## **Supporting Information**

## **Multifunctional protective layer filled with 2D anionic nanosheets enabling dendrite-free zinc anode**

Sangsang Liu,<sup>a,b</sup> Qin Yu,<sup>a,b</sup> Haitao Liu,<sup>a,b</sup> Wenlong Chen,<sup>a,b</sup> Fugang Qi,<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

Yilong Dai,<sup>a,b</sup> Yaru Liang,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Weihong Lai,<sup>c,\*</sup> Xiaoping Ouyang<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105,

P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Materials and Application Technology of Ministry of Education, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, P. R. China c Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Australian Institute of Innovative Materials, Innovation Campus, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia

\*E-mail(Corresponding author): [yaruliang@xtu.edu.cn](mailto:yaruliang@xtu.edu.cn) (Yaru Liang)



**Fig. S1** XRD pattern of  $Ti<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>$  nanosheets.



**Fig. S2** FTIR spectrum of PAN@Zn and PTO@Zn.



**Fig. S3** Contact angles of PAN@Zn electrode.



**Fig. S4** EIS spectra of the bare Zn, PAN@Zn and PTO@Zn symmetric cells.



**Fig. S5** Nyquist plots over the frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz of (a) the symmetrical cell with Ti as electrodes and (b) the symmetrical cell with PTO-coated Ti as electrodes (inset: enlargement of indicated range). The ionic conductivity  $\sigma$  is calculated according to the following equation,

$$
\sigma = \frac{L}{R_b \cdot S}
$$

where L is the thickness of the PTO layer,  $R_b$  is the bulk impedance of the PTO layer, and S is the contact area.



**Fig. S6** (a) SEM image and (b) corresponding EDS mapping of S element of the Zn foil after being soaked in 2 M ZnSO<sub>4</sub> for 10 days.



**Fig. S7** Photographs of (a) bare Zn and (b) PTO@Zn symmetrical battery after stripping/plating.



**Fig.** S8 Cycling performance of symmetrical PAN@Zn||PAN@Zn cell at 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with a capacity of 1 mAh cm-2 .



**Fig. S9** Cycling performance of symmetrical Zn||Zn and PTO@Zn||PTO@Zn cells at 1 mA cm-2 with a capacity of 1 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>. The PTO coating layers have different mass ratios of PTO and 2D  $Ti<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2–</sup>$  nanosheets. For the layers with m(PAN):m(Ti<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2–</sup>) ratios of 1:1, 7:3 and 3:7, the electrodes are donated as PTO@Zn, PTO@Zn(7:3), and PTO@Zn(3:7), respectively.



**Fig. S10** Digital images of the electrodes and separators disassembled from the (a) bare Zn and (b) PTO@Zn symmetric cells after 20 cycles at 5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>/5 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Fig. S11** The XRD patterns of the bare Zn and PTO@Zn before and after cycling.



Fig. S12 Cycling performance of Zn||MnO<sub>2</sub> and PTO@Zn||MnO<sub>2</sub> cells under low N/P ratio cycled at  $1 \text{ A } g^{-1}$ .

Anode (Thickness, µm)	Cathode mass loading $(mg cm^{-2})$	N/P ratio	<b>Current density</b>	<b>Specific</b> capacity $(mAh g-1)$	Reference
$Zn_{0.73}Al_{0.27}@Zn$ (200)	2.0	$~1$ -63	1.2C $(1C = 616 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1})$	~243	$\mathbf{1}$
Zn Sn (20)	1.2	$\sim$ 33	$1 A g^{-1}$	124	
Zn Sn (250)	15.8	$\sim$ 31	$0.2 A g^{-1}$	92	$\mathbf{2}$
Zn@MCFs (100)	$1.0\,$	$\sqrt{2}$	$1 \text{ A } g^{-1}$	236.1	3
AEC-Zn (80)	$1.0\,$	$\sqrt{2}$	2C $(1 C=308 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1})$	244	$\overline{4}$
Cu@Zn (40)	1.5	$\sqrt{2}$	$1 \text{ A } \text{g}^{-1}$	~120	5
PA-Zn (20)	$\sim$ 1.3	$\sqrt{2}$	2 <sub>C</sub> $(1 C=300 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1})$	176.1	6
	~15	$\sqrt{2}$		175	
ZnS@Zn (10)	$-0.8$	$\overline{1}$	5 C $(1 C=308 \text{ mA } \text{g}^{-1})$	125.8	7
Zn-VSGDY (10)	$1.3 - 2.6$	$\overline{ }$	$1 \text{ A } g^{-1}$	125.4	8
PTO@Zn (30)	$1.0 - 1.2$	~17	$1 \text{ A } g^{-1}$	198.6	This work
PTO@Zn (10)	$-2.1$	$\sim$ 9		196.8	

**Table S1.** Comparison of cycling performance for this work with recently reported Zn-based  $Zn||MnO<sub>2</sub> full cells.$ 

## **Reference**

- 1 J. Zheng, Z. Huang, Y. Zeng, W. Liu, B. Wei, Z. Qi, Z. Wang, C. Xia and H. Liang, Electrostatic Shielding Regulation of Magnetron Sputtered Al-Based Alloy Protective Coatings Enables Highly Reversible Zinc Anodes, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 1017–1023.
- 2 P. Xiong, Y. Kang, H. Yuan, Q. Liu, S. H. Baek, J. M. Park, Q. Dou, X. Han, W.-S. Jang, S. J. Kwon, Y.-M. Kim, W. Li and H. S. Park, Galvanically replaced artificial interfacial layer for highly reversible zinc metal anodes, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2022, **9**, 011401.
- 3 H. Ying, P. Huang, Z. Zhang, S. Zhang, Q. Han, Z. Zhang, J. Wang and W. Q. Han, Freestanding and Flexible Interfacial Layer Enables Bottom-Up Zn Deposition Toward Dendrite-Free Aqueous Zn-Ion Batteries, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2022, **14**, 180.
- 4 R. Zhao, Y. Yang, G. Liu, R. Zhu,J. Huang, Z. Chen, Z. Gao, X. Chen and L. Qie, Redirected Zn Electrodeposition by an Anti‐Corrosion Elastic Constraint for Highly Reversible Zn Anodes, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **31**, 2001867.
- 5 Y. Zhang, G. Wang, F. Yu, G. Xu, Z. Li, M. Zhu, Z. Yue, M. Wu, H.-K. Liu, S.-X. Dou and C. Wu, Highly reversible and dendrite-free Zn electrodeposition enabled by a thin metallic interfacial layer in aqueous batteries, *Chem Eng J*, 2021, **416**, 128062.
- 6 Z. Zhao, J. Zhao, Z. Hu, J. Li, J. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Wang and G. Cui, Long-life and deeply rechargeable aqueous Zn anodes enabled by a multifunctional brightener-inspired interphase, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 1938-1949.
- 7 J. Hao, B. Li, X. Li, X. Zeng, S. Zhang, F. Yang, S. Liu, D. Li, C. Wu and Z. Guo, An In-Depth Study of Zn Metal Surface Chemistry for Advanced Aqueous Zn-Ion Batteries, *Adv Mater*, 2020, **32**, e2003021.
- 8 X. Liu, K. Wang, Y. Liu, F. Zhao, J. He, H. Wu, J. Wu, H. P. Liang and C. Huang, Constructing an ion‐oriented channel on a zinc electrode through surface engineering, *Carbon Energy*, 2023, e343.