Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Sustainable Energy & Fuels. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

## **Supporting Information**

## Promoting the Stability of Organic Photovoltaics by Planar Heterojunction optimization

Weixia Lan,<sup>a</sup> Xiaohui Gao,<sup>a</sup> Xian Wu,<sup>a</sup> Qiqi Ding,<sup>a</sup> Wei Shi,<sup>a</sup> Yingjie Liao,<sup>a</sup> Yuanyuan Liu,<sup>a</sup> Wing Chung Tsoi,<sup>\*b</sup> and Bin Wei<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mechatronic Engineering and Automation, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China

<sup>b</sup>.SPECIFIC, College of Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom

E-mail: w.c.tsoi@swansea.ac.uk; bwei@shu.edu.cn



**Fig. S1** AFM images of different time periods: (a) PEDOT: PSS/PBDB-T: ITIC film at 0 h, (b) PEDOT: PSS/ PBDB-T/ITIC film at 0 h, (c) PEDOT: PSS/PBDB-T: ITIC film at 120 h, (d) PEDOT: PSS/ PBDB-T/ITIC film at 120 h.

 Table S1 Roughness values of PBDB-T: ITIC thin films and PBDB-T/ITIC thin films at different time periods.

Device	Time (h)	RMS (nm)
DDDD T. ITIC	0	2.84
rdud-1: IIIC	120	6.10



water contact angle

ethylene glycol contact angle

Fig. S2 Contact angles of PEDOT: PSS/PBDB-T: ITIC film and PEDOT: PPS/PBDB-T/ITIC film at different time periods: (a) water contact angle, (b) ethylene glycol contact angle.

Table S2 Surface energy parameters	of deionized water and	ethylene glycol.
------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------

Device	Surface energy parameters				
	$\gamma_L^{\ d}$	$\gamma_L^p$	$\gamma_L$		
deionized water	29.30	18.90	48.20		
ethylene glycol	22.10	50.70	72.80		



Note:  $\gamma^d$  is the dispersion component,  $\gamma^p$  is the polarity component.

**Fig. S3**  $J^{0.5} - V$  curves for different time periods: (a) based on PBDB-T: ITIC BHJ OPV, (b) based on PBDB-T/ITIC PHJ OPV.

Device	Time	$J_{SC}$	$V_{OC}$	FF	PCE	Rs
	(h)	(mA•cm-2)	(V)	(%)	(%)	$(m\Omega)$
PBDB-T: ITIC	0	15.28±0.25	0.87	68.26±0.22	9.09±0.32	1074
	120	10.21±0.15	0.60	43.01±0.28	2.64±0.21	14904
	240	4.51±0.19	0.42	35.77±0.20	0.68±0.23	19128
PBDB-T/ITIC	0	10.21±0.21	0.81	60.72±0.24	5.03±0.27	1527
	120	7.46±0.22	0.76	51.23±0.15	$2.98 \pm 0.24$	9989
	240	5.34±0.19	0.61	47.99±0.21	1.57±0.18	15804

Table S3 Device performance results based on PBDB-T: ITIC BHJ and PBDB-T/ITIC PHJ.

Note: results are averaged from more than 20 devices.



Fig. S4 Normalized (a) PCE, (b) J<sub>SC</sub>, (c) V<sub>OC</sub>, and (d) FF over time based on PM6: Y6 BHJ and PM6/Y6 PHJ OPV

measurements.

Table S4 Device performance results based on PM6: Y6 BHJ and PM6/Y6 PHJ OPV.

Device	Time	$J_{SC}$	$V_{OC}$	FF	PCE
	(h)	(mA•cm-2)	(V)	(%)	(%)
PM6: Y6	0 h	26.59±0.30	0.84	67.26±0.27	15.05±0.32
	24 h	18.88±0.26	0.50	47.80±0.25	4.52±0.28
PM6/Y6	0 h	23.36±0.22	0.83	62.43±0.30	12.13±0.28

24 h	19.21±0.24	0.63	48.75±0.26	5.91±0.25

Note: results are averaged form more than 20 devices.



Fig. S5 The schematic diagram of the device structure prepared in this work.



Fig. S6 Active layer materials used in this work: (a) PBDB-T, (b) ITIC, (c) PM6, (d) Y6.



Fig. S7 Flow chart of active layer preparation: (a) PHJ, (b) BHJ.