Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

### **Supplementary Information (SI)**

Efficient noble metal promoted bimetallic Cobalt Catalysts in the selective Synthesis of Acetaldehydedimethylacetale K. A. Sheikh<sup>[a],[b]</sup>, T. A. Zevaco<sup>[a]</sup>, J. Jelic<sup>[a]</sup>, F. Studt<sup>[a]</sup>, M. Bender<sup>[b]</sup> [a]Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), [b]BASF SE

#### **Standard procedure for catalyst screening:**

1 g of catalyst was weighed into the autoclave under inert gas flow. The autoclave was closed and filled with argon to 2 bar and released, this process was repeated three times. After that the HiBatch receipt was started. Generally, the receipt starts after the user admits to have performed all precautions before starting the experiment. Like opening the pressure equalisation valve for the methanol container, opening manual gas inlet valves for  $CO$ ,  $H<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Ar$ , and opening the gas cylinders. After that the counters for the amount dosed of  $CO$  and  $H_2$  are resetted for all three reactors. Followed by the adjustment of the multivalve into the Position 1 (for reactor 1). The Multiportvalve controls the flow of the solvent to each of the reactor. After adjusting it, the liquid dosing starts (User has to give amount of methanol needed). Simultaneously the gas dosing starts, where the user has to give the maximum pressure value, stirrer speed (and ramp) and the needed mixture of  $H_2$  and CO. After that the Mass-Flow Controllers (MFC) for  $H_2$  and CO are started. All setpoints for the MFCs are reached with a ramp. This prevents overshooting of the MFC. Still we dose the mixture into the fume hood until the MFCs are in a steady state. After that the user has to give the permission and the valves towards the fume hood close and the valve towards the reactor open and the gas dosing starts. The gas dosing can be controlled with the total amount of  $CO/H<sub>2</sub>$  dosed (in ml) or with the total pressure needed (in bar). All runs in this study where performed with the total pressure variant. After the end of liquid dosing the receipt automatically switches to the next reactor. After the end of gas dosing the system automatically stops the dosing, switches of the MFCs, closes the valves and starts the heating procedure (Reactor inlet temperature regulation and Jacket temperature regulation is possible).

### **PASCAR plant:**

The plant is controlled with 21 pneumatic valves. This allows a separate dosing of CO and  $H_2$ into each reactor. Additionally one Argon MFC is used for the purging of the reactors with intert gas. It can also be used as a pressure control. As the use of reactant gas reduces the reaction pressure, fresh synthesis gas  $(CO/H<sub>2</sub>)$  or inert gas can be dosed into the system to keep the pressure of all reactors constant all throughout the experiments. Most experiments are run for 24 h or 45 h. A mechanical stirrer can stir the mixture up to 1200 RPM. Inlet pressures of the gases and the reactor pressures are monitored. The inlet temperature and the mantle temperature is recorded separately. Both temperatures can be regulated. Furthermore hexane, dimethoxymethane, methanol and other solvents can easily exchanged and dosed with two available HPLC. Therefore also mixtures of these solvents can be dosed. The catalyst have to be introduced before closing the reactors. Liquid catalysts or catalyst solutions can also be dosed with the HPLC pump. Beside liquids, gaseous compounds can be dosed in total amounts. Therefore, one gaseous compound can be dosed and the mixture heated to the desired temperature, after reaching the temperature the second (reactive) gaseous compound is dosed into the reactor, which marks the starting point of the reaction. For slower reactions starting points can be marked by the time the reaction temperature is reached.



*Figure S1:* Picture of the PASCAR plant showing all three reactors.



Figure S2: Close up picture of one reactor of the PASCAR plant and the sample loop.

## **ICP-OES Results of the recycling runs**

Table S1: Results of the ICP measurements of the methanolic solutions after the reaction. The values in the brackets show *the cobalt concentration on the second run.*



Table S2: Results of the time resolved measurements of the cobalt leaching into the methanolic solution in the run with PtCo *on Al2O3.*



## **GC Method:**





Holdup Time 1.4781 min

Post Run 1 mL/min

Control Mode<br>
Constant Flow<br>
(Initial) 2.5568 mL/min

 $2.5568$  mL/min

## **Column #2**



# **Front Detector FID**



===

===  $=$ 

## Column(s)

 $=$ 

Column Description : DB-WAX Ultra I Inventory# : autoID-2<br>Model# : 123-7033U  $: 123-7033$ UI<br> $:$  Agilent Manufacturer Diameter :  $320.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ <br>Length :  $30.0 \text{ }\text{m}$  $\therefore$  30.0 m Film thickness :  $0.50 \mu m$ <br>Void time :  $1.478 \text{ min}$  $\therefore$  1.478 min Maximum Temperature: 240.0 °C

Comment :

Column Description : FS. Deactivate Inventory# : autoID-3 Model# : Manufacturer : Agilent Diameter :  $250.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ Length :  $2.5 \text{ m}$ Film thickness : 0.00  $\mu$ m Void time : 0.034 min Maximum Temperature: 240.0 °C Comment :



Figure S3: Exemplary chromatogram of a cobalt catalysed homologation reaction at 90 °C. RT 1.819 min = Dimethylether. RT 2.190 min = Acetaldehyde. RT 2.799 min = Acetaldehyde dimethylacetale. RT 3.026 min = Methylacetate.



Figure S4: Picture of the gas chromatograph (right) and the PolyArc Reactor (left).

# **XRD-Diffractograms**



Figure S5: XRD-diffractograms of all tested heterogeneous catalysts on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in calcined and reduced state.



*Figure S6: XRD-diffractograms of all tested heterogeneous catalysts on CeO<sup>2</sup> in calcined and reduced state.*

#### **Density functional theory**

As a first step we compared DFT calculated and experimental enthalpies for the gas phase reactions and find good agreement:

 $CO + 2 CH<sub>3</sub>OH \rightarrow CH<sub>3</sub>COOCH<sub>3</sub> (Methylacetate) + H<sub>2</sub>O \rightarrow \Delta$ Hexp= -142.6 kJ/mol  $DFT = -140.736$  kJ/mol

 $CO + CH<sub>3</sub>OH + H<sub>2</sub> \longrightarrow CH<sub>3</sub>CHO$  (acetaldehyde) + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow \Delta$ Hexp= -96.5 kJ/mol  $DFT = -99.456$  kJ/mol

As a second step. we calculated reaction enthalpy for the hydrogenation reaction of dicobalt octacarbonyl to two cobalt tetracarbonyl hydrides  $HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub>$  for different GGA+U values (0. 4. 5. 6) and find the *respective values of* 36.2. 26.1. 24.4 and 22.6  $k$ *J/mol.* Calculated reaction enthalpy for U= 4 compares well with the experimental values provided in NIST Chemistry WebBook (13.4 - 27.6 kJ/mol) as well as the value obtained in the work in [Angew. Chem. 2014. 126. 8816 –8820].

In the calculation of the Gibbs free energy reaction diagrams.  $H_2$ . CO. CH<sub>4</sub> as well as all cobalt carbonyl species were treated as gas phase species while  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ . H<sub>2</sub>O. AA and MeOAc as liquid phase by using S values for the liquid phase and by correcting enthalpy value for the liquid-gas difference obtained from the NIST Chemistry WebBook. Total energies. zero point energy correction energies. cpdT and S values are provided in the Table S1 in the SI.

All of the Figures discussed in the DFT part of the main text can be found here. The Figure 4 of the main text was separated into three different Figures for better understanding. these separated Figures are shown in the supporting information Figures S10-S12.



Figure S7: Reaction energy diagram for the CH<sub>3</sub>OH activation and CH<sub>3</sub>Co(CO)<sub>3</sub> formation on HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> at T= 423.15 K



Figure S8: Reaction energy diagram for the CH<sub>3</sub>OH protonation and CH<sub>3</sub>Co(CO)<sub>3</sub> formation on HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> at T= 423.15 K. Blue *arrow shows the reduction of the barrier by increasing the number of methanol molecules.*



Figure S9: Reaction energy diagram for the disproportionation start reaction in  $2xCo(CO)_{4}/Co(CH_{3}OH)_{6}$ .



Figure S10: Reaction energy diagram for AA formation on HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> at T= 423.15 K. p(CO)=20 bar. p(H<sub>2</sub>) = 60 bar.



Figure S11: Reaction energy diagram for MeOAc formation on HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> at T= 423.15 K. p(CO)=20 bar. p(H<sub>2</sub>) = 60 bar.



Figure S12: Reaction energy diagram for CH<sub>4</sub> formation on HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> at T= 423.15 K. p(CO)=20 bar. p(H<sub>2</sub>) = 60 bar.

*Table S3: DFT calculated total energies (eV). zero point energy (eV). cpdT (eV) and S (eV/T) values.*

	Ε	<b>ZPE</b>	$\text{cpd}$	
CO(g)	$-12.07474556$	0.137	0.108	0.002117
H <sub>2</sub> O(1)	-12.80978117	0.582	0.122	0.00072865
	$-0.2846$			
$H_2(g)$	$-7.16240358$	0.279	0.125	0.001454
CH <sub>3</sub> OH(1)	$-27.74244005$	1.380	0.172	0.001325
	$-0.2648$			

