Supplementary Material

Direct regeneration of spent graphite anode material via a simple

thermal treatment method

Xiaoxue Li ^a, Baoyu Wu ^a, Hao Sun ^a, Kai Zhu^{*} ^a, Yinyi Gao ^a, Tianzeng Bao ^b, Hongbin Wu ^b, and Dianxue Cao^{* a} ^aKey Laboratory of Superlight Materials and Surface Technology of Ministry of Education, College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering 1, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001,(P. R. China) ^bHunan Hongshan New Energy Technology Co.2, Ltd, Henglongqiao Town, Heshan District, Yiyang City, Hunan Province, 413000 (P. R. China)

*Corresponding authors.

E-mail: <u>caodianxue@hrbeu.edu.cn</u> E-mail: <u>kzhu@hrbeu.edu.cn</u>

Supplementary electrochemical measurements

"A well-mixed slurry is applied to the copper foil with a mass loading of the active material of approximately 0.9 mg, and the mass loading of the active material does not fluctuate up or down by more than 0.1 mg."

"Placing coin cells in a temperature-regulated incubator at 26°C during testing, helps minimize variations arising from fluctuations in ambient temperature."



Supplementary Fig S1: thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the spent graphite (SG)



Supplementary Fig S2: comparison of graphite copper foil stripped by heat treatment



Supplementary Fig S3: SG-EDX



Supplementary Fig S4: W-RG-EDX



Supplementary Fig S5: line profiles of (a) W-RG, and (b) SG



Supplementary Fig S6: white crystals from evaporation of washing solution.



Supplementary Fig S7: XRD of LiF roasted with CO₂.



Supplementary Fig S8: XPS survey scan of (a) SG, and (b) W-RG.



Supplementary Fig S9: Cumulative pore volume as a function of pore size, all based on BJH theory.



Supplementary Fig S10: Cumulative pore volume as a function of pore size, all based on BJH theory.



Supplementary Fig S11: Equivalent circuit models for W-RG, SG and CG Supplementary Table S1 :

The metal impurity content of each sample.					
Metal(ppm) Sample	Li	Fe	Cu		
	85.51	27.16	34.69		
SG	93.6	30.98	38.75		
	87.64	31.54	31.52		
WG	38.46	9.516	10.83		
	34.91	9.156	9.826		
	40.2	9.173	11.51		
N-RG	28.43	8.93867	8.402		
	24.92	8.76717	8.74		
	20.84	8.59567	8.082		
W-RG	0.2736	3.82	4.882		
	0.3461	3.597	4.018		
	0.2208	2.835	3.597		

Supplementary Table S2 :

The electrochemical properties of graphite recovered using different methods are demonstrated.

Recycling methods	Initial	Discharge specific	Author
	coulombic	capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	
	efficiency (%)		
Bituminous coating ^{S1}		394 (1C)	YiHua
			Xiao
Carbon modification ^{S2}	82.47	263.38(0.5C)	Yongzhi
			Chen
Water treatment ^{S3}	75.90	345(0.2C)	Huirong
			Wang

Calcine	dacid leaching ⁸⁴		370(0.1C)		Dan Yang Kui Liu
Structural reconstruction ⁸⁵		92.8%	147.26(50 m/	$(4 g^{-1})$	
Supplementa	ury Table S3 :				
	Table S3. The parameter	eters of the fitted c	ircuit data for each s	sample.	
Sample	R _S	Rct	CPE ₂	Wo	
SG	20.16	135.62	3.8099 E-5	516.5	;
W-RG	2.091	84.56	1.6629E-5	204.8	3

[S1]Y Xiao, J Li, W Huang, et al. Green & efficient regeneration of graphite anode from spent lithium ion batteries enabled by asphalt coating[J]. Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics. 2022, 33(21): 16740-16752.

1.5978E-5

213.3

82.4

CG

2.002

- [S2]Y Chen, X Wen, X Zhang, et al. Effect of carbon modification on the structure and electrochemical properties of recycled graphite anode materials[J]. Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics. 2023, 34(20): 1518.
- [S3] H Wang, Y Huang, C Huang, et al. Reclaiming graphite from spent lithium ion batteries ecologically and economically[J]. Electrochimica Acta. 2019, 313: 423-431.
- [S4] D Yang, Y Yang, H Du, et al. An efficient recycling strategy to eliminate the residual "impurities" while heal the damaged structure of spent graphite anodes[J]. Green Energy & Environment. 2022:
- [S5] K Liu, S Yang, L Luo, et al. From spent graphite to recycle graphite anode for highperformance lithium ion batteries and sodium ion batteries[J]. Electrochimica Acta. 2020, 356: 136856.