# Binding Characteristics of Pyrrole-scaffold Hepatitis B Virus Capsid Inhibitors and Identification of Novel Potent Compounds

Tanachote Ruengsatra<sup>1</sup>, Arthitaya Meeprasert<sup>1</sup>, Eakkaphon Rattanangkool<sup>1</sup>, Sirikan Deesiri<sup>1</sup>, Jakkrit Srisa<sup>1</sup>, Udomsak Udomnilobol<sup>1</sup>, Wilasinee Dunkoksung<sup>1</sup>, Natthaya Chuaypen<sup>1,2</sup>, Rattanaporn Kiatbumrung<sup>1,2</sup>, Pisit Tangkijvanich<sup>1,2</sup>, Sornkanok Vimolmangkang<sup>3</sup>, Khanitha Pudhom<sup>4</sup>, and Thomayant Prueksaritanont<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Chulalongkorn University Drug Discovery and Drug Development Research Center (Chula4DR), Chulalongkorn University, 254 Phayathai Rd., Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

<sup>2</sup>Center of Excellence in Hepatitis and Liver Cancer, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy and Pharmaceutical Botany; and <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, 1873 Rama 4 Rd, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

\*Corresponding author. Email address: thomayant.p@pharm.chula.ac.th



**Figure S1.** (Left) RMSDs for the heavy atom compared to their starting structures of the HBV Cp dimer complexed with A-B) GLP-26, C-D) JNJ-6379, E-F) NVR 3-778, G-H) NVR 010-001-E2, and I-J) GLS-4 (black = global structure, red = chain B backbone, green = chain C backbone, and blue = ligand). (Right) RMSFs of alpha-carbons in the HBV Cp dimer (black = chain B, red = chain C) complexed with A-B) GLP-26, C-D) JNJ-6379, E-F) NVR 3-778, G-H) NVR 010-001-E2, and I-J) GLS-4. Two independent simulations are illustrated for each protein-ligand complex.



**Figure S2.**  $\Delta G^{residue}_{bind}$  of the HBV Cp dimer complexed with A) GLP-26, B) JNJ-6379, C) NVR 3-778, D) NVR 010-001-E2, and E) GLS-4.



**Figure S3.** ESP surface of five representative ligand-HBV capsid protein (chain C) complexes (red surface = positive, blue surface = negative). The black rectangle highlights the electronegative atom of the ligands that contacted the positive ESP surface (residues  $W125_C$ ,  $R133_C$ , and  $P134_C$ ).



Figure S4. The predicted conformation of CU01-03 and CU05 in HBV capsid dimer interface



**Figure S5**. Effects of representative compound CU14 on HBV capsid assembly, determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC, A) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, B).

Residues	GLP-26		JNJ-6379		NVR 3-778		NVR 010-001-E2		GLS-4		Dinding region
	Nonpolar	Polar	Nonpolar	Polar	Nonpolar	Polar	Nonpolar	Polar	Nonpolar	Polar	Dinuling region
P25 <sub>B</sub>	-1.288	0.117	-1.086	0.104	-1.025	0.169	-1.715	0.104	-2.064	0.092	L1
W102 <sub>B</sub>	-1.320	-1.439	-1.783	-0.857	-1.499	-0.747	-2.323	-0.977	-2.269	-1.155	L1-L2
I105 <sub>B</sub>	-0.674	0.053	-0.682	0.164	-0.736	-0.231	-1.040	-0.113	-1.141	-0.053	L1
F110 <sub>B</sub>	-1.539	0.155	-1.724	0.385	-1.839	0.306	-1.347	0.221	-1.372	0.251	L2
<b>S121</b> <sub>C</sub>	-1.105	0.021	-0.908	0.425	-1.131	0.425	-0.605	0.344	-0.369	0.233	L3
V124 <sub>C</sub>	-2.625	0.055	-2.232	0.246	-2.839	-0.177	-3.373	-0.023	-3.427	0.062	L1
W125 <sub>C</sub>	-1.630	-0.285	-1.584	-0.024	-1.843	0.102	-1.389	-0.029	-1.321	-0.054	L3
T128 <sub>C</sub>	-2.753	-1.107	-2.152	-1.726	-2.337	-2.581	-2.356	-0.037	-2.167	0.072	L1-L2
R133 <sub>C</sub>	-0.743	0.315	-1.107	-0.533	-1.013	-0.565	-0.815	0.183	-1.022	0.112	L3
P134 <sub>C</sub>	-1.536	0.218	-1.114	0.114	-0.984	0.059	-0.981	0.086	-0.942	0.055	L3
I139 <sub>B</sub>	-1.293	-0.339	-1.206	-0.606	-0.571	0.025	-0.900	0.094	-0.758	0.120	L3
L140 <sub>B</sub>	-3.075	-1.617	-2.719	-1.160	-2.704	1.254	-2.567	0.671	-2.594	0.738	L2-L3
S141 <sub>B</sub>	-0.920	0.311	-0.768	0.671	-0.915	-0.115	-0.166	0.149	-0.161	0.164	L3
T142 <sub>B</sub>	-0.472	0.033	-0.467	0.219	-0.199	-1.251	-0.028	0.059	-0.040	0.061	L3
W125 <sub>c</sub> , R133 <sub>C</sub> , and	-3.91	0.25	-3.81	-0.443	-3.84	-0.40	-3.19	0.24	-3.29	0.113	L3 small pocket
P134 <sub>C</sub>											

**Table S1.**  $\Delta G^{residue}_{bind}$  (kcal/mol) of the essential binding residues in HBV Cp dimer in complexation with five representative inhibitors.

Polar ( $\Delta E_{ele} + \Delta G_{polar}$ ) and nonpolar ( $\Delta E_{vdW} + \Delta G_{nonpolar}$ ) energy contributions were analyzed for selected residues.

#### **METABOLITE IDENTIFICATION**

#### Identification of metabolic soft spots using high resolution mass spectrometry

The metabolite identification was conducted using the AB Sciex X500B Q-TOF LC-MS/MS system. The samples were chromatographically separated using the Exion LC AD100 system with a Phenomenex Kinetex® C-18, 1.7 µm, 50×2.1 mm analytical column. The mobile phases consisted of water and acetonitrile, both fortified with 0.1% formic acid, and were used as phase A and B, respectively. The flow rate was set at 0.4 mL/min using a 2-step linear gradient from 2% to 65% B for 4 min, followed by ramping to 95% for 0.5 min. The Turbo V<sup>TM</sup> ion source was operated in the electrospray positive ionization mode under following conditions: curtain gas at 40 psi, heating gas 1 at 40 psi, nebulizing gas 2 at 40 psi, temperature at 450 °C, ion spray voltage floating at 4.5 kV, and declustering potential at 80 V. Mass calibration was performed every 5 sample injections using a standard calibration solution. The TOF MS scan and product ion (MS/MS) spectra were acquired for the m/z range 50-800.

Dynamic background subtraction and the mass defect filter (MDF) function were enabled. The MDF window was set at  $\pm 40$  mDa, and the mass range was set as  $\pm 40$  Da around the m/z value of the parent ion. An information-dependent data acquisition (IDA) method was used for triggering MS/MS acquisition for the top 6 intense ions using CE spread at  $35\pm15$  V. The data were processed and interpreted using SCIEX OS version 1.6 and MetabolitePilot<sup>TM</sup> version 2.0 software (AB SCIEX, MA, USA).

### Ion chromatograms of parent CU02 and its metabolites



Structures and fragmentation spectra of parent CU02 and its metabolites





Ion chromatograms of parent CU03 and its metabolites



Structures and fragmentation spectra of parent CU03 and its metabolites





# NMR SPECTRUM OF SYNTHESIZED COMPOUNDS - 11.2082 7.5202 7.5072 7.4998 7.4868 2.2447 2.1853 2.0334 6.4709 6.4590 6.4590 5.8470 5.8416 5.8373 5.8373 5.8373 3.9315 3.7870 3.6503 3.23443.1368 0.93<del>.</del>T 0.45 4.13 0.48 0.48 0 0.93H **H**60.9 1.00-1 1.00-0.32/-3.16/ 0.66/ 0.80 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.6 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 f1(ppm) 2.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 2.5 2.0 3.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0 9.0 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of CU01 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 136.2572 136.1223 136.1223 135.1223 135.6646 135.8644 133.7649 134.1801 135.1801 135 160.3788 151.5934 151.5934 151.5109 151.5109 151.4717 151.6109 149.6093 149.6093 149.5317 $-\frac{108.0818}{104.0977}$ $\angle 103.8985$ - 172.3221 20.3378 49.7018 49.6008 46.6385 45.7749 - 113.2662 - 13.0115

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU01 (DMSO- $d_6$ )

100 f1 (ppm) 90

70

80

50

60

40

30

20

10

110

120

190

180

170

160

150

140 130

00



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU02 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of CU03 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU03 (DMSO- $d_6$ )







<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU04 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU05 (DMSO- $d_6$ )







<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU06 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of CU07 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU07 (DMSO- $d_6$ )







<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU08 (DMSO- $d_6$ )



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU09 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)









## <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU10 (DMSO- $d_6$ )















<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU12 (Acetone- $d_6$ )







<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU13 (Acetone- $d_6$ )



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU14 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU15 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CU16 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)