

17 **\*** Corresponding author, Email: [nair@isah.uni-hannover.de,](mailto:nair@isah.uni-hannover.de) [rahulgenesis@hotmail.com](mailto:rahulgenesis@hotmail.com)

18 **In memory of Professor Dr. Peter Behrens** 

# Contents



## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**1. Properties of walnut shell powder**

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>**1.1 Particle size distribution**

The measurement was carried out using a static approach with PowderShape FH with Add-on FibreShape (IST Innovative Scan Technologies AG, Flensburg, Germany). Before the measurement the sample container is slightly shaken so that the particles are uniformly distributed within the container. Afterwards, a small amount of the powder is distributed on a A4 scanner and measured with a resolution of 1600 dpi. The number of measured objects (particles) was 68,761. The area occupied by the objects was 5.4% of the scanner area.

### <span id="page-1-2"></span>**1.2 Density and thermal conductivity**

The thermal conductivity of walnut shell powder is  $\lambda$  = 79.82 mw m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) at 40 °C, and was estimated by Lambda-Messtechnik GmbH (Dresden, Germany) using lambda-Meter EP500e according to EN 1946-2. The density of walnut shell powder is  $p = 511.32$  kg m<sup>3</sup>.



Figure S1: Three-point thermal conductivity λ (mW/m·K) measurement at temperatures of 10, 25 and 40 °C according to EN 1946-2.  $\lambda = f(T) = 0.1593 * T + 73.46$ , where T is temperature of the walnut shell powder in °C

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**1.3 Specific heat capacities**

The specific heat capacities of biomass  $c_{p,b}$  (J/(g·K)) and char  $c_{p,c}$  (J/(g·K)) were evaluated using a (Erich Netzsch GmbH & Co. Holding KG, Germany) with sample mass of  $2 \pm 0.2$  mg in Aluminum Concavus pans with lids. Their temperature-dependent relationship is shown in [Figure](#page-2-1) S2.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>Figure S2: The temperature (T) dependence of specific heat capacities of walnut shell (WS) powder  $c_{p,b}$  (J/(g·K)) of and its biochar  $c_{p,c}$  (J/(g·K)) evaluated using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The particle size distribution and bulk density of WS are 129  $\pm$  115 µm, 511.32 kg/m $^3$ , respectively.

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2. Results**

## <span id="page-3-1"></span>**2.1 Thermal recalcitrance**



Figure S3: Thermal recalcitrance (expressed as mass loss ratio) of the walnut shell biochars prepared at different mass scales (mg) in the TG reactor expressed as mass loss ratio (M) between 150 and 1050 °C. The scale of 25 mg seems to be an outlier.

#### <span id="page-3-2"></span>**2.2 Raman spectra**



Figure S4: Unprocessed Raman spectra of biochar derived from walnut shells at different scales in a Thermogravimetric reactor. Note: 10\_scl, 25\_scl etc. stands for the mass scale of 10 mg, 25 mg, respectively.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**2.3 Pore size distribution**



Figure S5: Pore size distribution of the walnut shell biochar derived at different scales (scl) evaluated using Ar physisorption (using method of HS-2DNLDFT). Note: 10\_scl, 25\_scl etc. stands for the mass scale of 10 mg, 25 mg, respectively.



Figure S6: Concentration of elements (mg/g) present in Walnut shell biochar prepared at different mass scales between 10 mg (10\_scl) and 585 mg (585 \_scl) in TG reactor.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**2.4 Slow pyrolysis of biomass**



Figure S7: Biochar yield (%) during the slow pyrolysis of walnut shell (WS) powder (0.2mm, 5 mg) and banana peduncle (BP), (0.2mm, 10 mg) at heating rates between 3 to 100 °C in a TG reactor. The highest treatment temperature is 650 °C. The pyrolysis of BP is used for comparison of cellulose-rich to a lignin-rich feedstock such as WS.



Figure S8: Thermograms – weight (%), rate of change in weight, DTG, (%/min) and heat flow (W/g) – and conversions (α) of walnut shell powder (5 mm) during pyrolysis at 6 different heating rates without any lid covering the crucible.



Figure S9: Thermograms – weight (%), rate of weight change, DTG, (%/min) and heat flow (W/g) – and conversions (α) of walnut shell powder (5 mg) during pyrolysis (in TG reactor) at 6 different heating rates with a pierced lid covering the crucible.



Figure S10: Conversion (α) dependent activation energy,  $E_{\alpha}$ , (kJ/kg) pre-exponential factor (ln A), Gibbs free energy,  $\Delta G_{\alpha}$ , (kJ/kg) evaluated from the kinetic analysis during the slow pyrolysis of walnut



shell powder using 70 μl alumina crucibles that have open-lid and pierced-lid configurations. The changes in crucible temperature with α (bottom right) is also shown for reference.

Figure S11: Heat flow (W/g) vs reference temperature, T<sub>r</sub>, (°C) during the pyrolysis of walnut shell powder (5 mg) in a TG reactor under the pure kinetic regime in alumina crucibles with and without pierced-lid.  $Q_r$  is the heat of reaction and  $Q_{\text{rad}}$  is the radiative heat flow between the char and furnace in crucibles having no lid. Negative heat flow is endothermic in nature.

500

 $T_r$  (°C)

600

700

800

900

1000

 $-15$ 

 $-20$ 

100

200

300

400



Figure S12: Evolution profile (non-normalized) of CO for the walnut shell pyrolysis (25 to 650 °C at heating rate 20 °C/min) using different sample mass scales (scl) plotted against time. Note: 10\_scl, 25\_scl etc. stands for the mass scale of 10 mg, 25 mg, respectively.



Figure S13: Evolution profile (non-normalized) of CH<sub>4</sub> for the walnut shell pyrolysis (25 to 650 °C at heating rate 20 °C/min) using different sample mass scales (scl) plotted against time. Note: 10\_scl, 25\_scl etc. stands for mass scale of 10 mg, 25 mg, respectively.



Figure S14: Heat flow, Q<sub>DSC</sub>, (kJ/kg) during the synthesis of WS biochar (left-Y) at different mass scales (in mg) during analytical pyrolysis in a thermogravimetric reactor using alumina crucibles without lid (open) and pierced-lid. The change in substrate height (right-Y) with the increase in mass scales is also shown. Negative  $Q_{DSC}$  denotes endothermicity (heat required).