Supporting information

N-doped CNTs Wrapped Sulfur-Loaded Hierarchical Porous Carbon Cathode for for Li-Sulfur Battery Studies

Arunakumari Nulu, Venugopal Nulu, Keun Yong Sohn*

Department of Nanoscience and Engineering, Center for Nano Manufacturing, Inje University, 197 Inje-ro, Gimhae, Gyeongnam-do 50834, Republic of Korea

*Corresponding author, E-mail address: ksohn@inje.ac.kr



Fig. S1. XRD patterns (a) CNT and N-doped CNTs (b) SHPC and SHPC 6535.



Fig. S2. Elemental analysis mapping by SEM-EDX of SHPC-NCNT (a) SEM image (b) EDX element layered Image (c) S mapping (d) C mapping (e) N-mapping (f) Corresponding SEM-EDX spectra of SHPC-NCNT.



Fig. S3. Cyclic voltammograms (a) First cycles of SHPC and SHPC-NCNT (b) SHPC-6535.



Fig. S4. Specific capacity vs. voltage plots for the first three cycles (a) SHPC at 0.2 C (b) SHPC-



NCNT at 0.2 C.

Fig. S5. Cylability results of SHPC6535, SHPC8515, and SNCNT8515 materials.



Fig. S6. Specific capacity vs. voltage plots for the first three cycles (a) SHPC at 0.5 C (b) SHPC-



NCNT at 0.5 C.

Fig. S7. EIS Spectra of SHPC and SHPC-NCNT electrodes (b) after 10 cycles (c) after 50 cycles.

Table S1. Comparison of electrochemical performance of biomass-derived carbons and other porous carbons as sulfur cathode host.

Host material	Applied	Cycle life	Specific capacity	Capacity	Ref.				
	current		after cycling	retention					
				(vs. 2 nd					
				cycle)					
Biomass-derived carbon hosts									
Nano-porous carbon beads	0.1 C	100	480 mAh g ⁻¹	~70.5%	1				
Porous ramie	0.1 C	500	812 mAh g ⁻¹	~62.0%	2				
Carbon/MWCNT									
Honeycomb-derived N-	1 C	500	350 mAh g ⁻¹	~69.0%	3				
doped hierarchical porous									
carbon									
Mango-stone-derived	800 mA g ⁻¹	500	526 mAh g ⁻¹	~61.8%	4				
porous carbon									
Rice straw-derived CoO-	1 C	800	412 mAh g ⁻¹	~46.0%	5				
embedded porous carbon									
host									
biomass silkworm feces	3 C	1000	641 mAh g ⁻¹	~54.0%	6				
derived porous carbon									
Corncob-derived activated	0.3 C	200	799 mAh g ⁻¹	~60.9%	7				
carbon									
Hair-derived porous carbon	0.5 C	300	870 mAh g ⁻¹	~82.0%	8				
waste tea-based porous	0.05 C	100	627 mAh g ⁻¹	~59.7%	9				
carbons									
Yam derived carbon	1 C	450	401 mAh g ⁻¹	~48.6%	10				

Other porous carbon hosts							
Multi porous carbon	200 mA g-1	70	500 mAh g ⁻¹	~35.7%	11		
N-doped porous carbon	0.5 C	400	571 mAh g ⁻¹	~71.7%	12		
G/CNT hybrids	1 C	100	530 mAh g ⁻¹	~58.8%	13		
Porous carbon	0.2 C	200	446 mAh g ⁻¹	~55.7%	14		
N-doped porous carbon	0.1 C	239	502 mAh g ⁻¹	~54.2%	15		
Interconnected Micro/meso	0.1 C	100	700 mAh g ⁻¹	~57.3%	16		
porous carbon							
This work	0.2 C	150	664 mAh g ⁻¹	74.8%			
Spent coffee waste-derived					This work		
hierarchical porous carbon	0.5 C	150	532 mAh g ⁻¹	73.9%			
(HPC)/ N-MWCNT							

References:

- S. Choudhury, B. Krüner, P. M. Balleste, A. Tolosa, C. Prehal, I. Grobelsek, O.Paris, L. Borchardt, V. Presser, J Power Sources, 2017, 357, 198–208.
- L. Han, Z. Li, Y. Feng, L. Wang, B. Li, Z. Lei, W. Wang, W. Huang, Processes., 2022, 10(1), 136.
- H. Li, Z. Zhao, Y. Li, M. Xiang, J. Guo, H. Bai, X. Liu, X. Yang, C. Su, Dalton Trans., 2022, 51,1502–1512.
- S. Zhang, M. Zheng, Z. Lin, R. Zang, Q. Huang, H. Xue, J. Cao, and H. Pang, RSC Adv., 2016,6, 39918–39925.
- J. Wang, L. Wu, L. Shen, Q. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Wu, Y. Wen, J. Zheng, J Colloid Interface Sci. 2023,15(640), 415–422.
- M. Ren, X. Lu, Y. Chai, X. Zhou, J. Ren, Q. Zheng, D. Lin, J Colloid Interface Sci., 2019, 552(15) 91–100.

- 7. B. Li, M. Xie, G. Yia and C. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2020,10, 2823–2829.
- M. Yu, R. Li, Y. Tong, Y. Li, C. Li, J. D. Hong and G. Shi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 9609–9615.
- 9. A. A. Arie, H Kristianto, E. C. Cengiz and R. D. Cakan, Ionics, 26, pages201-212 (2020).
- 10. J. M. Chabu, Y. Li, and Y. N. Liu, ChemNanoMat, 2018, 5(5), 612-618.
- 11. C.-H. Hsu, C.-H. Chung, T.-H. Hsieh, H.-P. Lin, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2022, 23(1), 39.
- 12. S. Wang, K. Zou, Y. Qian, Y. Deng, L. Zhang, G. Chen, Carbon, 2019, 144, 745–755.
- M.-Q. Zhao, X.-F. Liu, Q. Zhang, G.-L. Tian, J.-Q. Huang, W. Zhu, and F. Wei, ACS Nano., 2012, 6, 10759–10769.
- 14. Z. Zhao, W. Yin, H. Li, Y. Jiao, D. Lei, Y. Li, J. Guo, W. Bai, M. Xiang, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 337, 2022, 111946.
- S. Dörfler, P. Strubel, T. Jaumann, E. Troschke, F. Hippauf, C. Kensy, A. Schökel, H.
 Althues, L. Giebeler, S. Oswald, S. Kaskel, Nano Energy, 2018, 54,116–128.
- Y.-C. Ko, C.-H. Hsu, C.-AnLo, C.-M. Wu, H.-L. Yu, C.-H. Hsu, H.-P. Lin, C.-Y. Mou, H.-L. Wu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2022, 10, 14, 4462–4472.