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## 1. Experiment Information and Product Data

### 1.1 General Information.

Unless otherwise noted, all reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received without further purification. Racemic standard products were prepared using $D L$-proline as catalyst in order to establish HPLC conditions. The silica gel (200-300 meshes) was used for column chromatography and TLC inspections were taken on silica gel GF254 plates. Elemental analysis was carried out on an Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH Vario EL V3.00 elemental analyzer. Liquid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a mass spectrometer by using electrospray ionization (ESI) analyzed by quadrupole time-offlight (QTof). High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis was performed on a Waters 1525 Delta or an Agilent 1260 equipment, using Daicel Chiralpak OJ-H or OD-H columns and with $i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane as the eluent. Solid-state NMR spectra were obtained on a WB 400 MHz Bruker Avance II spectrometer. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CP/MAS NMR spectra were recorded with the contact time of 3 ms (ramp 100) and the recycle delay of 2 s with a 4 -mm double-resonance probe. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NEXUS 670 instrument. The morphology and size of the obtained sample was characterized by a JEOL-6701F field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, operated at 10 kV ).

### 1.2 Synthesis of chiral precursor $1 .{ }^{1}$




To a suspension of $L$-tyrosine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 55.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ice-cooled dry methanol ( 30 mL ), thionyl chloride ( $16.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 220.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise under argon. After the addition was complete, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . Then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give (S)-tyrosine methyl ester hydrochloride (II) as a yellow solid quantitatively, which was directly used in the next step without further purification. (S)-tyrosine
methyl ester hydrochloride (II) was added in one portion to a solution of $n$ butylamine ( 35 mL ) in dry ethanol ( 20 mL ), and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature until the amino ester was judged to be consumed as determined by TLC (ca. 48 h ). After removal of the organic solvents under vacuum, the residue was suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then concentrated under vacuum. This $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ addition-removal cycle was repeated several times to remove excess $n$-butylamine. The product was directly used for the next step without further purification. Dry MeOH ( 50 mL ), dry acetone ( 100 mL ) and PTSA ( 0.199 g ) was added to the crude product (III). The resulting solution was heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h and concentrated under vacuum to afford a crude product, which was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether $/$ EtOAc $=3: 2$ ) to provide chiral precursor $\mathbf{1}$ as a white solid ( 10.5 g ) in $70 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.06-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.31-1.25 (m, 5H), $1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 174.1, 155.7, 130.7, 127.0, 115.7, 76.4, 58.8, 40.4, 35.4, 31.3, 27.7, 26.2, 20.3, 13.7.

### 1.3 Synthesis of Mac-CP.

To a suspension of chiral precursor $1(276 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and formaldehyde ( $37 \%$ aqueous solution; $243 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 0.2 mL ), $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( 0.1 mmol ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then heated to $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 3 h . After cooling, the solution was neutralized with 0.6 M HCl , the resulting solid was crushed, then filtered and washed with water, hexane and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in turn (3 times each). After dried under vacuum for 12 h , Mac-CP was obtained as a light-pink solid ( 265 mg ). Elemental analysis calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 70.31, H 9.02, N 9.65 ; found: C 66.49, H 7.64, N 8.56.

### 1.4 General procedure for the asymmetric Diels-Alder reaction.

To a solution of catalyst Mac-CP ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$, $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was added $\alpha$, $\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde ( 0.1 mmol ) and cyclopentadiene ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The solution was stirred for 2 minutes before the addition of TFA $(1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 48 h. After completion of the reaction, hexane $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for 10 minutes, the catalyst was isolated via centrifugation, and thoroughly washed with hexane (4 times). The combined organic phase was evaporated under vacuum. The corresponding products were obtained by column chromatography with ethyl
acetate/petroleum ether as eluent.

### 1.5 Optimization of the Reaction Conditions.

Table S1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Asymmetric Diels-Alder Reaction Catalyzed by Mac-CP. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | exolendo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | exo ee (\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | endo |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(95: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ | 71 | 1.2/1 | 91 | 91 |
|  |  | (89 | 1.0/1 | 95 | 94) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  |  | (71 | 2.1/1 | 90 | 89) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 46 | 1.2/1 | 92 | 95 |
|  |  | (74 | 1.8/1 | 95 | 93) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $3^{\text {g }}$ | CH3CN/ $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 95 | 1.2/1 | 92 | 93 |
| 4 g , h | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 20 | 1.3/1 | 91 | 94 |
| 5g, i | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 80 | 1.2/1 | 93 | 92 |
| $6^{j}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 91 | 1.2/1 | 78 | 83 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed on a 0.1 mmol scale using Mac-CP $(0.02$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}),(E)$-cinnamaldehyde $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and cyclopentadiene $(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at r.t. in 0.2 mL of solvent for $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\text {b }}$ Yield of the isolated product. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ The ee values were determined by HPLC with a Daicel chiral OJ-H column after reduction of the product to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}{ }^{\text {e }}$ Precursor 1 ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was used as the catalyst, and the obtained results are presented in parentheses. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Precursor $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was used as the catalyst, and the obtained results are presented in parentheses. ${ }^{g} 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solvent was used. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ of HCl was used instead of TFA as additive. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Mac-CP and 10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of TFA were used. ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water was used as the solvent, and 0.02 mmol of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ was used instead of TFA as additive.

### 1.6 Catalytic activity at different reaction times.



Fig. S1 Catalytic activity at different, shorter reaction times.

## $1.7{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CP/MAS NMR and FT-IR spectra of recycled Mac-CP catalyst.




Fig. S2 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CP/MAS NMR spectra of fresh (black) and recycled Mac-CP catalyst after 5 times of catalytic reactions (red).


Fig. S3 FT-IR spectra of fresh (black) and recycled Mac-CP catalyst after 5 times of catalytic reactions (red).

### 1.8 Product Data.



3-Phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The product was obtained in 95\% yield. Colourless liquid, exolendo $=1.15 / 1.0$. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, and the enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column (70/30 hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $92 \%$ ee, endo $93 \%$ ee ): endo isomer $\left(t_{R}(\right.$ major $)=19.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=9.2 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=33.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=25.1 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ exo isomer: $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; endo isomer: $\delta 9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.4,202.7,143.5,142.5,139.2$, $136.5,136.2,133.7,128.5,128.1,127.8,127.3,126.3,126.1,60.8,59.4,48.4,48.3$, $47.5,47.1,45.6,45.44,45.38,45.1$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{2,3}$


3-(4-Bromophenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The desired product was obtained in $97 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.15/1.0 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column (70/30 hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $91 \%$ ee, endo $84 \%$ ee, endo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=9.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~min})$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=12.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=5.6 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.24-3.18 (m, 2H), 2.54-2.53 (m, 1H), 1.58-1.57 (m, 2H); endo isomer: $\delta 9.59$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.04$ (m, 2H), 2.93-2.91 (m, 1H), $1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.0,202.3,142.6,141.6,139.1,136.5,136.2$, $133.8,131.6,131.1,129.6,129.1,120.1,119.9,61.0,59.5,48.3,48.1,47.5,47.0,45.4$, 45.1, 45.0, 44.7. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{3-5}$


3-(4-Chlorophenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The desired product was obtained in $90 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.16/1.0 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column ( $80 / 20$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $90 \%$ ee, endo $88 \%$ ee, endo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=10.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~min})$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=16.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=6.3 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ); endo isomer: $\delta 9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.0,202.3,142.1,141.1,139.1,136.5,136.3,133.7,132.1,131.9,129.2$, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 61.0, 59.6, 48.4, 48.2, 47.5, 47.0, 45.4, 45.1, 45.0, 44.7. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{3 a, 6,7}$


3- (4-Fluorophenyl) bicyclo [2.2.1] hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The desired product was obtained in $81 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.18/1.0 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column $(95 / 5$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $89 \%$ ee, endo $90 \%$ ee, endo isomer $\left(t_{R}\right.$ (major) $=43.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{R}($ minor $\left.)=14.1 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=65.0 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=25.2 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.18-3.23 (m, 2H), $2.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; endo isomer: $\delta$ $9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.2,202.5$, $162.7,162.5,160.2,160.1,139.2,138.22,138.19,136.4,136.3,133.7,129.23,129.15$, $128.73,128.66,115.4,115.2,115.0,114.8,61.1,59.7,48.5,48.4,47.6,47.0,45.5$, 45.1, 45.0, 44.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FONa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$239.0843, found 239.0839. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{3 b}$


3-(4-Nitrophenylbicyclo[2,2,1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde. Prepared according to the general procedure using trans-3-(4-nitrophenyl)acrylaldehyde ( $17.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclopentadiene ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, the desired product was obtained in $82 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.54/1.0 exolendo. The enantiomeric excess was determined by reduction of the formyl group followed by conversion of the resulting alchol to the benzoyl ester ( 3.0 eq $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 0.1$ eq DMAP and $\left.1.1 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{M})\right)$ and HPLC analysis. Enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OD-H column (96/4 hexane $/ i$ - PrOH ; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $88 \%$ ee, endo $90 \%$ ee, endo isomer $\left(t_{R}(\right.$ major $\left.)=19.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{R}(\operatorname{minor})=14.9 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=23.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=17.9 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ (s, 1H), $3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; endo isomer: $\delta 9.64(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.78-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 202.1,201.5,151.6$, $150.5,146.5,146.4,139.1,136.9,136.0,133.9,128.7,128.2,123.8,123.4,61.2,59.6$, $48.4,47.9,47.6,47.1,45.53,45.45,45.1,45.0$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{5}$


3-(4-Methoxyphenylbicyclo[2,2,1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde. Prepared according to the general procedure using trans-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde ( $16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopentadiene ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 95 / 5$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), the desired product was obtained in $86 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.28/1.0 exolendo. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column (70/30 hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $85 \%$ ee, endo $92 \%$ ee, endo isomer ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $($ major $)=32.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=8.2 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=22.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=11.7 \mathrm{~min}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.08-7.06 (m, 2H), 6.80-6.78 (m, 2H), 6.34 (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07$ (dd, $J$ $=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21$ and 3.17 (two brs, 2 H ), 2.54-2.53 (m, 1H), 1.60 (brd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.54 (dd, $J=9.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); endo isomer: $\delta 9.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.62 (brd, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.7$, $202.9,158.1,158.0,139.2,136.5,136.3,135.5,134.6,133.7,128.8,128.3,113.9$, $113.5,60.9,59.7,55.3,55.2,48.7,48.6,47.6,47.1,45.5,45.1,45.0,44.7$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{3,5}$


3-(Naphthalen-2-yl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. Prepared according to the general procedure using trans-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)acrylaldehyde ( $18.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopentadiene ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, the desired product was obtained in $86 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.32/1.0 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding alcohol with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and enantiomers
were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column ( $95 / 5$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; exo $92 \%$ ee, endo $94 \%$ ee, endo isomer ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=63.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $($ minor $)=34.6 \mathrm{~min})$, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=108.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=49.5 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) exo isomer: $\delta 9.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.54 (brs, 1H), 7.47-7.37 (m, 2H), $7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37$ (dd, $J=5.6$, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31$ and 3.26 (two brs, 2H), 2.74-2.72 (m, 1H), $1.65(\mathrm{brd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; endo isomer: $\delta 9.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 3.31 and 3.26 (two brs, 2H), 3.09-3.06 (m, 1H), $1.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-$ $1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 203.5,202.8,141.1$, $140.0,139.2,136.5,136.3,133.8,133.5,133.2,132.1,132.0,128.2,127.7,127.63$, $127.59,127.51,127.47,126.8,126.6,126.1,126.0,125.9,125.5,125.4,124.7,60.8$, $59.2,48.5,48.2,47.6,47.2,45.8,45.54,45.48,45.2$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{5}$


3-Propylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The desired product was obtained in $88 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.0/1.08 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding phenyl hydrazone derivatives with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column ( $97 / 3$ hexane $/ i$ PrOH , flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, endo $91 \%$ ee, endo isomer ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=67.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $($ minor $)=77.5 \mathrm{~min}) ; 87 / 13$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, exo $81 \%$ ee, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=19.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=31.7 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ exo isomer: $\delta 9.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; endo isomer: $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6$, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 205.1,204.1,138.8,136.1,135.9,132.8,60.0,58.8,47.2,47.0,46.5,45.7$, 45.1, 44.8, 41.9, 41.6, 38.0, 36.4, 21.6, 21.5, 14.2. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{2,8}$


3-Butylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carbaldehyde. The desired product was obtained in $83 \%$ yield. Colourless liquid, 1.0/1.04 exo/endo. The Product was converted to the corresponding phenyl hydrazone derivatives with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine and enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel OJ-H column (99/1 hexane/iPrOH , flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, endo $89 \%$ ee, endo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=124.0 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $($ minor $)=112.8 \mathrm{~min}) ; 95 / 5$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, exo $71 \%$ ee, exo isomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ major $)=28.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=41.5 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ exo isomer: $\delta 9.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.49-1.17 (m, 8H), 0.87-0.84 (m, 3H); endo isomer: $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.37 ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.69-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.49-1.17 (m, 8H), 0.91-0.89 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): (two isomers) $\delta 205.2,204.1,138.8,136.1,135.9$, $132.8,60.0,58.8,47.2,47.0,46.5,45.7,45.1,44.9,42.1,41.8,35.5,33.9,30.7,30.6$, $22.8,22.7,14.0$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published data. ${ }^{4}$

(R,E)-1-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-2-((4-methylcyclohex-3-en-1-yl)methylene)hydrazine.
The product was obtained in $94 \%$ yield. The enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel AS-H column ( $90 / 10$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 65 \%$ ee): enantiomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=14.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=15.1 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.7,145.2,134.3,130.0,123.5,119.0,116.6,36.8,28.9$, 28.61, 26.4, 23.6.

(R,E)-1-((3,4-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-yl)methylene)-2-(2,4-dinitrophenyl) hydrazine. The product was obtained in $85 \%$ yield. The enantiomers were separated by HPLC using a Chiralcel AS-H column (90/10 hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; $69 \%$ ee $):$ enantiomer $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ (major) $=11.3 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=12.4 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{ddt}, J=12.7$, $7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.8,145.2,137.7,130.0,128.8,125.8,123.7,123.5,116.6,37.8,34.7,30.6,26.8$, 19.2, 19.0.

Table S2. Comparison of Catalytic Efficiency and Enantioselectivity for the Asymmetric Diels-Alder Reaction of $(E)$-Cinnamaldehyde and Cyclopentadiene with Various Recoverable MacMillan Catalysts.

| Catalyst | Yield (\%) | exolendo | exo ee (\%) | endo ee (\%) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEG-supported Mac | 67 | 1/15.7 | 86 | 92 | 9 |
| Polymer-supported Mac | 70 | 1.2/1 | 99 | 99 | 10 |
| MCF-supported Mac | 93 | 1.3/1 | 83 | 87 | 11 |
| Mac-SILC | 67 | 1/1.1 | 92 | 95 | 12 |
| Polymer-supported Mac | 92 | 1.3/1 | 85 | 89 | 13 |
| H-PhPMO-Mac | 98 | 1/1.1 | 81 | 81 | 14 |
| PMHS-supported Mac | 95 | 1.1/1 | 92 | 91 | 15 |
| Main-chain polymeric | 92 | 1.2/1 | 91 | 95 | 16 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ionic liquid-supported | 97 | 1.3/1 | 88 | 93 | 8 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mac-ChiOSP | 94 | 1/1.1 | 90 | 86 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-Mac | 94 | 1.1/1 | 85 | 85 | 17 |
| Silica-supported Mac | 91 | 1/1.2 | 90 | 92 | 18 |
| Polymer-supported Mac | 75 | 1.1/1 | 91 | 92 | 19 |
| Ionic liquid-modified | 92 | 1.2/1 | 82 | 78 | 20 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ionic liquid-supported | 90 | 1/4.6 | - | 66 | 21 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polymeric Mac | 94 | 1.5/1 | 93 | 97 | 22 |
| POM-imidazolidinium | 38 | 4.0/1 | 88 | 72 | 23 |
| Main-chain polymeric | 87 | 1.1/1 | 92 | 96 | 24 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphonylated Mac | 98 | 1.9/1 | 99 | 98 | 25 |
| Main-chain polymeric | 99 | 1.5/1 | 93 | 98 | 26 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silica-supported Mac | 65 | 1/1.1 | 82 | 78 | 27 |
| Sulfated-Chitin-supported | 91 | 1.2/1 | 91 | 93 | 28 |
| Mac |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mac-CPOP | 95 | 1.2/1 | 75 | 81 | 7 |
| Mac-CP | 95 | 1.2/1 | 92 | 93 | This |
|  |  |  |  |  | Work |
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## 4. Copies of HPLC spectra of product



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.256 | 4602011 | 268449 | 24.88 |
| 2 | 19.660 | 4571487 | 101411 | 24.71 |
| 3 | 25.625 | 4742273 | 80755 | 25.64 |
| 4 | 33.941 | 4583357 | 60305 | 24.78 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.213 | 334977 | 20856 | 1.77 |
| 2 | 19.940 | 9166881 | 181928 | 48.32 |
| 3 | 25.061 | 381710 | 8854 | 2.01 |
| 4 | 33.792 | 9088323 | 106909 | 47.90 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.856 | 8478910 | 894679 | 24.78 |
| 2 | 5.587 | 8680399 | 836494 | 25.37 |
| 3 | 9.220 | 8396427 | 467631 | 24.54 |
| 4 | 12.744 | 8665909 | 308544 | 25.32 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.899 | 4847647 | 365408 | 3.83 |
| 2 | 5.590 | 3000439 | 306801 | 2.37 |
| 3 | 9.531 | 56954630 | 2327793 | 44.99 |
| 4 | 12.723 | 61788914 | 1765911 | 48.81 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.156 | 1.99959 e 4 | 1783.97327 | 24.8167 |
| 2 | 6.295 | 1.97281 e 4 | 1694.29089 | 24.4843 |
|  | 10.706 | 1.98124 e 4 | 969.28064 | 24.5889 |
|  | 15.972 | 2.10381 e 4 | 574.78815 | 26.1101 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.174 | 925.44299 | 82.06293 | 2.9226 |
| 2 | 6.303 | 828.68219 | 76.42378 | 2.6171 |
| 3 | 10.717 | 1.46773 e 4 | 731.51764 | 46.3525 |
| 4 | 16.154 | 1.52331 e 4 | 431.43854 | 48.1078 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.102 | 1.65701 e 4 | 611.34021 | 24.3042 |
| 2 | 25.995 | 1.76448 e 4 | 408.06799 | 25.8806 |
| 3 | 43.744 | 1.60629 e 4 | 166.75073 | 23.5603 |
| 4 | 67.046 | 1.79001 e 4 | 114.00917 | 26.2549 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.114 | 1045.77380 | 32.47417 | 2.4355 |
| 2 | 25.206 | 1253.16882 | 31.11964 | 2.9185 |
| 3 | 43.328 | 1.92307 e 4 | 189.71445 | 44.7861 |
| 4 | 65.040 | 2.14093 e 4 | 133.20595 | 49.8599 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.681 | 2594.71582 | 80.53811 | 22.3113 |
| 2 | 19.802 | 3203.98193 | 80.37839 | 27.5503 |
| 3 | 21.753 | 2582.36890 | 63.46484 | 22.2052 |
| 4 | 25.842 | 3248.52466 | 68.80418 | 27.9333 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.936 | 652.52313 | 19.20616 | 1.8094 |
| 2 | 17.886 | 1363.19727 | 33.92844 | 3.7801 |
| 3 | 19.829 | 1.26413 e 4 | 270.41690 | 35.0545 |
| 4 | 23.887 | 2.14049 e 4 | 376.10620 | 59.3559 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.163 | 43035059 | 2281249 | 24.03 |
| 2 | 13.086 | 43035059 | 1648518 | 25.57 |
| 3 | 25.418 | 44293951 | 639461 | 24.74 |
| 4 | 36.528 | 45950716 | 340337 | 25.66 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [microvolt*s] | Height [microvolt] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.210 | 1046721 | 70643 | 2.09 |
| 2 | 11.709 | 1706167 | 76596 | 3.40 |
| 3 | 22.817 | 20988777 | 377795 | 41.84 |
| 4 | 32.679 | 26420142 | 254787 | 52.67 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34.364 | 2.16306 e 4 | 387.35880 | 24.7017 |
| 2 | 49.206 | 2.22556 e 4 | 297.55243 | 25.4154 |
| 3 | 63.162 | 2.09928 e 4 | 216.05513 | 23.9733 |
| 4 | 107.081 | 2.26884 e 4 | 125.53026 | 25.9097 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34.572 | 1177.30334 | 22.65335 | 1.2465 |
| 2 | 49.520 | 2188.96729 | 29.36175 | 2.3177 |
| 3 | 63.915 | 3.96087 e 4 | 381.54727 | 41.9383 |
| 4 | 108.397 | 5.14701 e 4 | 248.37175 | 54.4974 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 67.387 | 2942.15308 | 17.60140 | 51.4501 |
| 2 | 76.303 | 2776.31152 | 12.20779 | 48.5499 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 67.797 | 2299.74780 | 13.14571 | 95.5114 |
| 2 | 77.547 | 108.07756 | $5.22665 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 4.4886 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19.636 | 1824.40112 | 29.78798 | 53.5980 |
| 2 | 31.155 | 1579.45642 | 9.16245 | 46.4020 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19.867 | 1123.30151 | 16.49524 | 90.6661 |
| 2 | 31.674 | 115.64172 | $7.53940 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 9.3339 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 110.850 | 2137.46533 | 3.87987 | 45.1212 |
| 2 | 127.617 | 2599.70020 | 4.32672 | 54.8788 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 112.807 | 2081.88257 | 4.32419 | 5.6265 |
| 2 | 123.959 | 3.49193 e 4 | 62.86743 | 94.3735 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 29.108 | 1597.34082 | 16.88131 | 49.4465 |
| 2 | 41.852 | 1633.10291 | 7.30475 | 50.5535 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28.434 | 9926.09668 | 101.51617 | 85.3370 |
| 2 | 41.537 | 1705.55115 | 8.82788 | 14.6630 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.514 | 1184.17883 | 49.65815 | 40.5741 |
| 2 | 15.117 | 1343.25098 | 46.99037 | 46.0245 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.610 | 3448.31396 | 135.23485 | 51.6369 |
| 2 | 15.254 | 727.62213 | 24.74633 | 10.8958 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.334 | 1948.85571 | 98.70258 | 39.6378 |
| 2 | 12.412 | 2220.75342 | 93.76180 | 45.1679 |



| Peak | Retention Time [min] | Area [mAU*s] | Height [mAU] | Relative Area [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.361 | 5259.41699 | 262.37720 | 67.9523 |
| 2 | 12.338 | 956.60291 | 39.48647 | 12.3594 |

