## **Supporting Information**

## Improved performance of Cr(VI)-reducing microbial fuel cells by

## nano-FeS hybridized biocathodes

Xinglei Zhuang<sup>1</sup>, Shien Tang<sup>1</sup>, Weiliang Dong, Fengxue Xin, Honghua Jia, Xiayuan

Wu\*

College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University,

Nanjing 211816, China

## \*Corresponding author:

Xiayuan Wu

Nanjing Tech University, No.30 Puzhu Road(S), Nanjing, 211816, Jiangsu, P.R. China

**Tel./Fax:** +86 25 58139929

E-mail: wuxiayuan@njtech.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup>These authors contributed equally to the article.

FIGURES (3)

TABLES (4)

PAGES (8)



**Fig. S1** The TEM (a) and SEM (b) images of produced precipitates in the Fe+S MFC for electrode biofilm fabrication.



Fig. S2 The XPS spectra (a:  $Fe_{2p3/2}$ ; b:  $S_2p$ ) and XRD pattern (c) of produced precipitates in the Fe+S MFC for electrode biofilm fabrication.

Group	FeS	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeS <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Before Cr(VI) removal	32.53%	21.69%	42.91%	2.87%
After Cr(VI) removal	27.36%	35.07%	23.78%	13.79%

**Table S1** The distribution (Wt%) of different valence Fe compounds.

Group	S(IV)	S(0)	S(-I)	S(-II)
Before Cr(VI) removal	24.15%	32.15%	31.33%	12.37%
After Cr(VI) removal	63.16%	26.11%	8.12%	2.61%

**Table S2** Valence distribution (Wt%) of S element.

Valence state of element	Wt(%)
Cr(VI)	23.03%
Cr(III)	69.68%
Cr(0)	7.29%

 Table S3 Valence distribution (Wt%) of Cr element.



Fig. S3 The XPS spectra of the Control electrode biofilm after Cr(VI) removal.

biofilms after Cr(VI) removal.						
Group	ΟΤυ	ACE	Chao1	Shannon	Simpson	
Control	254	404.1156	342.0286	3.0915	0.0802	
Fe	212	256.1966	255.3846	3.2939	0.0625	
S	171	225.6967	213.0000	1.7742	0.3478	
Fe+S	275	288.3727	288.5938	2.9606	0.1015	

Table S4 The  $\alpha$ -diversity indices of microbial community in different electrode