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Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(150 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra of $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ) spectrum of crude biscarbamoyl chloride $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2. VT EPR spectroscopy and data analysis

## a) sample preparation of diradical 4 in polystyrene PS-4

Diradical $4(1.929 \mathrm{mg})$ and polystyrene ( 500.2 mg ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and poured into a small Petri dish to evaporate the solvent until a flexible, soft material was obtained. The material was placed in a flask and dried in vacuum at ambient temperature. The flask was evacuated and opened to argon ( $3 \times$ ) and the resulting sample was stored under Ar. The dried polystyrene solid solution was placed in an EPR tube with help of a thin glass rod to fill the tube's space completely and degased before the measurement.

## b) recording spectra for PS-4

EPR spectra for diradical 4 in polystyrene (PS-4) in a concentration of 5.25 mM were recorded on an X-band EMX-Nano EPR spectrometer in a temperature range 123-312 K with an interval of 5 K and tolerance of $\pm 5 \mathrm{~K}$. The microwave power was established with the Power Sweep program below the saturation of the signal, which was 25 dB or 0.3162
mW , modulation frequency of 100 kHz , modulation amplitude of 2 G , center field of 3440.4 G and spectral width of 400 G . Accurate $g$-values were obtained using TEMPO as EMX-Nano internal standard. EPR spectrum recorded at 123 K is shown in Figure S3, while selected spectra recorded in the full temperature range are presented in Figure S 4 .

Each EPR spectrum was double integrated and the resulting values are listed in Table S1 and shown graphically in Figure S5.

Table S1. Double integral and normalized data for PS-4.

| Temp |  |  |  | Temp |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{K}$ | DI | $\mathrm{DI} / \mathrm{DI}_{123}$ | $\mathrm{DI}_{\text {rel }} \bullet \mathrm{T}$ | $/ \mathrm{K}$ | DI | ${\mathrm{DI} / \mathrm{DI}_{123}}$ | $\mathrm{DI}_{\mathrm{rel}} \bullet \mathrm{T}$ |
| 123 | 9410 | 1.0000 | 123.00 | 217 | 51500 | 0.54729 | 118.76 |
| 127 | 90200 | 0.95855 | 121.74 | 222 | 50200 | 0.53348 | 118.43 |
| 132 | 86300 | 0.91711 | 121.06 | 227 | 49100 | 0.52179 | 118.45 |
| 136 | 83100 | 0.88310 | 120.10 | 232 | 47700 | 0.50691 | 117.60 |
| 142 | 79600 | 0.84591 | 120.12 | 237 | 46600 | 0.49522 | 117.37 |
| 147 | 77200 | 0.82040 | 120.60 | 242 | 45800 | 0.48672 | 117.79 |
| 152 | 74500 | 0.79171 | 120.34 | 247 | 44700 | 0.47503 | 117.33 |
| 157 | 72200 | 0.76727 | 120.46 | 252 | 43500 | 0.46227 | 116.49 |
| 162 | 69900 | 0.74283 | 120.34 | 257 | 42900 | 0.45590 | 117.17 |
| 167 | 67800 | 0.72051 | 120.33 | 262 | 42100 | 0.44740 | 117.22 |
| 172 | 65800 | 0.69926 | 120.27 | 266 | 41300 | 0.43889 | 116.75 |
| 176 | 64000 | 0.68013 | 119.70 | 272 | 40300 | 0.42827 | 116.49 |
| 182 | 61900 | 0.65781 | 119.72 | 276 | 39500 | 0.41977 | 115.86 |
| 187 | 60200 | 0.63974 | 119.63 | 281 | 38800 | 0.41233 | 115.86 |
| 192 | 58500 | 0.62168 | 119.36 | 287 | 37800 | 0.40170 | 115.29 |
| 197 | 57000 | 0.60574 | 119.33 | 291 | 37400 | 0.39745 | 115.66 |
| 202 | 55400 | 0.58874 | 118.92 | 296 | 36700 | 0.39001 | 115.44 |
| 207 | 54100 | 0.57492 | 119.01 | 302 | 35900 | 0.38151 | 115.22 |
| 212 | 52700 | 0.56004 | 118.73 | 307 | 35300 | 0.37513 | 115.17 |
|  |  |  |  | 312 | 34800 | 0.36982 | 115.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure S3. Left: EPR spectrum for diradical 4 in polystyrene (PS-4, $c=5.25 \mathrm{mM}$ ) recorded at 123 K (black), simulated spectrum (blue) and residual (red). Right: Half-field transition in the same sample.


Figure S4. Decrease of intensity of the EPR spectrum for diradical 4 in polystyrene (PS-4, $c=5.25$ mM ) with increasing temperature.


Figure S5. Relative double integral of the EPR spectra $\left(\mathrm{DI}_{\mathrm{rel}}=\mathrm{DI} / \mathrm{DI}_{123}\right)$ vs temperature for diradical 4 in polystyrene ( $\mathbf{P S}-4, c=5.25 \mathrm{mM}$ ).

## c) data analysis

Simulations of the anisotropic spectrum recorded for solid solution PS-4 at 123 K was performed with the EMX-Nano software using Aniso-SpinFit option. To account for the signal of a monoradical contamination at the center of the spectrum, simulation was performed with two species representing a diradical (triplet) and monoradical (doublet). Simulation results are shown below:

## Species 1 (triplet):

Population: 68854.8
$g_{\mathrm{xx}}=2.00231, g_{\mathrm{yy}}=2.00285, g_{\mathrm{zz}}=2.00223$,
$z f S \mathrm{D}=190.23 \mathrm{MHz}=6.345 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, z f S \mathrm{E}=-4.24 \mathrm{MHz}=-1.41 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
lineshape $=0.6$, linewidth $x=10.7599$, linewidth $y=20.7346$, linewidth $z=8.93406$,
nitrogen nuclei:
$\mathrm{N} 1: \mathrm{Ax}=-11.4039 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Ay}=18.0094 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Az}=-4.7832 \mathrm{MHz}$
$\mathrm{N} 2: \mathrm{Ax}=-24.4428 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Ay}=52.8243 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Az}=24.4685 \mathrm{MHz}$

## Species 2 (doublet):

Population: 2171.9
$g_{\mathrm{xx}}=2.01242, g_{\mathrm{yy}}=1.98167, g_{\mathrm{zz}}=2.00336$, $z f s \mathrm{D}=190.23, z f s \mathrm{E}=-4.24$, lineshape $=0.6$, linewidth $x=5.54084$, linewidth $y=5.36955$, linewidth $z=5.006$, nitrogen nuclei:
$\mathrm{N} 1: \mathrm{Ax}=30.6074 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Ay}=52.0368 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Az}=29.0084 \mathrm{MHz}$
$\mathrm{N} 2: \mathrm{Ax}=34.5446 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Ay}=-16.5654 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{Az}=31.3194 \mathrm{MHz}$

## 3. Magnetization measurements and data analysis for 4

A microcrystalline sample of $\mathbf{4}\left(m=12.10 \mathrm{mg}, 15.66 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=772.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ was placed in a polycarbonate capsule fitted in a plastic straw and its magnetic susceptibility was measured as a function of temperature in cooling ( $300 \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and heating ( $2 \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow 400 \mathrm{~K}$ ) modes at a rate of $1 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ at 0.6 T , using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS-XL-7T). Measurements of magnetization M vrs applied field H were conducted at 2 and 300 K .

The magnetic effect of the capsule (scaled by the mass of the capsule) was subtracted from the raw data for the sample using the following method. For a given temperature $T$ and magnetic field $B$ magnetization $M(B, T)$ was measured. Raw data
collected by SQUID magnetometer at each such a point are the electric signals $U(x)$ measured as a function of sample position $x$ in the SQUID pick-up coil (so called secondorder gradiometer, Figure S6). The raw signal of empty polycarbonate capsule $U_{C}(x)$ was measured independently as a function of temperature and magnetic field. For each measured raw data point $U(x)$, signal of the empty polycarbonate capsule, $U_{C}(x)$, was subtracted. The resulting difference of signals, $U(x)-U_{C}(x)$, was fitted to an analytical function provided by Quantum Design MPMS (Application Note 1014-213 https://www.qdusa.com/sitedocs/appNotes/mpms/1014-213.pdf), which gave a magnetic moment value $M$. This procedure was applied for each experimental data point to obtain $M(T)$ and $M(B)$ values and executed using an algorithm written in MatLab program (version R2019a). The corrected data of magnetization of the sample was used for further analysis.


Figure S6. Examples of analysis of raw data: a) $U(x)<U_{C}(x)$, b) $U(x) \sim U_{C}(x)$ (magnetic moment crosses 0 line); c) $U(x)>U_{C}(x)$.

Analysis of magnetization data obtained for $\mathbf{4}$ as described above was performed by calculating molar total magnetic susceptibility, $\chi_{\text {tot }}$, and subsequently establishing diamagnetic correction, $\chi_{\text {dia }}$ from the linear portion of the $\chi_{\text {tot }} \bullet T(T)$ plot to calculate the paramagnetic component, $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$, of the magnetic susceptibility.

The diamagnetic correction for the sample was estimated from the linear portion of high temperature $\chi_{\mathrm{tot}} \bullet \mathrm{T}$ vs T plot assuming ideal paramagnetic behavior of the sample using the Curie law (eq 1).

$$
\chi_{t o t} \cdot T=\left(\chi_{p}+\chi_{d i a}\right) \cdot T=C+\chi_{d i a} \cdot T \quad(\text { eq } 1)
$$

where $\mathrm{C}=0.375 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}$ for an ideal paramagnet $(\mathrm{S}=1 / 2)$.

A plot of a total molar magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\text {tot }} v s$ temperature for $\mathbf{4}$ is shown in Figure S 7 and $\chi_{\text {tot }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{T})$ plot in Figure S 8.


Figure S7. Total molar magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\text {tot }}$ of $\mathbf{4} v s \mathrm{~T}$ on cooling $300 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}$ (blue) and on heating $2 \rightarrow 400 \mathrm{~K}$ (red) at 0.6 T .


Figure S8. Total molar magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\text {tot }} \cdot \mathrm{T}$ of $\mathbf{4}$ vs T on cooling $300 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}$ (blue) and on heating $2 \rightarrow 400 \mathrm{~K}$ (red) at 0.6 T .

The diamagnetic correction, $\chi_{\text {dia }}$, was determined with the Curie law as $4.37(2) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ from a linear portion of the $\chi_{\mathrm{tot}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ vs T plots (Figure S8) in the temperature range $200 \mathrm{~K}-400 \mathrm{~K}$ for the heating mode (Figure S9). The $\chi_{\text {dia }}$ component of 4 calculated from Pascal constants ${ }^{1}$ is $-3.69 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

The $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ vs T and $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{T} v s \mathrm{~T}$ plots are shown in Figures S 10 and S 11.


Figure S9. A linear portion of the $\chi_{\text {tot }} \cdot \mathrm{T}$ vs T plot for $\mathbf{4}$ (Figure S8) in a range of $200 \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow 400 \mathrm{~K}$. Best fit line: $\chi_{\text {tot }} \bullet T=-0.000437(2) \bullet \mathrm{T}+0.702(1), r^{2}=0.999$.


Figure S10. A $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ vs T plot for $\mathbf{4}$ in cooling (blue) and heating (red) runs with diamagnetic correction $\chi_{\text {dia }}=-4.37 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.


Figure S11. A $\chi_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{T} v s \mathrm{~T}$ plot for $\mathbf{4}$ in in cooling (blue) and heating (red) runs with diamagnetic correction $\chi_{\text {dia }}=-4.37 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The horizontal line marks the value of $0.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}$ for two spins $1 / 2$.

Analysis of the $1 / \chi_{p}$ vs T plot (Figure S 12 ) according to eq 2 gives $\mathrm{C}=0.708 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}$ and $\theta=-3.1(3) \mathrm{K}$.

$$
1 / \chi_{p}=T \cdot 1 / C-\theta / C \quad(\text { eq } 2)
$$



Figure S12. A $1 / \chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ vs T plot for $\mathbf{4}$ in two temperature ranges for cooling (blue) and heating runs (red). The best-fit function from the high temperature plot (right): $1 / \chi_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{T} \cdot 1.412(2)+4.36(44), r^{2}=$ 0.9999 .

Molar paramagnetic magnetization, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$, for 4 was obtained by subtracting the diamagnetic component of magnetization $\chi_{\text {dia }} \cdot \mathrm{B}$ (eq 3), where $\chi_{\text {dia }}=-4.37 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and the field is in Oe. The resulting curves $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ obtained at 300 K and at 2 K are shown in Figure S13.

$$
M_{p}=M_{t o t}-\chi_{d i a} B
$$



Figure S13. Molar paramagnetic magnetization $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ vrs B for $\mathbf{4}$ at 2 K (blue) and at 300 K (red).

## 4. Binary mixtures

## a) preparation of binary mixtures

A mixture of known amounts of additive $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6 , 6}](\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and the host $(\mathbf{1}[8,6]$ or BT[6,8], $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was placed in a small vial and 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.1 mL ) was added. The mixture was heated and stirred with a spatula at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hot stage) to give a homogenous solution. The solvent was removed under stirring at about $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was analyzed by POM to confirm homogeneity.

## b) thermal analysis of binary mixtures

Typically, each mixture was analyzed by a differential scanning calorimeter DSC-1 (Mettler Toledo) at a scanning rate of $10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, in three heating-cooling cycles and reproducible results (within 0.5 K ) were averaged. The resulting thermograms for each mixture are shown in Figures S14 and S15.

The resulting transition peak temperatures $T_{\text {SmA-I }}$ were used to obtain virtual transition temperatures [ $T_{\text {SmA-I }}$ ] for the additive by linear extrapolation to $x_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ with the intercept value set at the $T_{\text {SmA-I }}$ for the pure host determined for the sample of the host used for the measurement. Results are collected in Table S 2 and shown graphically in Figures S16 and S17.


Figure S14. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) of binary mixtures (from the top) of 5, 10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{8 , 6}]$.

^exo



Figure S15. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) of binary mixtures (from the top) of 5, 10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, 6]$ in host BT[6,8].

Table S2. Thermal properties for the pure components and for binary mixtures.

| Pure host $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Binary mixtures |  | Virtual transition temperatures $\left[T_{\mathrm{SmAI}}\right] /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mol \% | SmA-I peak transition temperature $1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 1[8,6] | 0 | 149.3 | $42 \pm 3$ |
|  | 5.01 | 148.1 |  |
|  | 10.06 | 145.3 |  |
|  | 15.04 | 142.6 |  |
| BT[6,8] | 0 | 163.5 | $7 \pm 5$ |
|  | 4.81 | 154.6 |  |
|  | 10.15 | 147.3 |  |
|  | 14.83 | 140.9 |  |

- $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6 , 6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[8,6]:$


Figure S16. A plot of peak transition temperatures $v s$ mole fraction for $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6 , 6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{8 , 6}]$. Best fit function: $T_{\mathrm{SmA}-\mathrm{I}}=149.3-107.6(30) \times x_{\mathrm{i}}, r^{2}=0.962$.

- 2[6,6] in host BT[6,8]:



Figure S17. A plot of peak transition temperatures $v s$ mole fraction for $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}]$ in host $\mathbf{B T}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}]$. Best fit function: $T_{\mathrm{SmA}-\mathrm{I}}=163.5-156.7(48) \times x_{\mathrm{i}}, r^{2}=0.992$.
c) additional optical textures of binary mixtures


Figure S18. SmA optical textures obtained on cooling for $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{8}, 6]$ (left) and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6 , 6}]$ in host $\mathbf{B T}[\mathbf{6 , 8}]$ (right) and observed in polarized light.

## d) powder XRD measurements of binary mixtures

X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted with Bruker D8 GADDS system, equipped with microfocus X-ray tube with Copper anode and dedicated X-ray optics, 0.5 mm point collimator and area detector Vantec 2000. Non-aligned (powder-like) or partially aligned samples of binary mixtures were prepared in a form of a droplet on a heated surface and thermostated with a modified Linkam heating stage. Recorded two-dimensional
diffraction patterns were integrated over azimuthal angle to obtain dependence of diffracted intensity on the diffraction angle $2 \theta$. Results were analyzed with Bruker Topas software. Additional XRD results are shown in Figures S19 and S20.


Figure S19. Top: 2D XRD patterns for 5,10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ binary mixtures of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6}]$ taken at temperatures 10 K below the SmA-I transition. Bottom: X-ray diffractograms for 5, 10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ binary mixtures of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[\mathbf{8 , 6}]$ obtained by integration of the 2 D patterns presented above; the right panel shows zoomed low-angle range.


Figure S20. Top: 2D XRD patterns for 5,10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ binary mixtures of $\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{6 , 6}]$ in host BT[6,8] taken at temperatures 10 K below the SmA-I transition. Bottom: X-ray diffractograms for 5,10 and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ binary mixtures $\mathbf{2}[6,6]$ in host $\mathbf{1}[8,6]$ obtained by integration of the 2 D patterns presented above; the right panel shows zoomed low-angle range.

## 5. Computational details

## a) geometry optimization and PES scan

Quantum-mechanical calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09 suite of programs. ${ }^{2}$ Geometry optimization of $\mathbf{3}$ was performed at the UB3LYP/6-31G(2d,p) level of theory in gas phase using tight convergence criteria and no symmetry constrains. Frequency calculations were performed to verify the nature of the stationary points and to obtain ZPE corrections for identified conformational minima. Zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections were scaled by $0.9806{ }^{3}$

Initially, the equilibrium geometry of triplet state 3-T-anti in the anti-conformation of the 6-oxoverdazyl units was located through a limited conformational search. The obtained geometry was used as a starting point for a relaxed scan of the potential energy surface (PES) of 3-T, in which the torsion angle $\phi$ between the central benzene ring and one of the 6 -oxoverdazyls was varied by $7.5^{\circ}$ at a time. This resulted in an energy minimum
at $\phi=-145^{\circ}$ corresponding to a local syn conformational minimum of 3-T, which was further optimized through a limited conformational search giving the global conformational minimum 3-T-syn. The equilibrium geometries for the triplet 3-T-anti and 3-T-syn were used as the starting points for geometry optimization of the analogous open-shell singlet structures, 3-OSS-anti and 3-OSS-syn. The former was used for a PES relaxed scan analogous to that of 3-T shown in Figure S21. The broken symmetry (BS) formalism for geometry optimization of 3-OSS was executed with the "guess(mix, always)" keyword.


Figure S21. Relative SCF energy for PES scan for 3-OSS with indicated torsion angle varied in the relaxed scan.

## b) singlet-triplet energy gap $\Delta E_{\text {S-T }}$

Adiabatic singlet-triplet energy gaps, $\Delta E_{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}}$, for conformational minima 3-anti and 3-syn were calculated as a difference of $E_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{T}}$ energies obtained using the UB3LYP/6$31 G(2 d, p)$ level of theory corrected for ZPE and the Yamaguchi formalism: ${ }^{4-6}$
$\Delta E_{S-T}=2 J=2 \frac{E_{B S}-E_{T}}{\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle_{T}-\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle_{B S}}$
where the SCF energies of the triplet $\left(E_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and broken symmetry singlet $\left(E_{\mathrm{BS}}\right)$ corrected for ZPE and $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ represents the total spin angular momentum (of the T or OSS state) before spin annihilation.

The $\Delta E_{\text {S-T }}$ values as a function of the torsion angle $\phi$ were obtained in analogous way using SCF energies calculated for 3-T and 3-OSS for each value of the angle $\phi$.

## 6. Archive for DFT results

## 3-T-anti (triplet)

$1 \backslash 1 \backslash G I N C-L O C A L H O S T \backslash F O p t \backslash U B 3 L Y P \backslash 6-31 G(2 d, p) \backslash C 24 H 14 F 6 N 8 O 4(3) \backslash P I O T R \backslash 07-J u$ n-2019\0<br>\#P UB3LYP/6-31G(2d,p) Fopt(tight) \#P geom(noangle, nodistanc e) fcheck freq(noraman, readIso) <br>1,3-Bis-[3-CF3-1-(4-HOphenyl)-6-oxov erdazl-5-yl]benzene, triplet or $2 \backslash \backslash 0,3 \backslash N, 2.0244131729,-0.1459562996,1$. $2766129551 \backslash N, 4.6065631188,-0.4002916253,0.4215973391 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 5.9981510486,-0$ $.5234434187,0.1020085509 \backslash C, 6.9242739294,-0.4599160415,1.1492411351 \backslash C, 8$ $.2825334809,-0.5419851055,0.8886900513 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 8.7342685797,-0.688147016,-0$. $4258764755 \backslash C, 7.8099104793,-0.7440580538,-1.4710299617 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 6.4467310511$, -$0.6602609064,-1.2136486032 \backslash C, 1.2041490116,-1.5867484237,-0.4003435354 \backslash$ C, 1.2881805874,-2.8616718694,-0.9661025958\C,0.1520763085,-3.412803603 $,-1.5500021573 \backslash C,-1.0543941026,-2.7246864983,-1.5703692053 \backslash \mathrm{C},-1.126469$ $7194,-1.4573964511,-0.9864340415 \backslash C, 0.0005414332,-0.8802830929,-0.40345$ $64726 \backslash 0,10.0771453073,-0.7687603556,-0.6224909947 \backslash \mathrm{C},-4.6690024159,1.47$ $42768749,0.7973044084 \backslash C,-3.918965528,2.3397748464,1.5974807183 \backslash C,-6.06$ $10526102,1.4327171197,0.9347483838 \backslash C,-4.5601656844,3.1460976869,2.5296$ $303542 \backslash \mathrm{C},-6.697430483,2.2398820141,1.8637463335 \backslash \mathrm{C},-5.9488465721,3.1010$ 615021,2.67075011\N,-3.1254036999,-1.022195388,-2.152891424\N,-4.05832 $44041,0.6492614634,-0.2016934803 \backslash 0,-6.6248207867,3.865292148,3.5691827$ $846 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.6317498062,-1.1333099181,-0.2725927348 \backslash \mathrm{C},-2.8081233628,0.04874$ $80352,0.0183007931 \backslash 0,3.8901293004,-1.8428781351,-1.2182748461 \backslash 0,-2.165$ 9213387,0.2079974782,1.0303449962\C,3.0558325302,0.4618637555,1.823727 $7286 \backslash \mathrm{C},-4.3007726857,-0.4265731744,-2.1761005342 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 2.3323427624,-0.965$ $5488107,0.230238994 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-2.3703087059,-0.7504267386,-1.0497988982 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 4.33$ $30410072,0.3969966644,1.4898453584 \backslash N,-4.8279130198,0.4070009686,-1.297$ $7367985 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 5.7420117371,-0.7048775001,-2.0299720691 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 8.1541585675,-0.8$ $490657729,-2.4963152018 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 9.0075915793,-0.4989224261,1.6929000842 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 6$. 5683868029,-0.3368692758,2.1637518712\H,-0.0475316799,0.0916041516,0.0 $590729468 \backslash \mathrm{H},-1.9342968106,-3.1496660915,-2.0335846231 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 0.2090697991,-$ $4.4023039782,-1.9898674906 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 2.2188274074,-3.4069615005,-0.9537173051 \backslash$ H,-2.8446386542,2.3817620218,1.5015011344 \H, -6.63627398, 0.7697920921,0 $.3020865177 \backslash \mathrm{H},-3.9717931245,3.81732115,3.1492312461 \backslash \mathrm{H},-7.7743453065,2$. $2115015838,1.9811262472 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 2.7470107745,1.4070585674,2.976222982 \backslash \mathrm{C},-5.1$ $893697112,-0.7693248905,-3.3633147119 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 2.7819619541,2.6845422325,2.55$ $78978586 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 3.6536566532,1.2777802184,3.9528387475 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 1.5388997803,1.176$ $2778409,3.4889629426 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-4.46418347,-1.107367951,-4.4320499517 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-5.985$ $6018381,-1.8123389059,-3.0632175549 \backslash F,-5.9757977764,0.2585478606,-3.69$ $27113022 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 10.2551374869,-0.8659546368,-1.5649781985 \backslash \mathrm{H},-5.9972028571,4$ $.4057527785,4.0625952152 \backslash \backslash$ Version=ES64L-G09RevD. $01 \backslash$ State=3-A $\backslash H F=-2260$. $8893106 \backslash \mathrm{~S} 2=2.03906 \backslash \mathrm{~S} 2-1=0 . \backslash \mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{~A}=2.000877 \backslash \mathrm{RMSD}=2.273 \mathrm{e}-09 \backslash \mathrm{RMSF}=4.094 \mathrm{e}-07 \backslash$ Dipole=1.1375231,0.0909003,-0.0563757\Quadrupole=5.4969797,2.3629676,-$7.8599473,-8.7767126,-22.6643921,5.3854295 \backslash \mathrm{PG}=\mathrm{C} 01[\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{C} 24 \mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{~F} 6 \mathrm{~N} 8 \mathrm{O} 4)] \backslash \backslash$

## 3-OSS-anti (open-shell singlet)

$1 \backslash 1 \backslash G I N C-L O C A L H O S T \backslash F O p t \backslash U B 3 L Y P \backslash 6-31 G(2 d, p) \backslash C 24 H 14 F 6 N 8 O 4 \backslash P I O T R \backslash 08-J u n-2$ $019 \backslash 0 \backslash \ \mathrm{ZP}$ UB3LYP/6-31G(2d,p) Fopt(tight) guess(mix, always) \#P geom(no angle, nodistance) fcheck freq(noraman, readIso) <br>1,3-Bis-[3-CF3-1-(4-HOphenyl)-6-oxoverdazl-5-yl]benzene, singlet start at t2<br>0,1\N,2.0281 904463,-0.1378232807,1.2782898679\N,4.6086070637,-0.3967025582,0.41764 $64913 \backslash C, 5.9992747315,-0.5229541343,0.0959250363 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 6.9276775892,-0.4557$ $80557,1.1409613712 \backslash C, 8.2852783875,-0.5407532369,0.8780054804 \backslash C, 8.73414$ $24323,-0.693585176,-0.4367955474 \backslash C, 7.807558786,-0.7531529669,-1.479787$ $3078 \backslash C, 6.4450284441,-0.6664060443,-1.2200366576 \backslash C, 1.203339936,-1.58639$ $89772,-0.3902073893 \backslash C, 1.2846594418,-2.8667793487,-0.9426330561 \backslash C, 0.147$ $7682257,-3.4219037149,-1.5212472824 \backslash C,-1.0572905706,-2.7313100568,-1.5$
$481449515 \backslash \mathrm{C},-1.1260061647,-1.4585392125,-0.9775931181 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 0.0017338613,-$ $0.8773032906,-0.4010722847 \backslash 0,10.0764947022,-0.7768472702,-0.635763703 \backslash$ C, $-4.6743737316,1.4700161055,0.7983605444 \backslash C,-3.9272841385,2.3272893366$ , $1.6101446265 \backslash \mathrm{C},-6.0676532564,1.4331599733,0.9245390594 \backslash \mathrm{C},-4.5726958,3$ $.1301074837,2.5424003433 \backslash C,-6.708176645,2.236832772,1.8537158639 \backslash C,-5$. $9626236754,3.0897749541,2.6721972688 \backslash N,-3.1160180031,-1.0126212981,-2$. $1568222995 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-4.0589492707,0.6492747641,-0.2010397109 \backslash 0,-6.6426844786$, $3.8509251506,3.5701821506 \backslash C, 3.6310112969,-1.1318759749,-0.2715307545 \backslash \mathrm{C}$ ,-2.8115779866,0.0435991923,0.0238650967\0,3.88656966,-1.845054121,-1. $2152534458 \backslash 0,-2.1756596399,0.1941864602,1.0411711447 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.0612386866,0$. $4707255501,1.8209584556 \backslash \mathrm{C},-4.2895767746,-0.4138380725,-2.1844802294 \backslash \mathrm{~N}$, $2.3340057431,-0.9620148401,0.2345162032 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-2.3688983089,-0.7489153312$, $-1.0461029835 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 4.3378771725,0.4038702835,1.4839032761 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-4.820981189$, $0.4153301792,-1.3040290717 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 5.7385718977,-0.7138557578,-2.0346935006 \backslash$ Н, $8.1495686469,-0.8633366589,-2.505278021 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 9.0120319313,-0.49494619,1$ $.680532333 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 6.5740366823,-0.3277325973,2.1556390249 \backslash \mathrm{H},-0.0449865497,0$ $.099329533,0.0519698841 \backslash \mathrm{H},-1.9383762091,-3.1588235861,-2.0070370858 \backslash \mathrm{H}$, $0.2029381665,-4.4157969893,-1.9512924044 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 2.214434312,-3.4135958445$, $0.9245722442 \backslash \mathrm{H},-2.8520703463,2.3655167876,1.5229931667 \backslash \mathrm{H},-6.6404183401$ , 0.7766537333, 0.2830252664\H,-3.9866205394, 3.7949279344,3.1710179413\H ,-7.7860960002,2.2120216377,1.9623715064\C,2.7568985758,1.4195206202,2 $.9716451872 \backslash \mathrm{C},-5.1709488436,-0.7462740433,-3.3799519618 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 2.8022888465$ , 2. $6961939647,2.552063628 \backslash F, 3.660691559,1.2845048784,3.9502596174 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 1$. $5461649158,1.1982087021,3.4822423238 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-4.4394679121,-1.0816311513,-4$. $445198236 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-5.9738973614,-1.7873926073,-3.0913478352 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-5.9505498837$, $0.2868191853,-3.709423268 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 10.2524507035,-0.8786083548,-1.5781528328 \backslash$ H,-6.0168560951,4.3856812616,4.072012644 \VVersion=ES64L-G09RevD. 01 \Sta te $=1-\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{HF}=-2260.889054 \backslash \mathrm{~S} 2=1.035324 \backslash \mathrm{~S} 2-1=0 . \backslash \mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{~A}=0.287435 \backslash \mathrm{RMSD}=5.163 \mathrm{e}-09$ $\backslash \mathrm{RMSF}=5.755 \mathrm{e}-07 \backslash$ Dipole $=1.1363011,0.0732902,-0.0468856 \backslash$ Quadrupole $=5.561$ $5476,2.3143431,-7.8758907,-8.7871269,-22.7043388,5.4403092 \backslash P G=C 01 \quad[X(C$ 24H14F6N8O4)] $\backslash$

## 3-T-syn

$1 \backslash 1 \backslash G I N C-L O C A L H O S T \backslash F O p t \backslash U B 3 L Y P \backslash 6-31 G(2 d, p) \backslash C 24 H 14 F 6 N 8 O 4(3) \backslash P I O T R \backslash 30-A u$ g-2023\0<br>\#P UB3LYP/6-31G(2d,p) Fopt(tight) \#P geom(noangle, nodistanc e) fcheck freq(noraman, readIso) <br>1,3-Bis-[1,3-bis(4-MeOphenyl)-6-oxov erdazl-5-yl]benzene, glob min syn <br>0,3\N,2.1707300749,0.4644667307,1.0 $769323315 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 4.6706924562,-0.268096734,0.2485560523 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 6.00056928,-0.515$ $2259822,-0.2219883315 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 6.7868932835,0.580604368,-0.5953635517 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 8.092$ $0629613,0.3934763135,-1.0207392682 \backslash C, 8.6299661376,-0.8951074722,-1.079$ $1418171 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 7.846440512,-1.987899774,-0.7017734498 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 6.5377827269,-1.803$ 6520695,-0.2727389625\C,1.1857556189,-1.4903178863,0.2034397799\C,1.19 $28786945,-2.8876518447,0.2132946583 \backslash C,-0.0030840251,-3.5659825905,0.00$ $51911293 \backslash \mathrm{C},-1.1983625007,-2.8872342235,-0.2054690145 \backslash \mathrm{C},-1.1898289138,-$ $1.489880665,-0.2008779332 \backslash C,-0.0016827839,-0.7892520753,-0.0000383239 \backslash$ $0,9.9136952659,-1.0206947984,-1.5087351505 \backslash C,-6.0036578353,-0.50833507$ $59,0.2208437613 \backslash C,-6.5421863138,-1.7960129995,0.2763952764 \backslash C,-6.788857$ $9772,0.5896821096,0.5901334974 \backslash C,-7.8510289742,-1.9773235898,0.7061158$ $121 \backslash C,-8.0942157529,0.4054751319,1.0162062954 \backslash C,-8.6334346914,-0.88233$ 18511,1.079411053\N,-2.1728281391,0.462601716,-1.0817173557\N,-4.67353 $24366,-0.2643204539,-0.25062123 \backslash 0,-9.9172890873,-1.0050054585,1.509472$ $5362 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.6173005461,-1.1459447871,-0.0529099718 \backslash \mathrm{C},-3.6210300415,-1.142$ $0952879,0.0541481363 \backslash 0,3.7684907772,-2.1684647081,-0.6821161864 \backslash 0,-3.7$ $732610303,-2.1620944844,0.6871837968 \backslash C, 3.2531327859,1.1959048652,1.231$ $8670085 \backslash \mathrm{C},-3.2544917722,1.1945432004,-1.2394135945 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 2.3746602555,-0.7$ $296564713,0.4481787455 \backslash N,-2.3779659504,-0.7289443176,-0.4484814977 \backslash N, 4$ $.4991912329,0.9227470464,0.8839090325 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-4.5008280336,0.9239522135,-0$. $8904456406 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 5.9416561969,-2.6565328166,0.0143891532 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 8.2603382585,-2$
$.991970317,-0.7385508188 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 8.7075085899,1.234979627,-1.3163290229 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 6$. $367309181,1.5764268597,-0.5396529379 \backslash \mathrm{H},-0.0011380078,0.2900566698,-0.0$ $020701643 \backslash \mathrm{H},-2.1177840417,-3.4294875035,-0.363176325 \backslash \mathrm{H},-0.0036309793,-$ $4.6503673065,0.007234107 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 2.1117525801,-3.4302349251,0.3730466997 \backslash \mathrm{H},-$ $5.9469325231,-2.6505657448,-0.0075551106 \backslash \mathrm{H},-6.3682559068,1.5848605088$, $0.5307103151 \backslash \mathrm{H},-8.2659533623,-2.9808262347,0.7466350288 \backslash \mathrm{H},-8.708799882$ $8,1.2487023113,1.3086590693 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.0774628045,2.5290466984,1.9449570625 \backslash \mathrm{C}$ ,-3.0774711548,2.524816572,-1.9575086743\F,3.6288767017,3.5207014675,1 $.2307413081 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 3.6838498681,2.508184866,3.1420248695 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 1.7901895883,2.8$ $162090462,2.1366192908 \backslash F,-1.7899079746,2.8099492269,-2.1502493162 \backslash F,-3$ $.6838855386,2.500073329,-3.1544880607 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-3.6278752359,3.5197071542,-1$. $2470224514 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 10.1605268532,-1.9525882565,-1.5042513148 \backslash \mathrm{H},-10.165077294$ 5,-1.9366552965,1.5084495797<br>Version=ES64L-G09RevD.01 \State=3-A\HF=-2 $260.8900918 \backslash S 2=2.039028 \backslash S 2-1=0 . \backslash S 2 A=2.000878 \backslash R M S D=3.445 \mathrm{e}-09 \backslash \mathrm{RMSF}=2.585$ e-07\Dipole=-0.001185,-2.3179686,0.0043352 \Quadrupole $=26.4847368,-5.09$ 07597,-21.3939771,-0.0301302,-7.5571555,-0.0265891 \PG=C01 [X(C24H14F6N 804)] <br>

## 3-0sS-syn

$1 \backslash 1 \backslash G I N C-L O C A L H O S T \backslash F O p t \backslash U B 3 L Y P \backslash 6-31 G(2 d, p) \backslash C 24 H 14 F 6 N 804 \backslash P I O T R \backslash 30-A u g-2$ $023 \backslash 0 \backslash \backslash$ \#P UB3LYP/6-31G(2d,p) Fopt(tight) guess(mix, always) \#P geom(no angle, nodistance) fcheck freq(noraman, ReadIso) <br>1,3-Bis-[1,3-bis(4-M eOphenyl)-6-oxoverdazl-5-yl]benzene, glob min syn, start at $T \backslash \backslash 0,1 \backslash N, 2$ $.1712394787,0.4624960331,1.0816150198 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 4.6710936901,-0.2684356659,0.2$ $503384699 \backslash C, 6.0005450065,-0.5143153928,-0.2217178358 \backslash C, 6.787276812,0.5$ $826374404,-0.5910422357 \backslash C, 8.0921772997,0.3966068672,-1.0176895279 \backslash C, 8$. $629465184,-0.8919852304,-1.0814810517 \backslash C, 7.8455692156,-1.98591033,-0.70$ $81918105 \backslash C, 6.5371793535,-1.802792172,-0.2778667486 \backslash C, 1.1852636167,-1.4$ $903983469,0.2042059212 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 1.1924855699,-2.8872375706,0.214426106 \backslash \mathrm{C},-0.0$ $030858335,-3.5659089825,0.0051644563 \backslash C,-1.1979714944,-2.8868206547,-0$. $2066458403 \backslash \mathrm{C},-1.1893385837,-1.4899609018,-0.2016697216 \backslash \mathrm{C},-0.0016834305$ , $-0.789168431,-0.0000477857 \backslash 0,9.9129608806,-1.016436232,-1.5120987746 \backslash$ C, $-6.0036303998,-0.5074204936,0.2205789522 \backslash C,-6.541566777,-1.795134282$ $9,0.2815644899 \backslash C,-6.7892521058,0.5917059493,0.5857810844 \backslash C,-7.85014000$ $19,-1.9753127951,0.7125723503 \backslash C,-8.0943388918,0.4085976897,1.013122168$ $4 \backslash C,-8.6329288793,-0.8792020494,1.0817507117 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-2.1733426705,0.4606207$ $077,-1.086406193 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-4.673932433,-0.2646587061,-0.25239552 \backslash 0,-9.9165486$ $454,-1.0007379257,1.5128309823 \backslash C, 3.6167149711,-1.1451565682,-0.0535023$ $957 \backslash \mathrm{C},-3.6204395097,-1.1412978898,0.054735968 \backslash 0,3.7676060881,-2.165722$ $4963,-0.6859600446 \backslash 0,-3.7723600461,-2.1593283381,0.6910218984 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.2539$ $414397,1.1925212765,1.239427728 \backslash \mathrm{C},-3.2553067849,1.1911419177,-1.246960$ $3512 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 2.3752884119,-0.7302409245,0.4496462739 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-2.3785953455,-0.7295$ $285535,-0.4499645377 \backslash \mathrm{~N}, 4.5000679005,0.9198366736,0.8902382198 \backslash \mathrm{~N},-4.501$ $7073726,0.921029476,-0.8967515826 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 5.9407831612,-2.6565265504,0.00611$ $45122 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 8.2589814079,-2.9900196126,-0.7491529941 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 8.7078766749,1.2389$ 993037,-1.3102062333\H, $6.3681685953,1.5784249182,-0.5312422047 \backslash \mathrm{H},-0.00$ $11382955,0.2901697888,-0.0020738421 \backslash \mathrm{H},-2.1173952124,-3.428909822,-0.36$ $52896202 \backslash \mathrm{H},-0.0036334976,-4.6502817186,0.0071999212 \backslash \mathrm{H}, 2.1113615766,-3$. $4296557611,0.375105577 \backslash$ Н, $-5.9460346127,-2.6505313002,0.0007914124 \backslash$ Н, -6 $.3691377076,1.586837735,0.5222432386 \backslash \mathrm{H},-8.2645668514,-2.9788428107,0.7$ $57302712 \backslash \mathrm{H},-8.7091857043,1.2527044086,1.3024731763 \backslash \mathrm{C}, 3.0792136531,2.52$ 3573091,1.9565793338\C,-3.0792356798,2.5193149415,-1.9691033746\F, 3.62 95391702,3.5172916549,1.2443443488\F,3.6873635592,2.4993703482,3.15267 $64085 \backslash \mathrm{~F}, 1.7922168227,2.8101078573,2.1508885806 \backslash \mathrm{~F},-1.7919504644,2.80381$ 68196,-2.1644864071 \F,-3.6874139211,2.4912374324,-3.1651013321\F, -3. 62 85536493,3.5162560531,-1.2606037673\H,10.1592690997,-1.94847939,-1.511 $7354127 \backslash \mathrm{H},-10.163798768,-1.9325264538,1.5159670335 \backslash$ VVersion=ES64L-G09R evD. $01 \backslash$ State $=1-A \backslash H F=-2260.8898412 \backslash S 2=1.035044 \backslash S 2-1=0 . \backslash S 2 A=0.286943 \backslash R M S$

```
D=3.625e-09\RMSF=2.644e-07\Dipole=-0.0011706,-2.3168798,0.0043488\Quad
rupole=26.4580024,-5.0225055,-21.4354969,-0.0302927,-7.6661899,-0.0269
392\PG=C01 [X(C24H14F6N8O4)]\\
```
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