## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

# ${ }^{109}$ Ag NMR Chemical Shift as a Descriptor for Brønsted Acidity from Molecules to Materials 

Colin Hansen ${ }^{\ddagger, \text { a }}$, Scott R. Docherty ${ }^{\ddagger, a}$, Weicheng Cao ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Alexander V. Yakimov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and Christophe Copéret*, a<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ ETH Zürich, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Vladimir Prelog Weg 1-5, ETH Zürich, CH-8og3 Zurich, Switzerland

## Contents

S1 General Considerations ..... 2
S2 Synthetic Methods ..... 6
Synthesis of SIMesAgCI (A-Cl) ..... 6
Synthesis of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) ..... 7
Synthesis of SIMesAgOtBu (A-OtBu) ..... 10
Synthesis of SIMesAgOSi( $\left.{ }^{t}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$ (SIMesAgOTBOS) (A-TBOS) ..... 13
Synthesis of SIMesAgN(SiMe $)_{2}$ (SIMesAgHMDS) (A-HMDS) ..... 16
Synthesis of SIMesAgMe (A-Me) ..... 19
Synthesis of SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) ..... 22
Synthesis of IMesAgCl (B-Cl) ..... 25
Synthesis of IMesAgMes (B-Mes) ..... 26
Synthesis of IMesAgOSi(OBu) ${ }_{3}$ (IMesAgOTBOS) (B-TBOS) ..... 29
Preparation of Solid Supports ..... 32
Grafting of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) on various supports ..... 33
S3 ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ Solution-state NMR ..... 35
S4 Solid-State-NMR ..... 42
${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ Solid-state NMR ..... 42
${ }^{29}$ Si-NMR of oxide materials ..... 45
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-NMR of pyridine-adsorbed oxide materials. ..... 46
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of (SIMes)Ag@Al $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ..... 50
S5 XRD Studies ..... 52
Crystal Structures ..... 52
XRD Reports ..... 55
S6 Calculation of Gas Phase Acidities ..... 63
Calculation Principles ..... 63
Optimized Structures ..... 64
S7 Chemical Shift Correlations ..... 84
References ..... 85

## S1 General Considerations

## Materials

All operations were performed under an argon atmosphere in an M. Braun glove box or using standard Schlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried via distillation from purple $\mathrm{Na}^{\circ} /$ benzophenone. Dry deuterated Benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ was obtained via vacuum distillation from purple $\mathrm{Na}{ }^{0}$ /benzophenone. Dry deuterated Chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ was obtained via vacuum distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was dried using a MB SPS 800 solvent purification system where columns used for purification were packed with activated alumina. Pentane and Toluene ( $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ) were dried using a MB SPS 800 solvent purification system where columns used for purification were packed with activated alumina. Diethyl ether was dried using a MB SPS 800 solvent purification system where columns used for purification were packed with activated alumina. Dioxane ( $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) was vacuum transferred from purple $\mathrm{Na}^{0}$ /benzophenone. Hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDSO) was vacuum transferred from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All solvents were further degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves after being transferred to a glove box. $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich ( $\geq 99.99 \%$ trace metal basis) and used as received. $\mathrm{MgMes}_{2}(\text { thf })_{2}, ~ \mathrm{IMesHCl}(1,3-\mathrm{Bis}(2,4,6$-trimethylphenyl)imidazolium chloride) and $\mathrm{NaOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t} B u}\right)_{3}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{1-3} \mathrm{SIMesHCl}$ (1,3-Bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazolinium chloride) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (95\%) and used as received. BHT (Butylated hydroxytoluene, 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol, > $99.0 \%$ ) was purchased from FlukaChemie AG, and was sublimed (ca. $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) prior to use. Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (Li(HMDS), 97\%) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and used after recrystallisation from pentane. Methylmagnesium bromide ( $\mathrm{MeMgBr}, 3.0 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether) was purchased from Acros organics and used as received. Sodium tert-butoxide ( $\mathrm{NaO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}, 98 \%$ ) was purchased from Acros Scientific and used as received. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labelled pyridine $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right.$-Py), purchased from CortecNet, was dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ for at least 72 h prior to use. Ferrocene was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and sublimed prior to use.

Silica (Aerosil Degussa, $200 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ) was compacted with deionized water, dried at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 days, crushed and sieved (250-400 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) for easier handling. $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (SASOL ${ }^{\circledR}$ Puralox SBa-200, $216 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ) was compacted with deionized water, dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 days, crushed and sieved ( $250-400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for
easier handling. Quantification of the -OH density of the oxide supports was performed through reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}\right]$ with a known amount of the oxide. The amount of toluene liberated was quantified by NMR in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ using ferrocene as an internal standard. For this purpose, a recycle delay ( $D_{1}$ ) of 58 seconds was used.

## Characterization

Solution NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature ( 298 K ) on either a Bruker 500 MHz solution state spectrometer equipped with a broadband probe or a broadband cryoprobe $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts are referenced relative to residual solvent peaks. ${ }^{4}$ Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). Where appropriate, signal multiplicity has been condensed to a single letter format, i.e.: $s=s i n g l e t, d=d o u b l e t, t=t r i p l e t, ~ q=q u a r t e t, ~ m=m u l t i p l e t . ~ S o l v e n t ~ s i g n a l s ~ a r e ~ d e n o t e d ~ a c-~$ cordingly. Unless otherwise specified, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded using 2048 scans, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were acquired using 64 scans.

The Small Molecule Crystallography Center (SMoCC) of ETH Zürich provided the equipment for single crystal structure determination. XRD data was recorded on either a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S single crystal diffractometer or a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer. Suitable crystals were selected and tipmounted on a MiTeGen Pin covered with Paratone Oil. Using Olex2 ${ }^{5}$, the structure was solved with the SHELXT ${ }^{6}$ structure solution program and refined with the SHELXL ${ }^{7}$ refinement package using CGLS minimization. Elemental Analysis was provided by the in-house Molecular and Biomolecular Analysis Service (MoBiAS) of ETH Zürich and Analytisches Labor Pascher in Remagen, Germany. DFT calculations were performed on the ETH Euler cluster using Gaussian $09 .{ }^{8}$ Nitrogen physisorption isotherms were measured on a on a BEL JAPAN BELSORP-mini apparatus. The specific surface area of the oxide supports were determined from nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ physisorption isotherms $\left(-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and application of the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. ${ }^{9}$

Infrared (IR) spectra of the molecular compounds were collected under inert atmosphere using a Bruker Alpha spectrometer in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode, equipped with a diamond ATR module (deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector, $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ spectral resolution, $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, average of 32 scans). Transmission-IR were recorded on Bruker FT-IR Alpha spectrometer equipped with RockSolid interferometer, DTGS (deuterated triglycine sulfate) detector, SiC globar source; solid samples were mounted on a magnetic pellet holder. A typical experiment consisted of the measurement of transmission in 16 scans in the region from 4000 to $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Spectra were analyzed using ThermoScientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ OMNIC $^{\text {TM }} 8$ Software. Spectra of silica-supported samples are normalized to the Si-O-Si overtone peak maximum at $1868 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## General procedure treatment of oxide with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ pyridine.

Prior to dosing, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-py was degassed using 3 successive freeze-pump-thaw cycles. In a typical ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-Py dosing experiment, the vessel containing the material was evacuated to $10^{-5} \mathrm{mbar}$, after which the material was exposed for 20 min at room temperature to a saturated vapor pressure of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Py}$, followed by evacuation at room temperature at ca. $10^{-5} \mathrm{mbar}$ for 15 min . After dosing, the material was stored in an argon-filled glovebox prior to further analysis.

## Solid state NMR:

Solid State ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CP-MAS) NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker $600 \mathrm{MHz}(14.1 \mathrm{~T})$ spectrometer using a 3.2 mm low-temperature HX probe at 100 K . For these experiments, samples were packed in 3.2 mm sapphire rotors, and spinning speeds of either 7 kHz or 8 kHz were used. For DNP experiments, all samples were prepared in an argon-filled glove box by incipient wetness impregnation with 16 mM TEKPol solution in $\mathrm{d}_{3} / \mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene (10:90 vol\%, ca. $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 30 mg sample). For DNP, the probe was coupled to a 395 GHz gyrotron microwave source with an output power of 6-10 W . The reference used for the static magnetic field was set by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of toluene at $2.3 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ saturation-recovery experiment with the microwave irradiation turned on was used to measure the DNP buildup time ( $T_{\mathrm{DNP}}$ ). DNP Enhancements were measured using the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of the solvent. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ CP-MAS experiments were recorded by setting the recycle delay to 1.3 ( $T_{\text {DNP }}$ ) and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ excitation and decoupling radio-frequency (RF) fields to 100 kHz . A contact pulse of 3 ms was used. All cross-polarization parameters were optimized to fulfil the Hart-mann-Hahn condition under MAS to obtain the optimal experimental efficiency. For ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR experiments, processing was performed in TopSpin ${ }^{\circledR} .{ }^{10,11}$

Solid State ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CP-MAS) NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker $600 \mathrm{MHz}(14.1 \mathrm{~T})$ spectrometer using a 3.2 mm low-temperature HX probe at 100 K . For these experiments, samples were packed in 3.2 mm sapphire rotors, and spinning speeds of either 8 kHz or 11 kHz were used. For DNP experiments, all samples were prepared in an argon-filled glove box by incipient wetness impregnation with either 16 mM TEKPol solution in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE, ca. $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 30 mg sample), or 16 mM TEKPol solution in $\mathrm{d}_{3} / \mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene (10:90 vol\%, ca. $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 30 mg sample). For DNP experiments, the probe was coupled to a 395 GHz gyrotron microwave source with an output power of 6-10 W. The reference used for the static magnetic field was set by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of TCE at $6.9 \mathrm{ppm}^{12}$ or toluene $\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ at $2.3 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ saturation-recovery experiment with the microwave irradiation turned on was used to measure the DNP buildup time ( $T_{\text {DNP }}$ ). DNP Enhancements $\left(\varepsilon_{H}\right)$ were measured using the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of the solvent. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ CP-MAS
experiments were recorded by setting the recycle delay to 1.3 ( $T_{\text {DNP }}$ ) and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ excitation and decoupling radio-frequency (RF) fields to 100 kHz . A contact pulse of 3 ms was used. All cross-polarization parameters were optimized to fulfil the Hartmann-Hahn condition under MAS to obtain the optimal experimental efficiency. For ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR experiments, processing was performed in TopSpin ${ }^{\circledR}$ and fitting was subsequently performed in DMfit. ${ }^{6}$ Fitting of spectra was performed over the entire manifold of spinning sidebands. ${ }^{13-15}$

DNP-enhanced solid State ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CP-MAS) NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker $600 \mathrm{MHz}(14.1 \mathrm{~T})$ spectrometer using a 3.2 mm low-temperature HX probe at 100 K . For these experiments, the sample was packed in a 3.2 mm sapphire rotor, and a spinning speed of 10 kHz was used. The sample was prepared in an argon-filled glove box by impregnation with 16 mM TEKPol solution in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE, ca. $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 30 mg sample). The probe was coupled to a 395 GHz gyrotron microwave source with an output power of 6-10 W. The reference used for the static magnetic field was set by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of TCE at $6.9 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ saturation-recovery experiment with the microwave irradiation turned on was used to measure the DNP buildup time ( $T_{\text {DNP }}$ ). DNP Enhancements $\left(\varepsilon_{H}\right)$ were measured using the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of the solvent. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ CP-MAS experiments were recorded by setting the recycle delay to $1.3\left(T_{\text {DNP }}\right)$ and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ excitation and decoupling radio-frequency (RF) fields to 100 kHz . A contact pulse of 2 ms was used. All cross-polarization parameters were optimized to fulfil the Hartmann-Hahn condition under MAS to obtain the optimal experimental efficiency. For ${ }^{29}$ Si NMR experiments, processing was performed in TopSpin ${ }^{\circledR}$ and fitting was subsequently performed in DMfit. ${ }^{6}$ Fitting of individual peaks was performed using Gaussian-Lorentzian peak shape on the isotropic peaks. ${ }^{10,11}$

DNP-enhanced solid state ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ NMR experiments were carried out at $\approx 100 \mathrm{~K}$ on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer operating at 9.4 T and a 263 GHz Klystron microwave source with an output power of approximately 10 W . The 3.2 mm HX low temperature MAS probe was used with sapphire rotors. For the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ CPECHO (CP-t-180pulse-t-acquire) experiments, the CP contact time was 25 ms and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ decoupling power was 0 . Delay times were set to be 1.3 times the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ obtained from saturation recovery experiments of the protons of the solvent. The ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ chemical shift is referenced to $\mathrm{AgSO}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (87.2 ppm) which is, in turn, referenced with respect to a 9 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(0 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{16}$ DNP Enhancements $\left(\varepsilon_{H}\right)$ were measured using the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance of the solvent. The processing ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ NMR spectra was performed in TopSpin ${ }^{\circledR}$ and fitting was subsequently performed in DMfit. ${ }^{6}$ Fitting of spectra was performed over the entire manifold of spinning sidebands. ${ }^{10,11}$

## S2 Synthetic Methods

## Synthesis of SIMesAgCl (A-CI)



The Synthesis was adapted from literature procedures. ${ }^{17-20}$ To a 250 ml round bottom flask was added $\operatorname{SIMesCl}(1,3-\mathrm{Bis}(2,4,6-$ trimethylphenyl)imidazolinium chloride) ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by Dichloromethane (ca. 50 mL ). To the solution was added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 2.95 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 22 h. After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the clear light-yellow solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in Dichloromethane and layered with double the amount of Pentane for recrystallization in the freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ from which white needle-like crystals were obtained in a yield of $50 \%(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 4.00(\mathrm{~s}$, 4 H , backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \cdot\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $\delta 2.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \delta 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 1.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 138.4,135.0,129.7,50.2,20.7,17.4$.

## Synthesis of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes)



To an amber vial was added SIMesAgCl (A-CI) ( $52.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added $\mathrm{Mes}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}(23.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 24 h before 3 drops of Dioxane were added to precipitate $\left[\mathrm{MgX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$. After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (ca. 5 mL ) for recrystallization in the freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ from which colorless/transparent cubic crystals were obtained in a yield of $64 \%$ ( $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals (cubes) were obtained from recrystallisation in toluene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) : $\delta 6.99$ (s, 2H, Ar-H (Ag-Mesityl)), $\delta 6.76$ (s, 4H, Ar-H (NHC-Mes)), $\delta 3.05$ (s, 4H, backbone $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $\delta 2.33$ (s, 9H, Ar-CH3 (4-position)), $\delta 2.16$ (s, 12H, o-CH3 (2,6-position) (NHC)), $\delta 2.11$ (s, 6H, o-CH3 (2,6position) Ag-Mesityl)). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 213.8\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}\right.$, pseudo-dd ( ${ }^{1}{ }^{1{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}}=130 \mathrm{~Hz}$; $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{13}{ }^{13}{ }^{107}{ }_{\mathrm{Ag}}=113 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), 146.0, 138.0, 135.9, 135.6, 132.6, 129.3, 124.2, 50.1, 29.3, 21.3, 20.6, 17.7. IR (ATRIR) [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$ 3050-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2727 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1607 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1484/1443 (m, arom. C-C str.), 1259 (m, C-N str.), 1010 (s, C-N str.), 844/614/571/530 (m). Elemental Analysis C: 67.53\% H: 6.93\% N: 5.28\% (Calc.: 67.54\% / 6.99\% / 5.25\%).


Figure S 1. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) (264 scans)


Figure S 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). Zoomed region shown in inset shows coupling of carbene carbon to both isotopes of silver ( ${\left({ }^{1}{ }^{133} c_{-109}{ }^{109}=130 ~ H z\right.}^{2}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-}{ }^{107} \mathrm{Ag}=113 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )


Figure S 4. SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 5. SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of SIMesAgOtBu (A-OtBu)



To an amber vial was added SIMesAgCl (A-Cl) (100.2 mg, 0.22 mmol$)$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added $\mathrm{NaO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(21.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.22 mmol ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness, leaving a glass like substance on the walls of the vial. The crude product was dissolved in toluene, filtered, dried, and finally washed with pentane to obtain a yield of $53 \%$ ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Despite trying different solvents/techniques, XRD-quality crystals of SIMesAgOt ${ }^{t} B u$ have not been obtained (The powdered product nevertheless fulfilled purity requirements for further use in ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{NMR}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) : $\delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 2.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \delta 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $\delta 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 208.2\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}, d\left({ }^{1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}^{109 / 107} \mathrm{Ag}\right.\right.$ $=217 \mathrm{~Hz}), 138.2,135.3,129.6,67.9,50.1,34.9,20.7,17.5,13.9 . \operatorname{IR}(A T R-I R)\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right] 3050-2800(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ str.), 2733 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1607 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1489/1452 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1269 (s, C-O str.), 1187 (s, C-N str.), 1012/957/848/571(m). Elemental Analysis C: $60.87 \% \mathrm{H}: 7.34 \% \mathrm{~N}: 5.65 \%$ (Calc.: 61.60\% / 7.24\% / 5.75\%).


Figure S 6. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgOtBu (A-OtBu) (264 scans)


Figure S 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgO}{ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{OtBu})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgO}{ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{OtBu})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 9. SIMesAgOBu (A-OtBu) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{CHMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 10. SIMesAgO ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{OtBu}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of SIMesAgOSi(OBu) ${ }_{3}$ (SIMesAgOTBOS) (A-TBOS)



To an amber vial was added SIMesAgCl (A-CI) (100.3 mg, 0.22 mmol$)$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added $\mathrm{NaOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right)_{3}(63.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (ca. 5 mL ) for recrystallization in the freezer ($35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) from which colorless cubic crystals were obtained in a yield of $50 \%$ ( $74.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals(cubic/colorless/transparent) were obtained from recrystallisation in toluene. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 2.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \delta 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 2.03$ (s, 12H, o-CH3), $\delta 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 207.8\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}, d\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{109 / 107}{ }^{\mathrm{Ag}}=\right.\right.$ 214 Hz ), 138.2, 135.4, 135.1, 129.7, 69.8, 50.1, 32.1, 20.7, 17.5. IR (ATR-IR) [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ] 3050-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2729 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1608 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1489/1357 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1271 (s, C-O str.), 1193 (s, C-N str.), 1061/1002 (s, Si-O-C str.), 817/692(m). Elemental Analysis C: 57.70\% H: 7.68\% N: 4.35\% (Calc.: 58.48\% / 7.88\% / 4.13\%).


Figure S 11. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) (264 scans)


Figure S 12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgOTBOS}(\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{TBOS})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 14. SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 15. SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of SIMesAgN(SiMe $)_{2}$ (SIMesAgHMDS) (A-HMDS)



To an amber vial was added SIMesAgCl (A-CI) (100.0 mg, 0.22 mmol$)$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added LiHMDS ( 39.1 mg , 0.23 mmol ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 6 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (ca. 5 mL ), concentrated and pentane was added to precipitate a white product. Recrystallization was performed in HMDSO (ca. 7 mL ) in the freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) from which colorless crystals were obtained in a yield of $34 \%$ ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals were obtained from recrystallisation in HMDSO. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $\delta 2.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \delta 2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 0.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 209.0\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}, d\left({ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{J}}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-109 / 107}{ }_{\mathrm{Ag}}=187 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 138.4,135.4,129.6,50.0,20.6\right.$, 17.5, 6.4. IR (ATR-IR) [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ] 3050-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2733 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1608 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1485 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1267/1232 (s, C-N str.), 1037/813 (s, C-Si-N str.), 659/573(m). Elemental Analysis C: 55.78\% H: 7.62\% N: 7.01\% (Calc.: 56.43\% / 7.72\% / 7.31\%).


Figure S 16. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) (264 scans)


Figure S 17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 18. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 19. SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 20. SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of SIMesAgMe (A-Me)



The Synthesis was adapted from Literature procedure. ${ }^{21}$ To an amber Schlenk was added SIMesAgCl (A-Cl) (196.0 mg, 0.44 mmol$)$ followed by THF (ca. 8 mL ). To the suspension was added a 3 M solution of MeMgBr in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{ml}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture turned dark immediately and was stirred for 10 minutes before dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to precipitate $\left[\mathrm{MgX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$. The reaction was then stirred for 12 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (ca. 8 mL ) for recrystallization in the freezer from which colorless/cubic crystals were obtained in a yield of $39 \%$ ( $74.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals were obtained from recrystallisation in toluene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, backbone $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $\delta 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta-0.13 /-0.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 213.7\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}, d\left({ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{J}^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-}{ }^{109 / 107} \mathrm{Ag}}=117 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.7,135.3,129.5,50.2,20.7,17.7,-13.8-\right.$ $\left({ }^{1}{ }^{13}{ }^{3}{ }^{-109}{ }_{\mathrm{Ag}}=139 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1}{ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-107} \mathrm{Ag}=120 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). IR (ATR-IR) [cm $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ 3050-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2731 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1608 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1483/1438 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1261/1171 (s, C-N str.), 1033/848/624/571 (m). Elemental Analysis C: 62.18\% H: 6.97\% N: 6.22\% (Calc.: 61.54\% / 6.81\% / 6.52\%) (Instability of the compound (rapid decomposition) makes elemental analysis challenging)


Figure S 21. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgMe (A-Me) (264 scans)


Figure S 22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgMe}(\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{Me})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S $\mathbf{2 3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgMe}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Me})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 24 SIMesAgMe (A-Me) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 25 SIMesAgMe (A-Me) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT)




To an amber vial was added SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) ( $35.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added 2,6-Bis(1,1-di-methylethyl)-4-methylphenol (BHT) ( $15.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 6 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness yielding a white powder in a yield of $69 \%$ ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals (transparent) were obtained from recrystallisation in cold diethyl ether (freezer: $\left.-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) : $\delta 7.20$ (s, 2H, Ar-H (Ag-Mesityl)), $\delta 6.70$ (s, 4H, Ar-H (NHC-Mes)), $\delta 2.94$ (s, 4H, backbone $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $\delta 2.45$ (s, 3H, -CH3 (4-position)), $\delta 2.12$ (s, 6H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (4-position) (NHC)), $\delta 1.97$ (s, 12H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (2,6-position) (NHC)), $\delta 1.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}(2,6-\right.$ position $)$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 207.2(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$, pseudo-dd $\left({ }^{1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-}{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}=253 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{1}{ }^{{ }^{13} \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{C}^{107} \mathrm{Ag}=219 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), 138.4, 135.2, 129.6, 125.1, 50.0, 35.2, 31.1, 21.6, 20.6, 17.4. IR (ATR-IR) [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ] 3050-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2729 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1610 (w, arom. C-C str.), 1487/1454/1415/1378 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1267 (s, C-N str.), 1025/850/571 (m). Elemental Analysis C: 68.55\% H: 7.91\% N: 4.32\% (Calc.: 68.24\% / 7.79\% / 4.42\%).


Figure S 26. ATR-IR spectrum of SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) (264 scans)


Figure S 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{SIMesAgOAr}(\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{BHT})$ recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 28. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ( $125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Zoomed region shown in inset shows coupling of carbene carbon to both isotopes of silver ( ${ }^{1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}=253 \mathrm{~Hz}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-}{ }^{107} \mathrm{Ag}=219 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )


Figure S 29. SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 30. SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of IMesAgCl (B-Cl)



The Synthesis was adapted from literature procedures. ${ }^{17-20}$ To a 250 ml round bottom flask was added $\mathrm{IMesCl}(1,3-B i s(2,4,6$-trimethylphenyl)imidazolium chloride) ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by Dichloromethane (ca. 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. To the solution was added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.47 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 20 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the clear light-yellow solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in THF for recrystallization in the freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and pale-yellow platelets were obtained in a yield of $23 \%(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \delta 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 2.07$ (s, 12H,o-CH3). ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \delta 5.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \delta 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 1.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 139.2,135.2,134.2,129.3,121.6$, 20.7, 17.2.

## Synthesis of IMesAgMes (B-Mes)



To an amber vial was added $\mathrm{IMesAgCl}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C l})(100.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added dropwise a solution of $\mathrm{Mes}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}(50.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 22 h before 2 drops of dioxane were added to precipitate $\left[\mathrm{MgX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$. After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a white product. The crude product was dissolved in toluene ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Colorless cubic crystals were obtained in a yield of $56 \%$ ( $67.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). XRD quality crystals (block-type/transparent) were obtained from recrystallization in toluene. The final product showed a co-crystallized toluene molecule per unit cell (1/2 toluene per molecule). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}($ Ag-Mesityl) ), $\delta 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H (NHC-Mes)), $\delta 6.08$ (s, 2H, backbone $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $\delta 2.42$ (s, 6H, Ar-CH3 (4-position) (NHC)), $\delta 2.34$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (4-position) Ag-Mesityl)), $\delta 2.11$ (s, 6H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (2,6-position) (Ag-Mesityl)), $\delta 1.99$ (s, 12H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (2,6-position) (NHC)). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 190.6$ ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$, pseudo-dd ( ${ }^{1}{ }^{13 \mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}=137 \mathrm{~Hz}$;
 125.3, 124.3, 121.1, 29.5, 21.3, 20.7, 17.4. IR (ATR-IR) [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ] 3136/3111 (m, alkene C-H str.), 30202800 (m, C-H str.), 2729 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1612 (w, C=C str.), 1487 (s, arom. C-C str.), 1405 (s, C-H bend.), 1242 (s, C-N str.), 844/735/534 (s, alkene C-H bend.). Elemental Analysis C: 67.64\% H: 6.81\% N: 5.02\% (Calc.: 67.80\% / 6.64\% / 5.27\%) (including co-cryst. toluene).


Figure S 31. ATR-IR spectrum of IMesAgMes (B-Mes) (264 scans)


Figure S 32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of IMesAgMes (B-Mes) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 33. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of IMesAgMes (B-Mes) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ( $125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Zoomed region shown in inset shows coupling of carbene carbon to both isotopes of silver $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-109} \mathrm{Ag}=137 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-}{ }^{107} \mathrm{Ag}=119 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )


Figure S 34. IMesAgMes (B-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 35. IMesAgMes (B-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Synthesis of IMesAgOSi $\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$ (IMesAgOTBOS) (B-TBOS)



To an amber vial was added $\mathrm{IMesAgCl}(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Cl})(98.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by THF (ca. 5 mL ). To the suspension was added $\mathrm{NaOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}^{3}\right)_{3}(69.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (ca. 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 22 h . After filtering the reaction mixture through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ the solution was taken to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (ca. 5 mL ) for recrystallization in the freezer ($35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) from which colorless/transparent cubic crystals were obtained in a yield of $70 \%(103.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. XRD quality crystals were obtained from recrystallisation in toluene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) : $\delta 6.67$ (s, 4H, Ar-H), $\delta 5.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, backbone $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $\delta 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \delta 1.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $\delta 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): 183.3\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}\right.$, pseudo-dd $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}=253 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{-107}^{107} \mathrm{Ag}=220 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), 139.0, 135.5, 134.3, 129.3, 121.6, 69.9, 32.1, 20.7, 17.2. IR (ATR-IR) [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ] 3175/3130 (w, alkene C-H str.), 3000-2800 (m, C-H str.), 2733 (w, Mes C-H str.), 1487 (m, arom. C-C str.), 1357 (m, C-H bend.), 1234/1193 (m, C-O str.), 1000 (s, Si-O-C str.), 815/692 (m, Si-O str.). Elemental Analysis C: 58.64\% H: 7.40\% N: 4.14\% (Calc.: 58.66\% / 7.61\% / 4.15\%).


Figure S 36. ATR-IR spectrum of IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) (264 scans)


Figure S 37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Figure S 38. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\left(125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Zoomed region shown in inset shows coupling of carbene carbon to both isotopes of silver $\left({ }^{1}{ }^{13} C^{10}{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}=\right.$ $\left.253 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{1}{ }^{13{ }^{13}-1{ }^{107} \mathrm{Ag}}=220 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$


Figure S 39. IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HMBC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, inset shows region of interest containing carbene splitting.


Figure S 40. IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{HSQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Preparation of Solid Supports

$\mathrm{SiO}_{2-700}$ : amorphous silicon dioxide partially dehydroxylated at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2-700}\right)$ was prepared according to literature procedure. ${ }^{22}$ The $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{OH}$ density was titrated to a value of $0.9-\mathrm{OH} \mathrm{nm}^{-2}$ consistent with earlier reports. For calculations, the previously mentioned value is assumed. The preparation of the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2-700}$ support is initiated via a calcination under static air at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The silica was allowed to return to room temperature and treated under high vacuum ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h (ramp: $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) and then at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{ramp}: 1.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right.$ ).


Figure S 41. Temperature profile for the calcination of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$.


Figure S 42. Temperature profile for the high vacuum treatment of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{SiO}_{2-700}$.
$\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ : Gamma alumina partially dehydroxylated at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}\right)$ was prepared using an adapted literature procedure. ${ }^{23}$ The compacted alumina powder was calcined in air at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}-\right.$ ${ }^{1}$ ) for 4 h before being placed under high vacuum ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) for 12 h before being stored in an argonfilled glovebox. Reaction with dibenzyl magnesium results in liberation of 0.99 mmol toluene per gram of $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$, consistent with the presence of 2.7 accessible $\mathrm{OH} \mathrm{nm}^{-2}$. Measured BET surface area: 216 $m^{2} g^{-1}$.

Preparation of $\mathrm{HOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3} / \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ : Prepared using an adapted literature procedure. ${ }^{10}$ To a suspension of $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}(1.77 \mathrm{~g}$, ca. $1.75 \mathrm{mmol}-\mathrm{OH})$ in pentane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\left.\mathrm{HOSi}_{\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right.}\right)_{3}(143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pentane $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2 h before solvent was decanted, and the remaining solid was washed with pentane. The resulting solid was dried under vacuum and transferred to an argon-filled glovebox prior to further analysis.

Preparation of $\mathrm{Si} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{HOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ was treated under high vacuum ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h (ramp: $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ). Elemental analysis: Measured BET surface area: $228 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.

## Grafting of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) on various supports

Grafting of SIMesAgMes on $\operatorname{SiO}_{2-700}$ : To an amber 100 mL Schlenk was added $\mathrm{SiO}_{2-700}$ ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol OH ) and 10 mL of benzene. To this was added SIMesAgMes ( $25.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a small amount of benzene and the reaction was stirred for 17 h . The silica was then washed with benzene (3 $\times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried in vacuo. The completion of the reaction was checked by monitoring the produced mesitylene in NMR (liberation of approx. 0.047 mmol of Mesitylene observed ( 0.85 eq. per -OH )), showing near full conversion. Transmission IR indicates successful grafting (See Figure S 43 [Left]), as illustrated by the drop in intensity of the isolated -OH signal at $3747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the emergence of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching bands at approx. $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Elemental Analysis: Ag ( $2.75 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), C ( $6.92 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), H ( $0.70 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) Grafting of SIMesAgMes on $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ : To an amber 20 mL vial was added $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ mmol OH ) and 10 mL of benzene. To this was added SIMesAgMes ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 4 $\mathrm{mL})$ and the reaction was stirred for 17 h . The alumina was then washed with benzene $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in vacuo. The completion of the reaction was checked by monitoring the produced mesitylene ( 0.048 mmol ) in NMR, and only trace amounts of starting material, showing near full conversion. Transmission IR illustrates the emergence of C-H bending and stretching modes, as well as a reduction in intensity of the bands assigned to -OH at $3774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $3726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Elemental Analysis: $\mathrm{Ag}(2.24 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{C}(6.54 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{H}(0.75 \mathrm{wt} \%)$


Figure S 43. [Left] Transmission IR of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) grafted on silica (red) and unreacted $\mathrm{SiO}_{2-}$ 700 (black) [Right] Transmission IR of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) grafted on Alumina (red) and unreacted $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (black).

Grafting of SIMesAgMes on $\mathbf{S i} / \boldsymbol{Y}^{-} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ : To an amber 20 mL vial was added $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Y}^{-} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}(203 \mathrm{mg})$ and 10 mL of benzene. To this was added SIMesAgMes ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 4 mL ) and the reaction was stirred for 2.5 h . The alumina was then washed with benzene ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried in vacuo. The completion of the reaction was checked by monitoring the produced mesitylene ( 0.048 mmol ) in NMR, with the remaining starting material recovered. Transmission IR illustrates the emergence of C-H bending and stretching modes, as well as a reduction in intensity of the bands assigned to -OH at $3775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Elemental Analysis: $\mathrm{Ag}(1.55 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{C}(3.91 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{H}(0.90 \mathrm{wt} \%)$


Figure S 44. [Left] Transmission IR of unreacted $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (black), $\mathrm{HOSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (red) and $\mathrm{Si} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (blue) [Right] Transmission IR of SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) grafted on $\mathrm{Si} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (red) and unreacted $\mathrm{Si} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-500}$ (black).

## S3 ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ Solution-state NMR

Solution ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ NMR data was collected as 2-dimensional spectra $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}\right)$ using a gradient-enhanced HMQC sequence. The delay between pulses on $X$ was set based on observed J-couplings from the corresponding ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum. The determination of the isotropic chemical shift was performed from slices taken from the respective spectra. To ensure that signals were not folded or reflected, samples were measured with multiple carrier frequencies and spectral widths in the indirect dimension.


Figure S 45. Superimposed slices containing the ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ signals for all saturated compounds: SIMesAgCl (A-Cl), SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT), SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS), SIMesAgOBu (A-OtBu), SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS), SIMesAgMes (A-Mes), SIMesAgMe (A-Me).

Table S 1. Experimental chemical shifts of ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$, Carbene ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and the J couplings to the carbene C .

| Compound | $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{109 \mathrm{Ag}}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{13 \mathrm{C}, \text { Carbene }}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{1 3 C - A g}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMesAgCl (B-CI) | 548 | 183 | - |
| IMesAgMes (B-Mes) | 744 | 191 | 127 |
| IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) | 617 | 183 | 237 |
| SIMesAgCl (A-CI) | 539 | 208 | 217 |
| SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) | 740 | 214 | 122 |
| SIMesAgO'Bu (A-OtBu) | 617 | 208 | 213 |
| SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) | 611 | 208 | 222 |
| SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) | 705 | 209 | 190 |
| SIMesAgMe (A-Me) | 810 | 214 | - |
| SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) | 547 | 207 | 240 |



Figure S 46. SIMesAgCl (A-CI) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 47. SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 48. SIMesAgOtBu (A-OtBu) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 49. SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ HMQC ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ), insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 50. SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ HMQC ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


1
Figure S 51. SIMesAgMe (A-Me) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 52. SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 53. IMesAgCl (B-CI) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 54. IMesAgMes (B-Mes) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.


Figure S 55. IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{109} \mathrm{Ag} \operatorname{HMQC}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500.3 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}: 23.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$, insets show the region of interest containing the silver/proton cross-peak.

## S4 Solid-State-NMR

## ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ Solid-state NMR

Table S 2. Parameters for the fits of ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ solid state NMR spectra of the grafted materials.

| Compound | $\delta_{\text {iso }}$ [ppm] | $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ [ppm] | $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ | width |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SIMesAg}-\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 569 | 1368 | 0.26 | 60.2 |
| $\mathrm{SIMesAg-Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 598 | 1393 | 0.26 | 58.5 |
| $\mathrm{SIMesAg}-\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ <br> $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ species $)$ | 549 | 1453 | 0.26 | 44.7 |
| $\mathrm{SIMesAg}-\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ <br> $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ species $)$ | 584 | 1458 | 0.26 | 35.4 |



Figure S 56. SS-NMR of (SIMes)Ag@SiO $\mathbf{2}^{(8 k H z) . ~[B o t t o m] ~ S p e c t r u m ~ a n d ~ o v e r l a y e d ~ f i t . ~[T o p] ~ F i t t e d ~}$ spectrum. Spinning sidebands are indicated with asterisk. Expt details: 77k scans, d1 $=1.8$ seconds (expt time: 40 hours, $\varepsilon_{H}=16$


Figure S 57. SS-NMR of (SIMes)Ag@ $\boldsymbol{- S I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(8 \mathrm{kHz})$. [Bottom] Spectrum and overlayed fit. [Top] Fitted spectrum. Spinning sidebands are indicated with asterisk. Expt details: 86k scans, d1 = 1.7 seconds expt time: 40 hours, $\varepsilon_{H}=16$


Figure S 58. SS-NMR of (SIMes)Ag@Si/ $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ( 8 kHz ). [Bottom] Spectrum and composite fit. [Top] Decomposed fit. Spinning sidebands are indicated with asterisks. Expt details: 226k scans, d1 = 1.9 seconds (expt time: 5 days, $\varepsilon_{H}=9$

## ${ }^{29}$ Si-NMR of oxide materials



Figure S 59. DNP-enhanced ${ }^{29}$ Si-NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with fit. Expt details: 5848 scans, d1 $=9.75$ seconds (expt time: 16 hours), $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=40, \mathrm{MAS}=10 \mathrm{kHz}, \mathrm{T}=109 \mathrm{~K}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}=16$.

| Site | 1a | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {iso }} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | -77 | -83 | -88 | -94 |
| Area | 9 | 72 | 10 | 9 |

While the measurement shown is not quantitative in nature (due to the use of CP/DNP) it is possible to draw qualitative conclusions on the basis of this spectrum and the earlier literature. ${ }^{10,11}$ For the fit used, 4 separate sites are modelled. The sum of the sites shown reproduce the experimental lineshape well, with peaks centred at $-77,-83,-88$ and -94 ppm fitted. Notably, the dominant peaks at higher chemical shift are indicative of Si atoms bound through multiple $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Al}$ or $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ linkages (i.e. $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{n}}(x \mathrm{Al})$ sites where $\mathrm{n} \leq 3$, and $\mathrm{Al} \leq 1$ ). More generally, this suggests that in this material the Si that are introduced during the synthesis are well-dispersed in nature and interact intimately with the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ surface. Moreover, the absence of observed peaks below -90 ppm indicate that only a small fraction of $\mathrm{Q}_{4}(2 \mathrm{Al})$, $\mathrm{Q}_{4}(1 \mathrm{Al}), \mathrm{Q}_{4}(\mathrm{OAl}), \mathrm{Q} 3(0 \mathrm{Al})$ or $\mathrm{Q}_{2}(0 \mathrm{OI})$ can be present in the material. ${ }^{24,25}$

## ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-NMR of pyridine-adsorbed oxide materials


 $T=114 K, S / N 180, \varepsilon_{H}=14$


Figure S 61. Zoom of region containing isotropic signals for $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Y}^{-}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 11 \mathrm{kHz} 2048$ scans, d1 $=5$ seconds (expt time: 3 hours), $\mathrm{T}=114 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 180, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}=14$

Table S 3. Fitted parameters for $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ used for fit depicted in Figure S 61

| Site | 1a | 1b | 1c | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {iso }} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | 295 | 279 | 263 | 237 | 211 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Omega} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | 414 | 402 | 357 | 320 | 175 |
| $\mathbf{k}$ | 0.95 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Assignment | Py Adsorbed <br> on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Py} \mathrm{Adsorbed}^{\text {on } \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$ | Py Adsorbed <br> on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | Lewis acid |  |$\quad$| Pyridinium |
| :---: |
| (Brønsted |
| acid) |



Figure S 62. $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) /(\mathrm{SIMes}) \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Si} / \gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 11 \mathrm{kHz}, 2048$ scans, $\mathrm{d} 1=1.8$ seconds (expt time: 1 hour), T $=112 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 30, \varepsilon_{H}=8$


Figure S 63. Zoom of region containing isotropic signals for ( $\left.{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) /(\mathrm{SIMes}) \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{S}^{-}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 11 \mathrm{kHz}$, 2048 scans, d1 $=1.8$ seconds (expt time: 1 hour), $T=112 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} 30, \varepsilon_{H}=8$

Table S 2. Fitted parameters for $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) /(\mathrm{SIMes}) \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ used for fit depicted in Figure S 63

| Site | 1a | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {iso }} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | 293 | 270 | 237 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Omega} / \mathrm{ppm}$ | 460 | 451 | 309 |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Assignment | Py Adsorbed on <br> $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | Py Adsorbed <br> on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | Lewis acid |

DNP-enhanced ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR spectroscopy of adsorbed ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-enriched pyridine $\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\right.$-py) on $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Figure S 60, Figure S 61) gives rises to five signals with distinct isotropic chemical shift (295, 279, 263, 237 and 211 ppm - Table 1), indicating the presence of pyridine interacting with hydroxyl groups on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $\left(\delta_{\text {iso }}=295,279\right.$ and 263 ppm$)$, pyridine bound to strong Lewis acidic Al sites $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{LA}}\right)\left(\delta_{\text {iso }}=237 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ and pyridinium ( $\delta_{\text {iso }}=211 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), associated with the presence of Brønsted acidic sites that arise from silanols interacting directly with adjacent Al sites, $\mathrm{Al}-\left(\mu^{2}-\mathrm{OH}\right)-\mathrm{Si}$. The isotropic chemical shift of peaks at 295, 279, 263 and 237 ppm are consistent with the chemical shifts reported for $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} .{ }^{13}$ The emergence of a $5^{\text {th }}$ peak at 211 ppm is consistent with the formation of pyridinium, ${ }^{15,26,27}$ suggesting that strong Brønsted acid sites are present in this material - consistent with earlier reports for silicated
alumina materials. Analysis of the corresponding grafted sample $\left(\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{py}\right) /(\mathrm{SIMes}) \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Y}^{-}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Fig}-\right.$ ure S62) results in a different line shape. The most notable difference before and after grafting of (SIMes) $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{Mes})$, is the absence of a resolved peak at 211 ppm , which is presumably due to the facile reactivity of the strong $\mathrm{Br} \varnothing$ nsted acid site with the Ag - C bond of (SIMes) Ag (Mes). It is also notable that the overall profile of the region assigned to pyridine interacting with hydroxyl groups on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. Overall, the observations are consistent with observations from IR spectroscopy, where selective grafting is inferred based on the disappearance of peaks at $3775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Figure S 44).

## ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of (SIMes)Ag@AI $\mathrm{O}_{3}$


 (expt time: 23 hours), $\mathrm{T}=111 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ ca. 1250.8 kHz MAS: 21712 scans, d1 $=2.5$ seconds (expt time: 15 hours), $T=111 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ ca. $1100 . \varepsilon_{H} \approx 7$.


Figure S 65. Zoom of DNP-enhanced ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of (SIMes) $\mathrm{Ag@Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} .7 \mathrm{kHz}$ MAS: 32768 scans, $\mathrm{d} 1=2.5$ seconds (expt time: 23 hours), $\mathrm{T}=111 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ ca. 1250.8 kHz MAS: 21712 scans, $\mathrm{d} 1=2.5$ seconds (expt time: 15 hours), $\mathrm{T}=111 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ ca. $1100 . \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}} \approx 7$.

The retention of the peak at 206 ppm in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ indicates the presence of (SIMes)Ag-O linkage and retention of (SIMes)Ag fragment. Measurement at multiple spinning speeds confirms that this signal is an isotropic signal. Notably, no resonances associated with either the free carbene ( 244 ppm ) or the corresponding imidazolinium salts (ca. 160 ppm ) are observed. In combination with analysis of washings during grafting, this ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR measurement provides evidence that the mode of reactivity for (SIMes)AgMes with oxides is through protonolysis of the Ag-Mes bond. ${ }^{28-30}$

## S5 XRD Studies

## Crystal Structures



Figure S 66. Crystal structure for $\operatorname{IMesAgMes~(B-Mes).~Ellipsoids~shown~at~50\% ~probability,~hydrogens~}$ omitted for clarity. (Co-crystallized Toluene omitted for clarity)


Figure S 67. Crystal structure for IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.


Figure S 68. Crystal structure for SIMesAgMes (A-Mes). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.


Figure S 69. Crystal structure for SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.


Figure S 70. Crystal structure for SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.


Figure S 71. Crystal structure for SIMesAgMe (A-Me). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.


Figure S 72. Crystal structure for SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT). Ellipsoids shown at 50\% probability, hydrogens omitted for clarity.

Table S 4. Important angles and distances in the synthesized compounds. IMesAgCl and SIMesAgCl structures are obtained from literature. ${ }^{19}, 20$

| Compound | 孔 X-Ag-C [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 孔 N-C-N [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $\Delta$ NHC-Ag [Å] | $\Delta$ X-Ag [Å] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMesAgCl (B-Cl) ${ }^{19}$ | 180 | 104.44 | 2.056 | 2.314 |
| IMesAgMes (B-Mes) | 178.02 | 104.03 | 2.087 | 2.097 |
| IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) | 178.27 | 103.98 | 2.041 | 2.021 |
| SIMesAgCl (A-CI) | 173.69 | 108.51 | 2.083 | 2.336 |
| SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) | 176.76 | 107.98 | 2.107 | 2.096 |
| SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) | 178.86 | 108.34 | 2.051 | 2.025 |
| SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) | 178.57 | 108.13 | 2.049 | 2.06 |
| SIMesAgMe (A-Me) | 179.48 | 108.24 | 2.087 | 2.088 |
| SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) | 175.6 | 108.6 | 2.046 | 2.041 |

## XRD Reports

The following pages contain detailed crystallographic information on the newly synthesized molecules.

## IMesAgMes (B-Mes)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for IMesAgMes (B-Mes).

| Identification code | IMesAgMes (B-Mes) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{33.5} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{AgN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 577.53 |
| Temperature/K | 100.15 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | 10.12120(10) |
| b/Å | 11.2160(2) |
| $c / \AA$ | 14.9017(2) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 109.2690(10) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.0610(10) |
| \%/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 109.0160(10) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 1498.50(4) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.280 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 5.550 |
| F(000) | 602.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.3 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$ |
| Radiation | CuK $\alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.33 to 159.254 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 12,-13 \leq k \leq 14,-18 \leq 1 \leq 17$ |
| Reflections collected | 40595 |
| Independent reflections | 5930 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0385, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0184\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5930/136/388 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.098 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0259, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0715$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0260, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0716$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.61/-0.63 |

## IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS).

| Identification code | IMesAgOTBOS (B-TBOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{AgN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| Formula weight | 675.72 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 11.1581(2) |
| b/Å | 16.6129(2) |
| c/Å | 19.1762(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 104.952(2) |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 3434.31(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.307 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.658 |
| F(000) | 1424.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ |
| Radiation | Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.292 to 59.15 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \leq h \leq 15,-23 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 23,-26 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 26$ |
| Reflections collected | 143474 |
| Independent reflections | $9629\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0757, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0337\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 9629/0/385 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.190 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0380, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0857$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0485, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0900$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.13/-1.80 |

## SIMesAgMes (A-Mes)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SIMesAgMes (A-Mes).

| Identification code | SIMesAgMes (A-Mes) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{AgN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 533.48 |
| Temperature/K | 100.1(3) |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| a/Å | 15.7456(3) |
| b/Å | 16.8545(4) |
| $c / \AA$ | 19.8172(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 5259.2(2) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.348 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 6.277 |
| F(000) | 2224.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.05$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.888 to 160.404 |
| Index ranges | $-20 \leq h \leq 17,-21 \leq k \leq 21,-12 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 27606 |
| Independent reflections | 5617 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0273, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0206\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5617/0/308 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.088 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0372, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1024$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0384, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1035$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 2.79/-0.71 |

## SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS).

| Identification code | SIMesAgOTBOS (A-TBOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{AgN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| Formula weight | 677.73 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $a / \AA ̊$ | 11.1099(2) |
| b/Å | 16.5691(2) |
| $c / A$ | 19.2966(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 104.487(2) |
| $v /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 3439.20(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.309 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 5.319 |
| F(000) | 1432.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.13 to 161.59 |
| Index ranges | $-14 \leq h \leq 13,-21 \leq k \leq 21,-24 \leq 1 \leq 24$ |
| Reflections collected | 71442 |
| Independent reflections | $7488\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0353, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0168\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7488/0/386 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.129 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0298, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0850$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0300, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0852$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.88/-0.65 |

## SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS).

| Identification code | SIMesAgHMDS (A-HMDS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{AgN}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 574.70 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | Fdd2 |
| a/Å | 20.852(4) |
| b/Å | 44.031(12) |
| $c / A$ | 13.785(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 12656(6) |
| Z | 16 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.206 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.730 |
| F(000) | 4832.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | MoKa ( $\lambda=0.71073$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.5 to 50.054 |
| Index ranges | $-24 \leq h \leq 24,-52 \leq k \leq 52,-16 \leq 1 \leq 16$ |
| Reflections collected | 100378 |
| Independent reflections | $5586\left[\mathrm{Rint}=0.0715, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0269\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5586/1/310 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.096 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0329, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0623$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0373, w \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.0642$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.23/-0.32 |
| Flack parameter | 0.009(11) |

## SIMesAgMe (A-Me)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SIMesAgMe (A-Me).

| Identification code | SIMesAgMe (A-Me) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{AgN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 429.34 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 17.3928(6) |
| b/Å | 14.3855(4) |
| $c / \AA$ | 17.9551(6) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 112.995(4) |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 4135.5(3) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.379 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 7.843 |
| F(000) | 1776.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.15 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6 to 156.1 |
| Index ranges | $-22 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 21,-18 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-22 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 64187 |
| Independent reflections | 8667 [ $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0356, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0170$ ] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8667/0/466 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.113 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0320, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0877$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0330, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0884$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.71/-1.12 |

## SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT)

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT).

| Identification code | SIMesAgOAr (A-BHT) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{AgN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Formula weight | 633.64 |
| Temperature/K | 100.01(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 10.6810(2) |
| b/Å | 13.7656(2) |
| c/Å | 22.8895(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.6160(10) |
| $\mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 3358.75(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.253 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 5.016 |
| F(000) | 1336.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.498 to 161.108 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq h \leq 13,-17 \leq k \leq 16,-29 \leq 1 \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 97033 |
| Independent reflections | $\left.7368 \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0344, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0144\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7368/0/375 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.147 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0262, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0737$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0266, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0740$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.50/-0.51 |

## S6 Calculation of Gas Phase Acidities

## Calculation Principles

The Calculation of Gas Phase Acidities was performed via very trivial energetic comparisons. The Gas Phase Acidity is defined as the $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ of the following reaction: ${ }^{31}$


Figure S 73. Gas phase deprotonation reaction of general compounds (HX)
DFT calculations were performed on the ETH Euler cluster using Gaussian 09. ${ }^{8}$ The determination of semi-accurate gas phase acidities was performed by calculating the energy difference between the single point energy of the X-anion and the HX-adduct (The proton single point energy was left out at this point, for it to be corrected later.). The structure optimization, as well as the calculation of single point energies of adducts and anions was performed using the B3LYP hybrid functional ${ }^{32}$ and the DEF2TZVP basis set. ${ }^{33,34}$

Table S 5. Calculated single point energies for all $H X / X^{-}$pairs including their energy differences. $\Delta H_{\text {exp }}$ represents literature values (obtained by calculating the energy difference of HX and $\mathrm{X}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$from Thermodynamic data) ${ }^{35}$, while $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {corr }}$ is obtained when energy correcting the calculated values. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were obtained from literature (where possible). ${ }^{36,37}$ Italic $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ values represent values approximated from closely related structures. ${ }^{37,38}$

| HX | E(HX) <br> [Hartree] | E(X) <br> [Hartree] | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {calc }}$ <br> [Hartree] | $\Delta H_{\text {calc }}$ [kcal/mol] | $\Delta H_{\text {exp }}$ [kcal/mol] | $\Delta H_{\text {corr }}$ [kcal/mol] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \\ {\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACE | -229.187 | -228.627 | 0.5603352 | 351.61 | 346.39 | 344.32 | - |
| PRP | -116.710 | -116.084 | 0.6260449 | 392.84 | 382.08 | 380.53 | - |
| BEN | -232.356 | -231.697 | 0.6585308 | 413.22 | 399.32 | 398.44 | $43^{37}$ |
| BHT | -661.612 | -661.057 | 0.555205 | 348.39 | - | 341.49 | $9.95{ }^{37}$ |
| DMA | -135.230 | -134.580 | 0.649359 | 407.47 | 393.23 | 393.38 | - |
| ETH | -155.109 | -154.490 | 0.6192954 | 388.60 | 390.23 | 376.81 | - |
| HCL | -460.835 | -460.288 | 0.5468005 | 343.11 | 332.26 | 336.86 | $-5.9{ }^{36}$ |
| HMD | -874.191 | -873.601 | 0.5903624 | 370.45 | 355.88 | 360.87 | $26^{37}$ |
| MES | -350.366 | -349.709 | 0.6570067 | 412.27 | - | 397.60 | $43^{37}$ |
| MET | -40.539 | -39.839 | 0.6996534 | 439.03 | 414.57 | 421.10 | $48^{37}$ |
| OTB | -233.792 | -233.176 | 0.6159256 | 386.49 | 374.51 | 374.96 | $17^{37}$ |
| PHE | -307.613 | -307.043 | 0.570089 | 357.73 | 347.81 | 349.70 | $9.95{ }^{37}$ |
| TTS | -1065.185 | -1064.614 | 0.5713535 | 358.52 | - | 350.39 | $12^{38}$ |
| TTB | -531.659 | -531.067 | 0.5915185 | 371.17 | - | 361.51 | - |
| HTB | -829.510 | -828.946 | 0.5637125 | 353.72 | - | 346.18 | - |
| TMS | -485.282 | -484.692 | 0.5898517 | 370.13 | 359.86 | 360.59 | - |
| TOL | -271.693 | -271.067 | 0.6253804 | 392.42 | 381.34 | 380.17 | - |
| MEO | -115.778 | -115.152 | 0.6263565 | 393.66 | 381.32 | 381.26 | - |
| HNO | -281.0179 | -280.488 | 0.5303015 | 332.769 | - | 327.79 | - |

The correction of the calculated gas phase acidity data was performed by correlating experimentally known gas phase acidities with the calculated values. Experimental values were calculated as the result of the energy difference of the enthalpies of formation of the reaction partners (including $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the proton) (See Table S 5). The resulting linear correlation between experimental and calculated values allows for the interpolation of calculated values onto the trend line, which results in energy corrected values (accounting for the exclusion of the single point energy of a proton and possible inaccuracies in DFT calculations).


Figure S 74. Correlation of $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {calc }}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {exp }}$. grey dots represent the data points with known experimental values (used for the linear regression). The blue spot represents ethanol, which is the only significant outlier. The linear correlation was then used to interpolate values for unknown gas phase acidities. ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.955$ )

## Optimized Structures

## Acetic Acid (ACE)

| C | -1.36932 | 0.04992 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.13026 | -0.14115 | 0.00000 |
| H | -1.85653 | -0.92053 | 0.00000 |
| H | -1.68192 | 0.61109 | -0.88358 |
| H | -1.68192 | 0.61110 | 0.88358 |
| O | 0.68545 | -1.19985 | -0.00000 |
| O | 0.86103 | 1.00794 | 0.00000 |
| H | 0.28281 | 1.78099 | -0.00000 |

## Acetic Acid Anion

| C | -1.34943 | -0.04983 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.21289 | 0.00126 | -0.00000 |
| H | -1.72147 | -1.07662 | -0.00002 |
| H | -1.73190 | 0.47709 | -0.87964 |
| H | -1.73189 | 0.47706 | 0.87967 |
| O | 0.80098 | -1.10382 | 0.00000 |
| O | 0.69957 | 1.15555 | 0.00000 |

## Propyne (PRP)

| C | 1.23601 | -0.00000 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.21808 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| H | 1.62583 | 0.74279 | -0.69890 |
| H | 1.62583 | -0.97666 | -0.29383 |
| H | 1.62583 | 0.23386 | 0.99272 |
| C | -1.41759 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.47951 | -0.00002 | -0.00001 |

## Propyne Anion

| $C$ | 1.12951 | -0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $C$ | -0.33491 | 0.00001 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.55581 | -0.33927 | 0.95678 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.55581 | 0.99823 | -0.18457 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.55581 | -0.65896 | -0.77220 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -1.57250 | -0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Benzene (BEN)

| C | -0.00000 | 1.39049 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.20419 | 0.69525 | -0.00000 |
| C | -1.20419 | -0.69524 | 0.00000 |
| C | 0.00000 | -1.39049 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.20419 | -0.69525 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.20419 | 0.69524 | -0.00000 |
| H | 2.14172 | 1.23652 | -0.00000 |


| H | 0.00000 | 2.47305 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -2.14172 | 1.23653 | -0.00000 |
| H | -2.14172 | -1.23652 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.00000 | -2.47305 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.14172 | -1.23653 | 0.00000 |
| Benzene Anion |  |  |  |
| C | 0.10211 | -1.38787 | 0.00000 |
| C | -1.13842 | -0.74255 | 0.00000 |
| C | -1.19924 | 0.64958 | 0.00000 |
| C | 0.00000 | 1.35918 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.21824 | 0.67270 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.35843 | -0.73580 | 0.00000 |
| H | 0.07247 | -2.48276 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.06327 | -1.32113 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.15430 | 1.16689 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.02066 | 2.44991 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.11910 | 1.29568 | 0.00000 |
| Butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) |  |  |  |
| C | -1.24215 | 0.16353 | -0.00000 |
| C | -1.20186 | 1.55312 | 0.00001 |
| C | -0.01094 | 2.27159 | 0.00001 |
| C | 1.17894 | 1.56179 | 0.00001 |
| C | 1.22278 | 0.16620 | -0.00000 |
| C | -0.00706 | -0.51519 | -0.00001 |
| C | -2.58235 | -0.59047 | 0.00000 |
| C | -0.02370 | 3.77723 | -0.00001 |
| H | -0.53956 | 4.17153 | 0.87912 |
| H | -0.53898 | 4.17150 | -0.87950 |
| H | 0.98938 | 4.18034 | 0.00031 |
| H | -2.127824 | -0.57284 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.10875 | 0.00002 |  |
| H |  |  |  |


| H | 2.10112 | 2.12235 | 0.00002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -0.06781 | -1.88816 | -0.00002 |
| H | 0.81944 | -2.25216 | -0.00002 |
| C | -3.77857 | 0.37281 | 0.00001 |
| H | -3.79065 | 1.01044 | 0.88551 |
| H | -4.70132 | -0.20929 | 0.00001 |
| H | -3.79065 | 1.01045 | -0.88548 |
| C | -2.70518 | -1.46304 | 1.26516 |
| H | -2.64703 | -0.84184 | 2.16135 |
| H | -1.92219 | -2.21409 | 1.31664 |
| H | -3.67191 | -1.97203 | 1.27032 |
| C | -2.70519 | -1.46303 | -1.26517 |
| H | -2.64704 | -0.84182 | -2.16135 |
| H | -3.67191 | -1.97201 | -1.27033 |
| H | -1.92220 | -2.21407 | -1.31666 |
| C | 3.76186 | 0.40370 | 0.00001 |
| H | 3.76290 | 1.04074 | -0.88487 |
| H | 4.69250 | -0.16579 | 0.00001 |
| H | 3.76290 | 1.04073 | 0.88491 |
| C | 2.73228 | -1.43273 | -1.27431 |
| H | 2.68436 | -0.79690 | -2.15921 |
| H | 1.96834 | -2.20056 | -1.40083 |
| H | 3.70095 | -1.93651 | -1.26762 |
| C | 2.73227 | -1.43274 | 1.27430 |
| H | 2.68434 | -0.79692 | 2.15922 |
| H | 3.70094 | -1.93653 | 1.26761 |
| H | 1.96833 | -2.20057 | 1.40081 |
| Butylhydroxytoluene Anion |  |  |  |
| C | -1.23217 | 0.16520 | -0.00190 |
| C | -1.18994 | 1.55248 | 0.01178 |
| C | -0.00000 | 2.27818 | 0.01612 |


| C | 1.18994 | 1.55248 | 0.01178 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.23217 | 0.16520 | -0.00191 |
| C | 0.00000 | -0.59761 | -0.01404 |
| C | -2.56669 | -0.59020 | 0.00260 |
| C | -0.00000 | 3.78420 | -0.02079 |
| H | -0.88214 | 4.19585 | 0.47901 |
| H | 0.00004 | 4.18494 | -1.04397 |
| H | 0.88208 | 4.19587 | 0.47908 |
| C | 2.56669 | -0.59020 | 0.00259 |
| H | -2.11701 | 2.11460 | 0.02144 |
| H | 2.11701 | 2.11460 | 0.02145 |
| O | -0.00000 | -1.87602 | -0.02670 |
| C | -3.78226 | 0.34620 | 0.01879 |
| H | -3.79466 | 0.98033 | 0.90838 |
| H | -4.69839 | -0.25141 | 0.02092 |
| H | -3.80768 | 0.99403 | -0.86060 |
| C | -2.65375 | -1.48664 | 1.25405 |
| H | -2.64096 | -0.87221 | 2.15851 |
| H | -1.80344 | -2.16499 | 1.27574 |
| H | -3.58418 | -2.06629 | 1.24780 |
| C | -2.67251 | -1.46730 | -1.26108 |
| H | -2.67287 | -0.83907 | -2.15611 |
| H | -3.60300 | -2.04679 | -1.24990 |
| H | -1.82272 | -2.14517 | -1.30574 |
| C | 3.78226 | 0.34620 | 0.01879 |
| H | 3.80770 | 0.99402 | -0.86061 |
| H | 4.69839 | -0.25141 | 0.02096 |
| H | 3.79464 | 0.98036 | 0.90837 |
| 2.67252 | -1.46731 | -1.26107 |  |
| -0.83909 | -2.15612 |  |  |


| H | 3.60302 | -2.04678 | -1.24989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 2.65375 | -1.48663 | 1.25405 |
| H | 2.64094 | -0.87220 | 2.15851 |
| H | 3.58418 | -2.06627 | 1.24781 |
| H | 1.80344 | -2.16498 | 1.27574 |

## Dimethylamine (DMA)

| N | -0.00000 | 0.56197 | -0.14699 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.21211 | -0.22226 | 0.02005 |
| H | -2.08568 | 0.42630 | -0.05686 |
| H | -1.26485 | -0.76430 | 0.97905 |
| H | -1.27712 | -0.96414 | -0.77971 |
| C | 1.21211 | -0.22226 | 0.02005 |
| H | 0.00000 | 1.33766 | 0.50329 |
| H | 1.27714 | -0.96412 | -0.77974 |
| H | 1.26483 | -0.76433 | 0.97904 |
| H | 2.08568 | 0.42631 | -0.05682 |

## Dimethylamine Anion

| N | 0.00000 | 0.65386 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.14467 | -0.16362 | -0.00000 |
| H | -2.07042 | 0.43518 | -0.00000 |
| H | -1.23625 | -0.87097 | 0.88605 |
| H | -1.23625 | -0.87097 | -0.88605 |
| C | 1.14467 | -0.16362 | 0.00000 |
| H | 1.23625 | -0.87097 | -0.88605 |
| H | 1.23625 | -0.87097 | 0.88605 |
| H | 2.07042 | 0.43518 | 0.00000 |

## Ethanol (ETH)

| C | -1.21164 | -0.24125 | -0.02204 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.07943 | 0.55662 | 0.04714 |
| H | -1.27319 | -0.95924 | 0.80063 |
| H | -1.27189 | -0.79253 | -0.96160 |


| H | -2.07877 | 0.41966 | 0.04963 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 1.23976 | -0.25593 | -0.10665 |
| H | 0.12691 | 1.12245 | 0.98621 |
| H | 0.12462 | 1.27823 | -0.76972 |
| H | 1.24749 | -0.91339 | 0.59746 |

## Ethanol Anion

| C | -1.17861 | -0.20329 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.22366 | 0.48222 | 0.00000 |
| H | -1.27020 | -0.84409 | 0.88468 |
| H | -1.27020 | -0.84410 | -0.88468 |
| H | -2.00605 | 0.52651 | -0.00000 |
| O | 1.23919 | -0.36393 | 0.00000 |
| H | 0.18130 | 1.19977 | 0.88868 |
| H | 0.18130 | 1.19977 | -0.88868 |

## Hydrochloric Acid (HCL)

| Cl | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.07122 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | -1.21082 |

## Hydrochloric Acid Anion

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Cl} & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000\end{array}$

## Hexamethyldisilazane (HMD)

| N | -0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.80360 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Si | 1.58090 | 0.00852 | 0.08670 |
| Si | -1.58090 | -0.00852 | 0.08670 |
| H | -0.00000 | 0.00013 | 1.81407 |
| C | 2.08212 | -1.70302 | -0.50097 |
| H | 2.08361 | -2.41443 | 0.32816 |
| H | 3.08294 | -1.69672 | -0.94058 |
| H | 1.38825 | -2.07757 | -1.25642 |
| C | 2.79457 | 0.59371 | 1.39198 |
| H | 2.78243 | -0.06257 | 2.26614 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 2.55700 | 1.60564 | 1.72735 |
| H | 3.81543 | 0.60047 | 1.00304 |
| C | 1.60026 | 1.17185 | -1.38622 |
| H | 0.88611 | 0.86576 | -2.15378 |
| H | 2.58917 | 1.18921 | -1.85084 |
| H | 1.34992 | 2.19102 | -1.08519 |
| C | -2.08213 | 1.70295 | -0.50119 |
| H | -1.38828 | 2.07740 | -1.25671 |
| H | -2.08360 | 2.41447 | 0.32785 |
| H | -3.08296 | 1.69660 | -0.94077 |
| C | -2.79459 | -0.59354 | 1.39204 |
| H | -2.78243 | 0.06284 | 2.26613 |
| H | -2.55705 | -1.60544 | 1.72753 |
| H | -3.81544 | -0.60031 | 1.00309 |
| C | -1.60023 | -1.17202 | -1.38608 |
| H | -0.88601 | -0.86604 | -2.15363 |
| H | -2.58911 | -1.18939 | -1.85077 |
| H | -1.34995 | -2.19116 | -1.08491 |
| Hexamethyldisilazane Anion |  |  |  |
| N | -0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.54796 |
| Si | 1.57613 | 0.00000 | 0.09214 |
| Si | -1.57613 | 0.00000 | 0.09215 |
| C | 2.11713 | -1.50796 | -0.95144 |
| H | 1.91596 | -2.43559 | -0.40764 |
| H | 3.18292 | -1.48594 | -1.20569 |
| H | 1.54731 | -1.54730 | -1.88475 |
| C | 2.76238 | -0.00003 | 1.58450 |
|  | 2.58531 | -0.88180 | 2.20724 |
| H | 2.58533 | 0.88172 | 2.20727 |
| -0.00004 | 1.28357 |  |  |


| H | 1.54733 | 1.54738 | -1.88469 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.18295 | 1.48595 | -1.20565 |
| H | 1.91602 | 2.43560 | -0.40755 |
| C | -2.11715 | 1.50797 | -0.95142 |
| H | -1.54732 | 1.54733 | -1.88473 |
| H | -1.91600 | 2.43559 | -0.40761 |
| H | -3.18294 | 1.48594 | -1.20568 |
| C | -2.76238 | 0.00001 | 1.58449 |
| H | -2.58532 | 0.88177 | 2.20725 |
| H | -2.58532 | -0.88175 | 2.20726 |
| H | -3.81575 | 0.00000 | 1.28357 |
| C | -2.11714 | -1.50798 | -0.95141 |
| H | -1.54731 | -1.54735 | -1.88471 |
| H | -3.18293 | -1.48596 | -1.20567 |
| H | -1.91598 | -2.43560 | -0.40758 |

## Methanol (MEO)

$\begin{array}{llll}C & -0.66538 & -0.02018 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.74817 & 0.12220 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -1.02839 & -0.54433 & 0.89116\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -1.02839 & -0.54433 & -0.89116\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & -1.08301 & 0.98566 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.14670 & -0.75350 & 0.00000\end{array}$

## Methanol Anion

| C | -0.53087 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 0.78956 | 0.00000 | -0.00000 |
| H | -1.04377 | 1.02201 | -0.07962 |
| H | -1.04372 | -0.44208 | 0.92490 |
| H | -1.04374 | -0.57999 | -0.84528 |

## Mesitylene (MES)

| C | 0.99955 | -0.98450 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.34893 | -1.33989 | 0.00000 |


| C | -1.35384 | -0.37740 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.98728 | 0.96821 | -0.00000 |
| C | 0.34870 | 1.35640 | -0.00000 |
| C | 1.33105 | 0.36679 | -0.00000 |
| C | 2.07694 | -2.03600 | 0.00000 |
| H | 1.65125 | -3.03937 | -0.00000 |
| H | 2.71882 | -1.94337 | 0.87928 |
| H | 2.71882 | -1.94337 | -0.87928 |
| C | -2.80649 | -0.77138 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.92306 | -1.85507 | 0.00000 |
| H | -3.32209 | -0.37772 | -0.87924 |
| H | -3.32210 | -0.37772 | 0.87923 |
| C | 0.73403 | 2.81160 | -0.00000 |
| H | -0.14599 | 3.45464 | 0.00000 |
| H | 1.33281 | 3.06108 | -0.87922 |
| H | 1.33282 | 3.06108 | 0.87921 |
| H | 2.37654 | 0.65744 | -0.00000 |
| H | -0.61990 | -2.38967 | 0.00000 |
| H | -1.76028 | 1.72908 | -0.00000 |

## Mesitylene Anion

| C | -1.21877 | -0.68445 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.15338 | 0.71650 | 0.00000 |
| C | 0.07191 | 1.37751 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.22357 | 0.59107 | -0.00000 |
| C | 1.14027 | -0.80609 | -0.00000 |
| C | -0.08090 | -1.51426 | -0.00000 |
| C | -2.59034 | -1.33511 | 0.00000 |
| H | -3.40554 | -0.60119 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.70740 | -1.98077 | 0.87559 |
| H | -2.70740 | -1.98077 | -0.87558 |


| C | 0.15431 | 2.88329 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.84478 | 3.32738 | 0.00000 |
| H | 0.68492 | 3.26611 | -0.87953 |
| H | 0.68492 | 3.26611 | 0.87952 |
| C | 2.43386 | -1.59983 | -0.00000 |
| H | 3.32328 | -0.95795 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.47998 | -2.25452 | -0.87544 |
| H | 2.47997 | -2.25453 | 0.87544 |
| H | -2.06752 | 1.31232 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.19639 | 1.08603 | -0.00000 |
| Methane (MET) |  |  |  |
| C | 0.00000 | -0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.71935 | -0.36032 | 0.73423 |
| H | 0.61490 | -0.83071 | -0.34384 |
| H | 0.63568 | 0.75782 | 0.45608 |
| H | -0.53123 | 0.43321 | -0.84648 |
| Methane Anion |  |  |  |
| C | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| H | 1.06549 | -0.19588 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.36311 | 1.02068 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.70238 | -0.82480 | 0.00000 |
| Tert-Butanol (OTB) |  |  |  |
| O | -0.03370 | -0.00003 | 1.45158 |
| C | 0.00599 | -0.00000 | 0.01286 |
| H | -0.95672 | 0.00002 | 1.72933 |
| C | -0.68064 | 1.25881 | -0.51748 |
| H | -0.62871 | 1.30759 | -1.60694 |
| H | -0.20424 | 2.14934 | -0.10611 |
| H | -1.73635 | 1.27146 | -0.23337 |


| H | -0.20491 | -2.14927 | -0.10622 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.62911 | -1.30731 | -1.60700 |
| C | 1.48782 | -0.00022 | -0.34026 |
| H | 1.97387 | 0.88367 | 0.07404 |
| H | 1.62609 | -0.00020 | -1.42232 |
| H | 1.97359 | -0.88430 | 0.07397 |

## Tert-Butanol Anion

| O | 0.00002 | -0.00005 | -1.48317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.00001 | -0.00001 | -0.15126 |
| C | 1.27229 | -0.70414 | 0.43440 |
| H | 1.30275 | -1.73124 | 0.05892 |
| H | 1.31430 | -0.72723 | 1.53388 |
| H | 2.15874 | -0.18461 | 0.05871 |
| C | -1.24598 | -0.74972 | 0.43438 |
| H | -1.23929 | -1.77721 | 0.05876 |
| H | -2.15068 | -0.26256 | 0.05881 |
| H | -1.28703 | -0.77447 | 1.53387 |
| C | -0.02632 | 1.45391 | 0.43433 |
| H | 0.84798 | 1.99381 | 0.05886 |
| H | -0.02736 | 1.50184 | 1.53382 |
| H | -0.91944 | 1.96187 | 0.05860 |

## Phenol (PHE)

| C | -1.18299 | -1.15959 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.02222 | -1.84988 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.21624 | -1.13494 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.21263 | 0.25212 | 0.00000 |
| C | 0.00000 | 0.93616 | 0.00000 |
| C | -1.19995 | 0.23011 | 0.00000 |
| O | 0.04693 | 2.30151 | 0.00000 |
| H | -0.84955 | 2.65488 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.14308 | 0.76561 | 0.00000 |


| H | -2.11998 | -1.70160 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 0.03234 | -2.93135 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.16185 | -1.66189 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.13413 | 0.81841 | 0.00000 |

## Phenol Anion

| C | 1.19632 | -1.09703 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.00004 | -1.82243 | 0.00000 |
| C | -1.19629 | -1.09707 | 0.00000 |
| C | -1.20861 | 0.28599 | 0.00000 |
| C | 0.00000 | 1.07638 | 0.00000 |
| C | 1.20860 | 0.28605 | 0.00000 |
| O | -0.00005 | 2.34043 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.14861 | 0.82947 | 0.00000 |
| H | 2.14303 | -1.63376 | 0.00000 |
| H | 0.00005 | -2.90609 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.14297 | -1.63386 | 0.00000 |
| H | -2.14861 | 0.82942 | 0.00000 |

## Tris-tert-Butoxysilanol (TTS)

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Si} & -0.05689 & -0.02144 & 0.55330\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.74297 & 1.38107 & 0.74922\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.68385 & -1.08629 & -0.42320\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & -1.50185 & 0.31997 & -0.10831\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & -0.16085 & -0.69772 & 2.05114\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.24961 & -0.07841 & 2.78007\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.70807 & -0.45481 & -0.24522\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.38864 & -1.93144 & -0.47001\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -3.43498 & 0.12637 & -1.45348\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -2.82527 & 0.01083 & -2.35038\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -3.62656 & 1.18929 & -1.30177\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -4.38838 & -0.38001 & -1.61265\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -3.53178 & -0.26817 & 1.02748\end{array}$

| H | -2.99437 | -0.66912 | 1.88738 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -4.48961 | -0.78541 | 0.94722 |
| H | -3.72303 | 0.79203 | 1.19846 |
| C | 0.90905 | 2.51273 | -0.12789 |
| C | -0.30864 | 3.42156 | 0.02556 |
| H | -1.21223 | 2.89571 | -0.27979 |
| H | -0.19799 | 4.31847 | -0.58675 |
| H | -0.41884 | 3.72592 | 1.06744 |
| C | 1.06156 | 2.05002 | -1.57618 |
| H | 0.16465 | 1.53134 | -1.91569 |
| H | 1.90923 | 1.37200 | -1.67598 |
| H | 1.22759 | 2.90903 | -2.22822 |
| C | 2.17601 | 3.21698 | 0.34583 |
| H | 2.07579 | 3.50689 | 1.39237 |
| H | 2.36490 | 4.11304 | -0.24763 |
| H | 3.03558 | 2.55172 | 0.25520 |
| C | 1.85223 | -1.90773 | -0.23969 |
| C | 1.43475 | -3.17574 | 0.50238 |
| H | 1.04791 | -2.92717 | 1.49006 |
| H | 2.28518 | -3.85030 | 0.61783 |
| H | 0.65474 | -3.69553 | -0.05544 |
| C | 2.92820 | -1.15178 | 0.53832 |
| H | 3.82556 | -1.76594 | 0.62933 |
| H | 2.58191 | -0.90268 | 1.54157 |
| H | 3.19372 | -0.22646 | 0.02691 |
| H | 2.34271 | -2.23911 | -1.64546 |
| H | 1.55599 | -2.74282 | -2.20802 |
| 3.21659 | -2.89150 | -1.60661 |  |
|  | -2.61307 | -1.32590 | -2.17711 |


| $H$ | -1.87709 | -2.35603 | 0.39462 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Tris-tert-Butoxysilanol Anion

| Si | 0.03514 | -0.11008 | -0.63801 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | -0.43444 | 1.48809 | -0.79507 |
| O | -1.00738 | -0.75866 | 0.52292 |
| O | 1.45717 | 0.01709 | 0.25460 |
| O | 0.09762 | -0.89432 | -1.97477 |
| C | 2.51669 | -0.92793 | 0.24146 |
| C | 1.98401 | -2.34702 | 0.47110 |
| C | 3.45192 | -0.52712 | 1.38382 |
| H | 2.91921 | -0.57264 | 2.33588 |
| H | 3.80090 | 0.49692 | 1.23642 |
| H | 4.32057 | -1.18925 | 1.43421 |
| C | 3.24634 | -0.85343 | -1.10440 |
| H | 2.53221 | -1.06421 | -1.90164 |
| H | 4.07375 | -1.56885 | -1.14396 |
| H | 3.64683 | 0.15232 | -1.25259 |
| C | -0.32911 | 2.59151 | 0.08189 |
| C | 1.07253 | 3.20195 | -0.04682 |
| H | 1.81660 | 2.46547 | 0.25234 |
| H | 1.17460 | 4.09502 | 0.57725 |
| H | 1.25810 | 3.47664 | -1.08712 |
| C | -0.59786 | 2.20028 | 1.54031 |
| H | 0.13974 | 1.47510 | 1.88068 |
| H | -1.58246 | 1.74244 | 1.63372 |
| H | -0.55322 | 3.08417 | 2.18342 |
| C | -1.38329 | 3.60109 | -0.38283 |
| H | -1.21242 | 3.85600 | -1.43018 |
| H | -1.35001 | 4.51645 | 0.21474 |
| H | -2.37899 | -2.21142 | -1.45070 | 00.23647


| C | -1.88741 | -2.83043 | -0.34884 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -1.30358 | -2.69384 | -1.25999 |
| H | -2.80239 | -3.38921 | -0.56944 |
| H | -1.29239 | -3.40425 | 0.36560 |
| C | -3.07774 | -0.65743 | -0.75036 |
| H | -4.03179 | -1.16452 | -0.92246 |
| H | -2.55169 | -0.55539 | -1.70010 |
| H | -3.27634 | 0.34139 | -0.35749 |
| C | -2.94134 | -1.59634 | 1.57366 |
| H | -2.30546 | -2.12642 | 2.28541 |
| H | -3.87680 | -2.15057 | 1.45663 |
| H | -3.16643 | -0.61096 | 1.98705 |
| H | 1.39890 | -2.38147 | 1.39136 |
| H | 2.80883 | -3.06179 | 0.54560 |
| H | 1.33811 | -2.63342 | -0.35882 |

## Trifluoro-tert-Butanol (TTB)

$\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.76557 & 0.00399 & -0.05075\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.31992 & 1.32781 & 0.45524\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 0.95507 & 2.15074 & -0.15640\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 2.40672 & 1.30017 & 0.38869\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.03431 & 1.49828 & 1.49242\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -0.77316 & 0.01540 & 0.03248\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & -1.20644 & 0.05861 & 1.30955\end{array}$
F $\quad-1.29333 \quad-1.10476 \quad-0.52519$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & -1.31591 & 1.05992 & -0.60980\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 1.31300 & -1.18289 & 0.74031\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.06453 & -1.10535 & 1.79815\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.39693 & -1.19869 & 0.63359\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 0.91214 & -2.12495 & 0.36323\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}O & 1.12325 & -0.08629 & -1.42332\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 0.83360 & -0.93964 & -1.76792\end{array}$

## Trifluoro-tert-Butanol Anion

| C | 0.81530 | -0.00000 | -0.19810 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.34752 | 1.26557 | 0.55478 |
| H | 0.96545 | 2.15779 | 0.05616 |
| H | 2.43418 | 1.25781 | 0.44789 |
| H | 1.09140 | 1.30684 | 1.62028 |
| C | -0.73729 | 0.00000 | 0.00526 |
| F | -1.15187 | 0.00002 | 1.32684 |
| F | -1.34114 | -1.08274 | -0.54480 |
| F | -1.34114 | 1.08272 | -0.54483 |
| C | 1.34752 | -1.26557 | 0.55478 |
| H | 1.09136 | -1.30685 | 1.62028 |
| H | 2.43418 | -1.25779 | 0.44794 |
| H | 0.96548 | -2.15779 | 0.05615 |
| O | 1.11086 | -0.00001 | -1.48548 |

## Hexafluoro-tert-Butanol (HTB)

| C | -0.00410 | 0.60418 | -0.11451 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.29093 | -0.24564 | 0.02139 |
| F | -1.41163 | -1.15549 | -0.94665 |
| F | -2.37215 | 0.54891 | -0.02929 |
| F | -1.31515 | -0.88911 | 1.20271 |
| C | 1.27088 | -0.27033 | 0.03467 |
| F | 1.44497 | -0.71456 | 1.28816 |
| F | 2.34652 | 0.49332 | -0.27353 |
| F | 1.28423 | -1.32308 | -0.78418 |
| C | 0.00495 | 1.71026 | 0.93842 |
| H | -0.04715 | 1.30251 | 1.94587 |
| H | -0.84742 | 2.36402 | 0.77004 |
| H | 0.92218 | 2.29196 | 0.84906 |
| O | -0.04585 | 1.10742 | -1.42767 |
| H | 0.66331 | 1.75150 | -1.53840 |

## Hexafluoro-tert-Butanol Anion

| C | -0.00000 | 0.66031 | -0.28748 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.27462 | -0.22224 | 0.01734 |
| F | -1.38422 | -1.29875 | -0.78819 |
| F | -2.40070 | 0.50789 | -0.16995 |
| F | -1.37289 | -0.70885 | 1.29815 |
| C | 1.27462 | -0.22224 | 0.01734 |
| F | 1.37290 | -0.70884 | 1.29816 |
| F | 2.40070 | 0.50789 | -0.16995 |
| F | 1.38422 | -1.29875 | -0.78819 |
| C | -0.00000 | 1.81005 | 0.77402 |
| H | 0.00000 | 1.47307 | 1.81439 |
| H | -0.88308 | 2.41966 | 0.58659 |
| H | 0.88308 | 2.41966 | 0.58659 |
| O | -0.00000 | 1.06587 | -1.52940 |

## Trimethylsilanol (TMS)

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Si} & 0.00669 & -0.00000 & 0.03460\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.91103 & 1.52871 & -0.54366\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -1.93305 & 1.55286 & -0.15643\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -0.97413 & 1.55885 & -1.63448\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.40608 & 2.43839 & -0.21159\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 1.76369 & -0.00007 & -0.59181\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.30242 & 0.88266 & -0.24143\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 1.79055 & -0.00009 & -1.68402\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & 2.30241 & -0.88278 & -0.24137\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.91111 & -1.52870 & -0.54358\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -0.97421 & -1.55887 & -1.63440\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -1.93313 & -1.55281 & -0.15634\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -0.40620 & -2.43839 & -0.21148\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.14382 & 0.00005 & 1.69338\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.66212 & 0.00009 & 2.21437\end{array}$

## Trimethylsilanol Anion

| Si | 0.00000 | -0.00003 | 0.22471 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.26124 | 1.71863 | -0.59451 |
| H | -0.52561 | 2.41220 | -0.28042 |
| H | 0.25394 | 1.67178 | -1.69012 |
| H | 1.21881 | 2.14690 | -0.28072 |
| C | 1.35778 | -1.08541 | -0.59473 |
| H | 2.35183 | -0.75061 | -0.28073 |
| H | 1.32080 | -1.05563 | -1.69035 |
| H | 1.25005 | -2.12884 | -0.28096 |
| C | -1.61890 | -0.63299 | -0.59487 |
| H | -1.57465 | -0.61579 | -1.69046 |
| H | -2.46864 | -0.01792 | -0.28105 |
| H | -1.82614 | -1.66125 | -0.28091 |
| O | -0.00014 | -0.00022 | 1.78932 |

## Toluene (TOL)

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.20517 & -1.19277 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 1.19780 & 0.19818 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.00000 & 0.90991 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -1.19623 & 0.18953 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -1.19492 & -1.19837 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.00839 & -1.89645 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.14797 & -1.72508 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.13671 & 0.73903 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -2.13887 & 0.72475 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & -2.13399 & -1.73730 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -0.01330 & 2.41468 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 0.99852 & 2.81973 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.53143 & 2.80467 & 0.87930\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -0.53143 & 2.80467 & -0.87930\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 0.01104 & -2.97873 & 0.00000\end{array}$

## Toluene Anion

| C | -1.13512 | -1.19507 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.24256 | -1.20791 | 0.00002 |
| C | 1.03603 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 |
| C | 0.24256 | 1.20791 | 0.00002 |
| C | -1.13512 | 1.19507 | -0.00000 |
| C | -1.87211 | -0.00000 | -0.00002 |
| H | -1.66432 | -2.14598 | 0.00001 |
| H | 0.76581 | -2.16023 | 0.00005 |
| H | 0.76580 | 2.16024 | 0.00005 |
| H | -1.66432 | 2.14598 | 0.00000 |
| C | 2.41955 | -0.00000 | -0.00003 |
| H | 2.98098 | -0.92692 | -0.00003 |
| H | 2.98099 | 0.92691 | -0.00001 |
| H | -2.95499 | -0.00001 | -0.00004 |

## Nitric Acid (HNO)

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & -0.15178 & 0.03248 & -0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1.14660 & -0.51983 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.72068 & 0.26568 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & -1.02308 & -0.78000 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}O & -0.20579 & 1.23819 & 0.00000\end{array}$

## Nitric Acid Anion

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & -0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.82381 & 0.94859 & -0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & -1.23340 & 0.23915 & 0.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.40959 & -1.18773 & 0.00000\end{array}$

## S7 Chemical Shift Correlations



Figure S 75. Correlation of $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {corr }}$ to ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ chemical shift. Grey dots represent the points that make up the correlation (saturated complexes SIMesAgX), while green dots show the unsaturated compounds (IMesAgX) for reference. A-HMDS is shown as a blue spot, which is the only significant oiutlier. ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.812$ )


Figure S 76. Correlation of $\mathrm{pKa}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ chemical shift. Grey dots represent the points that make up the correlation (saturated complexes SIMesAgX), while green dots show the unsaturated compounds (IMesAgX) for reference. $\left(R^{2}=0.906\right)$
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