Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supplementary Material



Fig. S1. XPS spectra of CNVO and NVO.



Fig. S2. XPS spectra of Cs 3d3 and Cs 3d5 of CNVO.



Fig. S3. XPS spectra of O 1s of CNVO.



Fig. S4. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) image of CNVO.



Fig.S5. Lattice diffraction stripe size of CNVO.



Fig. S6. SAED image of CNVO.



Fig.S7. EDS mapping images of CNVO in different charging and discharging states.



Fig. S8. XPS spectra of V $2p_{3/2}$ of NVO.



Fig. S9. 20th charge/discharge curves of CNVO with different Cs⁺ molar ratios at 1A g⁻¹ current density.



Fig. S10. CV curves of NVO at different scan rates.



Fig. S11. Pseudocapacitance fitting results of CNVO at 1.1 mV s⁻¹ scan rate.



Fig. S12. GITT curves of NVO.



Fig. S13. Calculated diffusion coefficients of NVO.



Fig. S14. EIS curves of CNVO with different Cs⁺ molar ratios.



Fig. S15. SEM image of CNVO cathode material charged to 1.6 V for the 20th time.



Fig. S16. SEM image of CNVO cathode material charged to 1.6 V for the 50th time.

Sample	Fixed	Test	Test element	Dilution	The elemental concentration of	Sample	Sample
Quality	volume	elements	concentration	times	digestion solution/original	elemental	elemental
(g)	$V_0(ml)$		C ₀ (mg/L)	f	sample solution C ₁ (mg/L)	content	content
						C _x (mg/kg)	W (%)
0.1264	25.00	Cs	0.04	1000	35.80	7080.70	0.7081%
0.1264	25.00	V	2.08	1000	2080.83	411557.04	41.1557%
0.0125	25.00	N	21.96	1	21.96	43922.00	4.3922%

Table. S1. Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy of CNVO samples.

Table. S2. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of CNVO with other reported zinc-ion batteries.

Cathode	Electrolyte	Specific capacity	Rate	Cycling stability	Ref.
			performance		
(NH ₄) ₂ V ₄ O ₉ ·0.5H ₂ O	2 M ZnSO ₄	374.3 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.2	101 mAh g ⁻¹ at 15	84% after 1000 cycles at 5	[1]
	aqueous solution	A g^{-1}	A g^{-1}	A g^{-1}	
$Mg_{0.34}V_2O_5 \cdot 0.84H_2O$	3 M Zn(CF ₃ SO ₃) ₂	352 mA h g ⁻¹ at 100	264 mA h g ⁻¹ at	~97 % capacity retention	[2]
		mA g ⁻¹	1000 mA g ⁻¹	for at least 2000 cycles at	
				5000 mA g ⁻¹	
δ -Ni _{0.25} V ₂ O ₅ .nH ₂ O		402 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.2 A	225 mAh g ⁻¹ at 5 A	98 % over 1200 cycles at 5	[3]
		g-1	g-1	A g-1	
$NaCa_{0.6}V_6O_{16}\cdot 3H_2O$	3 M Zn(CF ₃ SO ₃) ₂	347 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.1 A	154 mAh g ⁻¹ at 5 A	94% after 2,000 cycles at 2	[4]
		g-1	g-1	A g ⁻¹	
V ₆ O ₁₃ @CC	3 M ZnSO ₄	431 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.2 A	227 mAh g^{-1} at 9 A	nearly 99% after 1000	[5]
		g-1	g^{-1}	cycles at 9 A g ⁻¹	
Al-doped V ₁₀ O ₂₄ ·12H ₂ O	3 M Zn(CF ₃ SO ₃) ₂	290 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.375	294.5 mAh g ⁻¹ at 5	98% capacity retention after	[6]
		A g ⁻¹	A g ⁻¹	3000 cycles	

References

- R. Wei, X. Wang, B. Xi, Z. Feng, H. Li, W. Chen, Y. Jia, J. Feng, & S. Xiong, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2020, 3, 5343–5352.
- F. Ming, H. Liang, Y. Lei, S. Kandambeth, M. Eddaoudi, & H. N. Alshareef, ACS Energy Lett., 2018, 3, 2602–2609.
- J. Li, K. McColl, X. Lu, S. Sathasivam, H. Dong, L. Kang, Z. Li, S. Zhao, A. G. Kafizas, R.
 Wang, D. J. L. Brett, P. R. Shearing, F. Cora, G. He, C. J. Carmalt, & I. P. Parkin, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020 10, 2000058.
- 4 K. Zhu, T. Wu, & K. Huang, Adv. Energy Mater., 2019, 9, 1901968.
- 5 M. Tamilselvan, T. V. M. Sreekanth, K. Yoo, & J. Kim, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 529, 147077.
- Q. li, T. Wei, K. Ma, G. Yang, & C. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 20888–20894.