Supplementary Information

Machine Learning Potential for Modelling H₂ Adsorption/Diffusion in MOFs with Open Metal Sites

Shanping Liu,^a Romain Dupuis,^{a,b} Dong Fan,^a Salma Benzaria,^c Mickaele Bonneau,^c Prashant Bhatt,^c Mohamed Eddaoudi,^{c,*} and Guillaume Maurin ^{a,*}

a. ICGM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, 34293, France

b. LMGC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France

 c. Division of Physical Science and Engineering, Advanced Membrane and Porous Materials Center, King Abdullah, University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

H ₂ loading [per unit-cell]	Number of atoms	Number of configurations
8	448	1575
24	480	11574
40	512	2236
57	546	2148
103	638	2204
134	700	1882

Supplementary Table S1 | Data preparation for the MLP training of the H₂@Al-soc-MOF-1d system (including DFT-optimizations and AIMD runs at 10-100K).



Supplementary Fig. S1 | MLP derived energies *vs* the corresponding DFT values in the validation dataset.



Supplementary Fig. S2 | MLP derived forces vs the corresponding DFT values in the validation dataset.



Supplementary Fig. S3 | Evolution of the energy over the MLP-MD trajectories for 24 H₂@Al-soc-MOF at (a-d) 55 K, 66 K, 77 K, and 80 K, respectively.



Supplementary Fig. S4 | The CPU time comparison between AIMD and MLP-MD simulations at 10K and 77K temperatures ($24 H_2$ loading per unit-cell). For each system, the same CPU (192-cores) was used to compute the average time per MD time step in seconds.



Supplementary Fig. S5 | MLP-MD simulated mean square displacement (MSD) of H₂ molecules (24 H₂ per unit-cell loading) *versus* simulation time at different temperatures.



Supplementary Fig. S6 | Experimental H₂ adsorption isotherms collected at 77 K up to 1 bar.