## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Accelerating Computations of Organometallic Reaction Energies through Hybrid Basis Sets

Júlia M. A. Alves,<sup>a,#</sup> Ivanna G. R. Domingos,<sup>b,#</sup> Marcelo T. de Oliveira<sup>\*a,c</sup>

a – São Carlos Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, Av. Trabalhador São Carlense 400, 13566–590, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

b – Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 748, 05508–000, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

c – Department of Chemistry and Physics, La Trobe Institute of Molecular Sciences, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria 3086, Australia

# These authors contributed equally.

mtavareso@usp.br

Basis set partition for reactions in MOBH35 (Table S1)	S3-S5
Formulas for statistical parameters	S6
Statistical parameters for all basis sets tested (Table S2-S9)	S7-S10
Relative computational cost (Table S10)	S11

#	REACTION	#	REACTION
1	$ \xrightarrow{N \to Sc^{\oplus} - CH_2} \xrightarrow{N \to Sc^{\oplus} - CH_2} \xrightarrow{N \to Sc^{\oplus} - CH_3} \xrightarrow{N \to CH_2} $	9**	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & $
2	$H_2C = CH$	10**	BuMe'N NMe'Bu NMe'Bu
3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	$ \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ R \\ Nb = NMe \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \\ Ph \\ Ph \end{array} \xrightarrow{Nb = NMe} \\ Ph \\ P$
4	$H_{2}N^{-TI \ge NH} \longrightarrow H_{2}N^{-TI \ge NH} H_{2}C^{-CH_{2}}$	12	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & &$
5	$\begin{array}{c} H \\ H \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ P \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ C$	13	
6**	$H_{1}^{(1)} \to H_{2}^{(1)} \to $	14	$ \begin{array}{c} H \\ H $
7**	$H_{H^{-}C}^{\mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}_{H^{2}}^{\mathbb{N}} $	15	$ \begin{array}{c} H_{3}P \\ H_{3}P \\ H_{3}P \\ H_{C} \end{array} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} H_{3}P \\ H_{3}P \\ H_{3}P \\ H_{C} \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{H_{C}} \begin{array}{c} H_{3}P \\ H_{3}P \\ H_{C} \\ H_{C} \\ \end{array} $
8	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & $	16	= HC - HC

**Table S1.** Transition metal reactions in MOBH35 data set. Basis set partition is shown with atoms using the larger basis set in blue.



**Table S1.** Transition metal reactions in MOBH35 data set. Basis set partition is shown with atoms using the larger basis set in blue (continued).

#	REACTION	#	REACTION
27	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} PMe_{3} & H \\ H_{\mathcal{M}_{1}} & \overset{M_{2}}{\longrightarrow} & H^{NH_{2}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{PMe_{3}} \\ OC & \overset{OS}{\longrightarrow} & H^{NH_{2}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{BH_{2}} \\ \begin{array}{c} OC & \overset{OS}{\longrightarrow} & H \end{array} \xrightarrow{BH_{2} \\ PMe_{3}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{PMe_{3}} + \\ \begin{array}{c} BH_{2}NH_{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{DH_{2}} \\ PMe_{3} \end{array}$	32	$ \begin{array}{c} H_{3}P \xrightarrow{HC} \\ H_{3}P \xrightarrow{Pt \oplus} \\ H_{3}P \xrightarrow{HC} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} H_{3}P \xrightarrow{\oplus} HC \\ H_{3}P \xrightarrow{Pt - HC} \end{array} $
28	$ \begin{array}{c} H \\ O \\ H \\ O \\ H \\ H \\ H \\ H \\ H \\ H \\$	33	$ \begin{array}{c} H_{3}N, H \\ H_{3}N \\ H_{3}N \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \\ CH_{3} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \\ CH_{3} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H_{3}N, H} \\ H_{3}N \\ H_{3}N \\ H \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \\ H \\ H_{3}N \\ H \\ $
29	$ \begin{array}{c} H & H \\ -PMe_{2} \\ -PHe_{2} \\ -PHe_{$	34**	$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & $
30**	$( \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ &$	35**	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ N & & & \\ N & & & \\ N & & & \\ H & & & \\ N & & & \\ N & & & \\ H & & & \\ N & & & \\ N & & \\ $
31**	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & $		

**Table S1.** Transition metal reactions in MOBH35 data set. Basis set partition is shown with atoms using the larger basis set in blue (continued).

\* In some cases, we were unable to locate exact atoms involved in the reaction. Therefore, we selected all phosphorus atoms for reactions #17–20.

\*\* We favored an extended selection for those cases that the product of one reaction is the reactant in the following (6 and 7, 9 and 10, 30 and 31, 34 and 35).

## <u>Statistical parameters</u>

• Mean absolute deviation (MAD):

$$MAD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\sigma_i - \sigma_i^{ref}|$$

• Percentage mean absolute relative error (%MARE):

$$\% MARE = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\sigma_i - \sigma_i^{ref}}{|\sigma_i^{ref}|} \right| \right) \times 100$$

**Table S2.** Mean absolute deviation (MAD), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various basis sets using  $\omega$ B97M-V. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding Reactions #8 and #9			Includir	ng Reaction #	8 and #9
Basis Set	MAD	SD	max	MAD	SD	max
def2-SV(P)	2.96	2.30	9.43	3.00	2.26	9.43
def2-SVP	2.33	2.05	8.15	2.40	2.04	8.15
ma-def2-SVP	2.26	2.08	9.70	2.34	2.08	9.70
def2-SVPD	2.51	2.95	15.66	2.57	2.91	15.66
def2-TZVP(-f)	1.61	1.42	6.66	1.67	1.47	6.66
def2-TZVP	1.64	1.40	7.24	1.72	1.45	7.24
def2-TZVPP	1.55	1.36	6.54	1.63	1.42	6.54
def2-TZVPPD*	1.52	1.32	6.03	1.61	1.38	6.33
def2-QZVPP	1.51	1.30	5.41	1.60	1.37	6.34

\* For some rare cases (r8, ts8, p9 and ts9) while using  $\omega$ B97M-V/def2-TZVPPD, StrongSCF criteria was required for convergence instead of TightSCF. Extensive testing showed only numerical differences with no impact on reported energies.

**Table S3.** Percentage mean absolute relative error (%MARE), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (%) for various basis sets using  $\omega$ B97M-V. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding Reactions #8 and #9			Including Reaction #8 and #9		
Basis Set	%MARE	SD	max	%MARE	SD	max
def2-SV(P)	41.41	156.77	1281.71	42.49	153.38	1281.71
def2-SVP	30.67	89.88	875.07	32.50	91.01	875.07
ma-def2-SVP	29.59	86.86	838.35	31.34	87.67	838.35
def2-SVPD	28.24	60.00	548.29	30.97	66.90	548.29
def2-TZVP(-f)	21.48	78.11	773.91	22.32	76.68	773.91
def2-TZVP	22.05	82.44	818.42	23.31	81.49	818.42
def2-TZVPP	21.35	76.63	758.62	22.70	76.06	758.62
def2-TZVPPD*	21.11	75.47	747.19	22.47	74.96	747.10
def2-QZVPP	21.61	78.00	772.72	22.88	77.21	772.72

\* For some rare cases (r8, ts8, p9 and ts9) while using  $\omega$ B97M-V/def2-TZVPPD, StrongSCF criteria was required for convergence instead of TightSCF. Extensive testing showed only numerical differences with no impact on reported energies.

1 .	5	8				
	Excluding	gReactions #	8 and #9	Includin	g Reaction #	8 and #9
<b>Basis Set</b>	MAD	SD	max	MAD	SD	max
def2-SVP	1.92	1.75	9.25	2.02	1.91	9.25
def2-TZVP(-f)	1.49	1.58	7.05	1.62	1.84	11.32
def2-TZVP	1.45	1.51	6.84	1.57	1.76	10.76
def2-TZVPP	1.23	1.46	6.71	1.37	1.73	10.66
def2-QZVPP	1.04	1.11	4.98	1.18	1.46	10.21

**Table S4.** Mean absolute deviation (MAD), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various basis sets using revDOD-PBEP86-D4. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

**Table S5.** Percentage mean absolute relative error (%MARE), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various basis sets using revDOD-PBEP86-D4. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding Reactions #8 and #9			Including Reaction #8 and #9		
Basis Set	%MARE	SD	max	%MARE	SD	max
def2-SV(P)	20.19	35.65	289.55	22.31	39.13	289.55
def2-TZVP(-f)	13.58	17.01	100.00	15.47	22.53	159.96
def2-TZVP	12.61	14.91	89.40	14.43	21.15	163.99
def2-TZVPP	11.16	14.38	86.60	13.05	20.89	164.03
def2-QZVPP	9.67	12.65	86.01	11.86	20.38	161.12

**Table S6.** Mean absolute deviation (MAD), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various hybrid basis sets using  $\omega$ B97M-V. Large basis set located in atoms directly involved in the reaction. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding	g Reactions	#8 and #9	Including	Reaction #8	and #9
Basis Set	MAD	SD	max	MAD	SD	max
def2-SV(P)/def2-TZVP(-f)	1.82	1.63	8.33	1.86	1.64	8.33
def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(-f)	1.71	1.54	7.67	1.76	1.56	7.67
def2-SVP/def2-TZVPP	1.64	1.44	6.77	1.70	1.48	6.77

**Table S7.** Percentage mean absolute relative error (%MARE), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various hybrid basis sets using  $\omega$ B97M-V. Large basis set located in atoms directly involved in the reaction. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding Reactions #8 and #9			Including	Reaction #8	and #9
Basis Set	%MARE	SD	max	%MARE	SD	max
def2-SV(P)/def2-TZVP(-f)	24.64	74.12	682.20	25.19	72.79	682.20
def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(-f)	22.99	75.74	734.50	23.63	74.36	734.50
def2-SVP/def2-TZVPP	23.00	74.13	711.79	24.13	73.69	711.79

**Table S8.** Mean absolute deviation (MAD), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various hybrid basis sets using revDOD-PBEP86-D4. Large basis set located in atoms directly involved in the reaction. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding	g Reactions	#8 and #9	Including	Reaction #8	and #9
Basis Set	MAD	SD	max	MAD	SD	max
def2-TZVP(-f)/def2-QZVPP	1.14	1.34	6.02	1.29	1.65	10.79
def2-TZVP/def2-QZVPP	1.09	1.25	5.63	1.23	1.57	10.37
def2-TZVPP/def2-QZVPP	1.08	1.26	5.63	1.22	1.57	10.39

**Table S9.** Percentage mean absolute relative error (%MARE), standard deviation (SD) and maximum deviation (max) parameters (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for various hybrid basis sets using revDOD-PBEP86-D4. Large basis set located in atoms directly involved in the reaction. Values are reported including and excluding reactions 8 and 9 in MOBH35 dataset.

	Excluding Reactions #8 and #9			Including H	Reaction #8	and #9
Basis Set	%MARE	SD	max	%MARE	SD	max
def2-TZVP(-f)/def2-QZVPP	11.90	20.32	133.63	13.94	25.32	163.82
def2-TZVP/def2-QZVPP	11.04	17.68	118.48	13.13	162.37	23.45
def2-TZVPP/def2-QZVPP	10.01	14.02	85.74	12.18	21.16	162.32

**Table S10.** Comparison of computational times (seconds) for some medium-sized systems with various methods. The calculations were performed on 10 Intel E5-2630v4 cores with 12 GB RAM per core [nprocs=20; maxcore=6000].<sup>1</sup>

Method	<b>r26</b> (33 atoms)	<b>p22</b> (60 atoms)	<b>p24</b> (86 atoms)
ω <b>B97M-</b> V/			
def2-TZVPP	163	596	1916
def2-SVP/ def2-TZVPP	60	198	598
Speed-up	2.7	3.0	3.2
revDOD-PBEP86-D4/			
def2-QZVPP	434	2274	12325
def2-TZVP(-f)/def2-QZVPP	74	320	1255
Speed-up	5.9	7.1	9.8-fold faster

<sup>1</sup> Equipped with a solid-state drive (SSD) Samsung 960 PRO M.2 with 512 GB of storage.

**Table S11.** Comparison of statistical parameters for  $\omega$ B97M-V/def2-SVP with and without the application of the RIJCOSX approximation.

Method	MAD	SD	max
RIJCOSX-@B97M-V/def2-SVP	2.40	2.04	8.19
ωB97M-V/def2-SVP	2.33	2.05	8.15