Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Science. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supporting Information

Thiahelicenes with Long Persistent Phosphorescence

Zhen Sun, Wan Xu, Shuai Qiu, Zhiying Ma, Chunli Li, Sheng Zhang*, and Hua Wang*

Engineering Research Center for Nanomaterials, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, People Republic of China E-mail: zhang.sheng.2022@henu.edu.cn hwang@henu.edu.cn

Table of Contents

1. General	
2. Results And Discussions	4
2.1. Synthesis Procedures	4
2.1.1. Synthesis of 2	4
2.1.2. Synthesis of TB[4]H	5
2.1.3. Synthesis of 5a	6
2.1.4. Synthesis of 5b	
2.1.5. Synthesis of 5c	9
2.2.6. Synthesis of 3c	11
2.1.7. Synthesis of 7	
2.1.8. Synthesis of 5d	14
2.1.9. Synthesis of TB[5]H	
2.1.10. Synthesis of TB[6]H	
2.1.11. Synthesis of TB[7]H	
2.1.12. Synthesis of TB[8]H	
2.2. Single Crystal X-ray Structures	
2.2.1. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[4]H	
2.2.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[5]H	
2.2.3. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[6]H	
2.2.4. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB [7] H	
2.3. Photophysical Properties	
2.3.1. UV-vis spectra of $\mathbf{TB}[\mathbf{n}]\mathbf{H}$ (n=3-8)	
2.3.2. Afterglow Photographs of Cryogenic Solid	
2.4.1. TD-DFT Calculations of UV-Vis spectra	
2.4.2. Molecular Orbitals of TB [n] H ($n = 3-8$)	
2.4.3. Spin Density of TB [n]H ($n = 3-8$)	
2.4.4. Standard Orientation of Optimized Structures	
2.4.5. Illustration of the Transition Orbital Composition	
2.5. Chiral Resolutions and Chiroptical Spectra	
2.5.1. Chiral Resolution of Racemic TB[7]H	
2.5.2. Racemization Barrier and Simulated CD Spectra	
2.5.3. Comparison of Spectra between Chiral and Achiral Spectra	
3. References	

1. General

Ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) used in the vacuum line were freshly distilled from sodium/ benzophenone prior to use. n-BuLi (in hexane) was acquired from Energy Chemical, and its concentration was titrated using N-pivaloyl-o-toluidine before use.¹ Other regents, including CuCl₂, (¹Pr)₂NH, Ylide salts, iodine, Pd(PPh₃)₄, PPh₃, and so on, were purchased from Shanghai Haohong ScientificCo., Ltd. (I2), Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., etc. Column chromatography was conducted on silica gel (300-400 mesh), while analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on glass plates coated with silica gel GF-254 and detected using UV light. Standard techniques for synthesis under an inert atmosphere were employed, using Schlenk glassware equipped with an 8 mm PTFE vacuum stopcock. All starting materials and reagents were commercially available. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE III 300 MHz, Bruker AVANCE III HD 400MHz, and Bruker AVANCE III HD 500MHz instruments, with CDCl₃ as the solvent. NMR chemical shifts were reported in ppm with reference to residual protons and carbons of CDCl₃ (δ 7.26 ppm in ¹H NMR, δ 77.16 ppm in ¹³C NMR). IR spectra were obtained using an FT-IR instrument (VERTEX 70). HRMS analysis was performed on a mass spectrometer (Waters Micromass Q-Tof MicroTM System) in various ionization modes, including ESI, DART positive, and MALDI. Melting point were determined using a Melt-Temp apparatus (TX4-100) and were uncorrected. X-ray crystallographic diffractions were conducted on a D8 Venture Bruker diffractometer using crystals of TB[4]H, TB[5]H, TB[6]H, and TB[7]H with the dimensions of 0.11 $\times 0.10 \times 0.10$ mm³, $0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.07$ mm³, $0.12 \times 0.09 \times 0.04$ mm³, and $0.21 \times 0.18 \times 0.14$ mm³, respectively. Intensity data was collected in the ω scan mode (296 K) on a diffractometer with a CCD detector using Mo K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The data was corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and absorption corrections were performed using the SADABS program.² Crystal structures were solved using the SHELXTL program and refined using full-matrix least-squares.³ Further details are described in deposited CIF files. Single crystals were grown by slow diffusion of methanol into chloroform solutions of TB[4]H, TB[5]H, TB[6]H, and TB[7]H. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were recorded using a PE Lambda 950 equipment. Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_{FL}) of **TB**[n]H were determined in dichloromethane with quinine sulfate in 0.1 N H₂SO₄ as the control, and all data were recorded on a JY HORIBA FluoroLog-3 equipment. The calculation used the following formula: $\Phi_{FL} = (n_x / n_s) 2 \cdot A_s / A_x \cdot D_x / D_s \cdot \Phi_s$ (n: Refractive index of solvent, D: fluorescence emission area, A: absorbance, Φ_s : fluorescence quantum yield of standard substance, quinine sulfate, $\Phi_s = 0.54$) with the slit fixed at 3/3 nm in all measurements.⁴ Photophysical data of stead-state emission, time-resolved emission and phosphorescence decay were collected on Edinburgh FLS980 Serial of Fluorescence Spectrometers. Circular dichroism (CD) was characterized using a Model 420SF CD spectrometer (Aviv Biomedical Inc.), while circular polarized luminescence was measured with a CPL-300 spectrophotometer (JASCO Inc.). DFT calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory using Gaussian 16 program. Spin-orbital coupling matrix elements were calculated using PySOC program combined with Gaussian 09 program.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis Procedures

Compounds **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **TB[3]H** were synthesized according to our previous work.^{5,6} **5c**⁷ and **7**⁸ were synthesized based on reports of literatures. All other compounds involved in this article are shown in following parts.

2.1.1. Synthesis of 2



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **1** (732.0 mg, 3.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 2-trimethylsilily-4-Bpin-thiophene (1.45 g, 5.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (198.0 mg, 0.17 mmol, 0.05 equiv), and K₂CO₃ (1.19 g, 8.56 mmol, 2.5 equiv). The mixture was degassed under vacuum for 30 min, and then dry THF (60 mL) and deoxygenated H₂O (30 mL) were added sequentially under Ar flow. The solution was heated at 90 °C for 18 h. After cooled to ambient temperature, the separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 20 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C) as eluent gave **2** (614.0 mg, 62%) as a colorless oil. IR (KBr): 3057, 2951, 1253, 838, 761 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.00-7.96 (m, 1H), 7.93-7.89 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.43-7.36 (m, 2H), 0.38 (s, 9H); ¹³C {¹H}NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.3, 140.6, 138.1, 137.8, 134.9, 132.9, 127.5, 124.6, 124.5, 123.1, 123.1, 123.0, 0.1; HRMS (*m/z*) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₁₅H₁₆S₂Si, 288.0457; found, 288.0453.





10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -- Fig. S2 | ${}^{13}C$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 2.

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution FI-MS Report						
Instrument:	Instrument: JEOL-AccuTOF-GCv4G-GCT MS					
Operation Mod	de: FI Positive Ion Mode Counter Electrode: 10000V					
Card Serial Nu	Card Serial Number: GCT-FI-T22-09-OS-244					
Sample Serial	Number:	HNU-TB4H-	Р			
Operator:		Li		Date:	2022/10/19	
m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition		
	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.		~	
288.0453	288.0451	0.76	10.0	C ₁₅ H ₁₂ O ₄ S		
	288.0451	0.81	0.0	C7H20O2N2S3Si	TMS	
	288.0455	-0.81	15.5	C ₁₈ H ₇ O ₂ N F		
	288.0457	-1.46	9.0	C ₁₅ H ₁₆ S ₂ Si	s s	
	288.0449	1.51	6.0	C ₁₃ H ₁₄ OF ₂ S ₂		

Fig. S3 | HRMS (FI positive ion mode) data of 2.

2.1.2. Synthesis of TB[4]H



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **2** (532.0 mg, 1.84 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry Et₂O (50 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was cooled down to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.4 M in hexane, 1.60 mL, 3.87 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added dropwisely. After warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 2 h, the solution was cooled down to -78 °C. (PhSO₂)₂S (591.0 mg, 1.88 mmol, 1.02 equiv) was added into the solution quickly under Ar flow. Then the reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with a drop of CH₃OH and then added water (20 mL). The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 20 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C) as eluent gave **TB[4]H** (311.0 mg, 53%) as a white solid. mp: 140–141 °C; IR (KBr): 3051, 2951, 1246, 842, 755 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 0.43 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.2, 143.7, 143.3, 141.0, 140.4, 132.5, 132.4, 124.8, 124.4, 124.0, 123.3, 121.4, 0.1; HRMS (*m*/z) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₁₉H₁₄S₃Si, 318.0021; found, 318.0024.





10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -Fig. S5 | ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of TB[4]H.

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution FI-MS Report							
Instrument: JEOL-AccuTOF-GCv4G-GCT MS							
Operation Mode: FI Positive Ion Mode Counter Electrode: 10000V							
Card Serial Number: GCT-FI-T22-09-OS-243							
Sample Serial	Sample Serial Number: HNU-TB4H						
Operator:		Li		Date:	2022/10/19		
m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition			
210 0004	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.				
318.0024	318.0024	-0.02	22.0	C 21 N 2 F 2	TMS.		
	318.0024	-0.09	3.0	$C_{10} H_{13} O_2 F_3 S_3$			
	318.0022	0.63	3.0	C9H13U3F3S2S1	s – s		
	318.0027	-0.79	10.0	C12 H8 ON F4 S S1	5		
		0.02	10.0		1		

Fig. S6 | HRMS (FI ionization mode) data of TB[4]H.



2.1.3. Synthesis of 5a

To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **4a** (160.0 mg, 0.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry THF (40 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was cooled down to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 0.17 mL, 0.42 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwisely. After stirring at -78 °C for 2 h, the THF (15 mL) solution of **3b** (100.0 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added into the Schlenk flask dropwisely. The reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with water. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C)/ CH₂Cl₂ (3:1, ν/ν) as eluent gave **5a** (70.0 mg, 75%) as a yellow solid. mp: 186–187 °C; IR (KBr): 3048, 2954, 1620, 1247, 951, 831 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 0.38 (s, 9H) (the multiplet (1H) of phenyl in ortho site was estimated to overlap with the signals in the region of 7.28-7.24 ppm; the signal of one olefin proton was estimated in the same region.); ¹³C {¹H}NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.5, 144.7, 143.8, 140.8, 138.3, 137.8, 136.8, 128.8, 128.2, 127.8, 126.4, 125.1, 122.5, 118.0, 0.1; HRMS (*m/z*) [M+H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₉H₁₈S₃Si, 371.0413; found, 371.0410.



Fig. S7 | ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of **5a**.



10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 - Fig. S8 | 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 5a.

TMS

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT

Instrument: Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ FTICR-MS

Card Serial Number : D20220095

Sample Serial Number: SZ-2-88

Operator : DONG Date: 2021/12/03

Operation Mode: DART POSITIVE

Elemental composition search on mass 371.0410

m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition
	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.	
71.0410	371.0411	-0.23	18.5	C19 H7 O5 N4
	371.0411	-0.28	8.0	C ₁₆ H ₁₈ O ₃ N Cl S ₂
	371.0409	0.34	8.0	C ₁₅ H ₁₈ O ₄ NClSS
	371.0408	0.45	2.5	C12 H23 O N2 S5
	371.0413	-0.69	11.5	C19 H19 S3 Si
	371.0406	1.03	12.5	C19 H15 O4 S2
	371.0406	1.07	2.5	C ₁₁ H ₂₃ O ₂ N ₂ S ₄ Si
	371.0405	1.48	-1.0	C ₈ H ₂₂ O ₅ N ₃ ClS ₃
	371.0416	-1.54	14.0	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O ₄ N ₅ Cl
	371.0404	1.58	17.5	C22 H12 N2 C1 S

Fig. S9 | HRMS (DART Positive ion mode) data of 5a.

2.1.4. Synthesis of 5b



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **4b** (120.0 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry THF (40 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was cooled down to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 0.11 mL, 0.25 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwisely. After stirring at -78 °C for 2 h, the THF (15 mL) solution of **3b** (100.0 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added into the Schlenk flask dropwisely. The reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with water. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C)/ CH₂Cl₂ (5:1, *v/v*) as eluent gave **5b** (60.0 mg, 70%) as a yellow solid. mp: > 300 °C; IR (KBr): 3048, 2948, 1634, 1249, 952, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.86–7.79 (m, 4H), 7.70 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 0.39 (s, 9H); ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.7, 144.9, 143.9, 140.9, 138.5, 138.0, 134.5, 133.9, 133.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 126.7, 126.6, 126.2, 125.1, 123.4, 122.9, 118.0, 0.1; HRMS (*m/z*) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₂₃H₂₀S₃Si, 420.0491; found, 420.0507.



Fig. S10 | ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 5b.







2.1.5. Synthesis of 5c



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **4c** (40.0 mg, 0.08 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry THF (10 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was cooled down to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 0.03 mL, 0.08 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwisely. After stirring at -78 °C for 2 h, the THF (5 mL) solution of **3b** (20.0 mg, 0.07 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added into the Schlenk flask dropwisely. The reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with water. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 5 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C)/ CH₂Cl₂ (5:1, ν/ν) as eluent gave **5c** (20.0 mg, 69%) as a yellow solid. mp: 263.7–265.3 °C; IR (KBr): 3046, 2954, 2385, 1615, 1248, 944, 837 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.74 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.3, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (s, 2H), 7.69 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 0.40 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.7, 144.9, 143.9, 140.9, 138.6, 138.0, 135.1, 132.5, 131.8, 130.7, 130.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 127.2, 126.8, 126.8, 125.1, 124.0, 123.0, 122.8, 121.6, 118.1, 0.1; HRMS (m/z) [M+H]⁺ calcd. for C₂₇H₂₃S₃Si, 471.0726; found, 471.0723.



Fig. S13 | ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of **5**c.



10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 - Fig. S14 | 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 5c.

TMS

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT

SIOC CTS

Instrument: Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ FTICR-MS

Card Serial Number : D20220097

Sample Serial Number: SZ-2-75

Operator : DONG Date: 2021/12/03

Operation Mode: DART POSITIVE

Elemental composition search on mass 471.0723

m/z= 466.	0723-476.0)723		
m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition
	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.	
471.0723	471.0722	0.22	14.0	C ₂₃ H ₂₂ O ₄ N Cl S Si
	471.0724	-0.22	24.5	C27 H11 O5 N4
	471.0724	-0.24	4.0	C16H30ON3ClS4Si
	471.0724	-0.26	14.0	C24 H22 O3 N Cl S2
	471.0721	0.31	8.5	C ₂₀ H ₂₇ ON ₂ S ₅
	471.0726	-0.59	17.5	C27 H23 S3 Si
	471.0726	-0.67	-1.0	C13 H33 O5 N S5 Si
	471.0726	-0.72	4.0	C17 H30 N3 Cl S5
	471.0719	0.77	18.5	C ₂₇ H ₁₉ O ₄ S ₂
	471.0719	0.80	8.5	$C_{19}H_{27}O_2N_2S_4Si$

Fig. S15 | HRMS (DART Positive ion mode) data of 5c.

2.2.6. Synthesis of 3c



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **1** (100.0 mg, 0.29 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry Et₂O (40 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was cooled down to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 0.13 mL, 0.32 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwisely. After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h, iodine (87.7 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added into the Schlenk flask, and then the reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium thiosulfate. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 10 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C) as eluent gave **3c** (103.9 mg, 92%) as a yellow solid. mp: 91–92 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 0.37 (s, 9H); ¹³C {¹H}NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.1, 144.2, 142.4, 139.7, 139.0, 128.8, 125.0, 73.5, -0.1; IR (KBr): 3048, 2955, 1633, 1255, 961, 836 cm⁻¹; HRMS (*m/z*): [M+H]⁺ calcd. for C₁₁H₁₂IS₃Si, 394.8874; found, 394.8910.



210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 Fig. S17 | ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 3c.



Fig. S18 | HRMS (MALDI-FT) spectra of 3c: (a) experimental spectrum; (b) calculated spectrum.

2.1.7. Synthesis of 7



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added **3c** (514.8 mg, 1.32 mmol, 1.1 equiv), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (50.1 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.06 equiv), CuI (13.2 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.06 equiv), and PPh₃ (37.2 mg, 0.12 mmol, 0.12 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry diisopropylamine (40 mL) was added under Ar flow. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h. A solution of **6** (300.0 mg, 1.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in diisopropylamine (20 mL) was transferred by cannula to the reaction mixture over 20 min, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 15 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C) as eluent gave **7** (485.1 mg, 79%) as a yellow solid. mp: 132.5–134.9 °C; IR (KBr): 3054, 2951, 2379, 1610, 1250, 939, 832 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 9.31 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 0.41 (s, 9H); ¹³C {¹H}NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.2, 144.5, 140.6, 140.5, 137.1, 133.8, 133.3, 131.5, 131.4, 130.3, 130.2, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.2, 127.1, 126.8, 126.8, 126.3, 125.5, 125.2, 123.7, 120.5, 94.9, 83.9, 0.1; HRMS (*m*/z) [M+H]⁺ calcd. for C₃₁H₂₃S₃Si, 519.0726; found, 519.0723.



Fig. S19 | ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 7.



10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 - Fig. S20 | 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 7.

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT

Instrument: Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ FTICR-MS

Card Serial Number : D20220023

Sample Serial Number: SZ-3-65

Operator : DONG Date: 2021/12/02

TMS

Operation Mode: DART POSITIVE

Elemental composition search on mass 519.0723

m/z = 514.	0723-524.0)723		
m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition
	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.	
519.0723	519.0723	0.05	17.0	C 25 H 22 O N 5 Br S
	519.0723	0.06	1.5	C ₁₈ H ₃₆ O ₄ Br S ₃ Si
	519.0724	-0.15	28.5	C ₃₁ H ₁₁ O ₅ N ₄
	519.0721	0.34	12.5	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ O N ₂ S 5
	519.0725	-0.38	1.5	C ₁₉ H ₃₆ O ₃ BrS ₄
	519.0726	-0.47	21.5	C ₃₁ H ₂₃ S ₃ Si
	519.0721	0.49	17.0	C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₂ N ₅ Br Si
	519.0726	-0.55	3.0	C ₁₇ H ₃₃ O ₅ NS ₅ Si
	519.0719	0.76	22.5	C ₃₁ H ₁₉ O ₄ S ₂
	519.0719	0.78	12.5	C 23 H 27 O 2 N 2 S 4 Si

Fig. S21 | HRMS (DART positive ion mode) data of 7.

2.1.8. Synthesis of 5d



To an oven-dried Schlenk flask was added 7 (250.0 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv). After degassed under vacuum for 30 min, dry toluene (40 mL), titanium isopropoxide (0.40 mL, 1.45 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and *i*-propylmagnesium chloride (2 M in Et₂O, 1.45 mL, 2.9 mmol, 6.0 equiv) were added sequentially under Ar flow. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then warmed to -40 °C for 2 h. Water (2.5 mL) was added and then warmed to room temperature for 18 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water. The separated water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 15 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether (60–90 °C) / CH₂Cl₂ (5:1, *v/v*) as eluent gave **5d** (222.0 mg, 89%) as a yellow solid. mp: 229.5–231.9 °C; IR (KBr): 3046, 2956, 2911, 1615, 1250, 832 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 9.15 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.11 (s, 1H), 8.04 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 0.40 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H</sup>}NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.7, 144.9, 143.9, 140.9, 138.6, 138.0, 134.8, 133.7, 133.2, 131.6, 130.8, 130.5, 129.2, 128.8, 128.0, 127.8, 127.5, 127.3, 127.1, 127.0, 127.0, 126.5, 126.1, 125.1, 123.2, 123.0, 118.1, 0.1; HRMS (*m/z*) [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₃₁H₂₅S₃Si, 521.0882; found, 521.0883.



^{10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -}Fig. S23 | ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of 5d.



Instrument: Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ FTICR-MS

Card Serial Number : D20220099

Sample Serial Number: SZ-4-19

Operator : DONG Date: 2021/12/03

Operation Mode: DART POSITIVE

Elemental composition search on mass 521.0883

m/z= 516.	0883-526.0	883		
m/z	Theo.	Delta	RDB	Composition
	Mass	(ppm)	equiv.	
521.0883	521.0883	-0.01	2.0	C ₁₇ H ₃₅ O ₅ N S ₅ Si
	521.0883	-0.06	7.0	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ N ₃ ClS ₅
	521.0882	0.07	20.5	C ₃₁ H ₂₅ S ₃ Si
	521.0881	0.36	17.0	C ₂₈ H ₂₄ O ₃ NClS ₂
	521.0881	0.38	7.0	C ₂₀ H ₃₂ ON ₃ ClS ₄ Si
	521.0880	0.39	27.5	C 31 H 13 O 5 N 4
	521.0885	-0.54	23.0	C ₂₈ H ₁₆ O ₄ N ₅ Cl
	521.0878	0.80	17.0	C ₂₇ H ₂₄ O ₄ NClSSi
	521.0887	-0.83	26.5	C ₃₁ H ₁₇ ON ₄ SSi
	521.0878	0.88	11.5	C 24 H 29 O N 2 S 5



2.1.9. Synthesis of TB[5]H



To a photochemical reaction tube was added **5a** (22.6 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dry toluene (10 mL), iodine (23.1 mg, 0.09 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and catalytic amount of propylene oxide. After bubbled with Ar gas for 20 min, the solution was irradiated for 2 h under an argon using a photochemical reactor fitted with a 500-watt mercury lamps. The reaction mixture was washed by aqueous Na₂S₂O₃, water and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether as eluent gave **TB[5]H** (12.4 mg, 76%) as a white solid. mp: 174.5–175.6 °C; IR (KBr): 3049, 2928, 2380, 1634, 1105, 793 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 9.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 0.47 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.8, 143.7, 141.3, 141.1, 140.8, 133.6, 131.9, 129.3, 129.0, 127.7, 126.0, 125.3, 125.2, 125.0, 121.5, 0.1; HRMS (*m/z*) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₁₉H₁₆S₃Si, 368.0166; found, 368.0178.





-

Fig. S27 | HRMS (MALDI positive ion mode) data of TB[5]H.

2.1.10. Synthesis of TB[6]H



To a photochemical reaction tube was added **5b** (49.5 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dry toluene (15 mL), iodine (44.8 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and catalytic amount of propylene oxide. After bubbled with Ar gas for 20 min, the solution was irradiated for 10 h under an argon using a photochemical reactor fitted with a 500-watt mercury lamps. The reaction mixture was washed by aqueous Na₂S₂O₃, water and then dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether as eluent gave **TB[6]H** (33.3 mg, 67%) as a light yellow solid. mp: 174.8–176.4 °C; IR (KBr): 3048, 2957, 2376, 1626, 1250, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.59 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 0.24 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.3, 143.2, 142.4, 142.1, 141.4, 135.1, 132.9, 131.1, 130.6, 129.8, 129.5, 127.6, 127.5, 126.9, 126.8, 126.6, 126.5, 125.4, 124.6, 121.6, -0.2; HRMS (*m/z*) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₂₃H₁₈S₃Si, 418.0335; found, 418.0334.



Fig. S28 | ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of **TB[6]H**.



10 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -Fig. S29 | ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of TB[6]H.

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences HIGH RESOLUTION MS REPORT



Instrument: JMS-S3000 MALDI-TOFMS

Sample Serial Number: SZ-TM-2

Operator: Zhang, Li

Date: 2021/03/25

Operation Mode: MALDI-Positive

Elemental Composition Estimation

Para	meters:												
Mas: 418	s .03351 ± 0.	00 209	Tole 5.0	rance ppm	Elec	tron Mo d/Even	ode	Charge +1	C	BE Rang -0.5 - 200	e .0	Max Res 100	sults
Elen	nents												
С	0 - 23	Н	0 - 200	0	0 - 0	Si	0 - 1	S	0 - 3	F	0 - 0	Na	0 - 0
Resi	ults:												
4	Formula		Maga		DDE	Aba				E	()		

 #
 Formula
 Mass
 DBE
 Abs. Error (u)
 Error (u)
 Error (ppm)

 1
 C23 H18 Si S3
 418.03344
 16.0
 0.00007
 0.00007
 0.17

Fig. S30 | HRMS (MALDI Positive ion mode) data of TB[6]H.

TMS

2.1.11. Synthesis of TB[7]H



To a photochemical reaction tube was added **5c** (11.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dry toluene (15 mL), iodine (8.9 mg, 0.03 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and catalytic amount of propylene oxide. After bubbled with Ar gas for 20 min, the solution was irradiated for 10 h under an argon using a photochemical reactor fitted with a 500-watt mercury lamps. The reaction mixture was washed by aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and water, and dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether as eluent gave **TB**[7]**H** (8.0 mg, 73%) as a light yellow solid. mp: 226.8–228.9 °C; IR (KBr): 3046, 2939, 2385, 1619, 1250, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.11–8.06 (m, 2H), 8.06–7.99 (m, 3H), 7.97–7.87 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 1H), 6.89 (dt, *J* = 8.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 0.07 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.5, 142.4, 141.3, 139.6, 135.5, 132.1, 131.8, 131.7, 131.1, 129.7, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 126.6, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 125.4, 124.2, 124.2, 121.7, -0.2; HRMS (*m/z*) [M]⁺ calcd. for C₂₇H₂₀S₃Si, 468.0473; found, 468.0491.





200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -Fig. S32 | ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of TB[7]H.

National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences HIGH RESOLUTION MS REPORT



Instrument: JMS-S3000 MALDI-TOFMS Sample Serial Number: SZ-TM-3 Operator: Zhang, Li TMS Date: 2021/03/25 Operation Mode: MALDI-Positive Elemental Composition Estimation Parameters: Mass Tolerance Electron Mode Charge **DBE Range** Max Results 468.04728 ± 0.00234 5.0 ppm Odd/Even +1 -0.5 - 200.0 100 Elements С 0 - 27 0 - 2000 0 - 0 Si 0 - 1 S 0 - 3 F 0 - 0 Na 0-0 Results: Formula DBE Abs. Error (u) Error (u) Mass Error (ppm) C27 H20 Si S3 468.04909 **19**.0 0.00181 -0.00181 -3.86 Fig. S33 | HRMS (MALDI Positive ion mode) data of TB[7]H.

2.1.12. Synthesis of TB[8]H



To a photochemical reaction tube was added **5d** (50.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv), dry toluene (15 mL), iodine (72.9 mg, 0.29 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and catalytic amount of propylene oxide. After bubbled with Ar gas for 20 min, the solution was irradiated for 36 h under an argon using a photochemical reactor fitted with a 500-watt mercury lamps. The reaction mixture was washed by aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and water, and dried over MgSO₄. Then the mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation giving crude product. Purification using column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether as eluent gave **TB[8]H** (17.8 mg, 36%) as a light yellow solid. mp: 229.5–231.9 °C; IR (KBr): 3046, 2938, 2385, 1619, 1249, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.12–8.04 (m, 4H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dt, *J* = 7.7, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 0.20 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.6, 141.3, 141.2, 140.0, 135.4, 132.6, 132.2, 131.8, 131.0, 129.8, 129.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 127.2, 126.8, 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 125.4, 125.2, 125.1, 124.1, 124.0, 123.2, 121.9, 0.0; HRMS (*m/z*) [M+H]⁺ calcd. for C₃₁H₂₃S₃S₁, 519.0726; found, 519.0725.



Fig. S34 | ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of TB[8]H.



200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 Fig. S35 | ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of TB[8]H.

> National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT

> > TMS



Instrument: Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ FTICR-MS

Card Serial Number : D20220025

Sample Serial Number: SZ-4-21

Operator : DONG Date: 2021/12/02

Operation Mode: DART POSITIVE

Elemental composition search on mass 519.0725

m/z Theo. Mass Delta (ppm) RDB equiv. Composition 519.0725 519.0724 0.10 28.5 C ₃₁ H ₁₁ O ₅ N ₄ 519.0726 -0.22 21.5 C ₃₁ H ₂₃ S ₃ S ₃	
519.0725 519.0724 0.10 28.5 C 31 H 11 O 5 N 4 519.0726 -0.22 21.5 C 31 H 23 S 3 S 1	
519.0725 519.0724 0.10 28.5 C ₃₁ H ₁₁ O ₅ N ₄ 519.0726 -0.22 21.5 C ₃₁ H ₂₃ S ₃ Si	
519.0726 -0.22 21.5 C ₃₁ H ₂₃ S ₃ Si	
519.0726 -0.30 3.0 C ₁₇ H ₃₃ O ₅ N S ₅ Si	
519.0721 0.59 12.5 C24 H27 ON2 S5	
519.0719 1.01 22.5 C ₃₁ H ₁₉ O ₄ S ₂	
519.0719 1.03 12.5 C ₂₃ H ₂₇ O ₂ N ₂ S ₄ Si	
519.0730 -1.13 27.5 C ₃₁ H ₁₅ ON4 S Si	
519.0717 1.45 22.5 C ₃₀ H ₁₉ O5 S Si	
519.0733 -1.57 27.5 C ₃₂ H ₁₅ N ₄ S ₂	
519.0733 -1.64 9.0 C18 H25 O5 N5 S4	

Fig. S36 | HRMS (DART Positive ion mode) data of TB[8]H.

2.2. Single Crystal X-ray Structures

2.2.1. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[4]H

Table S1 | Crystal data and structure refinement for TB[4]H

Identification code	TB[4]H
Empirical formula	$C_{15}H_{14}S_3Si$
Formula weight	318.53
Temperature	150.0 K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 7.3326(15) \text{ Å} \alpha = 94.479(7)^{\circ}.$
	$b = 8.0726(19) \text{ Å}\beta = 99.553(7)^{\circ}.$
	$c = 14.315(3) \text{ Å} \gamma = 115.167(7)^{\circ}.$
Volume	745.7(3) Å ³
Z	2
Density (calculated)	1.419 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	0.560 mm^{-1}
F(000)	332
Crystal size	$0.11 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	2.827 to 25.249°.
Index ranges	-8<=h<=7, -9<=k<=9, -17<=l<=17
Reflections collected	18627
Independent reflections	2682 [R(int) = 0.0935]
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.3 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.2627 and 0.1719
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	2682 / 0 / 175
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.329
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	$R_1 = 0.1102, wR_2 = 0.2979$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1224, wR_2 = 0.3102$
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.944 and -0.603 e.Å ⁻³



Fig. S37 | Molecular structure for compound **TB[4]H** with thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level (CCDC number: 2292750).

2.2.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[5]H

Table S2 | Crystal data and structure refinement for TB[5]H

Identification code	TRI51H
Empirical formula	C10H16S3Si
Formula weight	368.59
Temperature	150.0 K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pbca
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 12.8509(2) Å \alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	$b = 12.4040(2) \text{ Å}\beta = 90^{\circ}$
	$c = 21.8020(4) \text{ Å} \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	3475.29(10)Å ³
Z	8
Density (calculated)	1.409 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	0.491 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	1536
Crystal size	$0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	2.450 to 28.313°
Index ranges	$-17 \le h \le 16, -15 \le k \le 16, -28 \le l \le 29$
Reflections collected	26331
Independent reflections	4321 [R(int) = 0.0393]
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.9 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.6855
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	4321 / 0 / 211
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.042
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0304, wR_2 = 0.0714$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0401, wR_2 = 0.0774$
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.320 and -0.234 e.Å ⁻³



Fig. S38 | Molecular structure for compound **TB[5]H** with thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level (CCDC number: 2225686).

2.2.3. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[6]H

Table S3 | Crystal data and structure refinement for TB[6]H

Identification code	TB161H
Empirical formula	$C_{23}H_{18}S_3S_1$
Formula weight	418.64
Temperature	149.97 K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pbca
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 21.1138(8) Å \alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	$b = 7.7627(2) \text{ Å} \beta = 90^{\circ}$
	$c = 24.2285(8) \text{ Å} \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	$3971.1(2) Å^3$
Z	8
Density (calculated)	1.400 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	0.440 mm^{-1}
F(000)	1744
Crystal size	$0.12 \times 0.09 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	2.559 to 28.291°
Index ranges	$-24 \le h \le 28, -10 \le k \le 10, -32 \le l \le 31$
Reflections collected	32514
Independent reflections	4925 [R(int) = 0.0791]
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.9 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.3950
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	4925 / 0 / 247
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.038
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0450, wR_2 = 0.1133$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0590, wR_2 = 0.1254$
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.549 and -0.417 e.Å ⁻³



Fig. S39 | Molecular structure for compound **TB[6]H** with thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level (CCDC number: 2225687).

2.2.4. X-ray Crystallographic Data of TB[7]H

Table S4 | Crystal data and structure refinement for TB[7]H

Identification code	TBI7IH
Empirical formula	C ₂₇ H ₂₀ S ₃ Si
Formula weight	468 70
Temperature	149 98 K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 14.2989(3) Å \alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	$b = 12.2575(2) \text{ Å}\beta = 96.9100(10)^{\circ}$
	$c = 12.9384(2) Å\gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	2251.23(7) Å ³
Z	4
Density (calculated)	1.383 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	0.396 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	976
Crystal size	$0.21 \times 0.18 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	2.195 to 28.308°
Index ranges	$-19 \le h \le 19, -14 \le k \le 16, -17 \le l \le 16$
Reflections collected	25550
Independent reflections	5583 [$R(int) = 0.0287$]
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.7 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.6602
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	5583 / 0 / 283
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.036
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0293, wR_2 = 0.0759$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0353, wR_2 = 0.0805$
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.363 and -0.28 e.Å ⁻³





2.2.5. Crystal Structures and Crystal Packings



Fig. S41 | Supplemental X -ray single crystal structures. Crystal structures under space-filling mode and crystal packings of: a TB[4]H; b TB[5]H; c TB[6]H, and d TB[7]H.

2.3. Photophysical Properties

2.3.1. UV-vis spectra of TB[n]H (n=3-8)



Fig. S42 | Comparison of UV-vis and fluorescence spectra. a UV-vis sepctra (DCM, 1×10^{-5} M). b Fluorescence spectra in 2-MeTHF and DCM (1×10^{-5} M).

2.3.2. Comparison of Fluorescence Spectra of Thia[n]helicenes (n=5-8) in 2-MeTHF and DCM

The variation in fluorescence of thiahelienes **TB[n]H** (n=5-8) in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF) and dichloromethane (DCM) were roughly explored. As depicted in Fig. S42b, **TB[5]H** and **TB[7]H** exhibited differences of 12 nm and 9 nm, respectively, in their maximum emissions between the two solvents. The emission wavelengths of evennumbered-ring thiahelicenes, on the other hand, remained relatively constant. Although the small spectral difference were observed, they did not significantly influence the emission characteristics due to the overala similarity in emission profiles. Consequently, 2-MeTHF was selected as the solvent in photophysical research. In the frozen state, 2-MeTHF would form a glass-state solid, which is more suitable for cryogenic photophysical studies. In contrast, DCM crystallized automatically in the frozen state, which could affect the spectral profiles.

The radiative (k_r) and radiationless (k_{nr}) rate of were calculated based on following functions⁹:

$\Phi_{\rm FL} = \frac{\kappa_{\rm r}}{k_{\rm r} + k_{\rm nr}}$	(1)
$ au_{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{r}} + k_{\mathrm{nr}}}$	(2)

2.3.3. Comparison of Fluorescence of Room and Cryogenic Temperature

Normalized spectra based on fluorescence were generated to illustrate the difference in emission between room and cryogenic temperature. Given that **TB[3]H** exhibits no emission at room, the primary focus of the discussion is on **TB[n]H** (n = 4-8). As shown in Fig. S43, there is a gradual decrease in the relative strength of the emission in the short-wavelength region (corresponding to fluorescence) at 77 K, while the emission in the long-wavelength region (corresponding to phosphorescence) becomes more pronounced. The oppositing trend suggests a progressive opening of the intersystem crossing process. Notably, **TB[7]H** exhibits the most significant transition from fluorescence to phosphorescence, aligning

with its highly efficient phosphorescence and longest PL lifetime, as discussed in the maintext. Furthermore, the fluorescence observed at cryogenic temperature displays more fine structures than that at room temperature, and all cryogenic FL spectra exhibit a hypochromatic shift towards the high-energy region. These phenomena can be attributed to suppresses some of nonradiative emission in the frozen state.



Fig. S43 | Emission spectra of a TB[3]H, b TB[4]H, c TB[5]H, d TB[6]H, e TB[7]H, and f TB[8]H. All spectra were normalized based on the fluorescent emission to compare the fluorescence difference at room and cryogenic temperature (77 K, 5×10^{-5} M in 2-MeTHF. Due to the high melting point and the heavy-atom effect of DCM, all emission spectra were recorded in 2-MeTHF.). The spectra of TB[5]H, TB[6]H, TB[7]H, andTB[8]H were simultaneously amplified (dash line) to show the fluorescence clearly.

2.3.2. Afterglow Photographs of Cryogenic Solid

The investigation of solid-state emission was conducted to explore the aggregating luminescent properties of the compounds. At room temperature, none of the compounds exhibited emission under UV light (365 nm) irradiation. This phenomenon can primarily be attributed to aggregation-induced fluorescence quenching. However, all compounds displayed luminescence under irradiation at cryogenic conditions (77 K), with the emission color shifting from light yellow to orange. Notably, **TB[6]H** and **TB[7]H** exhibited higher brightness than the others. Upon discontinuing the irradiation, delayed luminescence appeared and was captured using a cell phone, which was then transferred into images (Fig. S44). The duration of the afterglow in **TB[n]H** compounds did not follow the same trend observed in their solution state. Small molecules like **TB[3]H**, **TB[4]H**, and **TB[5]H** exhibited relatively short afterglow durations of less than 1.1 s, while other helicenes, namely **TB[6]H**, **TB[7]H**, and **TB[8]H**, displayed longer persistent phosphorescence lasting over 1.7 s. **TB[7]H** exhibited the longest duration of 3.2 s, suggesting its potential as a candidate for persistent phosphores in both solution and solid states.



Fig. S44 | Photographs of solid-state phosphorescence of TB[n]H at 77 K. a TB[3]H, b TB[4]H, c TB[5]H, d TB[6]H, e TB[7]H, and f TB[8]H. During the graph capture course, the quartz tube was kept in a Dewar flask which was filled with liquid nitrogen, and the sample was frozen for 10 min before excited. The phosphorescence duration (t_{pd}) of all compounds were also recorded and shown in the figure.

2.4. Computational Calculations

2.4.1. TD-DFT Calculations of UV-Vis spectra

The absorption behaviors of **TB**[**n**]**H** (n = 3-8) can indeed be explained by the elongated conjugation due to the increasing number of benzene rings, but the underlying reasons for this alteration trend were further elucidated through TD-DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. In these calculations, we considered 20 types of states, and transitions triggering absorption beyond 250 nm were recorded (Figure S45). The simulated results revealed that the number of transition paths increased with the accumulation of benzene rings, leading to a gradual reduction in transition energies. This indicates that extended conjugation facilitates low-energy electronic transitions, resulting in bathochromic (red) absorption bands. Moreover, the presence of multiple transition paths gives rise to broader and non-normal absorption bands in higher thia[n]helicenes. Since some of these transitions have lower oscillator strength and are located close to the strong absorption band, complex shoulder bands appear alongside the major absorptions, especially in higher thia[n]helicenes. The alteration in transition behavior is attributable to the expanded π orbitals and, in part, to spatial configuration-induced π -orbital overlap between the terminal rings of thia[n]helicenes.



Fig. S45 | TD-DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31G(d)) of UV-vis absorption spectra of TB[3]H, TB[4]H, TB[5]H, TB[6]H, TB[7]H, and TB[8]H. Transition modes, molecular orbital composition, absorption wavelength, and oscillator strength were summarized in the right table. In all calculations, 20 types of states (*Nstate* = 20) for each molecule were solved, and the data with the wavelength range larger than 250 nm were concluded in table for the purpose of comparison with experimental data. The oscillator strength lower than 0.01 were not listed in the table owing to the negligible influence on absorption, i.e., forbidden transitions.

2.4.2. Molecular Orbitals of TB[n]H (n = 3-8)

The Highest Occupied Molecular Orbitals (HOMOs) and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbitals (LUMOs) of **TB**[**n**]**H** (n = 3-8) were simulated using DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory (Figure S44). The HOMOs are primarily located on the molecular backbone, while the LUMOs show deviations toward the angular acene moieties with increasing ring number. This observation suggests that the fused benzene rings have stronger electron-withdrawing abilities compared to the **DTT** unit. Looking from a side-view perspective, it's apparent that as the ring number increases, the HOMOs between terminal rings become more obstructive. This may induce some through-space interactions between orbitals, leading to changes in electronic structures and excited states. These changes could partially contribute to the alterations observed in absorption and emission properties.



Fig. S46 | **Frontier molecular orbitals, HOMOs and LUMOs. a TB[3]H, b TB[4]H, c TB[5]H, d TB[6]H, e TB[7]H**, and **f TB[8]H**. DFT calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory (isosurface value = 0.04). Every molecular orbital was two modes, namely top view and side view.

2.4.3. Spin Density of TB[n]H (n = 3-8)



Fig. S47 | Spin density distribution of triplet for a TB[3]H, b TB[4]H, c TB[5]H, d TB[6]H, e TB[7]H, and f TB[8]H. DFT calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory (isosurface value = 0.004). Both top views and side view of TB[6]H, TB[7]H, and TB[8]H are shown for more clear observation.

2.4.4. Standard Orientation of Optimized Structures

Table S5 | Optimized structure of TB[3]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center	Atomic	A 4	Coordinates (Angstroms)				Coordinates (Angstroms)	roms)
Number	Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Ζ			
1	6	0	1.66919	0.020409	-0.000006			
2	6	0	0.626954	0.917599	-0.000006			
3	6	0	-0.66936	0.31327	-0.000001			
4	6	0	-0.58493	-1.07383	0.000003			
5	16	0	1.051559	-1.6403	-0.000002			
6	6	0	-2.04362	0.754957	-0.000002			
7	6	0	-2.91853	-0.32391	0.000001			
8	16	0	-2.13518	-1.89423	0.000005			
9	6	0	-2.74426	2.005272	-0.000004			
10	6	0	-4.09853	1.843271	-0.000002			
11	16	0	-4.58537	0.158811	0.000002			
12	14	0	3.518656	0.388907	0			
13	6	0	3.726289	2.268855	-0.000072			
14	6	0	4.314218	-0.35657	-1.546159			
15	6	0	4.314201	-0.35643	1.546235			
16	1	0	0.784282	1.991426	-0.00001			
17	1	0	-2.26224	2.976706	-0.000006			
18	1	0	-4.86426	2.607448	-0.000003			
19	1	0	3.273994	2.728057	0.886501			
20	1	0	4.790948	2.532475	-0.00008			
21	1	0	3.273995	2.727988	-0.88668			
22	1	0	4.178569	-1.44393	-1.585054			
23	1	0	5.392818	-0.15702	-1.567812			
24	1	0	3.87672	0.063334	-2.459163			
25	1	0	3.876692	0.063559	2.459194			
26	1	0	4.178555	-1.44379	1.585229			
27	1	0	5.3928	-0.15687	1.567881			

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -1910.4796612 Hartree.

Table S6 | Optimized structure of TB[4]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center	Atomic	A 4	Coordinates (Angstroms)		
Number	Number	Atomic Type -	Х	Y	Z
1	6	0	2.334849	0.002648	-0.000002
2	6	0	1.102801	0.613387	-0.000002
3	6	0	-0.00467	-0.293534	-0.000001
4	6	0	0.424903	-1.616198	0
5	16	0	2.150005	-1.758526	-0.000001
6	6	0	-1.4453	-0.212498	0
7	6	0	-2.02044	-1.469268	0
8	16	0	-0.87315	-2.792719	0
9	6	0	-2.44047	0.835517	0
10	6	0	-3.75538	0.302591	0
11	16	0	-3.76896	-1.471198	0.000001
12	14	0	-2.28288	2.231695	-0.000001
13	6	0	-3.40394	3.053295	-0.000001
14	6	0	-4.69667	2.505637	0
15	6	0	-4.88253	1.125591	0
16	1	0	4.035324	0.819511	0
17	1	0	3.768985	2.691937	-0.000008
18	1	0	4.989955	0.294588	-1.546514
19	1	0	4.989943	0.294601	1.546526
20	1	0	0.993626	1.692651	-0.000002
21	1	0	-1.28714	2.665327	-0.000001
22	1	0	-3.27817	4.13238	-0.000001
23	1	0	-5.56213	3.16211	0
24	1	0	-5.88211	0.700494	0.000001
25	1	0	3.217156	3.02456	0.886736
26	1	0	4.734886	3.211572	-0.000004
27	1	0	3.217167	3.024554	-0.88676
28	1	0	5.12889	-0.792355	-1.585336
29	1	0	5.985094	0.755948	-1.568662
30	1	0	4.461462	0.592554	-2.45931
31	1	0	5.985085	0.755957	1.568675
32	1	0	4.461445	0.592582	2.459314
33	1	0	5.128873	-0.792341	1.585364

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2064.1322527 Hartree.

Table S7 | Optimized structure of TB[5]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center	Atomic	A 4	Coordinates (Angstroms)		
Number	Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Z
1	16	0	2.86079	-1.77643	-0.15904
2	16	0	0.126582	-3.38105	-0.20121
3	16	0	-2.94451	-2.73702	0.089911
4	14	0	4.197795	1.093203	0.163147
5	6	0	1.376486	0.339406	0.038904
6	1	0	1.084795	1.36915	0.190355
7	6	0	2.700251	-0.02788	0.0156
8	6	0	0.450716	-0.74921	-0.09766
9	6	0	1.141072	-1.95928	-0.1862
10	6	0	-0.98641	-0.96713	-0.09049
11	6	0	-1.26511	-2.33021	-0.09607
12	6	0	-2.20958	-0.18815	-0.00596
13	6	0	-3.33224	-1.01913	0.157998
14	6	0	-4.6362	-0.52204	0.368528
15	1	0	-5.46538	-1.20743	0.514283
16	6	0	-4.8252	0.835221	0.404865
17	1	0	-5.81399	1.245497	0.589798
18	6	0	-3.7481	1.734684	0.158284
19	6	0	-2.43054	1.228493	-0.09682
20	6	0	-1.42952	2.148993	-0.48987
21	1	0	-0.46833	1.772773	-0.80703
22	6	0	-1.68114	3.503925	-0.54617
23	1	0	-0.89528	4.18468	-0.86103
24	6	0	-2.95699	4.007664	-0.21657
25	1	0	-3.1454	5.076674	-0.25177
26	6	0	-3.96937	3.134079	0.113662
27	1	0	-4.9683	3.505804	0.327755
28	6	0	3.558121	2.864259	0.269213
29	1	0	4.393401	3.56794	0.364336
30	1	0	2.991588	3.140488	-0.6272
31	1	0	2.90623	3.005069	1.138764
32	6	0	5.290007	0.868329	-1.35826
33	1	0	5.632259	-0.16901	-1.45173
34	1	0	4.745157	1.121261	-2.2746
35	1	0	6.178752	1.508465	-1.30446
36	6	0	5.165142	0.640225	1.717654
37	1	0	6.054928	1.271604	1.829223
38	1	0	4.547663	0.764129	2.614263
39	1	0	5.498721	-0.40355	1.686617

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2217.7701188 Hartree.

Table S8 | Optimized structure of TB[6]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center	Atomic	A da unia Trans	Coordinates (Angstroms)		
Number	Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Ζ
1	16	0	2.979209	-3.11426	0.142752
2	16	0	-2.84347	-2.21293	0.08627
3	16	0	-0.09379	-3.7795	0.451164
4	14	0	-4.13916	0.646376	-0.52651
5	6	0	-0.44033	-1.18502	0.046654
6	6	0	-1.34663	-0.12226	-0.27037
7	1	0	-1.02984	0.886034	-0.49229
8	6	0	0.992446	-1.37231	0.156944
9	6	0	2.195536	-0.57346	-0.00741
10	6	0	-0.38799	2.493026	1.873953
11	6	0	-2.66931	-0.49053	-0.27499
12	6	0	1.462359	1.832702	0.428025
13	6	0	2.390643	0.842852	-0.08781
14	6	0	0.476348	1.52596	1.393861
15	6	0	1.624996	3.202592	0.056127
16	6	0	3.328925	-1.40723	-0.14701
17	6	0	-1.12619	-2.38434	0.228335
18	6	0	0.702821	4.164884	0.525249
19	1	0	0.822833	5.19715	0.206302
20	6	0	2.773454	3.593969	-0.70405
21	1	0	2.878765	4.637834	-0.98728
22	6	0	-0.30098	3.818191	1.409123
23	1	0	-0.99274	4.571476	1.774962
24	6	0	3.758137	2.695881	-0.97655
25	1	0	4.674277	3.012454	-1.46821
26	6	0	1.292045	-2.72055	0.310601
27	6	0	3.616686	1.307739	-0.64644
28	6	0	-5.10184	0.766111	1.092502
29	1	0	-4.47079	1.172947	1.890744
30	1	0	-5.45431	-0.21939	1.418347
31	1	0	-5.97807	1.41742	0.98851
32	6	0	-5.25157	-0.04768	-1.88263
33	1	0	-6.1255	0.594374	-2.0457
34	1	0	-5.61824	-1.04806	-1.6238
35	1	0	-4.7095	-0.1287	-2.83138
36	6	0	-3.45041	2.333099	-1.01186
37	1	0	-4.26314	3.058158	-1.13842
38	1	0	-2.90003	2.281898	-1.95799
39	1	0	-2.76788	2.724487	-0.24892
40	6	0	4.582916	-0.92514	-0.55363
41	1	0	5.424106	-1.601	-0.67099
42	6	0	4.692233	0.410714	-0.86842
43	1	0	5.624207	0.803777	-1.26472
44	1	0	-1.1323	2.224632	2.617973
45	1	0	0.409336	0.517106	1.779771

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2371.405684 Hartree.

Table S9 | Optimized structure of TB[7]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center Atomic	A T	Coordinates (Angstroms)		
Number Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Ζ
1 16	0	3.393285	-2.92286	0.143171
2 16	0	-2.48391	-2.57256	-0.25405
3 16	0	0.378239	-3.87483	0.253228
4 14	0	-4.02149	0.130092	-0.95235
5 6	0	-0.18277	-1.33431	-0.2488
6 6	0	-1.16836	-0.36362	-0.61766
7 1	0	-0.93583	0.664181	-0.85396
8 6	0	1.252326	-1.38983	-0.07211
9 6	Õ	2.379231	-0.4771	-0.1411
10 6	0	0.472505	0.550874	2.061311
11 6	0	-0.76316	2.475466	1.232468
12 6	Ő	-2.45151	-0.84862	-0.65092
13 6	Ő	1.365865	1.868216	0.101781
14 6	Ő	2.431718	0.95407	-0.25363
15 6	Ő	0 361947	1 598979	1 114816
16 6	Ő	1 36559	3 1 5 3 9 7 8	-0 49928
17 6	0	3 59678	-1 19334	-0.15339
18 6	0	-0 76403	-2 58604	-0.05911
19 6	0	-1 78549	2 182745	2 165129
20 1	0	-2 64442	2.102745	2.105125
20 1	0	0 23419	4 013366	-0 34948
21 0 1	0	0.235228	4 960226	-0.88287
22 1	0	-0.52561	0.301330	2 980791
23 0	0	2 517250	3 61/1	-1 20384
25 1	0	2.317232	4 611708	-1 63403
25 6	0	-0.81897	3 662219	0 441204
20 0	0	-1 68609	4 310858	0.534073
28 6	0	3 649805	2 856119	-1 24701
29 1	0	4 564117	3 245352	-1.68671
30 6	0	1.666812	-2 69748	0 143686
31 6	0	-1 68535	1 000568	3 012603
32 1	0	-2 4763	0.885021	3 725269
33 6	0	3 64421	1 510461	-0 77279
34 6	0	-4 93565	0.342207	0.684775
35 1	0	-4.29029	0.837253	1 /1782/
36 1	0	-5.22825	-0.62873	1 101103
37 1	0	-5.84505	0.02073	0 561846
38 6	0	-5.11144	-0.80617	-2 17558
30 1	0	-6.049	-0.26874	-2.36105
40 1	0	5 37082	1 80217	1 70710
40 1	0	-5.57082	-1.80217	-1./9/19
41 1	0	-4.00107	-0.93919	-5.15599
42 0	0	-3.3120	2 426680	-1.03002
45 1	0	-4.39343	2.430009	-1.01/01
44 1	0	-2.99301	1.095501	-2.009
45 1	0	-2.8+303	0.5067	-0.90073
40 0 47 1	0	4.029029 5 711500	-0.3907	-0.40932
	0	J.141J70 1 875017	-1.10413	-0.40403
40 0 1	0	5 732/2	1 107247	-0.04027
4 7 1 50 1	0	-0 41021	-0.51511	-1.17//
51 1	0	1.364341	-0.06033	2.073375

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2525.2009422 Hartree.

Table S10 | Optimized structure of TB[8]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation					
Center	Atomic	Atomic Type	Co	ordinates (Angstre	oms)
Number	Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Z
1	16	0	3.306282	-2.67917	-0.88004
2	16	0	-2.57689	-2.32624	-0.72979
3	16	0	0.310915	-3.66252	-0.64004
4	14	0	-4.16458	0.403915	-1.08951
5	6	0	-0.28126	-1.08237	-0.74328
6	6	0	-1.28766	-0.08023	-0.91893
7	1	0	-1.06829	0.969859	-1.0477
8	6	0	1.162081	-1.1503	-0.70058
9	6	0	2.280646	-0.23035	-0.70478
10	6	0	0.48439	0.313452	1.8919
11	6	0	-0.82638	2.306131	1.316788
12	6	0	-2.57147	-0.56758	-0.92037
13	6	0	1.311673	1.997646	0.134977
14	6	0	2.343235	1.188342	-0.49625
15	6	0	0.337903	1.519125	1.091672
16	6	0	1.287188	3.385917	-0.19438
17	6	0	3.492934	-0.92407	-0.91835
18	6	0	-0.8486	-2.35241	-0.66905
19	6	0	-1.93251	1.762662	2.041031
20	1	0	-2.82723	2.371659	2.140267
21	6	0	0.157673	4.179279	0.143528
22	1	0	0.146278	5.222141	-0.16144
23	6	0	-0.66531	-0.23708	2.536091
24	6	0	2.411794	3.99235	-0.83056
25	1	0	2.376416	5.059567	-1.03175
26	6	0	-0.9021	3.631617	0.809739
27	1	0	-1.79483	4.216835	1.013794
28	6	0	3.531873	3.266252	-1.10063
29	1	0	4.426551	3.743743	-1.49083
30	6	0	1.587303	-2.47196	-0.71918
31	6	0	-1.88685	0.502836	2.554146
32	1	0	-2.75088	0.070093	3.050657
33	6	0	3.530937	1.846938	-0.94636
34	6	0	-5.16373	0.271657	0.506591
35	1	0	-4.60535	0.68895	1.350053
36	1	0	-5.39065	-0.77464	0.742575
37	1	0	-6.11584	0.809667	0.424352
38	6	0	-5.17379	-0.31562	-2.51317
39	1	0	-6.11865	0.225863	-2.64296
40	1	0	-5.41676	-1.36992	-2.33539
41	1	0	-4.6185	-0.25868	-3.45603
42	6	0	-3.6979	2.194693	-1.44658
43	1	0	-4.5952	2.821366	-1.51282
44	1	0	-3.15982	2.28111	-2.39722
45	1	0	-3.05512	2.606321	-0.66226
46	6	0	4.709956	-0.27179	-1.17579
47	1	0	5.616589	-0.84028	-1.35736
48	6	0	4.696448	1.100532	-1.26366
49	1	0	5.592452	1.640217	-1.5567
50	6	0	1.72909	-0.31357	2.135869
51	6	0	-0.56213	-1.47096	3.218975
52	6	0	0.65289	-2.10992	3.350321
53	1	0	0.721527	-3.05665	3.877957
54	6	0	1.814121	-1.49724	2.84128
55	1	0	2.785529	-1.955	3.003162
56	1	0	-1.45942	-1.8922	3.664794
57	1	0	2.635693	0.148112	1.769749

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2678.8614708 Hartree.

 Table S11 | Optimized structure of transition-state TB[7]H (B3LYP/6-31G*).



Standard orientation

Center	Atomic	. т	Coordinates (Angstroms)			
Number	Number	Atomic Type	Х	Y	Ζ	
1	6	0	-4.24247	-1.5402	1.881124	
2	6	0	-3.62071	-2.63628	1.376571	
3	6	0	-3.85174	-0.25218	1.43269	
4	6	0	-2.47805	-2.42652	0.590171	
5	6	0	-2.61696	-0.01281	0.755584	
6	6	0	-1.79587	-1.19905	0.468999	
7	6	0	-4.90135	0.714121	1.450354	
8	6	0	-4.87645	1.748929	0.581095	
9	6	0	-3.68892	2.017975	-0.14817	
10	6	0	-2.50486	1.302629	0.120735	
11	1	0	-5.14623	-1.63609	2.473519	
12	1	0	-4.00422	-3.63841	1.534859	
13	1	0	-5.78666	0.499325	2.040031	
14	1	0	-5.73769	2.394793	0.441642	
15	6	Ő	-3 70724	3 04665	-1 14634	
16	6	Ő	-1 29037	1 993248	-0.26241	
17	6	Ő	-1 31782	3 008548	-1 25624	
18	6	Ő	-2 57633	3 449066	-1 76865	
19	1	Ő	-4 67019	3 476516	-1 40551	
20	1	0	-2 59799	4 197521	-2 55466	
20	6	0 0	-0.56931	-2 67301	-0.89415	
21	6	0	-0 50814	-1 46582	-0 22179	
22	6	0	1 632595	-1 73231	-1 18227	
23	6	0	0.888791	-1.01047	-0.26193	
25	6	0	1 785648	-0.26501	0.57847	
25	6	0	-0 12765	1 874332	0.508807	
20	6	0	-0 13347	3 697294	-1 58098	
28	6	0	3 101336	-0.32867	0.221509	
20	6	0 0	0.993063	2 630408	0.221309	
30	6	Ô	1.012671	3 510863	-0.8434	
31	1	Ô	1 482109	0.258831	1 469511	
32	1	0 0	-0 17202	1 227076	1 374545	
33	1	Ô	1 8629	2 552143	0.886784	
34	1	Ő	1 908963	4 080274	-1.06797	
35	1	Ő	-0 1637	4 437259	-2 37548	
36	16	Ő	3 318631	-1 38303	-1 15853	
37	16	Ő	0.807545	-3 09969	-1 86277	
38	16	Ő	-1 87437	-3 70298	-0.44055	
39	14	Ő	4 538461	0.58595	1 006474	
40	6	Ô	6.007535	-0 578	1 124751	
41	1	Ô	6 313045	-0.94163	0 137902	
42	1	Ő	6 870086	-0.07166	1 570778	
43	1	Ő	5 771829	-1 44999	1 742722	
44	6	Ő	3 995287	1 134763	2 71942	
45	1	Ő	3.153983	1.834289	2.688399	
46	1	õ	3.697285	0.279259	3.333484	
47	1	õ	4.820762	1.641046	3.230851	
48	6	Ő	4.987565	2.071667	-0.05433	
49	1	Ő	4.137433	2.750951	-0.17079	
50	1	õ	5.812882	2.636822	0.391891	
51	1	0	5.299392	1.758582	-1.05593	

The total electronic energy was calculated to be -2525.1323306 Hartree.

2.4.5. Illustration of the Transition Orbital Composition

The orbital transition composition (or transition configuration) refers to the transition between different occupied and unoccupied orbitals. In the primary content, having the same orbital transition composition for the T_n state as the S_1 state indicates that the Tn states share a common transition path from occupied to unoccupied orbitals, such as HOMO→LUMO (H→L), HOMO-1→LUMO (H-1→L), HOMO→LUMO+1 (H→L+1). In this study, we computed the orbital transition compositions of S_1 and T_n states for TB[n]H (n=3-8). Taking TB[3]H as an example, the orbital transition composition of the S_1 state involves three transition configurations: H→L, H–1→L, and H→L+1. The intersystem crossing (ISC) process necessitates that the triplets have these transition configurations. Upon analyzing the triplet states, we observed that T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , and T_6 states contain the same transition configurations as the S_1 state, albeit with different contributions (Fig. S51). Similarly, we applied this analysis to the other molecules in this study.



Fig. S48 | The diagram of transition from the first excited singlet (S_1) to triplets (T_n) for TB[3]H. The transition orbital compositions of S_1 state and triplet states are highlighted with blue dashed frame with the related contribution in each bracket.

2.5. Chiral Resolutions and Chiroptical Spectra

2.5.1. Chiral Resolution of Racemic TB[7]H

The racemic mixture of **TB**[7]**H** was subjected to chiral resolution through preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a supercritical fluid chromatographic technique with a CO₂/isopropanol mixture (65:35, v/v) as the mobile phase. A chiral chromatographic column coated with polysaccharide derivatives in the normal phase (EnantioPak®Y1, Guangzhou Research & Creativity Biotechnology, Co., Ltd) was employed for the separation. The HPLC chromatograms are displayed in Fig. S48. The first eluted enantiomer ((*P*)-**TB**[7]**H**) had a retention time (Rt) of approximately 6.5 minutes, while the second eluted enantiomer ((*M*)-**TB**[7]**H**) had an Rt of approximately 7.8 minutes. This significant difference in Rt facilitated their successful separation, resulting in (*P*)-**TB**[7]**H** and (*M*)-**TB**[7]**H** with purities of 99.795% and 99.10%, respectively.



Fig. S49 | HPLC spectra of TB[7]H. a before separation, b the first eluted enantiomer, i.e. (M)-TB[7]H; c the second eluted enantiomer, i.e. (P)-TB[7]H.

2.5.2. Racemization Barrier and Simulated CD Spectra

The racemization barrier was calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory. The transition state exhibited ted a saddle-shaped configurationon, which is similar as the currently accepted transition structure in literatures. The

racemization barrier was determined as 43.2 kcal/mol, coinciding with the majority of [7]helicenes (Fig. S49a).¹⁰ The higher interconversion energy indicated that the two conformers are stable enough to be separated, stored, and chiroptical studies.¹¹

The CD spectra of both enantiomers of TB[7]H were simulated through TD-DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. These enantiomers displayed mirror-image CD profiles. Specifically, (*P*)-TB[7]H exhibited positive signals in the wavelength range of 270-330 nm and 360-420 nm, while (*M*)-TB[7]H exhibited negative signals in the same regions. Based on the agreement between the simulated and experimental CD spectra, the absolute configurations of the two HPLC-eluted fractions can be confidently assigned as follows: the first eluted fraction (Rt: 6.493 min) corresponds to the (*M*)-enantiomer, which exhibits a left-handed helix configuration; the second eluted fraction (Rt: 7.669 min) corresponds to the (*P*)-enantiomer, presenting a right-handed helix configuration (Fig. S49b).



Fig. S50 | **Calculations of** *P* **and** *M* **conformers of TBH. a** Interconversion barrier of P and M conformers (B3LYP/6-31G*). b Simulated CD spectra and optimized structures of (*P*)-**TB**[7]**H** and (*M*)-**TB**[7]**H** at the theory level of B3LYP/6-31G(d).

2.5.3. Comparison of Spectra between Chiral and Achiral Spectra



Fig. S51 | **Spectra Comparison of racemic TB[7]H and enantiomers** ((*M*)-**TB[7]H and** (*P*)-**TB[7]H**): a UV-vis and CD spectra; b phosphorescent and CPL spectra (77 K).

3. References

- 1. J. Suffert, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 509-510.
- 2. G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS. University of Gottingen, Germany, 1996.
- 3. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, version 5.1; Bruker analytical X-ray systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1997.
- 4. G. A. Crosby and J. N. Demas, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 991–1024.

- 5. C. Li, J. Shi, L. Xu, Y. Wang, Y. Cheng and H. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 408–411.
- 6. Z. Wang, C. Zhao, D. Xue, C. Li, J. Zhang and H. Wang, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 2168–2174.
- 7. S. Abbate, C. Bazzini, T. Caronna, F. Fontana, C. Gambarotti, F. Gangemi, G. Longhi, A. Mele, I. N. Sora, W. Panzeri, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 139–148.
- 8. M. E. S. Moussa, K. Guillois, W. Shen, R. Réau, J. Crassous, C. Lescop, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 14853–14867.
- 9. J. R. Albani, in *Principles and applications of fluorescence spectroscopy* 96-101. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470692059.ch7 (Blcakwell Publishing Press: Oxford, UK, 2007).
- 10. P. Ravat, Chem. Eur. J., 2021, 27, 3957–3967.
- 11. E. Licandro, S. Cauteruccio, D. Dova, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 2016, 118, 1-46.