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## General Experimental Information

All reactions were performed under a positive pressure of argon atmosphere in flame-dried glassware with magnetic stirring using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were dried and distilled before use. Column chromatography was performed using 100-200 mesh silica gel. Visualization on TLC (thin layer chromatography) was achieved by the use of UV light ( 254 nm ) and treatment with aqueous ceric ammonium molybdate staining followed by heating. The melting point (m.p.) values were measured using a Buchi melting point apparatus M-560 and are uncorrected. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured using electron spray ionization with a LTQOrbitrap mass analyzer (ESI-Orbitrap) or with a Q-TOF mass analyzer (ESI-TOF). SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, AtlasS2 diffractometer using Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$. Optical rotations were measured on an Autopol IV (Rudolph Research Analytical).

Proton and carbon magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR) were recorded on a $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right.$ at 400 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ at 100 MHz$)$ spectrometer with solvent resonance as the internal standard $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ at $7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at $7.16 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $77.16 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at 128.06 ppm$) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shifts, multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quadruplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet doublet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet ), coupling constant(s) in Hz , and integration. Diasteromeric ratio (dr) was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis or HPLC analysis [a UV-visible detector using chiral stationary columns ( $0.46 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) from Daicel] of crude reaction mixture.

Materials: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl. Dichloromethane was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All commercially available reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The chemicals of $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ ( 1.0 M in THF) used in this study was manufactured by Adamas. The $\alpha$-substituted $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $N$-sulfinyl ketimines $\mathbf{S 5}$ and S6 in this study were new compounds and prepared according to our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{\text {S1,S2 }}$ All of the $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl enesulfinamides used in this study were known compounds [except $(S s, E) \mathbf{- 1 a},(R s, E) \mathbf{- 1 n}, \mathbf{1 x}$ and $\mathbf{1 y}$ ] and prepared according to our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{\text {S2 }} \beta$-Nitroenones $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{2 g}$ were known compounds, but the yields for the step of elimination ( $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) are relatively low $(\leq 44 \%) .{ }^{\mathrm{S} 3}$ We have modified the reported elimination procedure and the new method $\left(\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ gave much higher yield of the desired products. $\beta$ Nitroenones $\mathbf{2 h} \mathbf{- 2 i}$ were prepared according reported procedures. ${ }^{\text {S3 }}(E)-\beta$-tosyl acrylonitrile $\mathbf{4 a}$ were known compounds and prepared according the reported procedures. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 4} \quad(E)-3-((3,5-$ bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)sulfonyl)acrylonitrile $\mathbf{4 b}$ were prepared according the reported procedures. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 4}$

## Procedure for the preparation of ketones S1-S4




To a mixture of aqueous formaldehyde solution ( $37 \%$ formaldehyde in water, $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.07$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and aldehyde ${ }^{55}(962.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $i-\mathrm{PrOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added propionic acid ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and pyrrolidine ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). After stirring at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , the reaction mixutre was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$, sat. aq.) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $3-5 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to afford the $\alpha$-methylene aldehyde.

To a solution of 6-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-2-methylenehexanal ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.263 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in freshly distilled THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenylmagnesium bromide $(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, 3.395 mmol , 1.5 equiv) dropwise under argon atmosphere. After the aldehyde was consumed completely, saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 20 mL ) was added carefully and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give S1 as a colorless oil $\left(0.636 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%\right.$ yield). Analytical data for $\mathbf{S} 1: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.2,150.9,142.3,130.8,129.4$, 128.5, 127.8, 126.8, 113.9, 110.1, 77.4, 72.6, 70.0, 55.4, 31.6, 29.5, 24.5; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3} 327.1955$; Found 327.1954.

The alcohol $\mathbf{S 1}$ ( $0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 1.745 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) obtained above was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and DMP ( $0.962 \mathrm{~g}, 2.268 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added portionwise. After stirring for 1 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed twice with $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} /$ saturated aqueous
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1 / 1)$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give $\mathbf{S} 2$ as a colorless oil ( $0.510 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield). Analytical data for S2: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=10 / 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-7.65(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.50-7.43 (m, 1H), 7.40-7.32 (m, 2H), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.80-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-$ $2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.5$, $159.3,148.2,138.0,132.3,130.8,129.7,129.4,128.3,125.7,113.9,72.7,69.9,55.4,32.2,29.6$, 24.9; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 325.1798; Found 325.1804.


To a solution of 6-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-2-methylenehexanal ( $2.830 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in freshly distilled THF $(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenethylmagnesium bromide $(1 \mathrm{M}, 23.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, $22.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) dropwise under argon atmosphere. After the aldehyde was consumed completely, saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 20 mL ) was added carefully and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give $\mathbf{S 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $2.201 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ yield). Analytical data for $\mathbf{S 3}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.1,151.6,142.1,130.7,129.3$, 128.5, 128.4, 125.8, 113.8, 109.8, 74.7, 72.6, 69.9, 55.3, 37.1, 32.0, 31.1, 29.6, 24.7; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{3} 355.2268$; Found 355.2260.

The alcohol $\mathbf{S 3}$ obtained above was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMP (3.451 g, 8.138 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added portionwise. After stirring for 1 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed twice with $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} /$ saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(50 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=$
$1 / 1)$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give $\mathbf{S 4}$ as a colorless oil ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield). Analytical data for $\mathbf{S 4}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=10 / 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.0,159.2,148.7,141.4,130.8,129.3,128.6,128.5,126.2,124.0$, 113.9, 72.7, 69.9, 55.4, 39.7, 30.7, 30.5, 29.5, 25.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{Calcd}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3} 375.1931$; Found 375.1922.

## Procedure for the pereperation of $\alpha$-substituted $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $N$-sulfinyl ketimines

To a stirring solution of $\alpha$-substituted $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone (1.0 equiv) in dry THF ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) in flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was added $N$-tertbutanesulfinamide ( 1.5 equiv) and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OEt})_{4}$ (tech. grade, $\sim 20 \% \mathrm{Ti} ; 2.0$ equiv). Then the flask was heated in a heating mantle at $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature after $20-30 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and an equal volume of brine was added with rapid stirring. The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of celite and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was transferred to a separatory funnel where the organic layer was washed with brine. The brine layer was extracted once with a small volume of EtOAc , and the combined organic portions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography.


S5
( $R, Z$ )- $N$-(6-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-2-methylene-1-phenylhexylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (S5): The title compound was prepared according to the above procedure using 6-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-2-methylene-1-phenylhexan-1-one (S2) (1.46 g, $4.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), ( $R$ )- $N$-tert-butanesulfinamide $(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 6.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and titanium ethoxide ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.01$ mmol, 2.0 equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) afforded $\mathbf{S 5}$ (1.576 $\mathrm{g}, 82 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. Analytical data for $\mathbf{S 5}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers): $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-93.0\left(c \quad 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), $3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 180.1,159.6,150.3,146.6,137.1,132.1,131.4,129.4,128.9,115.8,114.1,72.7,69.9,56.3$, 54.9, 35.8, 32.3, 30.0, 26.0, 24.0, 22.5; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ 428.2254 ; Found 428.2253.

(R,E)-N-(8-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-1-phenyloctan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (S6): Synthetic procedure same with $\mathbf{S 5}$ was followed using 8-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylene-1-phenyloctan-3-one ( $\mathbf{S 4} 4)(1.660 \mathrm{~g}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), ( $R$ )- N -tert-butanesulfinamide ( $0.642 \mathrm{~g}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and titanium ethoxide ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) afforded $\mathbf{S 6}(1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. Analytical data for $\mathbf{S 6}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=4 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-113.7(c 0.11$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-$ $7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-$ $3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 178.4,159.7,148.6,141.4,131.4$, $129.4,129.0,128.8,126.6,120.4,114.1,72.8,70.0,57.5,54.8,35.9,33.9,33.0,30.2,26.1,22.9$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 456.2567$; Found 456.2559.

## Procedures for the preparation of unknown enesulfinamides



$(S, E)$-2-methyl- $N$-(2-methyl-1-phenylbut-1-en-1-yl)propane-2-sulfinamide $\quad((S s, \quad E)$ 1a): To a 10 mL Schlenk flask containing anhydrous $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (flame dried and backfilled with argon) ( $92.73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.451 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added freshly distilled (S, E)-1a

THF ( 0.15 M ). This suspension was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methylmagnesium bromide in diethoxymethane ( $3.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added, after which a clear colorless solution formed. A solution of $\mathbf{S} 7(74.98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was then added via syringe. The flask containing the imine solution was rinsed once with 0.5 mL of THF and
the resulting solution was added by syringe to the reaction flask. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure (in $\leq 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath). The reaction using $\mathbf{S 7}$ (1.0 equiv) $/ \mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (1.5 equiv) $/ \mathrm{MeMgBr}$ (3.0 equiv) afforded enesulfinamides with $\sim 1: 1 Z / E$ (the $Z / E$ ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture). The residue was purified by column chromatography (5\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to afford ( $S, E$ )-2-methyl- $N$-(2-methyl-1-phenylbut-1-en-1-yl) propane-2sulfinamide ((Ss, E)-1a) (solvent was removed under reduced pressure in $\leq 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath) ( 28.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield). Analytical data for $(S s, E)-1 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-55.9\left(c \quad 0.13, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.51-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-$ $7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 131.7,126.8,123.6,121.5,121.0,117.1$, 48.5, 21.0, 15.5, 9.4, 6.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NOS} 266.1573$; Found 266.1568. (Note: Very recently, we were succeeded in stereoselective synthesis of this enesulfinamide via 1,4reduction using suitable $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketimine. The more effective 1,4 -reduction protocol will be published in near future.)


( $R, E$ )-2-Methyl- $N$-(4-methyl-1-phenylhex-3-en-3-yl)propane-2-sulfinamide ((Rs, $E) \mathbf{- 1 n}$ ): To a 10 mL Schlenk flask containing anhydrous $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (flame dried and backfilled with argon) $(92.73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.451 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added freshly distilled THF (0.15 M). This suspension was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methylmagnesium bromide in diethoxymethane $(3.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added, after which a clear colorless solution formed. A solution of $\mathbf{S 8}(83.15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was then added via syringe. The flask containing the imine solution was rinsed once with 0.5 mL of THF and the resulting solution was added by syringe to the reaction flask. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was quenched
with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure (in $\leq 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath). The reaction using unsaturated $\mathbf{S 8}$ (1.0 equiv)/ $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(1.5$ equiv) $/ \mathrm{MeMgBr}$ (3.0 equiv) afforded enesulfinamides with $\sim 1.5: 1 Z / E$ (the $Z / E$ ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture). The residue was purified by column chromatography (5\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to afford ( $R, E$ )-2-methyl- $N$-(4-methyl-1-phenylhex-3-en-3-yl)propane-2-sulfinamide ( $R s, E$ )-1n (solvent was removed under reduced pressure in $\leq 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath) $(29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ yield $)$ as a colorless oil. Analytical data for $(R s, E)$ $\mathbf{1 n}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=5 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-25.8\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 142.2,131.5,128.9,128.7$, 126.3, 123.9, 55.5, 34.8, 33.6, 27.1, 22.5, 16.3, 13.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NOS} 294.1886$; Found 294.1878. (Note: Very recently, we were succeeded in stereoselective synthesis of this enesulfinamide via 1,4 -reduction using suitable $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketimine. The more effective 1,4 -reduction protocol will be published in near future.)



1x
( $R, E$ )- $N$-(6-(benzyloxy)-2-(4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butyl)-1-phenylhex-1-en-1-yl)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (1x): To a solution of 3benzyloxypropyl iodide ( $132.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.481 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in 10 mL Schlenk flask in dry diethyl ether ( 2.5 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere was added $t \operatorname{BuLi}(1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $0.777 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.4$ equiv) dropwise via syringe. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then warmed to rt and stirred for further 30 min to form clear colorless solution. To a separate 10 mL Schlenk flask containing anhydrous CuCN (flame dried and backfilled with argon) ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.241 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added freshly
distilled THF ( 1.6 mL ). This suspension was cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (3-(benzyloxy)propyl)lithium prepared above was transferred via syringe to this flask. Then it was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 min to form a clear solution. The solution was cooled back to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathbf{S 5}(51.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF (1.0) was added via syringe. The flask containing the imine solution was rinsed once with 0.5 mL of THF and the resulting solution was added by syringe to the reaction flask. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After 10 min , it was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 2.0 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure (in $\leq 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath). The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to afford $\mathbf{1 x}$ (solvent was removed under reduced pressure in $\leq 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath) ( $58.7 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. Analytical data for $\mathbf{1 x}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+29.6\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-$ $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ $\delta 159.6,139.5,138.7,135.0,131.5,130.8,129.3,128.6,128.5,128.0,127.6,126.0,114.1,73.0$, $72.7,70.3,69.9,55.5,54.8,32.2,30.3,29.94,29.92,25.8,25.3,22.5$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 578.3299$; Found 578.3295 .



( $R, E$ )-N-(8-(Benzyloxy)-4-(4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butyl)-1-phenyloct-3-en-3-yl)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (1y): Synthetic procedure same with $\mathbf{1 x}$ was followed using 3-benzyloxypropyl iodide ( $132.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.481 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuLi}(1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 0.777 mL , $1.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.4$ equiv), CuCN (flame dried and backfilled with argon) ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.241 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), S6 ( $54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). Purification by column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl
acetate/petroleum ether) afforded $\mathbf{1 y}(44.1 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a colorless oil. Analytical data for $\mathbf{1 y}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.2 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-25.7\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ $\delta 7.38-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 159.7,142.1,139.5,132.5,131.5,129.4,129.0,128.7,128.6,127.6$, $126.6,126.3,114.1,73.0,72.8,70.3,55.5,54.8,34.8,33.3,31.7,30.4,30.36,30.31,26.0,25.5$, 22.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 606.3612; Found 606.3599.

## Procedure for the preparation of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-nitroenones with modified elimination conditions



In an oven-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and an argon inlet, selenium dioxide ( $2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was taken in 11 mL 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1) and refluxed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Then the resulting solution was cooled to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pentanal $(0.86$ $\mathrm{g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added. After refluxing at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=$ $3 / 1)$ to afford the 2-oxopentanal as a pale yellow oil ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%$ yield) which was used for the next step.

In an oven-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask, 2-oxopentanal ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was taken in 4 mL nitromethane along with basic alumina ( $652.4 \mathrm{mg}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then filtered through a pad of celite and washed with EtOAc. The combined organic layer was concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to obtain 2-hydroxy-1-nitrohexan-3-one 1aa as a brown oil ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ yield) which was used for the next step. Analytical data for compound 1aa: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.51(\mathrm{~m}$,

1H), $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.4,77.1,73.7,39.9,16.9,13.6$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 162.0761$; Found 162.0757.

In an oven-dried 25 mL two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with an argon inlet, 2-hydroxy-1-nitrohexan-3-one 1aa ( $56.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was taken in $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $296.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added and stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Then triethyl amine ( $106.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo to obtain a brown oil which was purified by silica gel (200-300 mesh) column chromatography using (5\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to obtain $\mathbf{2 h}$ as a pale brown oil ( $18.1 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield). Analytical data for compound 2h: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=15 / 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.2 Hz, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.3,146.9,131.7,45.1,17.0,13.6$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ 144.0655; Found 144.0651.

The same procedure as above was followed for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 a b}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$.


2-Hydroxy-4-methyl-1-nitropentan-3-one (1ab): According the above procedure, the reaction using selenium dioxide ( $2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $11 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{1,4-}$ 1ab dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), 3-methylbutanal ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) afforded 3-methyl-2-oxobutanal as a light brown oil $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ yield $)$ which was used for the next step.

The reaction using 3-methyl-2-oxobutanal ( $321.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), nitromethane ( 4 mL ), and basic alumina ( $652.4 \mathrm{mg}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), afforded 2-hydroxy-4-methyl-1-nitropentan-3one (1ab) as a pale brown oil ( $252.6 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield). Analytical data for compound 1ab: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.86-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 3.07-2.95(m, 1H), $1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.3,72.2$, 67.1, 36.3, 19.1, 17.8; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 162.0761$; Found 162.0757.

(E)-4-Methyl-1-nitropent-1-en-3-one (2i): According the above procedure, the reaction using 2-hydroxy-4-methyl-1-nitropentan-3-one (1ab) (162.0 mg, 1.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( 303.8
$\mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded pure $\mathbf{2 i}$ as a pale brown oil ( $100.1 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). Analytical data for compound 2i: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=15 / 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.7,147.1,130.6,41.5,17.5$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ 144.0655; Found 144.0650.

(E)-3-Nitro-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (2a): According the above procedure, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded 2a as a yellow solid ( $150.6 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 a}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{S 3}$


2b
(E)-3-Nitro-1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (2b): According the above the procedure reaction of 2-hydroxy-3-nitro-1-(p-tolyl) propan-1-one (209.2 mg, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 b}$ as a yellow solid ( $145.3 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 b}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$

( $E$ )-3-Nitro-1-( $m$-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (2c): According the above procedure, the reaction of 2-hydroxy-3-nitro-1-(m-tolyl) propan-1-one (209.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 c}$ as a yellow solid ( $137.6 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 c}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 3}$

(E)-3-Nitro-1-(o-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one (2d): According the above procedure, the reaction of 2-hydroxy-3-nitro-1-(o-tolyl) propan-1-one (209.6 mg, 1.01 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 d}$ as a yellow solid ( $143.2 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 d}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{53}$

(E)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-nitroprop-2-en-1-one (2e): According the above procedure, the reaction of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-nitropropan-1-one ( $229.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01$ mmol, 3.0 equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 e}$ as a yellow solid $\left(114.3 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%\right.$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 e}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$
(E)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-nitroprop-2-en-1-one (2f): According the

$2 f$ above procedure, the reaction of 2-hydroxy-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-nitropropan-1-one ( $225.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01$ mmol, 3.0 equiv) triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 f}$ as a yellow solid ( $134.7 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 f}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$

(E)-1-(Furan-2-yl)-3-nitroprop-2-en-1-one (2g): According the above procedure, the reaction of 1-(furan-2-yl)-2-hydroxy-3-nitropropan-1-one (183.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}(846.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and triethyl amine ( $303.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) afforded $\mathbf{2 g}$ as a yellow solid ( $120.0 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield). The NMR data for $\mathbf{2 g}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$

## Procedure for the preparation of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sulfonyl acrylonitriles $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$



Sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate ( $8.9 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in water/acetic acid (2:1, 26.5 mL ), and 2-chloroprop-2-enenitrile ( $4.41 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added. After 20 min , methanol ( 14.7 mL ) was added, and 2-chloro-3-tosylpropanenitrile precipitated. The product was collected by filtration and dissolved without further purification in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(65 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv) was added dropwise. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 8 with sodium bicarbonate and again extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 17 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane (7:3), and the (E)-3tosylacrylonitrile $(9.1 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ was obtained as colorless needles. The NMR data for $4 \mathbf{a}$ were identical to the reported. ${ }^{\text {S6 }}$

(E)-3-((3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)sulfonyl)acrylonitrile (4b): The same procedure for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was followed using sodium 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfinate ( $14.95 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), water/acetic acid (2:1, 26.5 mL ), 2-chloroprop-2-enenitrile ( $4.41 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and
methanol ( 14.7 mL ). The crude product was recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane (7:3), and the $(E)$ -3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) sulfonyl) acrylonitrile ( $13.65 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) was obtained as colorless needles. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{4 b}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=6 / 1$ ); mp 166 $167{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.43-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.2$, 140.6, $134.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=34.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.8-128.7(\mathrm{~m}), 122.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=272.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 113.7,112.8 ;$ HRMS $($ ESITOF) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 327.9872; Found 327.9871.

## General procedure A for synthesis of 1,5-dicarbonyl analogs using $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-nitroenone 2

Enesulfinamide 1 ( 1.0 equiv) or $N$-sulfinyl ketimine $\mathbf{6}$ in freshly distilled THF ( 0.1 M ) was added to a flame dried Schlenk tube equipped with magnetic stirring bar under argon. The resulting clear solution was then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of potassium tert-butoxide in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise to the mixture via syringe. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, \beta$-nitroenone 2 ( 1.5 equiv) in dry THF $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise by syringe at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC analysis. Upon completion (usually $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ ), the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 times) and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

## General procedure B for synthesis of 1,5-dicarbonyl analogs using $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-nitroenone 2

Enesulfinamide 1 (1.0 equiv) in freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added to a flame dried Schlenk tube equipped with magnetic stirring bar under argon. The resulting clear solution was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of potassium tert-butoxide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise to the mixture via syringe. After 30 min, $\beta$-nitroenones 2 ( 1.5 equiv) in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise by syringe at $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC analysis. Upon completion (usually $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ), DBU (4.0 equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature in 5 h . After stirring at room temperature for 12 hours, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 times) and the combined organic extracts were dried over
anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, 1 Z, 3 E)$-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(R_{S}, R\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and 2a ( 26.6 mg , $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, R\right)$-3a as a light yellow solid ( $38.4 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}, R\right)-\mathbf{3 a}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ; \mathrm{mp} 79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-83.6\left(c 0.12, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14-7.05 (m, 3H), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.6,188.1,152.3,137.7,136.6,133.0,129.0$, $128.68,128.66,128.0,126.6,125.4,56.4,52.6,31.2,22.3,21.0,9.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 396.1992; Found 396.1988.

## Gram scale preparation of $(R s, R)$-3a

According to the general procedure A , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 a}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}$, $4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 4.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.845 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and $\mathbf{2 a}(1.062 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, R\right)$-3a as a light yellow solid ( $1.640 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ).

[^0]NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}, S\right)-\mathbf{3 a}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+86.4\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.7,188.1,152.3,137.8,136.6,133.0,129.0,128.70,128.68$, 128.1, 126.6, 125.5, 56.4, 52.6, 31.3, 22.3, 21.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 396.1992; Found 396.1986.

(S)-N-((R,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(S_{S}, R\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(S_{S}, E\right)-\mathbf{1 a}(26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), $t$ BuOK in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( 26.6 mg , 0.150 mmol , 1.5 equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(S_{S}, R\right)$-3a as a light brown solid ( $36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}, R\right)-\mathbf{3 a}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+94.2\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14-7.04 (m, 3H), $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.6,188.2,152.3,137.7,136.6,133.0,129.0$, $128.71,128.68,128.1,126.6,125.5,56.4,52.7,31.3,22.3,21.0,9.1$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 396.1992; Found 396.1985 .

[^1] ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded ( $R_{S}, S$ ) -3a as a light brown solid ( $36.5 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}\right.$, S)-3a: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-92.0(c 0.26$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.6,188.2,152.2$, $137.7,136.6,133.0,129.0,128.7,128.6,128.0,126.6,125.5,56.4,52.6,31.3,22.3,21.0,9.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 396.1992$; Found 396.1989.


3b
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, 1 Z, 3 E)$-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenyl-1-(p-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3b): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 b}(28.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 125 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.153 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.408 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a light yellow oil (37.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 3b: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-106.6$ (c 0.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.7,188.5,152.5,139.0,137.8,133.7,132.9,128.73,128.69,128.68$, 126.6, 125.4, 56.4, 52.7, 31.3, 22.3, 21.5, 21.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2144 .

( $R$ )-N-(( $R, 1 Z, 3 E)$-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenyl-1-(m-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3c): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 c}(27.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded as a brown oil ( $40.1 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $\left(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1\right.$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 c}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-75.2\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 190.8, 188.6, 152.4, 137.8, 137.7, $136.6,132.9,129.8,128.65,128.64,127.9,127.0,125.5,123.8,56.3,52.5,31.3,22.2,21.7,21.1$, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2144.


3d
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, 1 Z, 3 E)$-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenyl-1-(o-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3d): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 d}(27.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3d as a light yellow oil ( $38.1 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=90: 10), \mathrm{HPLC}(\mathrm{IA}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 8.7 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers): $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-224.9(c$ $\left.0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.30-7.26(m, 1H), 7.23-7.01 (m, 3H), 6.93-6.74 (m, 2H), $2.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=36.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00$ $1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 191.1, 190.6, 189.9, 188.2, 152.6, 137.8, 137.7, 137.4, 136.3, 134.7, 132.92, 132.86, 132.7, $130.6,130.2,129.0,128.9,128.69,128.67,128.65,128.6,127.0,125.7,125.66,125.64,125.34$, $125.25,125.1,56.6,56.4,53.1,52.6,32.1,31.8,22.4,22.2,21.31,21.28,20.4,19.5,9.1,8.9 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2146.

( $R$ )-N-((R,1Z,3E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3e): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 e}(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 e}$ as a light yellow oil ( $40.8 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 e}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-102.1\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.89-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.4,186.3,151.8$, $137.7,135.2,134.8,133.1,128.8,128.7,128.3,128.1,125.5,56.9,52.7,31.2,22.4,21.0,9.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 430.1602$; Found 430.1595.

$3 f$
(R)-N-((R,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 f}$ ( $29.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( 1.0 $\mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 f}$ as a light yellow oil ( $37.9 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for 3f: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-104.8(c 0.13$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14-7.02 (m, 3H), 6.91-6.82 (m, 3H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.7,188.5,159.9,152.7,137.8$, $133.0,128.9,128.73,128.72,128.3,125.3,113.5,56.3,55.3,52.8,31.3,22.3,21.3,9.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 426.2097$; Found 426.2093.
(R)-N-((R,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-1-(furan-2-yl)-2-methyl-5-oxo-5-phenylpent-3-


3 g en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3g): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 g}(25.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 g}$ as a light yellow oil ( $36.2 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=98: 2), \mathrm{HPLC}(\mathrm{AD}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=97 / 03$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=33.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 37.5 min (minor). Analytical data for 3g: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-156.2\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.0,174.2,153.2,147.0,144.5,137.9,132.9$, $128.68,128.67,124.6,119.5,111.9,57.2,52.4,31.6,22.8,22.4,9.0$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 386.1784$; Found 386.1780 .


3h
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, 3 E, 5 E)$-4-ethyl-4-methyl-7-oxo-1,7-diphenylhept-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3h): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 h}(29.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(61.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.404 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 h}$ as a light yellow oil (31.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( dr $=96: 4)$, HPLC $(O D-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=97 / 03$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 7.5 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 h}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-95.1\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.97-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-$ $3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.3,186.4$, $152.3,140.7,137.8,133.1,128.8,128.65,128.56,126.4,125.1,57.8,53.5,34.9,33.7,31.0,22.9$, 20.8, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 424.2305$; Found 424.2300. $(R)-N-((R, 4 E, 6 E)$-5-ethyl-1-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-5-methyl-8-
oxo-8-phenyloct-6-en-4-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3i):
According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( 61.0 mg , $0.404 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3i as a light yellow oil ( $33.8 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=93: 7), \mathrm{HPLC}($ ID- $3, n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=34.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 38.8 min (minor). Analytical data for $3 \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}=-131.8\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.95-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.4,187.9,159.2,152.7,137.9,133.0$,
$130.6,129.3,128.7,128.6,124.9,113.8,72.5,69.7,57.4,55.3,53.4,30.9,29.6,28.3,22.7,20.9$, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 498.2673$; Found 498.2663.

(R)-N-((2E,4R,5E,7R)-4-ethyl-4,7,11-trimethyl-1-oxo-1-phenyldodeca-2,10-dien-5-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $(\mathbf{3 j})$ : According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ ( $31.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(62.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.411 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 j}$ as a light yellow oil ( $40.8 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=97: 3$ ), HPLC (ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=95 / 05$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 14.4 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 j}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-144.7\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.97-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.1,188.5,153.2,137.9,133.0,131.6,128.7$, $128.6,124.6,124.3,57.4,53.2,39.2,37.6,32.1,31.8,25.73,25.72,22.7,21.3,19.7,17.8,9.0$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 444.2931$; Found 444.2921.

(R)-N-((2E,4R,5E,8R)-10-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-4-ethyl-4,8-dimethyl-1-oxo-1-phenyldec-2-en-5-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3k): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $1 \mathbf{k}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t$ BuOK in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $2 \mathrm{a}(13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0751 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv $)$, DBU ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.205 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3k as a light yellow oil ( $22.7 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=95: 5$ ), $\mathrm{HPLC}($ ID- $3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 7.7 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 k}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=5 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-83.1\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})(7.16), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.88$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.3,188.7$, $152.8,137.9,135.7,134.2,133.0,129.6,128.8,128.6,127.7,124.9,62.2,57.3,53.4,39.1,34.4$, 31.1, 30.4, 30.2, 27.0, 22.7, 20.8, 19.3, 19.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SSi} 658.3745$; Found 658.3743.
( $R$ )-2-methyl- $N$-(( $R, E$-4-methyl-4-(( $E$ )-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)-


31 1-phenylnonan-3-ylidene)propane-2-sulfinamide (31): According to the general procedure B, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $1 \mathbf{1}$ (25.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( $46.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 1}$ as a light yellow oil (30.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr >20:1). Analytical data for 31: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-80.6(c$ $\left.0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.97-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.27-7.13 (m, 6H), $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 190.3,186.4,152.6,140.7,137.8,133.1,128.8$, $128.64,128.62,128.56,126.4,124.8,57.8,53.2,38.4,34.9,33.7,32.5,24.3,22.8,22.6,21.4,14.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 466.2774$; Found 466.2766.

$3 m$
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, 3 E, 5 E)$-4-benzyl-4-methyl-7-oxo-1,7-diphenylhept-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3m): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $1 \mathrm{~m}(35.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $), t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 m}$ as a light yellow oil ( $43.7 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 m}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=-150.1\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.89-2.66(m, 2H), $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.0,185.4$, $151.5,140.6,137.7,136.4,133.1,130.7,128.8,128.7,128.6,128.3,126.9,126.4,125.6,58.0,54.1$, 44.7, 35.3, 33.6, 22.8, 20.9; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 486.2461$; Found 486.2453.

( $R$ )- $N$-(( $S, 3 E, 5 E)$-4-ethyl-4-methyl-7-oxo-1,7-diphenylhept-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3n): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $1 \mathbf{n}(29.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 n}$ as a light yellow oil (36.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=94.5: 5.5)$, $\mathrm{HPLC}(\mathrm{OD}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=97 / 03$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.0$ $\min$ (minor), 7.5 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 n}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-143.9\left(c 0.205, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.07-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.3,186.8,152.3,140.7,137.8,133.1,128.8$, 128.64, 128.62, 128.5, 126.4, 125.1, 57.7, 53.5, 35.2, 34.0, 31.1, 22.8, 21.2, 9.1; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 424.2305$; Found 424.2298 . (R)-2-methyl- $N$-((S,3E,5E)-4-methyl-4-(2-methylallyl)-7-oxo-1,7-
$0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $), t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv $)$, 2a ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 o}$ as a light yellow solid ( $32.3 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=99: 1), \operatorname{HPLC}(\mathrm{AD}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm})$ $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 7.5 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 0}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1) ; \operatorname{mp} 87-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-75.9\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99-7.91(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.62-7.56 (m, 1H), 7.53-7.46(m, 2H), $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$
$7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-$ $2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.1,186.5,152.4,141.3,140.7,137.8,133.1,128.79,128.67,128.62$, 128.56, 126.4, 124.8, 116.2, 57.8, 53.0, 47.0, 35.7, 34.4, 24.8, 22.9, 21.9; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 450.2461$; Found 450.2452.
( $R$ )- N -(( $(S, 3 E, 5 E)$-4-benzyl-4-ethyl-7-oxo-1,7-diphenylhept-5-en-3-ylidene)-


3p 2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( $\mathbf{3 p}$ ): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 p}(36.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a $(26.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3p as a light yellow solid ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 p}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); mp $132-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $73.6\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-$ $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.31-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.01$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.0,185.3,150.4,140.7,137.7,136.9$, $133.1,130.5,128.8,128.62,128.61,128.59,128.2,126.8,126.6,126.4,58.1,57.8,41.0,35.8,33.4$, 26.0, 22.9, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 500.2618$; Found 500.2610 .

( $R$ )-N-((S,3E,5E)-4-allyl-4-ethyl-7-oxo-1,7-diphenylhept-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide ( $\mathbf{3 q}$ ): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 q}(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( 26.8 mg , $0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 q}$ as a light yellow oil ( $39.6 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=99: 1)$, HPLC $($ ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.3 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 q}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $103.4\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-$
$7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75-5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.18-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.1$, $185.2,150.7,140.6,137.7,133.4,133.1,128.8,128.65,128.62,128.5,126.4,126.3,118.8,57.8$, 56.7, 38.4, 35.2, 33.4, 27.0, 22.9, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 450.2461; Found 450.2452.
 $(45.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 r}$ as a light yellow oil ( $35.3 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=98: 2), \mathrm{HPLC}(\mathrm{IC}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.7 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $), 22.8 \mathrm{~min}($ major $)$. Analytical data for 3r: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=5 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-91.9\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-$ $3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-$ $1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.1$, $185.7,159.3,151.3,140.7,133.1,130.6,129.3,128.8,128.7,128.66,128.61,126.4,125.9,113.9$, $72.8,70.1,57.8,56.7,55.4,35.2,33.6,30.5,27.6,24.8,22.9,8.8$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 588.3142; Found 588.3137.


$(R)-N-((4 S, 6 R, E)-8-(($ tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-4-ethyl-6-methyl-4-((E)-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-phenyloctan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3s): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 s}(30.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( 13.3 mg , $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(31.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3s as a light yellow oil ( $27.5 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ).

Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=98: 2)$, HPLC (ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=95 / 05$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 11.6 min (major). Analytical data for 3s: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $50.5\left(c 0.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-$ $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), $0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.0$, $185.8,152.2,140.8,137.9,135.6,134.0,133.9,133.1,129.7,128.8,128.61,128.59,127.7,126.3$, $125.4,61.8,57.8,57.2,42.4,41.7,35.4,33.6,27.2,27.0,25.7,23.0,21.4,19.3,9.1$; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SSi} 734.4058$; Found 734.4044.


3t
(R)-2-methyl- $N$-((R,Z)-2-methyl-2-((E)-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-phenylheptylidene)propane-2-sulfinamide (3t): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 t}(30.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a $(26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3t as a light yellow oil ( $37.6 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 t}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-127.3\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-$ $7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 190.6, 188.2, 152.6, 137.7, 136.6, 133.0, 129.0, $128.69,128.68,128.0,126.6,125.1,56.4,52.4,38.5,32.4,24.4,22.6,22.3,21.7,14.1$; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 438.2461$; Found 438.2454 .

$3 u$
(R)- $N$-(( $R, 1 Z, 3 E)$-2-benzyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3u): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 u}(32.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as
eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 u}$ as a light brown solid ( $41.2 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 u}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ; \mathrm{mp} 135-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-180.5\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.3,187.6,151.5$, $137.6,136.64,136.56,133.0,130.9,129.0,128.7,128.6,128.1,126.8,126.6,126.0,56.8,53.2$, 45.3, 22.4, 21.2; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 458.2148$; Found 458.2137.

( $R$ )- $N$-((S,1Z,3E)-2-allyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3v): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 v}(27.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( 26.8 mg , $0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3v as a light yellow oil ( $38.3 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $3 \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-51.1\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88-7.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ (s, 9H) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.4,187.6,151.5,137.7,136.4,133.3,133.1,129.1$, 128.73, 128.68, 128.1, 126.7, 125.8, 119.3, 56.5, 52.0, 42.9, 22.3, 21.7; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 408.1992; Found 408.1985.

(R)-2-methyl- $N$-((S,1Z,3E)-2-methyl-2-(2-methylallyl)-5-oxo-1,5-diphenyl-pent-3-en-1-ylidene)propane-2-sulfinamide (3w): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 w}(29.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3w as a light yellow oil ( $38.8 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 w}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-174.2\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (s,2H), $1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 190.3, 188.2, $152.5,141.5,137.7,136.5,133.1,129.1,128.8,128.7,128.1,126.8,125.4,116.1,56.5,52.2,46.8$, 25.0, 22.3, 21.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 422.2148$; Found 422.2141.


3x
(R)-N-((Z)-6-(benzyloxy)-2-(4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butyl)-2-((E)-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)-1-phenylhexylidene)-2-methylpropane-2sulfinamide ( $\mathbf{3 x}$ ): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 x}(43.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) with THF as solvent, DBU ( $45.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 x}$ as a light yellow oil (43.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=98: 2)$, HPLC $(\mathrm{IG}-3, n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=48.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 53.7 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 x}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=2 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-296.2\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.76(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 1.65-1.55 (m, 4H), 1.49-1.33(m, 4H), $1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.4$, $187.2,159.2,151.3,138.6,137.7,136.5,133.0,130.7,129.3,129.1,128.73,128.68,128.5,128.0$, 127.7, 127.63, 126.5, 126.3, 113.9, 73.0, 72.7, 70.0, 69.6, 56.5, 55.9, 55.4, 34.3, 34.2, 30.2, 22.3, 21.0; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 708.3717$; Found 708.3696.
 ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(48.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.321 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{3 y}$ as a light yellow oil ( $36.5 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=96.5: 3.5$ ),

HPLC $(I G-3, n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=46.0 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 50.2 min (major). Analytical data for $3 \mathbf{y}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $258.8\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.18-2.97 (m, 2H), 2.74-2.58(m, 2H), 1.92-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.55 (m, 4H), $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.2,185.7,159.2,151.6,140.7$, $138.5,137.8,133.1,130.6,129.3,128.8,128.64,128.56,128.5,127.7,127.6,126.4,125.7,113.9$, $73.0,72.7,70.0,69.7,57.8,56.7,55.3,34.8,34.6,33.7,30.4,22.9,21.11,21.07$; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 736.4030$; Found 736.4027.

(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenyl-5-(p-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3z): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide 1a ( $26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 b}(28.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, DBU ( 60.9 mg , $0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded to afford $\mathbf{3 z}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $36.9 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 z}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1)$; mp $103-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-299.2\left(c 0.12, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 190.1, 188.1, 151.7, 143.9, 136.6, 135.2, 129.4, 129.0, $128.8,128.0,126.6,125.4,56.4,52.6,31.2,22.3,21.8,21.1,9.1$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2143 .

(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenyl-5-(m-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3aa): According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide 1a (26.5 mg, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 c}(28.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent)
afforded 3aa as a light yellow solid ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr> 20:1). Analytical data for 3aa: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ; \operatorname{mp~} 80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-236.4\left(c \quad 0.26, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.7,188.0,152.1,138.6,137.8,136.6,133.8,129.1,129.0,128.5$, $128.0,126.6,125.9,125.6,56.4,52.6,31.2,22.3,21.5,21.1,9.1$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2139.


3ab
(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenyl-5-(o-tolyl)pent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3ab): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide 1a ( $26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120$ mmol, 1.2 equiv), $\mathbf{2 d}(28.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401$ mmol, 4.0 equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ab as a light yellow oil ( $37.3 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 a b}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-285.3\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.7,188.0,153.9,138.6,136.8,136.5,131.3,130.5,129.9,129.0,128.02,127.96$, 126.5, 125.4, 56.4, 52.5, 31.2, 22.2, 21.1, 20.2, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 410.2148$; Found 410.2140.

(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3ac):

According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $1 \mathbf{1 a}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF
$(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 e}(31.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ac as a light yellow solid ( $37.8 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 3ac:
$\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp 91-92 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-236.1\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 189.4,188.1,152.9,139.4,136.5,136.0,130.1,129.04,129.01$, 128.1, 126.6, 125.0, 56.4, 52.6, 31.3, 22.3, 21.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 430.1602$; Found 430.1595 .


3ad
(R)-N-(( $R, 1 E, 3 E)$-2-ethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3ad):According to the general procedure B , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 a}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$
 solvent, DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ad as a light yellow solid (39.1 mg, 92\%). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for 3ad: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $348.7\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-$ $7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ (s,3H), $1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 188.8,188.2$, $163.6,151.2,136.7,131.1,130.7,129.0,128.1,126.7,125.2,114.0,56.4,55.6,52.5,31.2,22.3$, 21.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ 426.2097; Found 426.2089.


3ae
(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-2-ethyl-5-(furan-2-yl)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3ae): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide 1a ( $26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120$ mmol, 1.2 equiv), $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $25.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401$ mmol, 4.0 equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ae as a light brown solid ( $31.6 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 a e}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=31) ; \mathrm{mp} 106-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-254.0\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 188.0,177.7,153.3,151.7,146.8,136.5,129.0,128.0$, $126.6,124.3,118.1,112.6,56.4,52.5,31.2,22.3,20.9,9.0$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 386.1784$; Found 386.1779.


3af
(R)-N-((R,1E,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenylnon-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3af): According to the general procedure B, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 a}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2h (21.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3af as a light yellow oil ( $31.2 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 3af: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-204.4\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 200.5,188.2,149.9,136.5,129.5,129.0,128.1,126.6,56.4,52.2,42.3,31.2,22.3,20.9,17.8$, 13.9, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 362.2148$; Found 362.2141. $(R)-N$-(( $R, 1 E, 3 \mathrm{E})$-2-ethyl-2,6-dimethyl-5-oxo-1-phenylhept-3-en-1-
 ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (3ag): According to the general procedure $B$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide 1a $(26.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{2 i}(21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) with THF as solvent, $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ag as a light yellow oil ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=30: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{3 a g}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=5 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-231.2\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ (diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-$ $6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 203.7,188.1,149.8,136.5,129.0,128.0,127.7,126.6,56.4,52.2,38.7$,
31.1, 22.2, 20.9, 18.5, 18.4, 9.0; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 362.2148; Found 362.2148.
 (Rs, S)-3a
(R)- $N$-((S,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(R_{S}, S\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-1a (26.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $), t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a (26.6 mg, 0.150 mmol , 1.5 equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-3a as a pale brown solid ( $36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-3a: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-94.2\left(c 0.12, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.6$, $188.2,152.3,137.8,136.7,133.0,129.0,128.72,128.69,128.1,126.6,125.6,56.4,52.7,31.3,22.3$, 21.1, 9.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 396.1992; Found 396.1989.

(S)-N-((S,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(S_{S}, S\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(S_{S}, S\right) \mathbf{- 1 a}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv),

2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-3a as a pale yellow oil ( $38.8 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}\right.$, S)-3a: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+86.1\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.6,188.0,152.2,137.7,136.6,132.9,129.0$, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 126.6, 125.4, 56.4, 52.6, 31.2, 22.3, 21.1, 9.0; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[M$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 396.1992; Found 396.1989.

(R)-2-methyl- $N$-( $(Z)-((R)-1-((E)$-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohex-3-en-$1-\mathrm{yl})($ phenyl $) m$ ethylene) propane-2-sulfinamide (3ah): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, R\right)$ 1ah ( $28.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ah as a pale brown solid ( $40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=30: 1)$. Analytical data for 3ah: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp 139-140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-169.1\left(c 0.27, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-$ $2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 190.2,187.4,150.1,137.7,136.3,133.1,129.1,128.7,128.6,127.9,127.4,126.8,126.4,124.0$, 56.4, 51.2, 32.5, 30.1, 22.9, 22.2; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 420.1992; Found 420.1985.

(R)-2-methyl- $N$-((E)-2-methyl-1-((R)-1-((E)-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohex-3-en-1-yl)allylidene)propane-2-sulfinamide (3ai): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine ( $R_{S}, R$ )-1ai ( $25.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ai as a colorless oil (37.9 mg, 99\%). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 3ai: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-118.1\left(c 0.34, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.77-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.23-1.95 (m, 4H), $1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.1,189.2$, $150.1,142.0,137.7,133.1,128.7,128.6,127.1,125.9,124.2,116.4,56.4,50.2,32.1,30.0,24.5$, 22.8, 22.3; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 384.1992$; Found 384.1992.

(R)-2-methyl- $N$-(( $(Z)-((S)$-1-( $(E)$-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohex-3-en-1-yl)(phenyl)methylene)propane-2-sulfinamide (3aj): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$ 1aj (28.9 mg, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3aj as a pale brown solid ( $39.8 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for 3aj: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-256.6\left(c 0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 95-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-$ $2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 190.2,186.5,150.1,137.7,136.4,133.0,129.0,128.71,128.66,127.9,127.4,126.7,126.5,124.2$, 56.6, 51.1, 32.7, 30.0, 23.0, 22.3; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ 420.1992; Found 420.1987.

(R)-2-methyl- $N$-( $(E)$-2-methyl-1-((S)-1-( $(E)$-3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohex-3-en-1-yl)allylidene)propane-2-sulfinamide (3ak): According to the general procedure A , reaction was performed using $N$-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-1ak ( $25.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( $26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 3ak as a colorless oil (36.8 mg, 96\%). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 3ak: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-240.3\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-$ $5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06-1.96(m, 2H), $1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 190.2, 188.6, $150.4,142.2,137.7,133.0,128.7,128.6,127.3,125.9,124.2,116.1,56.5,50.1,32.3,30.1,24.2$, 22.9, 22.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 384.1992$; Found 384.1989.

## General procedure $\mathbf{C}$ for synthesis of 1,4-dicarbonyl analogs using $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sulfonyl acrylonitrile $\mathbf{4 a}$

Enesulfinamide 1 ( 1.0 equiv) or $N$-sulfinyl ketimine $\mathbf{6}$ in freshly distilled THF ( 0.1 M ) was added to a flame dried Schlenk tube equipped with magnetic stirring bar under argon. The resulting solution was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of potassium tert-butoxide in THF (1.0 M, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise to the mixture via syringe. After $30 \mathrm{~min},(E)$-3-tosylacrylonitrile $4 \mathbf{a}$ (2.0 equiv) in dry THF ( 0.1 M ) was added dropwise by syringe at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC analysis. Upon completion (usually $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ), DBU (4.0 equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature in 5 h . After stirring at room temperature for 12 hours, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 times) and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

## General procedure $D$ for synthesis of 1,4-dicarbonyl analogs using $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sulfonyl acrylonitrile $\mathbf{4 b}$

Enesulfinamide 1 (1.0 equiv) in freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was added to a flame dried Schlenk tube equipped with magnetic stirring bar under argon. The resulting clear solution was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise to the mixture via syringe. After $30 \mathrm{~min},(E)-3-((3,5-b i s(t r i f l u o r o m e t h y l) p h e n y l)$ sulfonyl) acrylonitrile 4 b ( 2.0 equiv) in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) was added dropwise by syringe at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC analysis. Upon completion (usually 2-4 h), DBU (4.0 equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature in 5 h . After stirring at room temperature for 12 hours, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 times) and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography.


DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum
ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, R\right)$-5a as a yellow oil ( $28.4 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}\right.$, $R)-5 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-132.5\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.5,135.7,131.5,129.1,128.1,127.6,126.8,118.3,56.7,53.7,29.4,22.3,20.2$, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 317.1682; Found 317.1679.

## Gram scale preparation of $(\boldsymbol{R s}, \boldsymbol{R})-5 \mathrm{a}$

According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 a}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}$, $4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 4.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.845 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and $4 \mathrm{a}(1.67 \mathrm{~g}$, $8.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 16.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, R\right)-5 a$ as a light yellow solid $(1.081 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ).

( $S$ )-N-((S,E)-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(S_{S}, S\right)-5 \mathbf{a}\right)$ : According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(S_{S}, Z\right) \mathbf{- 1 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded ( $S_{S}, S$ ) 5a as a white solid ( $26.9 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}, S\right)-5 a: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=+138.4\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.5,135.7,131.6,129.1,128.1,127.5,126.7$, 118.3, 56.7, 53.7, 29.4, 22.2, 20.2, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 317.1682; Found 317.1678.
(S)-N-((R,E)-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-

$(S s, R)-\mathbf{5 a}$ methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(S_{S}, R\right)-5 a\right)$ : According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(S_{S}, E\right) \mathbf{- 1 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(S_{S}, R\right)-5 a$ as a white solid ( $27.2 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=25: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}, R\right)-5 a: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $55-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=+112.4\left(c 0.24, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{dr}=25: 1$ (diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.9,135.7,132.0,129.1,128.0,127.5,126.8$, 118.1, 56.8, 53.7, 29.3, 22.3, 20.5, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 317.1682; Found 317.1677.

 (Rs, S)-5a
( $R$ )- N -(( $S, E)$-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(R_{S}, S\right)\right.$-5a): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(R_{S}, E\right) \mathbf{- 1 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-5a as a white solid (27.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}, S\right)-5 a: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $61-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-107.3\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 185.8, 135.7, 131.9, 129.1, 127.9, 127.4, 126.8, 118.0, 56.7, 53.6, 29.3, 22.3, 20.5, 8.5; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 317.1682$; Found 317.1680.


5b
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, E$ )-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-(p-tolyl)but-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5b): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 b}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $29.1 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 b}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-256.9$ (c $\left.0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 187.0, 139.1, 132.8, 131.5, 128.7, 127.7, 126.7, 118.4, 56.6, 53.8, 29.4, 22.2, 21.5, 20.2, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 331.1839$; Found 331.1837.

( $R$ )-N-(( $R, E)$-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-(m-tolyl)but-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5c): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 c}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 a}(41.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, ~ 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5c as a pale yellow oil ( $25.1 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 5c: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-87.2\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 187.2,137.9,135.7,131.5,129.9,127.9,127.6,127.1$, 124.0, 118.4, 56.5, 53.7, 29.4, 22.2, 21.7, 20.1, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 331.1839$; Found 331.1836.


5d
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, Z$ )-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methyl-1-(o-tolyl)but-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5d): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 d}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.8 \mathrm{mg}$, S39
$0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5d as a white solid ( $29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=96: 4$ ), HPLC (IG-3, $n$ hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 15.9 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers): $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=$ 3/1); mp 76-77 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-146.7\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 9H), $0.92-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 188.6, 186.5, 136.2, 135.2, 134.9, $133.6,131.8,131.6,130.7,130.4,129.2,129.1,127.9,127.7,127.4,126.1,125.2,125.0,118.6$, $118.3,56.9,56.8,54.3,53.5,30.6,28.9,22.4,22.2,21.5,20.5,20.4,19.6,8.4,8.3$; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 331.1839$; Found 331.1837.

(R)-N-((R,E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-cyano-2-ethyl-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5e): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 e}(29.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4a ( $42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 e}$ as a white solid ( $29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for 5e: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $107-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $166.6\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 184.6, 135.4, 133.9, 131.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.4, 118.1, 57.1, 53.8, 29.3, 22.3, 20.2, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 351.1292; Found 351.1291.

( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, E)$-3-cyano-2-ethyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5f): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide if $(29.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4a ( $42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column
chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 f}$ as a pale yellow oil (24.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $\left(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1\right.$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 f}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-111.0$ (c 0.13, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.12-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 187.0,160.0,131.4,128.4,128.0$, $127.8,118.4,113.5,56.6,55.3,53.9,29.5,22.3,20.4,8.5$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 347.1788$; Found 347.1785.
( $R$ )- N -(( $R, Z$ )-3-cyano-2-ethyl-1-(furan-2-yl)-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-


5 g methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5g): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 g}(29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.115 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 a}(47.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $70.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 g}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $28.9 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 5 g : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-218.2\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.2,146.4,144.6,130.6,128.9,120.0,118.0,112.0,57.5$, 53.1, 30.2, 22.5, 22.4, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 307.1475$; Found 307.1472.

( $R$ )- $N-((R, E)$-5-cyano-4-ethyl-4-methyl-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5h): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 h}(29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded as a pale yellow oil (23.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=97: 3)$, HPLC $(\mathrm{IC}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), $19.7 \min$ (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{h}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ );
$[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-178.4\left(c 0.13, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-$ $2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 184.3,140.6,131.2,128.7,128.5,128.3,126.5,117.9,58.1,54.6,34.0,33.8$, 28.9, 22.9, 20.1, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 345.1995$; Found 345.1994

(R)-N-((R,E)-2-cyano-3-ethyl-7-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-3-methylhept-1-en-4-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5i): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 i}$ (36.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF (1.0 $\mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}(66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv $), \mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400$ mmol, 4.0 equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $5 \mathbf{i}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $28.8 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=97: 3)$, HPLC $(\mathrm{ID}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{PPOH}=87 / 13$, flow rate $=1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 15.7 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 i}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-42.6\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-$ $3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 185.9,159.3$, $131.1,130.6,129.4,128.3,118.0,113.9,72.7,69.8,57.8,55.4,54.6,28.8,28.7,28.4,22.8,20.0$, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 419.2363$; Found 419.2356.
( $R$ )- $N$-((3R,6R,E)-2-cyano-3-ethyl-3,6,10-trimethylundeca-1,9-dien-
 4-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5j): According to the general procedure $D$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ ( $31.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}(66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 j}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $27.7 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=97: 3)$, HPLC $(\mathrm{IF}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=98 / 02$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 16.4 min (minor). Analytical data for $5 \mathbf{j}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$
(petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-138.9\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), $1.24-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.8,131.9,130.7,128.8,124.2,118.1,57.7,54.6,38.2,37.6,32.0,29.4,25.9,25.7,22.7$, 20.7, 19.7, 17.9, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 365.2621$; Found 365.2620 .


5k
( $R$ )-N-((3R,7R,E)-9-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-2-cyano-3-ethyl-3,7-dimethylnon-1-en-4-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2sulfinamide (5k): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 k}(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF (1.0 M, $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4b (33.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 k}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=94: 6$ ), HPLC (ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.0 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 5.2 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 k}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $85.6\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.6,135.7,134.1,130.9,129.7,128.5,127.7,118.0,62.1$, 57.6, 54.6, 39.2, 34.2, 30.4, 29.4, 28.9, 27.0, 22.7, 20.0, 19.3, 19.2, 8.6; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}$ 579.3435; Found 579.3429.


51
( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, E$ )-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4-methyl-1-phenylnonan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5I): According to the general procedure D, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $11(25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $49.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.308 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 l}$ as a pale yellow oil (24.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture
(dr $>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 51: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-118.6$ (c 0.17, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 184.4,140.6,130.8,128.71,128.66,128.5,126.5,118.0,58.1,54.3,36.2,34.0,33.8,32.3,23.9$, 22.9, 22.6, 20.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 387.2465$; Found 387.2460 .

( $R$ )- $N$-(( $R, E)$-4-benzyl-5-cyano-4-methyl-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5m): According to the general procedure D, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 m}(35.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $49.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 m}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $31.3 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 m}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-168.4\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.0,140.5,135.7,132.0$, $130.7,128.7,128.6,128.3,127.5,127.1,126.6,118.2,58.5,55.4,42.1,34.0,33.6,23.0,20.2$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 407.2152$; Found 407.2147. $(R)-N-((S, E)$-5-cyano-4-ethyl-4-methyl-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-
methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $(\mathbf{5 n})$ : According to the general procedure D ,
equiv $)$, lithium bis(trimethylsilyl $)$ amide in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4b ( $65.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 n}$ as a pale yellow oil (26.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=94.5: 5.5)$, HPLC $($ IC- $3, n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.4$ $\min$ (major), 19.6 min (minor). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 n}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=$ $4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-177.0\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-$ $1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 184.4,140.7,131.2,128.7,128.5,128.2,126.5,118.0,58.1,54.7,34.4,34.3,29.0,22.9,20.6,8.7 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 345.1995$; Found 345.1992.

( $R$ )- $N-((S, E)$-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4,6-dimethyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-3-ylidene)-2-
 methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (50): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $10(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}(66.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(61.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.404 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 0}$ as a pale yellow oil (25.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=97.5: 2.5), \mathrm{HPLC}(\mathrm{AD}-3, n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=95 / 05$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.0$ $\min$ (minor), 14.2 min (major). Analytical data for 50: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=$ $4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-146.5\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-$ $2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 184.4,140.7,140.5,131.5,128.7,128.55,128.48,126.6,118.2,116.9,58.1,54.0,44.3$, 34.9, 24.7, 22.9, 21.0; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 371.2152$; Found 371.2147.
( $R$ )-N-((S,E)-4-benzyl-5-cyano-4-ethyl-1-phenylhex-5-en-3-ylidene)-2-


5p methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5p): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 p}(36.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $65.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5p as a colorless solid ( $28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 p}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ; \operatorname{mp} 110-11{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-87.7\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-$ $3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$
(s, 9H), $0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.3,140.7,136.2,132.6$, $130.4,128.7,128.5,128.3,127.1,126.9,126.5,118.2,59.0,58.0,37.6,35.0,34.0,23.7,23.0,8.8 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 421.2308$; Found 421.2299.

( $R$ )- N -((S,E)-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4-ethyl-1-phenylhept-6-en-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5q): According to the general procedure $D$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 q}(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $66.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(61.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.404 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 q}$ as a pale yellow oil (29.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (dr $=95: 5)$, HPLC $(A D-3, n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 11.0 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 q}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-147.5\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 182.8,140.7,132.2,132.1,128.7,128.5,127.1$, $126.5,119.6,117.7,58.1,57.6,36.1,34.4,33.8,24.8,23.0,8.2$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 371.2152; Found 371.2149.

( $R$ )-N-((S,E)-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4-ethyl-7-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1-
 phenylheptan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5r): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 r}(45.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}(65.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.400 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 r}$ as a pale yellow oil $(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=98: 2$ ), HPLC (ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=19.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $23.5 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$. Analytical data for $5 \mathrm{r}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.30 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}=-137.6\left(c \quad 0.13, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \underset{\mathrm{S} 46}{3.25-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),}$
$2.05-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.0,159.3,140.8,132.3,130.5,129.4,128.7,128.5,127.4$, $126.4,117.9,113.9,72.9,69.7,58.2,57.8,55.4,34.3,33.7,27.9,25.2,24.4,23.0,8.2$; HRMS (ESIOrbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 509.2832$; Found 509.2823.

$(R)-N-((4 S, 6 R, E)-8$-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4-ethyl-6-methyl-1-phenyloctan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5s): According to the general procedure $D$, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 s}(30.2 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 b}$ (33.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 s}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=99: 1)$, HPLC (ID-3, $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=95 / 05$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 9.9 min (major). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 s}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $77.2\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.71-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), $0.90-0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.4,140.9,135.64,135.63,133.90$, $133.88,131.9,129.8,128.7,128.5,128.3,127.8,126.5,61.5,58.2,58.1,41.6,38.9,34.6,34.3,27.0$, 25.1, 25.0, 23.0, 21.1, 19.3, 8.7; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}$ 655.3748; Found 655.3735.


DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 t}$ as a yellow oil ( $29.4 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 t}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-189.2\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.46-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.56$
(m, 1H), $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.6,135.7,131.3,129.2,128.1,128.0,126.8,118.4,56.7,53.4,36.6,32.2,23.8,22.6$, 22.3, 20.9, 14.1 ; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 359.2152$; Found 359.2149 .

##  <br> ( $R$ )- $N-((R, E)$-2-benzyl-3-cyano-2-methyl-1-phenylbut-3-en-1-ylidene)-2-methyl-propane-2-sulfinamide (5u): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 u}(32.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv),

 DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 u}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $29.1 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=99: 1$ ), HPLC (IG-3, $n$ hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=80 / 20$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.8 min (minor). Analytical data for 5u: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-82.2(c 0.16$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-$ $7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ (s, 9H), $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.8,135.7,135.5,132.2,130.8,129.2$, $128.20,128.17,127.1,126.9,126.8,119.0,57.1,54.7,42.7,22.4,20.8$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 379.1839$; Found 379.1833 . DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 v}$ as a colorless oil ( $25.3 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 v}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-79.7\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.45-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-$ $2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $185.5,135.4,132.3,132.2,129.2,128.0,127.0,126.9,120.0,118.0,56.7,53.0,41.0,22.3,21.1$; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 329.1682; Found 329.1677.

( $R$ )- $N$-((S,E)-2-(1-cyanovinyl)-2,4-dimethyl-1-phenylpent-4-en-1-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5w): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 w}(29.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.401 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5w as a colorless oil ( $30.1 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $\left(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1\right.$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 w}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ $($ petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1) ;[\alpha]^{25}=-272.2\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.45-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.79$ (m, 1H), 2.92-2.71(m, 2H), $1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.1,140.8,135.5,132.3,129.2,128.0,127.8,127.0,118.3,116.9,56.6,53.1,44.3,24.8$, 22.2, 21.0; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 343.1839$; Found 343.1835.

$$
(R)-N-((E)-6-(\text { benzyloxy )-2-(1-cyanovinyl)-2-(4-((4- }
$$



5x methoxybenzyl)oxy)butyl)-1-phenylhexylidene)-2-methylpropane-2sulfinamide (5x): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 x}(45.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathbf{4 a}(33.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), DBU ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.324 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $35 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 x}$ as a colorless oil $(40.3 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=98: 2)$, HPLC $(\mathrm{IC}-3, n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}=80 / 20$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{l}=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=29.0 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 30.4 min (major). Analytical data for $5 \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $285.1\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-$ $3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 184.3,159.2,138.5,135.6,132.9,130.7,129.4,129.2,128.5,128.0$, $127.8,127.7,126.9,126.7,118.1,113.9,73.1,69.8,69.5,56.9,56.6,55.4,31.9,31.6,30.0,22.4$, 20.6, 20.5; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[M+H]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 629.3408; Found 629.3395.

(R)-N-((E)-8-(benzyloxy)-4-(1-cyanovinyl)-4-(4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butyl)-1-phenyloctan-3-ylidene)-2-methylpropane-2sulfinamide (5y): According to the general procedure D , reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\mathbf{1 y}(48.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4b ( $52.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.160 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $48.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.321 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\mathbf{5 y}$ as a pale yellow oil ( $38.8 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}=95.5: 4.5$ ), HPLC $(\mathrm{IG}-3, n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}=85 / 15$, flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1=254 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=34.7 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $)$, 35.9 min (major). Analytical data for 5y: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4 / 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ $248.1\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (s, 1H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 2H), 6.90-6.83(m, 2H), $6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 183.1,159.2,140.7,138.5,132.0,130.6,129.3,128.7,128.49,128.45,127.7,127.6,127.5,126.5$, $117.9,113.8,73.0,72.7,69.8,69.5,58.2,57.6,55.3,34.2,34.0,32.2,31.8,30.1,23.0,20.62,20.58 ;$ HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 657.3721$; Found 657.3717.
 ( $41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{DBU}(60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-5a as a white solid ( $29.1 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right)-5 a: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $60-61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-104.2\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.5,135.7,131.5,129.1,128.1,127.6,126.8,118.3,56.7$,
53.7, 29.4, 22.3, 20.2, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 317.1682$; Found 317.1680.

(Ss, S)-5a reaction was performed using $N$-tert-Butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(S_{S}, S\right)$-1a ( 26.5 mg , $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $4 \mathrm{a}(41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(S_{S}, S\right)-5 \mathbf{a}$ as a white solid $(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$. Analytical data for $\left(S_{S}, S\right)-5 a: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=3 / 1$ ); mp $56-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=+140.8\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.5,135.7,131.6,129.1,128.1,127.5,126.7,118.3$, 56.7, 53.7, 29.4, 22.2, 20.1, 8.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 317.1682; Found 317.1680.

$(R)-N-((E)-((R)-1-(1-c y a n o v i n y l) c y c l o h e x-3-e n-1-y l)($ phenyl $) m e t h y l e n e)-2-$ methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5ah): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-Butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, R\right) \mathbf{- 1 a h}(28.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4 a ( $41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5ah as a colorless oil ( $29.3 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$. Analytical data for 5ah $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-145.2\left(c 0.24, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.5,135.7,133.5,129.6,128.3,128.0,127.2,125.0,123.0$, 118.1, 56.9, 52.2, 31.9, 29.1, 22.9, 22.5; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 341.1682; Found 341.1678.
$(R)-N-((E)-1-((R)-1-(1-c y a n o v i n y l)$ cyclohex-3-en-1-yl)-2-methylallylidene)-2-
methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5ai): According to the general procedure C , reaction was performed using $N$-tert-Butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, R\right)$-1ai $(25.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4a ( $41.6 \mathrm{mg}, ~ 0.201 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5ai as a colorless oil (25.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture $(\mathrm{dr}=12: 1)$. Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 a i} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}=-194.3(c$ $\left.0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})(6.12), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.9,141.2,132.7$ (132.3), 127.4 (127.2), 125.1, 122.9 (123.2), 117.8, 117.1, 56.7, 51.1, 31.5, 28.6, 24.5, 22.5, 22.4; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} 305.1682$; Found 305.1677.
$(R)-N-((E)-((S)-1-(1-c y a n o v i n y l) c y c l o h e x-3-e n-1-y l)(p h e n y l) m e t h y l e n e)-2-$ methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5aj): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-Butanesulfinyl ketimine ( $R_{S}, S$ )-1aj ( 28.9 mg , $0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in $\operatorname{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4 ( $41.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5aj as a colorless oil ( $28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for 5aj $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-216.7\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 184.7, 135.4, 132.6, 129.2, 128.0, 127.1, 126.8, 124.9, 123.1, 118.0, 57.0, 52.0, 31.6, 28.8, 22.4, 22.3; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 341.1682; Found 341.1676.

$(R)-N-((E)-1-((S)-1-(1-c y a n o v i n y l) c y c l o h e x-3-e n-1-y l)-2-m e t h y l a l l y l i d e n e)-2-$ methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (5ak): According to the general procedure C, reaction was performed using $N$-tert-Butanesulfinyl ketimine $\left(R_{S}, S\right)$-1ak $(25.3 \mathrm{mg}$,
mmol, 2.0 equiv), DBU ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded 5ak as a colorless oil ( $25.9 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ ). Analytical data for $\mathbf{5 a k} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $\left.=3 / 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-270.6\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.6,141.2,132.3,127.2,125.2,123.2,117.9,116.8,56.8$, 51.0, 31.6, 28.7, 24.3, 22.44, 22.41; HRMS (ESI-Orbitrap) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ 305.1682; Found 305.1678.
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## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{S6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 1}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{1}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S} 2$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 3}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 3}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S} 4$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S} 4$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 5}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{S 6}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for enesulfinamides $(\mathrm{Ss}, E)-1 \mathrm{a},(R s, E)-1 \mathrm{n}, 1 \mathrm{x}, 1 \mathrm{y}$

(Ss, E)-1a


(Ss, E)-1a

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, E) \mathbf{- 1 a}$

(Rs, E)-1n

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, E)-\mathbf{1 n}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, E) \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{n}$



1x








${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 x}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for compounds $\mathbf{1 a a}-1 \mathrm{ab}, \mathbf{2 g}-\mathbf{2 h}$




1aa

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 a a}$
Ǹ N

$\underbrace{\text { Nín }}$
1aa
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 1aa


1ab

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 a b}$
$\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 a b}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 h}$
$\stackrel{\frac{0}{n}}{\stackrel{-}{n}}$
2h



2

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 h}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 i}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 i}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR spectra for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sulfonyl acrylonitrile 4b


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 , 5}$ - and 1,4-dicarbonyl derivatives

##  -


(Rs, $R$ )-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, R)-\mathbf{3 a}$


(Ss, S)-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, S)$-3a

(Ss, S)-3a
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(\mathrm{Ss}, \mathrm{S})$-3a

(Ss, R)-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, R) \mathbf{- 3 a}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, R)$-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, S)$-3a



3b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$



3b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 c}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 c}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers)
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{e}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 f}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 f}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 g}$




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 g}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 h}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 h}$

$3 i$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 i}$


$3 i$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 i}$

3j

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{j}$

~~N



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 j}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 k}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 k}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 31


31

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 31

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 m}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 n}$




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 n}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 o}$





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 o}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 p}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 p}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 q}$




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 r}$


| $\stackrel{1}{200}$ | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | ${ }_{90}$ | ${ }_{80}$ | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {f1 }}$ | (ppm) ${ }^{\text {90 }}$ |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 20 | 10 | 0 |

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 r}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $3 \mathbf{s}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $3 \mathbf{s}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 t}$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |




3t



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 u}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 u}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 v}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 w}$


$3 x$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 x}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 x}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{y}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 z}$
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[^2]${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 z}$

3aa

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3aa
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, 2 R)$－3aa


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ab


3ab


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a b}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a c}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a c}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ad

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ae

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ae

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3af



3ag

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a g}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a g}$

（Rs，2S）－3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, 2 S)$－3a prepared from $\alpha$－alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines（Scheme 5）
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（Rs，2S）－3a
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, 2 S)$－3a prepared from $\alpha$－alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines（Scheme 5）

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, 2 S)$-3a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(\mathrm{Ss}, 2 \mathrm{~S})$-3a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ah
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a h}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ai

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3ai


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 3aj


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, 2 S)$-3ak




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, R)-5 \mathbf{a}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, S)-5 \mathbf{5}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, R)-\mathbf{5 a}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, S)-\mathbf{5 a}$




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, S)-5 \mathbf{a}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 b}$


$-187.193$

##  <br> -



$5 c$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 c}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 c}$

5d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 d}$ (mixture of imino $Z / E$ isomers)



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 e}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 g}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{h}$


5h


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 i}$



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 j}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 k}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 k}$



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 I}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 m}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 m}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 n}$

5n

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 n}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 0}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 0}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 p}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 p}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 q}$





( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{5 q}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 r}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 r}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 s}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of 5 s


$\qquad$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 t}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 u}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 u}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 v}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 w}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 y}$


| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\tilde{N}^{N}{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 成通 |  |
| $\rightarrow$ リリ | \／ | $\rightarrow$ ¢ V |




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s, 2 S$ )-5a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s, 2 S)$-5a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s, 2 S)$-5a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(\mathrm{Ss}, 2 \mathrm{~S})$-5a prepared from $\alpha$-alkenylation of enantioenriched ketimines (Scheme 5)

$\stackrel{\stackrel{+}{\sim}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}}$
 ハ্ল゙


5ah


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a h}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a j}$




## Determination of dr by ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR or HPLC analysis of the crude products

Note: In most cases, in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) for the minor diastereomer from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture generated from the reaction using one geometric isomer $(Z / E$ or $Z / E>100: 1)$ of the enesulfinamides as the starting material, reaction were intentionally performed under identical conditions using the enesulfinamides with low ratio of geometric isomer (for details of preparing ensulfinamides with high or low ratio of geometric isomers, see ref S2), which gave a pair of diastereomers of the alkenylation products with low dr. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the alkenylation product with low dr was recorded and used as a reference spectrum.


In some cases, determination of dr with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture was not possible since the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the diastereomers in these cases are nearly identical. Instead, HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture was used to determine dr. For details, please see the pages S147-S224.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)$-3a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, R) \mathbf{- 3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1 ; 1$ gram scale reaction)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)$-3a that was used to identify the diagnostic peaks) of the minor diastereomer


## 









${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of（ $S s$ ）－3a with low diastereoselectivity（dr～3：1） intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio




（Ss，2S）－3a


H NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, S)$－ $\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
（No observable presence of the minor diastereomer）



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) of ( $S s$ )-3a with low diastereoselectivity (dr ~ 3:1) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

##  <br> 


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, R)-\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, R)$-3a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, S)-\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ ) -3b with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)





${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)$-3c with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ )
intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 c}(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$
$(R s)$-3d: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IA-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1596; Processing Method: H230615AP XX 901025440 IA

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.088 | 18718381 | 69.19 | 1082313 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.943 | 8334701 | 30.81 | 846234 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3d


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1598; Processing Method: H230616G SX 901025440 A

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.850 | 28296789 | 90.66 | 1602552 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.724 | 2916232 | 9.34 | 278385 |




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ )-3e with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio


```
    N
```





3e

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 e}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3f with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio



$3 f$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 f}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
$(R s)$-3g: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel AD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=97: 03(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result id: 1808; Processing Method: H230628AP XX 970325440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 33.964 | 27404928 | 32.84 | 448143 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 37.347 | 56043033 | 67.16 | 715746 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3 g}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1826; Processing Method: H230629A SX 970325440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 33.848 | 39705255 | 98.54 | 483948 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 37.519 | 590316 | 1.46 | 9938 |

$(R s)$-3h: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel OD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$ - propanol $=97: 03(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1257; Processing Method: H230505AP XX 970325440 OD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 6.869 | 4665458 | 49.58 | 464550 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.421 | 4744107 | 50.42 | 413481 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3h


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1271; Processing Method: H230508A SX 970325440 OD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 6.972 | 22781264 | 95.99 | 2243967 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.549 | 951011 | 4.01 | 95930 |

$(R s)$-3i: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1801; Processing Method: H230630CP 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 34.586 | 67372425 | 62.13 | 1002943 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 38.213 | 41072719 | 37.87 | 624617 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3i


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1822; Processing Method: H230718D SX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 34.546 | 70732758 | 93.17 | 1078581 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 38.888 | 5185740 | 6.83 | 104414 |

$(R s)-\mathbf{3 j}:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=95: 05(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1627; Processing Method: H230619CP XX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.037 | 58265034 | 54.70 | 2497014 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.788 | 48261397 | 45.30 | 1831398 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{j}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1632; Processing Method: H230619A SX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.020 | 1776660 | 3.17 | 115850 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.445 | 54300303 | 96.83 | 2099257 |

$(R s)-3 k: H P L C$ conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.135 | 9717386 | 65.57 | 1157529 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.625 | 5102397 | 34.43 | 575379 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3 k}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1480; Processing Method: H2305115B SX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.145 | 5092515 | 94.94 | 596983 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.688 | 271569 | 5.06 | 30151 |






${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-31 with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio


)

$8-\frac{14}{-6} 8$

| 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6. 0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4. 0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2. | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | ${ }_{-0.5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | f1 (ppm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 1}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)-\mathbf{3 m}$ that was intentionally prepared by using geometric isomer ( $R s, E)-\mathbf{1 m}$ and was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 m}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$ (No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s)-\mathbf{3 n}$ : HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel OD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$ - propanol $=97: 03(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1257; Processing Method: H230505AP XX 970325440 OD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 6.869 | 4665458 | 49.58 | 464550 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.421 | 4744107 | 50.42 | 413481 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3n


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1913; Processing Method: 3N SX 970325440 OD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.028 | 2120601 | 5.50 | 197684 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.487 | 36444628 | 94.50 | 2701408 |

(Rs)-30: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel AD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1498; Processing Method: H230529FP XX 901025440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 6.754 | 23634556 | 72.01 | 1781222 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.473 | 9184699 | 27.99 | 592447 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 30


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1500; Processing Method: H230529D SX 901025440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 6.795 | 2764927 | 98.74 | 212762 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.533 | 35204 | 1.26 | 3009 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ )-3p with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 p}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s) \mathbf{- 3 q}:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1474; Processing Method: H23602CP XX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 11.644 | 35558727 | 47.88 | 2251228 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 12.537 | 38711202 | 52.12 | 2149453 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3 q}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1525; Processing Method: H230612D SX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 11.359 | 27865196 | 99.25 | 1951325 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 12.323 | 209629 | 0.75 | 19716 |

$(R s)$-3r: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IC-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2-$ propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1490; Processing Method H230607CP XX 901025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 20.615 | 16122603 | 39.12 | 581275 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 22.761 | 25091919 | 60.88 | 784680 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3 r}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1492; Processing Method: H230601A SX 901025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 20.694 | 162096 | 2.27 | 5814 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 22.850 | 6990685 | 97.73 | 229591 |

$(R s)$-3s: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2-$ propanol $=95: 05(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1540; Processing Method: H230613CP XX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 10.744 | 12816803 | 31.28 | 926091 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 12.081 | 28156608 | 68.72 | 1332575 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3s


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 220nm; Result ld: 1556; Processing Method: H230429A 950522040 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 220nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 220nm | 10.249 | 1133145 | 1.79 | 74088 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 220nm | 11.556 | 62236139 | 98.21 | 2654860 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3t with low diastereoselectivity (dr ~ 1:1) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

##  <br> 



3t


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 t}(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ )-3u with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 u}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $\left.R s\right)-\mathbf{3 v}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio


```
人N人N
```



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 v}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ ) $\mathbf{- 3 w}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 w}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s)-\mathbf{3 x}:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IF-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1871; Processing Method: H0801EP 3ZXX 901025440 IF

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 48.467 | 65035468 | 81.72 | 617112 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 52.799 | 14547216 | 18.28 | 171377 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{3 x}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1876; Processing Method H0801G 3Z SX 901025440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 48.509 | 75171454 | 98.26 | 655263 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 53.739 | 1332882 | 1.74 | 17637 |

(Rs)-3y: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IG-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=85: 15(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1810; Processing Method: H230724AP XX 851525440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 43.119 | 42708450 | 64.57 | 453901 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 49.836 | 23431287 | 35.43 | 242546 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 3y


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1983; Processing Method H230817D SX 851525440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 45.957 | 1269176 | 3.42 | 18305 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 50.235 | 35797760 | 96.58 | 328886 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)-\mathbf{3 z}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 z}(\mathrm{dr} \sim 33: 1)$




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3aa with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

```
&~OUOO
```




3aa
i



$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
6.87 & 6.86 & 6.85 & 6.84 & 6.83) \\
& & & (\mathrm{ppm})
\end{array}
$$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a a}(\mathrm{dr}=50: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of (Rs)-3ab with low diastereoselectivity (dr $\sim 1.5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio


3ab


| 6.85 | 6.80 | 6.75 | 6.70 | 6.65 | 6.60 | 6.55 | 6.50 | 6.45 | 6.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a b}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$ (No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
tBuOK

mixture of $Z$ -
and $E$-isomers




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3ac with low diastereoselectivity (dr $\sim 1.2: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a c}(\mathrm{dr}=25: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3ad with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.4: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio



-io

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a d}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) of ( $R s$ )-3ae with low diastereoselectivity (dr ~ 1.4:1) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of 3ae $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$




mixture of $Z$
and $E$-isomers
$\sim 1.6: 1 \mathrm{dr}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)$-3af with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a f}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-3ag with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

rivNivjicié





${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a g}(\mathrm{dr} \sim 30: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, R)$-3a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, S)-\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$;
Scheme 5)

(Ss, R)-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(S S, R)$-3a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer


(Ss, S)-3a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, S)-\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$;
Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right) \mathbf{- 3} \mathbf{a h}$ and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right) \mathbf{- 3} \mathbf{a h}(\sim 1: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine $(R s, 2 S)-\mathbf{1 a h}$ and $(R s, 2 R)-\mathbf{1 a h}$ as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis)



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a h}(\mathrm{dr} \sim 30: 1)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right)$-3ai and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right)$ - $\mathbf{3 a i}(\sim 1.4: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine ( $R s, 2 S$ )-1aiand ( $R s, 2 R$ )-1ai as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of 3ai $(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right) \mathbf{- 3 a j}$ and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right) \mathbf{- 3 a j}(\sim 1: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine $(R s, 2 S)$-1aj and ( $R s, 2 R$ )-1aj as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; note: 3ah and 3aj is a pair of diastereomers, see Scheme 5)







3a


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a j}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right)$-3ak and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right)$-3ak ( $\left.\sim 1.4: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right)$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t$ BS ketimine $(R s, 2 S)$-1ak and ( $R s, 2 R$ )-1ak as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; note: 3ai and 3ak is a pair of diastereomers, see Scheme 5)





${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3 a k}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)-5$ a that was used as a control sample to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer






${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, R)-5 \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1 ; 0.1$
mmol scale)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)-5$ a that was used as a control sample to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, R)-5 \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1 ; 1$
gram scale)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s)-5 \mathbf{a}$ with low diastereoselectivity (dr $\sim 2: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Ss, S)-5a }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\stackrel{9}{\stackrel{1}{6}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, S)-5 \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(S s)-5 \mathbf{a}$ with low diastereoselectivity $(\mathrm{dr} \sim 2: 1)$ intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, R)-5 \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{dr}=25: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, R)$-5a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer
$\underbrace{\text { - }}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, S)-5 \mathbf{5}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$ (No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)




|  |  |  |  | T \% ¢ i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\square} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. 0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5. 0 | 4. 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)-\mathbf{5 b}$ with low diastereoselectivity $(\mathrm{dr} \sim 2: 1)$ intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ )-5c with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 c}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
$(R s)-5 d:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IG-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1861; Processing Method: H0804DP 5D XX 901025440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.329 | 14924120 | 76.82 | 443408 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.688 | 4503073 | 23.18 | 184372 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 5d


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1863; Processing Method: H0715F 5D SX 901025440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.508 | 10138355 | 95.82 | 303077 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.902 | 441889 | 4.18 | 19360 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ )-5e with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 2: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio


Vic|
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 e}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-5f with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.5 .: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 f}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ ) $\mathbf{- 5} \mathbf{g}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 2 .: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 g}(\mathrm{dr} \sim 33: 1)$
$(R s)-5 h: H P L C$ conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IC-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1281; Processing Method: H230511EP XX 901025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 13.612 | 6192435 | 58.32 | 266555 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 19.479 | 4425000 | 41.68 | 195473 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 h}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1293; Processing Method: H230510A SX 901025440 IC

## Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 13.768 | 139726 | 2.97 | 6328 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 19.678 | 4570911 | 97.03 | 190528 |

$(R s)$-5i: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=87: 13(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1803; Processing Method: H230630DP 871325440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.077 | 21795480 | 42.41 | 856224 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.312 | 29602331 | 57.59 | 902896 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 i}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1820; Processing Method: H230718E SX 871325440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.559 | 91885 | 2.45 | 6258 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.697 | 3662140 | 97.55 | 175507 |

$(R s)-5 \mathbf{j}:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IF-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=98: 02(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 5444; Processing Method: H230619DP XX 980225440 IF

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.437 | 6465505 | 37.28 | 402728 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 16.027 | 10879156 | 62.72 | 519789 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 j}$


Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 15.209 | 9461237 | 96.64 | 410186 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 16.385 | 329344 | 3.36 | 13585 |

$(R s)-5 \mathbf{k}$ : HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=95: 05(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1343; Processing Method: H230516DP XX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 5.021 | 1160745 | 37.30 | 230475 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 5.205 | 1950781 | 62.70 | 350999 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 k}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1488; Processing Method: H0515C SX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 5.004 | 592618 | 5.60 | 108175 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 5.162 | 9994755 | 94.40 | 1627822 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of (Rs)-5l with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 1}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, S)-5 \mathrm{~m}$ that was intentionally prepared by using geometric isomer $(R s, E)-\mathbf{1 m}$ and was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s)-5 n:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IC-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1281; Processing Method H230511EP XX 901025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 13.612 | 6192435 | 58.32 | 266555 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 19.479 | 4425000 | 41.68 | 195473 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 n}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1918; Processing Method: 5N SX 901025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 13.391 | 9558275 | 94.60 | 590574 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 19.611 | 545500 | 5.40 | 24224 |

(Rs)-50: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel AD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=95: 05(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1506; Processing Method: H0529GP XX 950525440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 10.283 | 1798889 | 33.55 | 90458 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.467 | 3563376 | 66.45 | 120912 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 50


Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 10.000 | 199039 | 2.51 | 9912 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 14.166 | 7728592 | 97.49 | 245373 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of ( $R s$ ) $\mathbf{- 5 p}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.4: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 p}(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$
(Rs)-5q: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel AD-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2$-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1472; Processing Method: H23602DP XX 901025440 AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.417 | 19192606 | 56.14 | 1022940 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 11.059 | 14997089 | 43.86 | 564974 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 q}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1523; Processing Method: H230612E SX 9010254 40AD

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.438 | 196470 | 4.86 | 12085 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 11.025 | 3849597 | 95.14 | 166444 |

$(R s)-5 \mathbf{r}$ : HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=90: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1494; Processing Method: H230607dP XX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 18.692 | 48187808 | 75.80 | 925777 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 22.343 | 15384539 | 24.20 | 308430 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 r}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1496; Processing Method: H230601B SX 901025440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 19.509 | 10825118 | 98.20 | 316809 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 23.490 | 198249 | 1.80 | 6679 |

$(R s)-5 s:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel ID-3 column, $n$-hexane $/ 2-$ propanol $=95: 05(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1806; Processing Method: H230613DP XX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 9.230 | 2605796 | 36.71 | 191229 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 10.261 | 4492220 | 63.29 | 283530 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 5s


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1824; Processing Method: H230616D SX 950525440 ID

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 9.186 | 203648 | 1.10 | 17127 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 9.949 | 18252508 | 98.90 | 865368 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)-5 \mathbf{t}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 2: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $5 \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s)-5 u:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IG-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=80: 20(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result id: 2005; Processing Method: 0628BP XX 5U 802025440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 7.995 | 11714063 | 69.84 | 650327 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 12.860 | 5059252 | 30.16 | 344580 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 u}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result ld: 1998; Processing Method: SX 5U 802025440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 8.186 | 62358 | 1.20 | 5904 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 12.844 | 5117052 | 98.80 | 346247 |






${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)-5 \mathbf{v}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1.5: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 v}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $(R s)-5 \mathrm{w}$ with low diastereoselectivity ( $\mathrm{dr} \sim 1: 1$ ) intentionally prepared using the corresponding enesulfinamide sample with low $Z / E$ ratio

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 w}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$
(No observable presence of the minor diastereomer)
$(R s)-5 x:$ HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IC-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=80: 20(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1890; Processing Method: H0801FP 5Z XX 802025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 29.029 | 2644107 | 19.69 | 61055 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 30.688 | 10783573 | 80.31 | 202690 |

HPLC chromatogram for dr determination of crude $\mathbf{5 x}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1892; Processing Method: H0801HP 5Z SX 802025440 IC

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 28.993 | 296685 | 1.91 | 8444 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 30.376 | 15225628 | 98.09 | 269446 |

(Rs)-5y: HPLC conditions: Daicel Chiralcel IG-3 column, $n$-hexane/2-propanol $=85: 15(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 1814; Processing Method: H230754DP XX 851525440 IG

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 34.537 | 11172218 | 61.48 | 179531 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 37.910 | 6999961 | 38.52 | 100506 |

HPLC chromatogramfor dr determination of crude 5y


Channel: W2489 ChA; Processed Channel: W2489 ChA 254nm; Result Id: 2012; Processing Method: 5Y 85 1525440 IG 95545

Processed Channel Descr.: W2489 ChA 254nm

|  | Processed <br> Channel Descr. | RT | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 34.703 | 2207891 | 4.52 | 56966 |
| 2 | W2489 ChA 254nm | 35.908 | 46612978 | 95.48 | 384710 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(R s, R)-5$ a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(R s, S)-\mathbf{5 a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$;
Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the diastereomer $(S s, R)-5$ a that was used to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $(S s, S)-5 \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$, Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right) \mathbf{5} \mathbf{a h}$ and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right)-\mathbf{5 a h}(\sim 1.4: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine $(R s, 2 S)-\mathbf{1 a h}$ and $(R s, 2 R)$-1ah as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 a h}(\mathrm{dr}=20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right) \mathbf{- 5 a i}$ and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right)-5 \mathbf{a i}(\sim 1.6: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine ( $R s, 2 S$ )-1ai and ( $R s, 2 R$ )-1ai as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of 5ai $(\mathrm{dr}=12: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right) \mathbf{- 5} \mathbf{a j}$ and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right) \mathbf{- 5 a j}(\sim 1.4: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine $(R s, 2 S)$ - $\mathbf{1 a j}$ and $(R s, 2 R)$ - $\mathbf{1 a j}$ as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; note: 5ah and $\mathbf{5 a j}$ is a pair of diastereomers, see Scheme 5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 a j}(\mathrm{dr}>20: 1)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the purified mixture of inseparable diastereomers of $\left(R_{S}, 2 S\right)$-5ak and $\left(R_{S}, 2 R\right) \mathbf{- 5 a k}(\sim 1.5: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ (this low dr sample was intentionally prepared by using $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of $N-t \mathrm{BS}$ ketimine $(R s, 2 S)$-1ak and ( $R s, 2 R$ )-1ak as the starting material in order to identify the diagnostic peak(s) of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; note: 5ai and $\mathbf{5 a k}$ is a pair of diastereomers, see Scheme 5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the crude reaction mixture of $\mathbf{5 a k}(\mathrm{dr} \sim 30: 1)$

## X-Ray crystal structure of the compound $3 z$

The crystal of compound $\mathbf{3 z}$ was grown by the slow evaporation of its solution in acetone/ MeOH at room temperature. X-Ray crystal structure (ORTEP) of compound $\mathbf{3 z}$ with the thermal ellipsoids shown at a $50 \%$ possibility level.


Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{3 z}$

| Identification code | 3z |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Formula weight | 409.57 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P 21 |
| a/Å | 13.7335(11) |
| b/Å | 6.0074(4) |
| c/Å | 14.8867(12) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 112.483(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 1134.84(15) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.199 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.163 |
| F(000) | 440.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.132 to 56.904 |
| Index ranges | $-18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 7,-19 \leq 1 \leq 19$ |
| Reflections collected | 5650 |
| Independent reflections | $5650\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0568, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0407\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5650/1/268 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.045 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0303, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0676$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0418, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0704$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.24/-0.22 |
| Flack parameter | 0.02(2) |

Table S2 Bond Lengths for $3 z$

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | O 2 | $1.4888(15)$ | C 10 | C 11 | $1.394(3)$ |
| S 1 | N 1 | $1.7366(16)$ | C 12 | C 13 | $1.534(3)$ |
| S 1 | C 3 | $1.8380(17)$ | C 12 | C 14 | $1.551(3)$ |
| O 1 | C 18 | $1.227(2)$ | C 12 | C 16 | $1.514(3)$ |
| N 13 | C 5 | $1.277(2)$ | C 14 | C 15 | $1.527(3)$ |
| C 1 | C 3 | $1.530(3)$ | C 16 | C 17 | $1.328(3)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.528(3)$ | C 17 | C 18 | $1.493(3)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | $1.522(3)$ | C 18 | C 19 | $1.491(3)$ |
| C 5 | C 6 | $1.502(2)$ | C 19 | C 20 | $1.403(3)$ |
| C 5 | C 12 | $1.544(2)$ | C 19 | C 24 | $1.396(3)$ |
| C 6 | C 7 | $1.395(3)$ | C 20 | C 21 | $1.385(3)$ |
| C 6 | C 11 | $1.396(3)$ | C 21 | C 22 | $1.396(3)$ |
| C 7 | C 8 | $1.394(3)$ | C 22 | C 23 | $1.388(3)$ |
| C 8 | C 9 | $1.388(3)$ | C 22 | C 25 | $1.510(3)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | $1.388(3)$ | C 23 | C 24 | $1.393(3)$ |

Table S3 Bond Angles for 3z

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | S(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 105.36(8) | C(13) | C(12) | C(5) | 109.41(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | S(1) | C(3) | 106.41(8) | C(13) | C(12) | C(14) | 110.65(16) |
| N(1) | S(1) | C(3) | 93.89(8) | C(16) | C(12) | C(5) | 106.95(14) |
| C(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | S(1) | 118.22(13) | C(16) | C(12) | C(13) | 112.45(15) |
| C(1) | C(3) | S(1) | 105.19(12) | C(16) | C(12) | C(14) | 107.91(16) |
| C(2) | C(3) | S(1) | 107.39(12) | C(15) | C(14) | C(12) | 114.81(16) |
| C(2) | C(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 110.58(16) | C(17) | C(16) | C(12) | 127.17(17) |
| C(4) | C(3) | S(1) | 109.72(12) | C(16) | C(17) | C(18) | 120.41(17) |
| C(4) | C(3) | C(1) | 110.87(16) | O(1) | C(18) | C(17) | 121.02(18) |
| C(4) | C(3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 112.75(16) | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | C(18) | C(19) | 120.76(17) |
| N(1) | C(5) | C(6) | 125.17(16) | C(19) | C(18) | C(17) | 118.17(16) |
| N (1) | C(5) | C(12) | 116.46(16) | C(20) | C(19) | C(18) | 118.18(16) |
| C(6) | C(5) | C(12) | 118.31(14) | C(24) | C(19) | C(18) | 122.98(17) |
| C(7) | C(6) | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119.33(16) | C(24) | C(19) | C(20) | 118.83(17) |
| C(7) | C(6) | C (11) | 119.68(17) | C (21) | C(20) | C(19) | 120.28(17) |
| C(11) | C(6) | C(5) | 120.98(16) | C(20) | C(21) | C(22) | 121.09(18) |
| C(8) | C(7) | C(6) | 120.31(17) | C(21) | C(22) | C(25) | 120.66(17) |
| C(9) | C(8) | C (7) | 119.95(17) | C(23) | C(22) | C(21) | 118.40(17) |
| C(8) | C(9) | C(10) | 119.85(17) | C(23) | C(22) | C(25) | 120.93(17) |
| C(9) | C(10) | C(11) | 120.66(17) | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | C(23) | C(24) | 121.23(18) |
| C(10) | C(11) | C(6) | 119.55(17) | C(23) | C(24) | C(19) | 120.13(18) |
| C(5) | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | C(14) | 109.36(14) |  |  |  |  |

## X-Ray crystal structure of the compound ( $R s, S$ )-5a

The crystal of (Rs,S)-5a was grown by the slow evaporation of its solution in dichloromethane/petroleum ether at room temperature. X-Ray crystal structure (ORTEP) of compound (Rs, S)-5a with the thermal ellipsoids shown at a $50 \%$ possibility level.


Table S4 Crystal data and structure refinement for (Rs, $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-5a

| Identification code | ( Rs, S)-5a |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ |
| Formula weight | 316.45 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 10.0440(6) |
| b/Å | 15.6224(10) |
| c/Å | 21.9085(14) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 3437.7(4) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.223 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.192 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1360.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.2$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.462 to 56.642 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 20,-28 \leq 1 \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 46595 |
| Independent reflections | $8541\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0586, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0419\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8541/0/407 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.033 |
| Final R indexes [I $>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0339, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0707$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0433, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0752$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.22/-0.23 |
| Flack parameter | -0.02(2) |

Table S5 Bond Lengths for (Rs, S)-5a

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | O1 | 1.4859(16) | S2 | O 2 | 1.4884(17) |
| S1 | N1 | 1.7355(18) | S2 | N3 | 1.7347(18) |
| S1 | C15 | 1.838(2) | S2 | C33 | 1.840(2) |
| N1 | C7 | 1.278(3) | N3 | C25 | 1.279(3) |
| N2 | C14 | 1.149(3) | N4 | C32 | 1.148(3) |
| C1 | C2 | 1.391(3) | C19 | C20 | 1.391(3) |
| C1 | C6 | 1.399(3) | C19 | C24 | 1.401(3) |
| C1 | C7 | 1.505(3) | C19 | C25 | 1.504(3) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.393(3) | C20 | C21 | 1.391(3) |
| C3 | C4 | 1.381(3) | C21 | C22 | 1.380(3) |
| C4 | C5 | 1.387(3) | C22 | C23 | 1.384(3) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.387(3) | C23 | C24 | $1.389(3)$ |
| C7 | C8 | 1.533(3) | C25 | C26 | 1.538(3) |
| C8 | C9 | 1.534(3) | C26 | C27 | $1.533(3)$ |
| C8 | C10 | 1.551(3) | C26 | C28 | $1.556(3)$ |
| C8 | C12 | 1.532(3) | C26 | C30 | 1.531(3) |
| C10 | C11 | 1.528(3) | C28 | C29 | 1.527(3) |
| C12 | C13 | 1.327(3) | C30 | C31 | 1.327(3) |
| C12 | C14 | 1.446(3) | C30 | C32 | 1.446 (3) |
| C15 | C16 | 1.522(3) | C33 | C34 | 1.528(3) |
| C15 | C17 | 1.527(3) | C33 | C35 | 1.523(3) |
| C15 | C18 | 1.528(3) | C33 | C36 | 1.529(3) |

Table S6 Bond Angles for (Rs, S)-5a

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | S1 | N1 | 108.38(9) | O 2 | S2 | N3 | 106.12(10) |
| O1 | S1 | C15 | 106.86(10) | O2 | S2 | C33 | 107.11(10) |
| N1 | S1 | C15 | 94.15(9) | N3 | S2 | C33 | 95.03(9) |
| C7 | N1 | S1 | 116.72(14) | C25 | N3 | S2 | 116.75(15) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | 119.70(19) | C20 | C19 | C24 | 118.90(19) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | 120.18(18) | C20 | C19 | C25 | 121.16(18) |
| C6 | C1 | C7 | 120.03(18) | C24 | C19 | C25 | 119.95(18) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 120.0(2) | C21 | C20 | C19 | 120.2(2) |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | 120.2(2) | C22 | C21 | C20 | 120.5(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 119.9(2) | C21 | C22 | C23 | 119.9(2) |
| C6 | C5 | C4 | 120.6(2) | C22 | C23 | C24 | 120.0(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C1 | 119.6(2) | C23 | C24 | C19 | 120.4(2) |
| N1 | C7 | C1 | 123.89(19) | N3 | C25 | C19 | 125.04(19) |
| N1 | C7 | C8 | 117.10(17) | N3 | C25 | C26 | 116.66(18) |
| C1 | C7 | C8 | 118.99(18) | C19 | C25 | C26 | 118.29(17) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | 110.17(17) | C25 | C26 | C28 | 107.91(17) |
| C7 | C8 | C10 | 108.32(16) | C27 | C26 | C25 | 110.27(17) |
| C9 | C8 | C10 | 110.05(17) | C27 | C26 | C28 | 109.98(18) |
| C12 | C8 | C7 | 108.57(17) | C30 | C26 | C25 | 108.48(17) |
| C12 | C8 | C9 | 109.95(17) | C30 | C26 | C27 | 110.97(18) |
| C12 | C8 | C10 | 109.74(17) | C30 | C26 | C28 | 109.16(17) |
| C11 | C10 | C8 | 113.32(18) | C29 | C28 | C26 | 114.25(19) |
| C13 | C12 | C8 | 124.84(19) | C31 | C30 | C26 | 126.1(2) |
| C13 | C12 | C14 | 117.7(2) | C31 | C30 | C32 | 117.3(2) |
| C14 | C12 | C8 | 117.44(18) | C32 | C30 | C26 | 116.59(18) |
| N2 | C14 | C12 | 178.0(3) | N4 | C32 | C30 | 177.1(2) |
| C16 | C15 | S1 | 107.69(15) | C34 | C33 | S2 | 104.17(14) |
| C16 | C15 | C17 | 112.67(18) | C34 | C33 | C36 | 110.50(18) |
| C16 | C15 | C18 | 110.99(19) | C35 | C33 | S2 | 110.21(15) |
| C17 | C15 | S1 | 109.66(15) | C35 | C33 | C34 | 111.57(18) |
| C17 | C15 | C18 | 110.96(18) | C35 | C33 | C36 | 112.54(18) |
| C18 | C15 | S1 | 104.49(14) | C36 | C33 | S2 | 107.44(15) |


[^0]:    
    (Ss, S)-3a
    (S)-N-((S,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-

    2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(S_{S}, S\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure
    A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(S_{S}, Z\right)-\mathbf{1 a}(26.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a ( 26.6 mg , $0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent) afforded $\left(S_{S}, S\right)$-3a as a light yellow oil ( $37.9 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

[^1]:    ( $R$ )- $N$-((S,1Z,3E)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-5-oxo-1,5-diphenylpent-3-en-1-ylidene)-
    
     (Rs, S)-3a

    2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide $\left(\left(R_{S}, S\right)\right.$-3a): According to the general procedure A, reaction was performed using enesulfinamide $\left(R_{S}, E\right) \mathbf{- 1 a}(26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2a

[^2]:    

