+1 27.9%		
pD3	519.30	2.388	1.310	H→L 60.9%,	650.78	131.48
				H-1→L+1 26.8%		
DTB-FL	499.06	2.484	1.439	H → L 63.6%	611.28	112.22
	(492) <i>a</i>			H-1→L+1 26.3%	(805) ^a	
mD1	489.60	2.532	1.463	H → L 59.7%	603.60	114.00
				H-1→L+1 23.0%		
mD2	482.56	2.569	1.470	H→L 63.1%	597.60	115.04
				H-1→L+1 24.4%		
mD3	480.08	2.583	1.556	H→L 61.6%	594.73	114.65
				H-1→L+1 21.6%		
mD4	471.78	2.628	1.478	H→L 64.6%	591.87	120.09
				H-→L+1 22.4%		

4 ^{*a*} The data in bracket are experimental values obtained from Ref. 53.

Molecule	Minimal	Maximal	Negative	Positive	Negative average	Positive average	Overall average
	LSF	LSF	alea (70)	alea (70)	ESP	ESP	ESP
pD1	-40.85	22.60	59.49	40.51	-12.42	8.73	-3.85
pD2	-39.74	41.15	65.46	34.54	-12.04	11.56	-3.89
pD3	-38.95	23.79	59.60	40.40	-11.41	8.99	-3.17
DTB-FL	-36.29	21.97	57.99	42.01	-10.26	8.72	-2.28
mD1	-36.85	21.71	56.83	43.17	-11.13	7.84	-2.94
mD2	-35.32	39.07	60.59	39.41	-10.78	10.40	-2.43
mD3	-38.15	22.55	58.63	41.37	-11.25	8.47	-3.09
mD4	-31.93	20.57	49.89	50.11	-9.24	8.07	-0.56

Table S7. Quantitative analysis of ESPs of the investigated molecules at the isosurface

2 = 0.001 au.

Molecule	Crystal	Space	a (Å)	ኮ (Å)	a (Å)	a (°)	β (°)	n (°)
S	system	group	a (A)	0 (A)	C (A)	α()	p()	γC)
pD1	Triclinic	P-1	11.949	12.858	43.089	118.45	133.15	87.181
						4	4	
pD2	Triclinic	P-1	29.587	22.575	6.600	84.020	122.64	123.979
							7	
pD3	Triclinic	P-1	22.161	20.122	11.630	53.225	65.358	64.959
DTB-FL	Triclinic	P-1	14.988	18.236	20.013	65.195	50.836	50.689
mD1	Triclinic	P-1	12.411	15.337	22.553	81.610	65.732	78.497
mD2	Triclinic	P-1	8.058	37.902	15.466	106.37	124.63	109.169
						8	8	
mD3	Triclinic	P-1	32.849	12.522	10.748	70.973	54.422	87.239
mD4	Triclinic	P-1	25.071	13.325	13.003	92.238	129.05	92.586
							3	

1	Table S8.	The crysta	llographic d	ata of eight	HTMs in	molecular	crystals.
---	-----------	------------	--------------	--------------	---------	-----------	-----------

1 **Table S9.** The reorganization energy λ_h (eV), transfer integral V_{ec} (eV), distance

2 between the centroid to centroid of dimer r (Å), the hole hopping rate $k_{\rm h}$ (s⁻¹) and the

HTMs	Pathway	$\lambda_{ m h}$	$V_{\rm ec}$	r	$k_{ m h}$	Contribution	μ
DT-BT	1	0.158	-3.395	9.924	1.05×10 ¹¹	16.90%	
	2		-3.391	9.924	1.05×10 ¹¹	16.82%	
	3		2.018	11.314	3.70×10 ¹⁰	2.74%	8.348×10 ⁻³
	4		2.016	11.314	3.70×10 ¹⁰	2.73%	(1.92×10 ⁻⁵) ^a
	5		2.948	17.397	7.91×10 ¹⁰	29.52%	
	6		2.95	17.397	7.92×10 ¹⁰	29.60%	

3 hole mobility μ (cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) of DT-BT molecule.

4 ^{*a*} Experimental data are obtained from Ref. 53.

5

6 **Table S10.** The interfacial details of monomer/FAPbI₃ and dimer/FAPbI₃ 7 heterojunction, including transferred electrons (abbr. as T. E.), Variation of transfer 8 charge between monomer/FAPbI₃ and dimer/FAPbI₃ ($\Delta_{T.E.}$), and interfacial absorption

9 energies (E_{ads}).

	T. E.	Т. Е.	٨	$E_{\rm ads}({\rm eV})$	$E_{\rm ads}({\rm eV})$
	(monomer/FAPbI ₃)	(dimer / FAPbI ₃)	$\Delta_{T.E.}$	(monomer/FAPbI ₃)	(dimer / FAPbI ₃)
pD1	1.75	2.23	0.48	-4.56	-5.43
DTB-FL	1.35	2.06	0.71	-3.48	-3.83
mD1	1.43	1.99	0.56	-3.34	-3.04
mD4	1.11	1.80	0.70	-2.82	-3.01

10

11

12